1990–2020

Te Rārangi Haurehu Kati Mahana a Aotearoa

New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory

Fulfilling reporting requirements under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Kyoto Protocol

Volume 1, Chapters 1–15

Ministry for the Environment
Manatū Mō Te Taiao

Te Kāwanatanga o Aotearoa
New Zealand Government

This document may be cited as: Ministry for the Environment. 2022. *New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory 1990–2020.* Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Volume 1, Chapters 1–15

Submitted to the United Nations
Framework Convention on Climate Change
April 2022

Published in April 2022 by the
Ministry for the Environment
Manatū Mō Te Taiao
PO Box 10-362, Wellington, New Zealand

ISSN: 1179-223X (electronic)
Publication number: ME 1635

This document is available on the Ministry for the Environment website:
www.environment.govt.nz.

Acknowledgements

The Ministry for the Environment thanks and acknowledges the many experts from central and local government agencies, industry and science organisations that contributed to the development of this inventory.

Key contributors

Ministry for the Environment

Andrea Brandon (National Inventory Focal Point, National Inventory Compiler, cross-sector analyses)

Antony Gomez (Technical Lead, cross-sector analyses, automation)

Zavia Hands-Smith (quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) manager, Tokelau, cross-sector analyses, automation)

Chris Bean (Waste, QA/QC, cross-sector analyses, automation)

Ted Jamieson (Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU))

Heather Martindale (Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) and Kyoto Protocol (KP-LULUCF))

Frances Barnett (IPPU, supplementary products, automation)

Charissa Billings (Tokelau, QA/QC)

Hannah Brill (QA/QC, methodological improvements)

Ivan Chirino-Valle (Waste, QA/QC)

Logan Ashmore and Daniel Lawrence (automation)

Helen Plume (principal reviewer: cross-sector analyses, general advice and guidance)

Marion Sorez (planning and monitoring, automation)

Hemi Smiler, Meredith Davis and Katherine Wilson (general advice and guidance)

Debbie Barber, Feilidh Dwyer, Ellie Neal, Daisy Smith and Emily Wikingi (communications and publishing)

Ministry for Primary Industries

Ben Morrow, Leeza Speranskaya and Joel Gibbs (Agriculture)

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment

Michael Smith and Finbar Maunsell (Energy, IPPU: carbon dioxide)

Bryan Field (QA/QC: Energy)

Environmental Protection Authority

Dave Stuart, Cindy Yap and Johanna Milius (National Registry)

Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Tiana Carter and Anna Broadhurst (information on minimisation of adverse impacts)

Tokelau Government

Kele Lui, Tokelau Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience (general advice and guidance)

Celine Lose, Tokelau Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience (general advice and guidance)

Paula Faiva, Tokelau Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience (general advice and guidance)

Penehuro Lefale, LeA International (peer review, general advice and guidance)

Technical contributors and contracted specialists

Industrial Processes and Product Use sector

Wayne Hennessy (Verum Group)

Agriculture sector

Brendon Welten, Geoff Mercer, Chris Smith, Mike Sprosen and Steward Ledgard (AgResearch), Paul Muir, Beverley Thomson and Kathleen Ward (On-Farm Research)

Gerald Rys, William Aitkenhead, Leo Mercer, Jamie Ash, Kate Simpson, Matt Funaki, Kieran Parsons, Nicole Olivares (Ministry for Primary Industries) and Jasmine Evaroa (Ministry for the Environment)

Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry sector (LULUCF) and Kyoto Protocol – LULUCF

Deborah Burgess, Charlie Clark, Asher Cook, Michael Cooper, Rachel Innes and Prem Sivakanthan (Ministry for the Environment), Craig Elvidge (Ministry for Primary Industries)

Waste sector

Ella van Gool, Nigel Donovan and Jenny Marshall (Ministry for the Environment)

Publication and supplementary products

Jenny Heine and Tanya Tremewan (proof reading and formatting)

Hēmi Kelly and Ruth Smith (supplementary products: translation)

Typeface (supplementary products: infographics)

Datacom (supplementary products: emissions tracker)

Contents

Acknowledgements		iii
Tables		ix
Figures		xvi
Abbreviations		xix
Executive summary		xxiv
ES.1	Background	xxv
ES.2	National trends	xxv
ES.3	Greenhouse gas trends	xxviii
ES.4	Sector trends	xxix
ES.5	Activities under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol (chapter 11)	xxxvii
ES.6	Improvements introduced	xxxix
ES.7	National registry (chapters 12 and 14)	xlii
Executive summary: References		xliii
Chapter 1: Introduction		1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Description of the national inventory arrangements	4
1.3	Inventory preparation: data collection, processing and storage	15
1.4	Methodologies and data sources used	16
1.5	Key categories	19
1.6	Inventory uncertainty	30
1.7	Inventory completeness	32
1.8	National registry	33
1.9	New Zealand's Emissions Trading Scheme	34
1.10	Improvements introduced	35
Chapter 1: References		39
Chapter 2: Trends in greenhouse gas emissions		42
2.1	Emission trends for aggregated greenhouse gas emissions	42
2.2	Emission trends by sector	44
2.3	Activities under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol	60
Chapter 2: References		64

Chapter 3: Energy 65

3.1 Sector overview 65
3.2 Background information 68
3.3 Fuel combustion (CRF 1.A) 71
3.4 Fugitive emissions from fuels (CRF 1.B) 102
Chapter 3: References 112

Chapter 4: Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU) 114

4.1 Sector overview 114
4.2 Mineral industry (2.A) 119
4.3 Chemical industry (2.B) 124
4.4 Metal industry (2.C) 128
4.5 Non-energy products from fuels and solvent use (2.D) 134
4.6 Electronics industry (2.E) 137
4.7 Product uses as substitutes for ODS (2.F) 137
4.8 Other product manufacture and use (2.G) 145
Chapter 4: References 148

Chapter 5: Agriculture 149

5.1 Sector overview 149
5.2 Enteric fermentation (CRF 3.A) 172
5.3 Manure management (CRF 3.B) 182
5.4 Rice cultivation (CRF 3.C) 193
5.5 Agricultural soils (CRF 3.D) 193
5.6 Prescribed burning of savanna (CRF 3.E) 219
5.7 Field burning of agricultural residues (CRF 3.F) 219
5.8 Liming (CRF 3.G) 223
5.9 Urea application (CRF 3.H) 226
5.10 Other carbon-containing fertilisers (CRF 3.I) 227
Chapter 5: References 228

Chapter 6: Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) 234

6.1 Sector overview 234
6.2 Representation of land areas 244
6.3 Forest land (CRF 4A) 250
6.4 Cropland (CRF 4B) 265
6.5 Grassland (CRF 4C) 272
6.6 Wetlands (CRF 4D) 277
6.7 Settlements (CRF 4E) 281

6.8 Other land (CRF 4F) 284
6.9 Harvested wood products (CRF 4G) 287
6.10 Non-CO_2 emissions (CRF 4(I–V)) 291
Chapter 6: References 299

Chapter 7: Waste 302
7.1 Sector overview 302
7.2 Solid waste disposal (5.A) 307
7.3 Biological treatment of solid waste (5.B) 322
7.4 Incineration and open burning of waste (5.C) 323
7.5 Wastewater treatment and discharge (5.D) 329
Chapter 7: References 342

Chapter 8: Tokelau (other) sector 344
8.1 Tokelau overview 344
8.2 Energy emissions from Tokelau (CRF 6. Tokelau_1) 352
8.3 Emissions from Industrial Processes and Product Use in Tokelau (CRF 6. Tokelau_2) 359
8.4 Emissions from the Agriculture sector in Tokelau (CRF 6. Tokelau_3) 363
8.5 Emissions from the Waste sector in Tokelau (CRF 6 Tokelau_5) 366
Chapter 8: References 373

Chapter 9: Indirect carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions 374

Chapter 10: Recalculations and improvements 375
10.1 Implications and justifications 375
10.2 Recalculations and planned improvements in response to the review process 388
Chapter 10: References 404

Chapter 11: KP-LULUCF 405
11.1 General information 405
11.2 Land-related information 410
11.3 Activity-specific information 412
11.4 Other methodological issues 429
11.5 Demonstration that activities apply 432
11.6 Other information 436
11.7 Information relating to Article 6 436
Chapter 11: References 437

Chapter 12: Information on accounting of the Kyoto Protocol units 439
12.1 Background information 439

12.2 Summary of the standard electronic format tables for reporting Kyoto Protocol units 439
12.3 Discrepancies and notifications 440
12.4 Publicly accessible information 440
12.5 Calculation of the commitment period reserve 444
Chapter 12: Reference 446

Chapter 13: Information on changes to the national inventory system 447

Chapter 14: Information on changes to the national registry 448

Chapter 15: Information on minimisation of adverse impacts 450
15.1 Overview 450
15.2 Market imperfections, fiscal incentives, tax and duty exemptions and subsidies 451
15.3 Removal of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies 452
15.4 Technological development of non-energy uses of fossil fuels 453
15.5 Carbon capture and storage technology development 453
15.6 Improvements in fossil fuel efficiencies 453
15.7 Assistance to non-Annex I Parties dependent on the export and consumption of fossil fuels for diversifying their economies 454
15.8 New Zealand's IDC climate commitment 455
Chapter 15: Reference 456

Tables

Table ES 3.1	New Zealand's gross emissions by gas in 1990 and 2020	xxviii
Table ES 3.2	New Zealand's indirect greenhouse gas emissions (excluding LULUCF) in 1990 and 2020	xxix
Table ES 4.1	New Zealand's emissions by sector in 1990 and 2020	xxx
Table ES 5.1(a)	New Zealand's land use areas for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management*	xxxviii
Table ES 5.1(b)	New Zealand's net emissions and accounting quantity by year for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management*	xxxviii
Table 1.2.1	Agency responsible for each sector	12
Table 1.5.1	Summary of New Zealand's key categories for the 2020 level assessment and the trend assessment for 1990 to 2020 (including LULUCF activities)	20
Table 1.5.2(a & b)	2020 level assessment for New Zealand's key category analysis including LULUCF (a) and excluding LULUCF (b)	22
Table 1.5.3(a & b)	1990–2020 trend assessment for New Zealand's key category analysis including LULUCF (a) and excluding LULUCF (b)	25
Table 1.9.1	Dates for sector entry into the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme	35
Table 2.2.1	New Zealand's emissions by sector between 1990 and 2020	48
Table 2.2.2	Emissions from Tokelau	59
Table 2.3.1	New Zealand's net emissions and removals from land subject to activities under Article 3.3 and Forest management under Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol	62
Table 3.1.1	Key categories in the Energy sector	67
Table 3.2.1	Use of natural gas as a feedstock in New Zealand	69
Table 3.3.1	Uncertainty for New Zealand's Energy sector emission estimates for 2020	80
Table 3.3.2	Key categories for 1.A.1 *Energy industries*	81
Table 3.3.3	Key categories for 1.A.2 *Manufacturing industries and construction*	85
Table 3.3.4	Solid biomass splits for 2006 that were used to disaggregate the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category between 1990 and 2020	86
Table 3.3.5	Energy intensity values used to disaggregate liquid fuel use for manufacturing (gigajoules per gross domestic product index)	87
Table 3.3.6	Key categories for 1.A.3 *Transport*	91
Table 3.3.7	Key categories for 1.A.4 *Other sectors*	100
Table 3.3.8	Split of fuel oil activity for 'other primary industry'	100
Table 3.4.1	Key categories for 1.B *Fugitive emissions*	103
Table 3.4.2	Details of abandoned underground mines in the North Island	105
Table 4.1.1	New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions for the IPPU sector by category in 1990 and 2020	116
Table 4.1.2	Key categories in the IPPU sector	117
Table 4.2.1	Uncertainty in emissions from mineral products	123
Table 4.3.1	Country-specific emission factors for indirect emissions	127
Table 4.3.2	Uncertainty in emissions from the *Chemical industry* category	127
Table 4.4.1	Typical analysis from New Zealand Steel Limited of the primary concentrate (provided by New Zealand Steel Limited)	129
Table 4.4.2	Uncertainty in emissions from the metal industry	133
Table 4.4.3	Explanation of variations in New Zealand's aluminium emissions	133
Table 4.5.1	Calculation of NMVOC emissions from road paving	136
Table 4.5.2	Fraction of bitumen in road paving material	136

Table 4.5.3	Uncertainty in emissions in non-energy products from fuels and solvent use	136
Table 4.7.1	Average charge of HFC-134a in mobile air conditioners, 2009	139
Table 4.7.2	Summary of methodological tiers by sub-application	141
Table 4.7.3	New Zealand's uncertainties in product uses as substitutes for ODS	143
Table 4.8.1	Uncertainty in emissions from other product manufacture and use	147
Table 5.1.1	Trends and relative contribution of New Zealand's agricultural greenhouse gas emissions by category between 1990 and 2020	149
Table 5.1.2	Key categories in the Agriculture sector	154
Table 5.1.3	Methods and emission factors in the Agriculture sector	155
Table 5.1.4	Agriculture sectoral approval process for inventory recalculations and improvements	169
Table 5.2.1	Trends and relative contribution of enteric fermentation (methane expressed in kt CO_2-e) from livestock categories between 1990 and 2020	173
Table 5.2.2	Methane (CH_4) emissions and gross energy intake (GEI) from New Zealand measurements and IPCC (2006) default values	176
Table 5.2.3	New Zealand's implied emission factors for enteric fermentation from 1990 to 2020	176
Table 5.2.4	New Zealand's uncertainty in the annual estimate of enteric fermentation emissions for 1990 and 2020, estimated using the 95 per cent confidence interval (±16 per cent)	179
Table 5.2.5	Comparison of the IPCC (2006) default emission factor and country-specific implied emission factors (IEFs) for methane (CH_4) from *Enteric fermentation* for *Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle* and *Sheep*	180
Table 5.3.1	Trends and relative contribution of methane and nitrous oxide emissions under the *Manure management* category between 1990 and 2020	183
Table 5.3.2	Distribution of livestock waste across animal waste management systems in New Zealand	184
Table 5.3.3	Nitrogen excretion rates (N_{ex}) for New Zealand's major livestock categories from 1990 to 2020	187
Table 5.3.4	Nitrogen excretion (N_{ex}) rates for New Zealand's swine and goats from 1990 to 2020	189
Table 5.3.5	IPCC default values for the fraction of managed manure nitrogen that volatilises as ammonia and oxides of nitrogen ($Frac_{GasMS}$/100) for livestock categories per manure management system in New Zealand	191
Table 5.3.6	Comparison of IPCC (2006) table 10A-4 default emission factors and country-specific implied emission factors (IEFs) for methane from manure management	192
Table 5.5.1	Trends and relative contribution of nitrous oxide emissions from *Agricultural soils* categories between 1990 and 2020	195
Table 5.5.2	Nitrous oxide emission factors for *Agricultural soils* in New Zealand, excluding $EF_{3,PRP-URINE}$ for cattle, sheep and deer	197
Table 5.5.3	Direct nitrous oxide (N_2O) emission factors for urine deposited by cattle, sheep and deer, by livestock type and slope, using values calculated by van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020)	197
Table 5.5.4	Parameters for indirect nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from *Agricultural soils* in New Zealand	197
Table 5.5.5	Emission factors and parameter values for use of mitigation technologies	198
Table 5.5.6	Number of replicate-level EF_3 values collated by the van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020) analysis, for each nitrogen source and topography (number of individual trials shown in brackets)	203
Table 5.5.7	Number of replicate-level EF_3 values collated by the van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020) analysis, for each nitrogen source, season that trial was undertaken and topography	204

Table 5.5.8	Trends and relative contribution of direct nitrous oxide emissions from urine and dung deposited by grazing animals per livestock category between 1990 and 2020	206
Table 5.5.9	Mitigation impact of urease inhibitors on nitrous oxide emissions from volatilisation, from 2001 to 2020	212
Table 5.5.10	Emission factors, parameters and mitigation for New Zealand's dicyandiamide inhibitor calculations from 2007 to 2012	214
Table 5.5.11	New Zealand's uncertainties in nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from *Agricultural soils* for 1990, 2002, 2012 and 2016 estimated using Monte Carlo simulation (1990, 2002), the 95 per cent confidence interval (2012) and the analytical method (2020)	214
Table 5.5.12	Comparison of New Zealand's implied emission factors (IEFs) for EF_1 (synthetic nitrogen fertiliser) and $EF_{3(PRP)}$ (pasture, range and paddock manure) with the IPCC default and the Australian-specific value	216
Table 5.5.13	Comparison of New Zealand's country-specific factors for volatilisation ($Frac_{GASF}$, $Frac_{GASM}$) and leaching and runoff ($Frac_{LEACH-(H)}$) with the IPCC default value and the Australian implied emission factor (IEF)	216
Table 5.5.14	Comparison of current and previous emissions estimates before and after change to the $Frac_{LEACH}$ value used in the Agriculture inventory	217
Table 5.7.1	Emission ratios for agricultural residue burning	220
Table 5.7.2	Values used to calculate New Zealand emissions from burning of agricultural residues	221
Table 5.8.1	Comparison of current and previous emissions estimates before and after the updated assumption of lime purity in the Agriculture inventory	225
Table 6.1.1	New Zealand's greenhouse gas net emissions for the LULUCF sector by land use category in 1990 and 2020	234
Table 6.1.2	New Zealand's greenhouse gas net emissions for the LULUCF sector by land use category in 2019 and 2020	235
Table 6.1.3	Land use in New Zealand in 2020	237
Table 6.1.4	Relationships between land use, carbon pool and method of calculation used by New Zealand	238
Table 6.1.5	Land use categories making the greatest contribution to uncertainty in the LULUCF sector	241
Table 6.1.6	Recalculations to New Zealand's total net LULUCF emissions for 1990 and 2019	241
Table 6.1.7	Recalculations to New Zealand's net LULUCF emissions for 1990 and 2019 by category	242
Table 6.2.1	New Zealand's land-use change matrix from 1962 to 1989	246
Table 6.2.2	New Zealand's land use categories and land uses	247
Table 6.2.3	New Zealand's mapping definitions for each land use	247
Table 6.3.1	New Zealand's land-use change for the *Forest land* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, in 1990 and 2020	250
Table 6.3.2	Change in land area and associated CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change between 1990 and 2020 for New Zealand's *Forest land*	251
Table 6.3.3	New Zealand's net carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Forest land* category from 1990 to 2020	251
Table 6.3.4	New Zealand's *Forest land* subject to deforestation, 1990 and 2020	254
Table 6.3.5	Pre-1990 natural forest subcategories and description	255
Table 6.3.6	Areas of tall and regenerating pre-1990 natural forest	255
Table 6.3.7	New Zealand's areas of pre-1990 natural forest deforestation estimated by type from 1990 to 2020	255
Table 6.3.8	Summary of methods used to calculate New Zealand's forest biomass carbon stock from plot data	258

Table 6.3.9	Uncertainty in carbon stock change emissions in 2020 from *Forest land*	260
Table 6.3.10	Recalculations of New Zealand's estimates for the *Forest land* category in 1990 and 2019	261
Table 6.4.1	New Zealand's land-use change by *Cropland* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, 1990 and 2020	266
Table 6.4.2	New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Cropland* category from 1990 to 2020	266
Table 6.4.3	New Zealand's land-use change by *Cropland* land use, and associated CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change, from 1990 to 2020	267
Table 6.4.4	New Zealand's land-use change for the *Cropland* category from 1990 to 2020	267
Table 6.4.5	Summary of New Zealand's carbon stock change emission factors for the *Cropland* category	268
Table 6.4.6	Uncertainty in net carbon stock change emissions in 2020 for the *Cropland* category	270
Table 6.4.7	Recalculations of New Zealand's net emissions from the *Cropland* category in 1990 and 2019	271
Table 6.5.1	New Zealand's land-use change for the *Grassland* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, from 1990 to 2020	272
Table 6.5.2	Grassland with woody biomass sub-classification and description	273
Table 6.5.3	New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Grassland* category from 1990 to 2020	273
Table 6.5.4	Summary of New Zealand's biomass emission factors for *Grassland*	274
Table 6.5.5	Uncertainty in carbon stock change emissions in 2020 for *Grassland*	276
Table 6.5.6	Recalculations of New Zealand's net emissions from the *Grassland* category in 1990 and 2019	277
Table 6.6.1	New Zealand's land-use change for the *Wetlands* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, in 1990 and 2020	278
Table 6.6.2	New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Wetlands* category from 1990 to 2020	278
Table 6.6.3	Uncertainty in carbon stock change emissions in 2020 for *Wetlands*	281
Table 6.6.4	Recalculations for New Zealand's net emissions from the *Wetlands* category in 1990 and 2019	281
Table 6.7.1	New Zealand's land-use change for the *Settlements* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, from 1990 to 2020	282
Table 6.7.2	New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Settlements* category from 1990 to 2020	282
Table 6.7.3	Recalculations for New Zealand's net emissions from the Settlements category in 1990 and 2019	284
Table 6.8.1	New Zealand's land-use change for the land use category *Other land* from 1990 to 2020	285
Table 6.8.2	Summary of New Zealand emission factors for the land use category *Other land*	285
Table 6.8.3	Recalculations for New Zealand's net emissions from the Other land category in 1990 and 2019	286
Table 6.9.1	Conversion factors for *Harvested wood products* produced from New Zealand wood in New Zealand	289
Table 6.9.2	Comparison of weighted half-lives for harvested wood products produced in export markets and the defaults applied in the IPCC, 2014 guidance	290
Table 6.9.3	Recalculations for New Zealand's net emissions from the *Harvested wood products* category in 1990 and 2019	291
Table 6.10.1	N_2O emissions from soils associated with land-use change reported in the LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2020	291

Table 6.10.2	Net N_2O emissions from nitrogen in soils associated with land-use change in 2020	294
Table 6.10.3	Non-CO_2 emissions from *Biomass burning*	294
Table 6.10.4	Summary of *Biomass burning* carbon fractions and emission factors	296
Table 6.10.5	Summary of *Biomass burning* combustion factor values	297
Table 7.1.1	New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions for the Waste sector by source category in 1990 and 2020	304
Table 7.1.2	Key categories in the Waste sector	305
Table 7.2.1	Landfill emissions in the common reporting format table	308
Table 7.2.2	Landfill categories in 2020	310
Table 7.2.3	Solid waste deposited to municipal and uncategorised landfills from 1950 to 2020	310
Table 7.2.4	Solid waste deposited to unmanaged landfills from 1950 to 2020	313
Table 7.2.5	Estimated composition of waste to municipal landfills from 1950 to 2020	316
Table 7.2.6	Parameters by waste type that determine the quantity and rate of methane generation	317
Table 7.2.7	Summary of parameters for municipal landfills	318
Table 7.2.8	Summary of parameters for non-municipal landfills and farm fills	320
Table 7.2.9	Uncertainty in emissions from *Solid waste disposal*	321
Table 7.3.1	Emission factors applied to estimate emissions from composting	322
Table 7.3.2	Uncertainty in emissions from composting	323
Table 7.4.1	Emissions from *Incineration and open burning of waste* (5.C)	324
Table 7.4.2	Amounts of waste incinerated from 1990 to 2020	325
Table 7.4.3	Values applied to estimate carbon dioxide emissions from open burning of rural waste	326
Table 7.4.4	Parameter values applied to estimate emissions from incineration	326
Table 7.4.5	Parameters used to estimate emissions from open burning	327
Table 7.4.6	Uncertainty in emissions from *Incineration and open burning of waste*	328
Table 7.5.1	Emissions from Wastewater treatment and discharge (5.D)	329
Table 7.5.2	Activity data and key factors for domestic wastewater from 1990 to 2020	331
Table 7.5.3	Total organic product producing methane from industrial wastewater from 1990 to 2020	331
Table 7.5.4	Nitrogen in effluent from industrial wastewater from 1990 to 2020	332
Table 7.5.5	Methods used for calculating emissions from wastewater treatment	335
Table 7.5.6	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for domestic wastewater treatment	336
Table 7.5.7	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating nitrous oxide emissions from domestic and commercial wastewater treatment	337
Table 7.5.8	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions from wastewater treatment by the meat industry	337
Table 7.5.9	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for wastewater treatment by the pulp and paper industry	338
Table 7.5.10	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for wastewater treatment by the wine industry	338
Table 7.5.11	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for wastewater treatment by the wool scouring industry	338
Table 7.5.12	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for wastewater treatment by the leather and skins industry	339
Table 7.5.13	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating nitrous oxide emissions for wastewater treatment for the meat industry	339

Table 7.5.14	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating nitrous oxide emissions for wastewater treatment for the dairy processing industry	340
Table 7.5.15	Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating nitrous oxide emissions for wastewater treatment for the leather and skins industry	340
Table 7.5.16	Uncertainty in emissions from wastewater	340
Table 8.1.1	Gross emissions from Tokelau by gas in 1990 and 2020	347
Table 8.1.2	Key sources for activity data in Tokelau	350
Table 8.2.1	Uncertainties for the *Energy industries* category	355
Table 8.2.2	Uncertainties for the *Other sectors – Residential* category	357
Table 8.2.3	Uncertainties for the *Mobile combustion* category	359
Table 8.3.1	Uncertainties for *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category	362
Table 8.3.2	Uncertainty in emissions from *Other product manufacture and use* category	363
Table 8.4.1	Number of livestock in Tokelau (2016)	365
Table 8.5.1	Summary of parameters for uncategorised landfills in Tokelau	368
Table 8.5.2	Uncertainty in emissions from the *Solid waste disposal* category	368
Table 8.5.3	Composition data and carbon content used for estimating emissions from *Open burning of waste*	369
Table 8.5.4	Uncertainty in emissions from *Open burning of waste* for Tokelau	370
Table 8.5.5	Parameters for estimating methane emissions for Tokelau wastewater treatment	371
Table 8.5.6	Parameter values applied for estimating nitrous oxide emissions for Tokelau wastewater treatment	371
Table 8.5.7	Uncertainty in emissions from domestic wastewater	372
Table 10.1.1	Recalculations that led to the greatest increase in emissions estimates in each sector	376
Table 10.1.2	Recalculations that led to the greatest decrease in emissions estimates in each sector	377
Table 10.1.3	Explanations and justifications for recalculations in the Energy sector	377
Table 10.1.4	Explanations and justifications for recalculations in the IPPU sector	378
Table 10.1.5	Comparison of current and previous emissions estimates before and after change to the area of *Leaching and runoff* used in the inventory	380
Table 10.1.6	Comparison of current and previous emissions estimates before and after the updated assumption of lime purity in the Agriculture inventory	381
Table 10.1.7	Explanations and justifications for recalculations in the LULUCF sector	383
Table 10.1.8	Explanations and justifications for recalculations in the Waste sector	385
Table 10.1.9	Explanations and justifications for recalculations of New Zealand's previous Kyoto Protocol estimates	387
Table 10.1.10	Impact of the recalculations of New Zealand's net emissions estimates under Articles 3.3 and 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol in 2019	387
Table 10.1.11	Recalculations to New Zealand's 2019 activity data under the Kyoto Protocol	388
Table 10.2.1	New Zealand's responses to recommendations in tables 3 and 4 of the 2019 assessment review report that remain relevant as issues raised in earlier reviews	388
Table 10.2.2	New Zealand's responses to recommendations in table 5 of the 2019 assessment review report from the review of New Zealand's 2019 inventory submission	392
Table 11.1.1	New Zealand's emissions under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol during the second reporting period	406
Table 11.1.2	New Zealand's accounting quantity under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol during the second reporting period	407

Table 11.1.3	New Zealand’s emissions for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol by greenhouse gas source category	408
Table 11.1.4	Parameters defining *Forest land* in New Zealand	409
Table 11.2.1	Relationship between mapped land-use changes and activities reported under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4	411
Table 11.3.1	New Zealand’s estimated annual area under *Afforestation and reforestation* from 1990 to 2020	413
Table 11.3.2	New Zealand’s estimated annual area under *Afforestation and reforestation* from 2013 to 2020	414
Table 11.3.3	New Zealand’s *Afforestation and reforestation* reconciliation between Kyoto Protocol and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Convention reporting from 2008 to 2020	415
Table 11.3.4	Area of New Zealand subject to deforestation	418
Table 11.3.5	Comparison of the annual average net emissions for *Forest management* and the $FMRL_{corr}$ over the commitment period	421
Table 11.3.6	Carbon equivalent forests (2013–20)	424
Table 11.3.7	Nitrous oxide emissions from the drainage of organic soils in 2020 by activity	427
Table 11.3.8	Nitrous oxide emissions from nitrogen mineralisation and immobilisation soils in 2020 by activity	427
Table 11.4.1	Uncertainty in New Zealand’s estimates for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management* in 2020	429
Table 11.4.2	Total uncertainty in New Zealand’s estimates for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management* in 2020	430
Table 11.4.3	Recalculations of New Zealand’s 2019 activity data under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol	431
Table 11.4.4	Recalculations of New Zealand’s total net emissions estimates from 2013 under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol	432
Table 11.5.1	Area of land destocked in New Zealand in 2017 that has not yet been classified	435
Table 12.2.1	New Zealand’s submission of the standard electronic format	440
Table 12.3.1	Discrepancies and notifications from New Zealand’s national registry	440
Table 12.4.1	List of the publicly accessible information in New Zealand’s national registry	441
Table 14.1	Changes made to New Zealand’s national registry	448
Table 14.2	Previous recommendations for New Zealand from the expert review team	448
Table 14.3	Contact details	449

Figures

Figure ES 2.1	New Zealand's gross and net emissions (under the Convention) from 1990 to 2020	xxvi
Figure ES 3.1	New Zealand's gross emissions by gas in 1990 and 2020	xxviii
Figure ES 4.1	Trends in New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions by sector from 1990 to 2020	xxx
Figure ES 4.2	Change in New Zealand's emissions by sector, comparing 1990 and 2020	xxxi
Figure ES 4.4	New Zealand's LULUCF sector net emissions from 1990 to 2020	xxxv
Figure 1.2.1	New Zealand's inventory system at a glance: how different agencies are involved	8
Figure 1.2.2	Summary of New Zealand's inventory planning and preparation	9
Figure 2.1.1	New Zealand's gross and net emissions (under the Convention) from 1990 to 2020	43
Figure 2.2.1	New Zealand's emissions by sector in 2020	45
Figure 2.2.2	New Zealand's gross emissions by gas in 2020	46
Figure 2.2.3	Trends in New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions by sector from 1990 to 2020	47
Figure 2.2.4	Change in New Zealand's emissions by sector comparing 1990 and 2020	48
Figure 2.2.5	Absolute change in New Zealand's gross emissions by sector from 1990 to 2020	49
Figure 2.2.6	Absolute change in net emissions from the LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2020	50
Figure 2.2.7	New Zealand's Energy sector emissions from 1990 to 2020	51
Figure 2.2.8	New Zealand's IPPU sector emissions from 1990 to 2020	53
Figure 2.2.9	New Zealand's Agriculture sector emissions from 1990 to 2020	55
Figure 2.2.10	New Zealand's LULUCF sector net emissions from 1990 to 2020	57
Figure 2.2.11	New Zealand's Waste sector emissions from 1990 to 2020	58
Figure 2.2.12	Emissions by sector for Tokelau from 1990 to 2020	60
Figure 3.1.1	New Zealand's Energy sector emissions from 1990 to 2020	66
Figure 3.2.1	Natural gas consumption by end use type from 1990 to 2020	70
Figure 3.3.1	Change in New Zealand's emissions from the fuel combustion categories from 1990 to 2020	72
Figure 3.3.2	Energy sector quality control process map	78
Figure 3.3.3	Carbon dioxide implied emission factor (IEF) – Liquid fuel combustion from 1990 to 2020	79
Figure 3.3.4	Carbon dioxide implied emission factor (IEF) – Solid fuel combustion from 1990 to 2020	79
Figure 3.3.5	Carbon dioxide implied emission factor (IEF) – Gaseous fuel combustion from 1990 to 2020	80
Figure 3.3.6	New Zealand's electricity generation by source from 1990 to 2020	82
Figure 3.3.7	Decision tree to identify a main activity electricity generator or an autoproducer	83
Figure 3.3.8	Proportions used for *Manufacturing industries and construction* category – Gasoline from 1990 to 2020	88
Figure 3.3.9	Proportions used for *Manufacturing industries and construction* category – Diesel from 1990 to 2020	88
Figure 3.3.10	Proportions used for *Manufacturing industries and construction* category – Fuel oil from 1990 to 2020	88
Figure 3.3.11	Splicing method decision tree for gasoline emissions	93

Figure 3.4.1	Schematic diagram of the use of geothermal fluid for electricity generation – as at Wairakei and Ohaaki geothermal stations (New Zealand Institute of Chemistry, 1998)	108
Figure 4.1.1	New Zealand's annual emissions from the IPPU sector from 1990 to 2020	116
Figure 4.1.2	Change in New Zealand's emissions from the IPPU sector from 1990 to 2020	116
Figure 4.1.3	Example: Tier 1 quality checks for the IPPU sector	119
Figure 4.4.1	Simplified schematic of iron and steel production in New Zealand	130
Figure 5.1.1	Change in New Zealand's emissions from the Agriculture sector from 1990 to 2020	150
Figure 5.1.2	New Zealand's Agriculture sector emissions from 1990 to 2020	151
Figure 5.1.3a	Populations of New Zealand's dairy cattle, beef cattle and deer from 1990 to 2020 (June year ending)	152
Figure 5.1.3b	Population of New Zealand's sheep from 1990 to 2020 (June year ending)	153
Figure 5.1.4	Dairy milk productivity and implied enteric fermentation methane emission factors for dairy cows from 1990 to 2020	154
Figure 5.1.5	Simplified methodology for calculating emissions for major ruminant livestock categories	157
Figure 5.1.6	National monthly milk production in New Zealand from 2018 to 2020	161
Figure 5.1.7	Agriculture sectoral approval process for inventory recalculations and improvements	167
Figure 5.2.1	Schematic diagram showing how New Zealand's emissions from enteric fermentation for cattle and deer are calculated	174
Figure 5.5.1	Sources of nitrous oxide emissions from *Agricultural soils*, showing the contribution of each source to emissions through both direct and indirect pathways	194
Figure 5.5.2	Synthetic nitrogen fertiliser use in New Zealand from 1990 to 2020	199
Figure 5.5.3	Percentage of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser derived from urea from 1990 to 2020	200
Figure 5.8.1	Limestone usage on agricultural land in New Zealand from 1990 to 2020	224
Figure 5.8.2	Dolomite usage on agricultural land in New Zealand from 1990 to 2020	224
Figure 6.1.1	New Zealand's annual emissions from the LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2020	235
Figure 6.1.2	Comparison of afforestation and deforestation rates with the changing NZ ETS unit price from 2004 to 2020	237
Figure 6.2.1	Land use map of New Zealand as at 31 December 2016	245
Figure 6.3.1	Annual areas of afforestation/reforestation in New Zealand from 1990 to 2020	252
Figure 6.3.2	New Zealand's net carbon dioxide removals by post-1989 planted forests from 1990 to 2020	253
Figure 6.3.3	New Zealand's area of planted forest harvest (inclusive of deforestation) from 1990 to 2020	253
Figure 6.3.4	New Zealand's area of deforestation since 1990, by *Forest land* category	254
Figure 6.3.5	Location of New Zealand's pre-1990 forest carbon monitoring plots	256
Figure 6.3.6	Location of New Zealand's post-1989 forest plots	257
Figure 6.9.1	Volume of roundwood produced and exported between 1990 to 2020	287
Figure 7.1.1	Flows of solid waste generation and disposal in New Zealand	303
Figure 7.1.2	Profile of emissions from New Zealand's Waste sector by source category from 1990 to 2020	304
Figure 7.1.3	Change in New Zealand's emissions from the Waste sector by source category from 1990 to 2020	305
Figure 7.1.4	Tier 1 quality checks for the Waste sector	307
Figure 8.1.1	Map of Tokelau	344
Figure 8.1.2	Tokelau's emissions by gas in 2020	346

Figure 8.1.3	Tokelau’s emissions by sector in 2020	347
Figure 8.1.4	Emissions by sector for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020	348
Figure 8.2.1	Cluster block diagram for Tokelau’s solar project	353
Figure 8.2.2	Energy emissions by category for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020	354
Figure 8.3.1	IPPU emissions by category for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020	360
Figure 8.3.2	Agriculture emissions by category for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020	364
Figure 8.5.1	Waste sector emissions by category for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020	367
Figure 10.1.1	Effect of recalculations on New Zealand’s gross greenhouse gas emissions estimates from 1990 to 2019	376
Figure 10.1.2	Effect of changes to activity data on emissions estimates from New Zealand’s Energy sector from 1990 to 2019	378
Figure 10.1.3	Effect of recalculations on emissions estimates from the IPPU sector from 1990 to 2019	379
Figure 10.1.4	Effect of recalculations on emissions estimates from New Zealand’s Agriculture sector from 1990 to 2019	382
Figure 10.1.5	Effect of recalculations on net emissions estimates from New Zealand’s LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2019	383
Figure 10.1.6	Effect of recalculations on emissions estimates from New Zealand’s Waste sector from 1990 to 2019	384
Figure 10.1.7	Effect of recalculations on emissions estimates from the Tokelau sector from 1990 to 2019	386
Figure 11.3.1	New Zealand’s annual areas of deforestation from 1990 to 2020	419
Figure 11.3.2	Annual harvest area for the $FMRL_{corr}$ and *Forest management*, from 2009 to 2020	422
Figure 11.3.3	Comparison of emissions for the planted forest estate for the $FMRL_{corr}$ and *Forest management*, from 2009-to 2020	422
Figure 11.3.4	Comparison of emissions for *Harvested wood products* for the $FMRL_{corr}$ and *Forest management*, from 2009-to 2020	423
Figure 11.5.1	Verification of deforestation in New Zealand	434

Abbreviations

AAP	average animal population
AAU	assigned amount unit
AD	activity data
AGB	above-ground biomass
AIC	Akaike information criterion
ANZSIC	Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification
APEC	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation
ARR	assessment review report
BERG	Biological Emissions Reference Group
BOD	biochemical oxygen demand
BRANZ	Building Research Association of New Zealand
C	Carbon
C_2F_6	perfluoroethane
C_3F_8	perfluoropropane
$CaCO_3$	calcium carbonate
CaO	calcium oxide
$Ca(OH)_2$	calcium hydroxide
CCF_i	carbon content factor
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CEF	carbon equivalent forest
CEF_{HC}	carbon equivalent forest (harvested and converted)
CEF_{NE}	carbon equivalent forest (newly established)
CER	certified emission reduction unit
CF_4	perfluoromethane
CFC	chlorofluorocarbon
CH_4	methane
CMP	Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol
CNG	compressed natural gas
CO	carbon monoxide
COD	chemical oxygen demand
COP	Conference of the Parties
CO_2	carbon dioxide
CO_2-e	carbon dioxide equivalent
CP1	first commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol
CP2	second commitment period under the Kyoto Protocol

CRA	Calculation and Reporting Application
CRF	common reporting format
CSC	carbon stock change
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
DCD	dicyandiamide
DDOC	decomposable degradable organic carbon
DEF	diesel exhaust fluid
DMD	dry-matter digestibility
DMI	dry-matter intake
DOC	degradable organic carbon
DOCf	fraction of degradable organic carbon
DPFI	Delivery of Petroleum Fuels by Industry
EEZ	Exclusive Economic Zone
EF	emission factor
EF_3	emission factor for N_2O emissions from urine and dung nitrogen
$EF_{3,PRP}$	emission factor for N_2O emissions from urine and dung nitrogen deposited on pasture, range and paddock by grazing animals
EPA	Environmental Protection Authority
ERT	expert review team
ERU	emission reduction unit
ETS	Emissions Trading Scheme
FAME	fatty acid methyl ester
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FAOSTAT	Database produced by the Statistics Division of the Food and Agriculture Organization the United Nations
FDM	faecal dry matter
FENZ	Fire and Emergency New Zealand
FMRL	forest management reference level
FFSR	Fossil Fuel Subsidy Reform
$FMRL_{corr}$	technically corrected forest management reference level
FOD	first order decay
FOLPI	Forestry-Oriented Linear Programming Interpreter
GDP	gross domestic product
GEI	gross energy intake
Gg	gigagram(s)
GHG	greenhouse gas
GIS	geographic information system
GJ	gigajoule(s)
GPS	global positioning system

GRA	Global Research Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouse Gases
GST	goods and services tax
G20	Group of Twenty
ha	hectare(s)
HCFC	hydrochlorofluorocarbon
HFC	hydrofluorocarbon
HFO	hydrofluoroolefin
IAE	International Energy Agency
IDC	International Development Cooperation
IE	included elsewhere
IEF	implied emission factor
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPPU	Industrial Processes and Product Use
IRENA	International Renewable Energy Agency
kg	kilogram(s)
kha	kilohectare(s)
kJ	kilojoule(s)
KP	Kyoto Protocol
KP-LULUCF	Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry activities under the Kyoto Protocol
kt	kilotonne(s)
LCDB	Land Cover Database
lCER	long-term certified emission reduction unit
LFG	landfill gas
LIC	Livestock Improvement Corporation
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
LPG	liquefied petroleum gas
LUCAS	Land Use and Carbon Analysis System
LUE	land use effect
LULUCF	Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry
LUM	land use map
MBIE	Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment
MCF	methane conversion factor
MDI	metered dose inhaler
MDO	marine diesel oil
ME	metabolisable energy
MFAT	Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade
MfE	Ministry for the Environment
MgO	magnesium oxide

MiCORE	Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience (Tokelau)
MJ	megajoule(s)
MOS	Monthly Oil Supply
MPI	Ministry for Primary Industries
MSW	municipal waste disposal
Mt	megatonne(s) or million tonne(s)
MW	megawatt(s)
N	nitrogen
N_2O	nitrous oxide
NA	not applicable
NE	not estimated
NEFD	National Exotic Forest Description
N_{ex}	nitrogen excretion rates
NF_3	nitrogen trifluoride
NH_3	ammonia
NIR	national inventory report
NMVOC	non-methane volatile organic compound
NO	not occurring
NO_3	nitrate
NO_x	nitrogen oxides (other than nitrous oxide)
NZAGRC	New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Research Centre
NZAS	New Zealand Aluminium Smelters Limited
NZ ETS	New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme
NZLRI	New Zealand Land Resource Inventory
NZU	New Zealand Unit
ODS	ozone depleting substances
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PFC	perfluorocarbon
PGgRc	Pastoral Greenhouse Gas Research Consortium
PJ	petajoule(s)
PSP	permanent sample plot
PV	photovoltaics
QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control
RGG	Reporting Governance Group
RMU	removal unit
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals
SEF	standard electronic format

SF_6	sulphur hexafluoride
SIDS	Small Island Developing States
SO_2	sulphur dioxide
SOC	soil organic carbon
Soil CMS	Soil Carbon Monitoring System
SWAP	Solid Waste Analysis Protocol
SWDS	solid waste disposable sites
t	tonne(s)
tC	tonne(s) carbon
TACCC	transparency, accuracy, completeness, consistency and comparability
tCER	temporary certified emission reduction unit
TJ	terajoule(s)
TOW	total organic product in wastewater
UEF	unique emission factor
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
WTO	World Trade Organization

Executive summary

Key points

In 2020

- New Zealand's gross greenhouse gas emissions were 78,778 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e), comprising 44 per cent carbon dioxide, 44 per cent methane, 11 per cent nitrous oxide and 2 per cent fluorinated gases.
- The Agriculture and Energy sectors were the two largest contributors to gross emissions, at 50 per cent and 40 per cent respectively.
- The Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector offset 30 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions.
- New Zealand's net emissions were 55,465 kt CO_2-e.

Since 1990

- New Zealand's gross emissions have increased by 21 per cent (13,581 kt CO_2-e). The five emission sources that contributed the most to this increase were:
  - enteric fermentation from an increase in the dairy cattle population (methane)
  - fuel use in road transport due to traffic growth (carbon dioxide)
  - increased fertiliser use on agricultural soils (nitrous oxide)
  - fuel use in manufacturing industries and construction due to economic growth (carbon dioxide)
  - industrial and household refrigeration and air-conditioning systems from increased use of hydrofluorocarbon-based refrigerants (fluorinated gases) that replaced ozone depleting substances.
- Emissions from the Waste sector have decreased by 17 per cent (674 kt CO_2-e), due to ongoing improvements in the management of solid waste disposal at municipal landfills.
- New Zealand's net emissions have increased by 26 per cent (11,497 kt CO_2-e) due to the underlying increase in gross emissions.

Between 2019 and 2020

- Gross emissions decreased by 3 per cent, which was largely because of a decrease in emissions from the Energy sector (by 7 per cent or 2,459 kt CO_2-e). The decrease in Energy sector emissions was driven mainly by:
  - a decrease in fuel use in road transport due to COVID-19 pandemic restrictions (carbon dioxide)
  - a decrease in fuel use in manufacturing industries and construction due to COVID-19 restrictions (carbon dioxide)
  - a decrease in fuel use from domestic aviation also due to COVID-19 restrictions (carbon dioxide).
- Emissions from the Industrial Processes and Product Use sector decreased by 5 per cent, also due to COVID-19 restrictions.
- Emissions from the Agriculture and Waste sectors changed only by small annual variations of 0.2 and 1.3 per cent respectively.
- Net emissions decreased by 5 per cent (3,117 kt CO_2-e), due to the decrease in gross emissions (2,839 kt CO_2-e) as well as an increase in net removals of 1 per cent (278 kt CO_2-e) from the LULUCF sector.

Methodological changes

- Emissions estimates across the entire time series are recalculated due to improvements introduced to the inventory this year. The largest impacts on inventory estimates this year are from:
  - updated carbon stock change estimates in pre-1990 planted forests due to revised yield tables and improvements to the forest and harvest age modelling
  - revised emission estimates for diesel oil use in heavy vehicles. This is a result of applying newly obtained disaggregated activity data for diesel oil use by mode (i.e., cars, light trucks, heavy trucks/buses, and motorcycles). This change has no impact on overall road transport emissions; it just involves a reallocation among modes.

ES.1 Background

New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory (the inventory) is the official annual report of all anthropogenic (human-induced) emissions and removals of greenhouse gases (GHGs) in New Zealand. It measures New Zealand's progress against obligations under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (the Convention) and the Kyoto Protocol and is the official basis for measuring New Zealand's progress towards its international emissions reduction targets.

The 2022 submission consists of the common reporting format (CRF) database containing inventory data for 1990 to 2020 that cover all emissions and removals in New Zealand together with this publication, the national inventory report, which is a narrative that presents major emission trends and methodologies for estimating emissions and removals. It also includes sections on the inventory uncertainties, recalculations and improvements. In addition, the standard electronic format tables that contain data on emission units and emission reduction units in the national registry and any unit transfers between the registers of different countries are included in this submission.

Inventory reporting under the Convention covers seven direct GHGs: carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), nitrous oxide (N_2O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulphur hexafluoride (SF_6) and nitrogen trifluoride (NF_3).[1] Indirect GHGs[2] are also included; however, only emissions and removals of the direct GHGs are included in estimates of total national emissions under the Convention and accounted for under the Kyoto Protocol.

New Zealand's gases are reported under five sectors: Energy, Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU), Agriculture, Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) and Waste. Tokelau's emissions are also reported separately by sector as 'Other'.

New Zealand ratified the Convention on 16 September 1993 and the Paris Agreement on 4 October 2016. The extension (as of 13 November 2017) of New Zealand's ratification of the Convention and the Paris Agreement to include Tokelau means, among other things, that New Zealand's national inventory shall include GHG emissions and removals estimates from Tokelau. Starting from the 2019 submission, emissions from Tokelau have been included in New Zealand's greenhouse inventory.

ES.2 National trends

Gross emissions

Gross emissions include those from the Energy, IPPU, Agriculture and Waste sectors and Tokelau, but do not include emissions and removals from the LULUCF sector (UNFCCC, 2013).

1990–2020

In 1990, New Zealand's gross GHG emissions were 65,197.0 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e). Between 1990 and 2020, GHG emissions increased by 13,581.4 kt CO_2-e (20.8 per cent) to 78,778.4 kt CO_2-e in 2020 (see figure ES 2.1). From 1990 to 2020, the average annual growth in gross emissions was 0.6 per cent.

---

1 Nitrogen trifluoride emissions do not occur in New Zealand and, therefore, no NF_3 data are included in this report.

2 Indirect gases include carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide, oxides of nitrogen and non-methane volatile organic compounds.

Figure ES 2.1 New Zealand's gross and net emissions (under the Convention) from 1990 to 2020



The emission categories that contributed the most to this increase in gross emissions were: *Enteric fermentation*[3] *from dairy cattle*, *Road transportation*, *Agricultural soils*, *Manufacturing industries and construction* (especially the categories *Chemicals* and *Food processing, beverages and tobacco*) and *Product uses as substitutes for ODS*.[4]

2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, New Zealand's gross emissions decreased by 2,838.7 kt CO_2-e (3.5 per cent). The main cause was a decrease in emissions from the Energy sector (of 2,459.0 kt CO_2-e or 7.2 per cent) due to COVID-19 pandemic restrictions. In particular, this was mainly due to a decrease in emissions from reduced fuel use in:

- *Road transportation* (CO_2)
- *Manufacturing industries and construction* (CO_2)
- *Domestic aviation* (CO_2).

Emissions from the IPPU sector decreased by 5.0 per cent, which is also the result of COVID-19 restrictions. Emissions from the Agriculture and Waste sectors changed only by small annual variations of 0.2 and 1.3 per cent respectively.

[3] Methane emissions produced from the digestive process in ruminant livestock.

[4] 'ODS' stands for ozone depleting substances.

Net emissions – reporting under the Convention

Net emissions include gross emissions as defined above (i.e., from the Energy, IPPU, Agriculture and Waste sectors, including Tokelau) and net emissions from the LULUCF sector, as reported under the Convention.

In 1990, New Zealand's net emissions were 43,967.8 kt CO_2-e. Between 1990 and 2020, net GHG emissions increased by 11,497.3 kt CO_2-e (26.1 per cent) to 55,465.1 kt CO_2-e (see figure ES 2.1).

The four categories that contributed the most to the increase in net emissions between 1990 and 2020 were *Land converted to forest land*, *Enteric fermentation from dairy cattle*, *Road transportation* and *Agriculture soils*.

Accounting for New Zealand's 2020 target

For the period 2013 to 2020, New Zealand's target is to reduce emissions to 5 per cent below 1990 levels by 2020. New Zealand has taken its target under the Convention. New Zealand will apply the Kyoto Protocol framework of rules to account for its target, to ensure New Zealand's actions are transparent and have integrity. This means New Zealand will count net removals from Article 3.3 – *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation* and Article 3.4 – *Forest management* of the Kyoto Protocol towards its emissions reduction target.

Following the Kyoto Protocol rules, New Zealand's emissions budget for the period 2013 to 2020 is 509,774,982 tonnes CO_2-e. This is based on the gross emissions data for 1990 included in New Zealand's 2016 inventory submission. For more details, refer to *New Zealand's Initial Report to Facilitate the Calculation of its Emissions Budget for the Period 2013 to 2020* (Ministry for the Environment, 2016).

The emissions budget is calculated as the total cumulative emissions New Zealand would emit on a straight-line track from a 1990 level in 2010 (equal to gross emissions in 1990 from the Initial Report) to the target in 2020 (i.e., the same amount multiplied by 0.95).

To measure progress towards New Zealand's 2020 target, annual gross emissions values from this submission, as the final submission for the period, will be summed for 2013 to 2020. This will represent the quantity of gross emissions for which New Zealand is responsible.

For the target period, based on this submission, New Zealand's gross emissions sum to 639,600.7 kt CO_2-e. The contribution towards New Zealand's target from LULUCF activities under the Kyoto Protocol is a net removal of 123,281.1 kt CO_2-e (see chapter 2, section 2.3 for details).

The accounting rules for the LULUCF activities reported under Articles 3.3 and 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol are explained in chapter 11.

In addition, New Zealand can access sufficient Kyoto units (carry-over from the first commitment period) for the purpose of meeting its 2013 to 2020 target, if required, pending the outcome of the expert review team's review of this submission.

On the basis of this submission, and pending its review, New Zealand is on track to meet its 2013 to 2020 target. Refer to New Zealand's latest net position for details.

ES.3 Greenhouse gas trends

Inventory reporting under the Convention covers the following direct GHGs: CO_2, CH_4, N_2O, SF_6, PFCs, HFCs and NF_3. No NF_3 data are included in this report because NF_3 emissions do not occur in New Zealand.

Table ES 3.1 provides a summary of emissions for each gas in 1990 and 2020 and the changes since 1990. This is also illustrated in figure ES 3.1.

Table ES 3.1 New Zealand's gross emissions by gas in 1990 and 2020

Direct greenhouse gas emissions	1990	2020	Change from 1990 (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Change from 1990 (%)
CO_2	25,502.5	34,456.8	8,954.2	35.1%
CH_4	32,972.5	34,272.9	1,300.4	3.9%
N_2O	5,792.0	8,463.8	2,671.7	46.1%
HFCs	Not occurring	1,480.3	1,480.3	NA
PFCs	909.9	87.9	–822.0	–90.3%
SF_6	20.0	16.7	–3.3	–16.5%
Gross, all gases	**65,197.0**	**78,778.4**	**13,581.4**	**20.8%**

Note: Gross emissions exclude net removals from the LULUCF sector. The percentage change for HFCs is not applicable (NA) because no emissions of HFCs occurred in 1990. Columns may not total due to rounding. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

Figure ES 3.1 New Zealand's gross emissions by gas in 1990 and 2020



In 1990, CH_4 made up the largest proportion of gross emissions, while in 2020, CO_2 and CH_4 contributed the largest proportions (43.7 per cent and 43.5 per cent respectively) to the gross national emissions (see figure ES 3.2). While emissions of CH_4 have also increased over this time, the proportion of CH_4 relative to other gases in the inventory has decreased over the time series, because CO_2 emissions have increased by a greater amount.

This trend reflects the increase in CO_2 emissions from the Energy sector as the biggest contributor of CO_2 to New Zealand's gross emissions (ranging between 87.0 per cent and 89.0 per cent of gross CO_2 emissions across the entire time series).

In 2020, removals from the LULUCF sector were 23,313.3 kt CO_2-e. This offset 29.6 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions.

Between 1990 and 2020, the amount of CO_2-e removed from the atmosphere by the LULUCF sector increased by 2,084.0 kt CO_2-e (9.8 per cent) from the 1990 level of 21,229.2 kt CO_2-e. This is largely due to an increase in the production of harvested wood products, which have compensated for the emissions from the increase in forest harvesting.

Indirect gases

Indirect GHGs are included in inventory reporting but are not counted in emissions totals. These indirect gases are carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO_2), oxides of nitrogen (NO_X) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs). Table ES 3.2 summarises New Zealand's indirect GHG emissions in 1990 and 2020 as well as the change between these years.

Table ES 3.2 New Zealand's indirect greenhouse gas emissions (excluding LULUCF) in 1990 and 2020

Indirect greenhouse gas emissions	1990	2020	Change from 1990 (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Change from 1990 (%)
CO	604.2	657.5	53.3	8.8
NMVOCs	143.7	176.4	32.7	22.8
NO_X	102.0	162.5	60.5	59.4
SO_2	58.6	68.7	10.1	17.3

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

ES.4 Sector trends

Figure ES 4.1 shows the contribution to net emissions that each inventory sector made. The Agriculture and Energy sectors dominate New Zealand's gross emissions. Together, these sectors produced almost 90 per cent of New Zealand's annual gross GHG emissions from 1990 to 2020. The IPPU and Waste sectors produce relatively small amounts of GHGs, contributing between 4 per cent and 6 per cent to the annual gross emissions for the entire time series. Conversely, the LULUCF sector was a net sink of GHG emissions between 1990 and 2020.

Figure ES 4.1 Trends in New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions by sector from 1990 to 2020



Note: Net removals from the LULUCF sector are as reported under the Convention (chapter 6).

Table ES 4.1 and figure ES 4.2 summarise emissions by sector in 1990 and 2020 as well as the change between those years. A more detailed description of the emission trends for each sector is presented in chapter 2.

Table ES 4.1 New Zealand's emissions by sector in 1990 and 2020

Sector	1990	2020	Change from 1990 (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Change from 1990 (%)
Energy	23,877.9	31,461.4	7,583.5	31.8%
IPPU	3,579.9	4,618.4	1,038.4	29.0%
Agriculture	33,792.9	39,425.5	5,632.7	16.7%
Waste	3,943.1	3,268.9	–674.2	–17.1%
Tokelau	3.2	4.2	1.0	31.9%
Gross	**65,197.0**	**78,778.4**	**13,581.4**	**20.8%**
LULUCF	–21,229.2	–23,313.3	–2,084.0	–9.8%
Net	**43,967.8**	**55,465.1**	**11,497.3**	**26.1%**

Note: Net emissions from the LULUCF sector are reported as a negative number because the sector removes more CO_2 from the atmosphere than it emits (see chapter 6). Columns may not total due to rounding. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values. For Tokelau contributions, see chapter 2, table 2.1.1.

Figure ES 4.2 Change in New Zealand's emissions by sector, comparing 1990 and 2020



Sector-specific trends

Energy (chapter 3)

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Energy sector contributed 31,461.4 kt CO_2-e or 39.9 per cent of New Zealand's gross greenhouse gas emissions (see chapter 2, figure 2.2.1).

The largest sources of emissions in the Energy sector were the *Road transportation* category, contributing 12,023.2 kt CO_2-e (38.2 per cent), and the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category, contributing 6,681.6 kt CO_2-e (21.2 per cent) to Energy emissions.

1990–2020

In 2020, emissions from the Energy sector increased by 31.8 per cent (7,583.5 kt CO_2-e) from the 1990 level of 23,877.9 kt CO_2-e.

This growth in emissions is primarily from *Road transportation*, which increased by 5,194.1 kt CO_2-e (76.1 per cent), *Food processing, beverages and tobacco*, which increased by 1,387.0 kt CO_2-e (83.0 per cent), and *Public electricity and heat production*, which increased by 1,127.4 kt CO_2-e (32.3 per cent).

In 2020, emissions from *Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries* were lower than the 1990 level by 1,452.7 kt CO_2-e (84.6 per cent). This decrease is primarily due to the end to synthetic gasoline production in 1997.

Figure ES 4.1 shows the Energy sector emissions time series from 1990 to 2020. The trend shows emissions increased until around 2005, after which a flat to decreasing trend has occurred in Energy sector emissions.

2019–2020

The 2020 calendar year saw disruption to economic activity in New Zealand, with the Energy sector experiencing the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic throughout the year. This saw significant changes to the supply of and demand for energy in New Zealand. For in-depth analysis and commentary, see *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021), section C: Impacts of COVID-19.

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the Energy sector decreased by 2,459.0 kt CO_2-e (7.2 per cent). This is primarily due to the 1,093.0 kt CO_2-e (8.3 per cent) decrease in emissions from 1.A.3.b *Road transportation*, followed by a decrease of 315.3 kt CO_2-e (30.8 per cent) from category 1.A.3.a *Domestic aviation*.

The decrease was partially offset by an increase from category 1.A.1.a *Public electricity and heat production* of 401.5 kt CO_2-e (9.5 per cent).

A decrease of 847.6 kt CO_2-e (11.3 per cent) also occurred in emissions from category 1.A.2 *Manufacturing industries and construction*.

IPPU (chapter 4)

2020

In 2020, emissions from the IPPU sector contributed 4,618.4 kt CO_2-e or 5.9 per cent of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions.

The largest category is the *Metal industry*, with substantial CO_2 emissions from the *Iron and steel production* and *Aluminium production* categories. The *Mineral industry* and *Chemical industry* categories also contribute significant CO_2 emissions, and most of the non-CO_2 emissions come from the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category.

The IPPU sector also produces smaller amounts of CH_4 from methanol production and N_2O used for medical applications in the *Other product manufacture and use* category.

Coal and natural gas are also used on a significant scale for energy in these industries, and related emissions are reported under the Energy sector in the category *Manufacturing industries and construction*.

The emissions by category are shown in chapter 4, table 4.1.1.

1990–2020

Emissions from the IPPU sector in 2020 were 1,038.4 kt CO_2-e (29.0 per cent) higher than emissions in 1990 (3,579.9 kt CO_2-e).

This increase was mainly driven by increasing emissions from the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category, due to the introduction of HFCs to replace ODS in refrigeration and air conditioning and the increased use of household and commercial air conditioning. Carbon dioxide emissions have also increased due to increased production of metals, lime and cement, but at a slower rate and in 2020 the increase was offset by reduced emissions due to COVID-19 restrictions. Emissions of PFCs have reduced substantially due to improved management of anode effects in the *Aluminium production category* and emissions of N_2O used for medical applications have reduced somewhat in the *Other product manufacture and use* category. The trends are shown in chapter 4, figures 4.1.1 and 4.1.2.

2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the IPPU sector decreased by 242.7 kt CO_2-e (5.0 per cent).

This change was the net result of a significant decrease in emissions from the *Metal industry* category (109.5 kt CO_2-e or 4.7 per cent), and smaller decreases in other categories, due to plant shutdowns related to COVID-19 and consequent decreased production. A contributing factor was the national lockdown in force from 26 March to 27 May 2020.

Agriculture (chapter 5)

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Agriculture sector contributed 39,425.5 kt CO_2-e, representing 50.0 per cent of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions in 2020 (see chapter 5, figure 5.1.2).

Enteric fermentation was the main source of Agriculture emissions, contributing 73.1 per cent (28,831.5 kt CO_2-e) of the sector's emissions. *Agricultural soils* (20.0 per cent) was the second largest source followed by *Manure management* (4.4 per cent). *Urea application* and *Liming* contributed 1.4 per cent and 1.0 per cent respectively. *Field burning of agricultural residues* contributed the remaining 0.1 per cent.

1990–2020

In 2020, New Zealand's Agriculture sector emissions were 16.7 per cent (5,632.7 kt CO_2-e) above the 1990 level of 33,792.9 kt CO_2-e (see table ES 4.1).

The greatest contributions to the increase since 1990 are a 48.7 per cent (2,581.9 kt CO_2-e) increase in N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils* and a 5.4 per cent (1,481.1 kt CO_2-e) increase in CH_4 emissions from *Enteric fermentation*.

The increase in N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils* is primarily a result of increased application of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser by around 693 per cent since 1990. The main reason for this is an increase in dairy farming.

The increase in emissions from *Enteric fermentation* is driven by an increase in dairy cattle numbers, which has been partially offset by decreases in beef cattle and sheep numbers. The change in animal populations since 1990 reflects the relative financial returns in each animal sector (it has been more profitable to farm dairy cattle than beef cattle or sheep in New Zealand over the reporting period) and changes in New Zealand's regulatory environment, especially connected to improving freshwater quality.

2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, total agricultural emissions decreased by 0.2 per cent (93.1 kt CO_2-e) due to a reduction in emissions from sheep, urea application and liming. This comprises:

- emissions from sheep (CH_4 and N_2O), which reduced by 2.8 per cent (268.3 kt CO_2-e) due to a further decrease in the sheep population
- *Liming* emissions (CO_2), which reduced by 9.0 per cent (40.3 kt CO_2-e) due to a decrease in the use of lime
- *Urea application* emissions (CO_2), which reduced by 5.0 per cent (28.7 kt CO_2-e) due to a decrease in the use of urea fertiliser.

Emissions from other activities increased, but were not enough to offset the overall decrease in agricultural emissions. These increases comprise emissions from:

- inorganic fertiliser, which increased by 7.5 per cent (128.5 kt CO_2-e)
- non-dairy (beef) cattle, which increased by 1.2 per cent (84.0 kt CO_2-e) as the population recovered from a decrease in 2019
- dairy cattle, which increased by 0.2 per cent (31.2 kt CO_2-e) due a slight increase in the population.

LULUCF (chapter 6)

The following information on LULUCF summarises reporting under the Convention. Reporting for Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 LULUCF activities under the Kyoto Protocol is covered in section ES.5 and chapter 2, section 2.3.

2020

In 2020, net emissions from the LULUCF sector were –23,313.3 kt CO_2-e or –29.6 per cent of New Zealand's gross greenhouse gas emissions. This comprises net removals of –23,666.2 kt of CO_2, and emissions of 81.7 kt CO_2-e of CH_4 and 271.3 kt CO_2-e of N_2O. The category contributing the most to both removals and emissions is *Forest land remaining forest land*. This is because large removals result from the growth of all forests on this land and there are also large emissions from the sustainable harvest of New Zealand's plantation forests.

1990–2020

Removals in 2020 have increased by 2,084.0 kt CO_2-e (9.8 per cent) from –21,229.2 kt CO_2-e in 1990 (see chapter 6, table 6.1.1 and figure 6.1.1). This is largely due to an increase in the production of harvested wood products, which have compensated for the emissions from the increase in forest harvesting.

The main influences on the fluctuations in removals from the LULUCF sector (see figure ES 4.4) are afforestation, harvesting and deforestation rates. Harvesting rates are driven by a number of factors, particularly forest age and log prices. Deforestation rates are driven largely by the relative profitability of forestry compared with alternative land uses. The increase in net emissions between 2004 and 2007 was mainly due to the increase in planted forest deforestation that occurred leading up to 2008, immediately before the introduction of the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS).[5] In 2019 and 2020, rates of afforestation significantly increased. This increase probably occurred because of policy initiatives that provided incentives for afforestation as well as higher carbon prices in the NZ ETS that resulted from the announcements leading up to the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Bill and its passing into law in June 2020.

5 The NZ ETS included the Forestry sector from 1 January 2008.

Figure ES 4.4 New Zealand's LULUCF sector net emissions from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

Net removals from the LULUCF sector increased between 2019 and 2020 by 278.3 kt CO_2-e (1.2 per cent) (see chapter 6, table 6.1.2).

The largest change occurred in the *Harvested wood products* category, with an increase in emissions of 2,203.3 kt CO_2-e. The reason for this change was that the production of harvested wood products fell due to disruptions in processing and logistics related to COVID-19. The *Grassland* category had the second largest change, with a decrease in emissions of 1,802.9 kt CO_2-e, driven by reduced deforestation of planted forest, resulting in fewer conversions from *Forest land* to *Grassland*.

Waste (chapter 7)

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Waste sector contributed 3,268.9 kt CO_2-e or 4.1 per cent of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions. The largest source category is *Solid waste disposal*, as shown in chapter 7, table 7.1.1 (emissions by source category).

1990–2020

In 2020, emissions from the Waste sector decreased by 17.1 per cent (674.2 kt CO_2-e), from 3,943.1 kt CO_2-e in 1990.

Annual emissions increased between 1990 and 2002, peaking at 4,468.9 kt CO_2-e in 2002, and have generally decreased since that time. Growth in population and economic activity since

1990 has resulted in increasing volumes of solid waste and wastewater for the whole of the time series. Ongoing improvements in the management of solid waste disposal at municipal landfills have meant total Waste sector emissions have been trending down since 2005, in spite of increasing volumes of solid waste and wastewater. The reduction in emissions is primarily the result of increased CH_4 recovery driven by the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality introduced in 2004 and also by the NZ ETS since 2013. The trends are shown in chapter 2, figure 2.2.11 and in chapter 7, figures 7.1.2 and 7.1.3.

2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the Waste sector decreased by 43.8 kt CO_2-e (1.3 per cent). This decrease is largely the result of decreases in CH_4 emissions in the *Solid waste disposal* category, due to changes in the composition of waste disposed to municipal landfills.

Other (Tokelau – chapter 8)

2020

In 2020, emissions from Tokelau contributed 4.18 kt CO_2-e or 0.005 per cent of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions (see table ES 4.1).

The largest source category is *Domestic navigation*, which contributed 2.08 kt CO_2-e (86.3 per cent of all energy emissions and 49.7 per cent of gross emissions from Tokelau).

1990–2020

In 1990, the total emissions from Tokelau were 3.17 kt CO_2-e. Between 1990 and 2020, total emissions increased by 31.9 per cent (1.01 kt CO_2-e) to 4.18 kt CO_2-e.

The emission categories that contributed the most to this change were *Domestic navigation* and *Electricity generation*.

The changes in *Domestic navigation* are a result of Tokelau gaining ownership and use of the ferry *Mataliki* in 2016, cargo vessel *Kalopaga* in 2018 and passenger vessel *Fetu o te Moana* in 2019. This led to an increasing number of sea voyages between the atolls, which in turn increased transport emissions. Emissions from Tokelau's IPPU sector have also increased mainly due to the introduction of air conditioning after 2006. Further changes in Tokelau's Energy sector emissions are a significant rise (by nearly 400 per cent) and then drop (by 82.5 per cent) in consumption of imported petroleum products used for electricity production in Tokelau. Emissions from Tokelau's Agriculture sector decreased slightly as a result of a reduced pig population.

The trends are best demonstrated in chapter 2, figure 2.2.12 and in chapter 8.

2019–2020

Total Tokelau emissions in 2020 were 0.12 kt CO_2-e (2.8 per cent) lower than emissions in 2019. The lower emissions are largely the result of decreases in CO_2 emissions in the *Domestic navigation* category, due to decreased shipping within Tokelau. This decrease is largely the result of lockdowns that occurred during the COVID-19 pandemic.

ES.5 Activities under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol (chapter 11)

Under the Kyoto Protocol, accounting for and therefore reporting on *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation* activities since 1990, and *Forest management* is mandatory during the second commitment period (CP2). This is a change from the first commitment period (CP1), when accounting for *Forest management* was voluntary for Annex I Parties. Reporting on the Kyoto Protocol, Article 3.4 activities *Cropland management*, *Grazing land management*, *Revegetation* and *Wetland drainage and rewetting* is voluntary for the 2013 to 2020 period. New Zealand has not elected to account for any of these voluntary categories and therefore does not report on any of them.

More information on how New Zealand accounts against its target is provided in section ES.2.

New Zealand reports on activities under Articles 3.3 and 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol by monitoring trends in land use. The Ministry for the Environment tracks forest land use and periodically produces land use maps. This information is supplemented by forestry statistics produced by the Ministry for Primary Industries. These data sources are used to detect the following trends in land use.

Afforestation and reforestation

The net area subject to *Afforestation and reforestation* activities between 1990 and 2020 was 775,385 hectares (see table ES 5.1(a)). This excludes the area subsequently converted to another land use, which is reported as a *Deforestation* activity. The provisional estimate[6] of *Afforestation and reforestation* for 2020 is 40,887 hectares.

Deforestation

The area deforested between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2020 was 214,077 hectares (see table ES 5.1(a)). The provisional estimate[7] of area subject to *Deforestation* in 2020 was 2,443 hectares. In 2020, net emissions from *Deforestation* were 1,320.5 kt CO_2-e, compared with 3,131.8 kt CO_2-e in 2019 (a 57.8 per cent decrease).

Forest management

The total area reported under *Forest management* at the end of 2020 was 9,198,965 hectares, equivalent to 34.2 per cent of New Zealand's total land area (see table ES 5.1(a)). This category includes all land that was forest at 1 January 1990 and has not been deforested since 1990. Net removals on this land in 2020 were 16,031.9 kt CO_2-e, including net removals of 7,118.4 kt CO_2-e from the *Harvested wood products* category.

---

6 The estimate for 2020 has been based on the Afforestation and Deforestation Intentions Survey 2020 (Manley, 2021) as mapped data are not available. The methods used to estimate *Afforestation and reforestation* activities are described further in annex 3, section A3.2.2.

7 The estimate for 2020 has been based on the Afforestation and Deforestation Intentions Survey 2020 (Manley, 2021) as mapped data are not available. The methods used to estimate *Deforestation* activities are described further in annex 3, section A3.2.2.

Table ES 5.1(a) New Zealand's land use areas for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management*

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Afforestation and reforestation								
Net cumulative area since 1990 (ha)	687,861	690,819	694,233	696,925	702,355	709,838	735,240	775,385
Area in calendar year (ha)	7,285	5,937	5,937	5,784	8,434	8,779	27,070	40,887
Deforestation								
Net cumulative area since 1990 (ha)	172,456	182,963	190,942	198,104	203,048	206,874	211,633	214,077
Area in calendar year (ha)	13,897	10,507	7,980	7,162	4,944	3,826	4,759	2,443
Forest management								
Net cumulative area since 1990 (ha)	9,220,386	9,212,857	9,207,401	9,203,943	9,203,689	9,202,953	9,200,443	9,198,965
Total								
Total area included (ha)	**10,080,703**	**10,086,639**	**10,092,576**	**10,098,972**	**10,109,092**	**10,119,666**	**10,147,316**	**10,188,427**

Note: All figures for 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020 are provisional. *Afforestation and reforestation* refers to new forest established since 31 December 1989, except for areas of carbon equivalent forest. This means the areas differ from those reported in chapter 6 because the carbon equivalent forest provision means some new forest is reported under *Forest management*. Columns may not total due to rounding.

Emissions and removals in 2020

The emissions and removals associated with the above land-use changes are:

- net removals of 14,764.7 kt CO_2-e for *Afforestation and reforestation*
- net emissions of 1,320.5 kt CO_2-e for *Deforestation*
- net removals of 16,031.9 kt CO_2-e for *Forest management*.

Emissions and removals from 2013 to 2020

Estimates of emissions and removals for activities under Articles 3.3 and 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol for the period 2013 to 2020 are included in the inventory because these are used to establish the accounting quantity. This is the value that New Zealand can use towards meeting its 2020 target (see table ES 5.1(b)). The specific rules that apply when accounting against a target are explained in chapter 11, section 11.3.4.

Table ES 5.1(b) New Zealand's net emissions and accounting quantity by year for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management*

Activity	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Afforestation and reforestation	–17,520.3	–17,913.5	–18,054.1	–18,004.8	–18,486.5	–17,741.1	–16,733.5	–14,764.7
Deforestation	8,936.6	6,657.5	4,965.37	4,442.4	2,845.7	2,319.2	3,131.8	1,320.5
Forest management	–24,180.7	–21,978.2	–20,019.5	–18,515.0	–15,503.6	–15,247.1	–15,220.7	–16,031.9
Net emissions (kt CO_2-e)	**–32,764.3**	**–33,234.2**	**–33,108.3**	**–32,077.4**	**–31,144.4**	**–30,669.0**	**–28,822.5**	**–29,476.1**

Note: All figures for 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020 are provisional. The accounting quantity includes net emissions from *Forest management* because these are accounted for this year against a forest management reference level and the cap applied; for further information, see chapter 11, section 11.3.4. Removals are expressed as a negative value as per section 2.2.3 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006). *Afforestation and reforestation* refers to new forest established since 31 December 1989; *Forest management* refers to forests that were established before 1 January 1990. Columns may not total due to rounding.

ES.6 Improvements introduced

The inventory follows a process of continuous improvement consistent with the IPCC principles. The IPCC 2006 Guidelines (IPCC, 2006) provide guidance on building and maintaining inventories that are consistent, comparable, complete, accurate and transparent in a manner that improves inventory quality over time. A range of improvements has been made to the inventory since the last submission. Improvements are made from year to year, to follow recommendations from international expert review teams, correct errors and implement additional changes planned by the agencies involved in preparing the inventory.

When improvements are made, it is good practice to recalculate the whole time series from 1990 to the current inventory year to ensure a consistent time series. This means estimates of emissions and/or removals in a given year may differ from emissions and/or removals reported in the previous submission.

The main improvements by sector are outlined below. Chapter 10 provides information on all recalculations made to the estimates.

Energy (chapter 3)

A number of improvements have been introduced for the 2022 submission. Some were made in response to expert review team recommendations and, for instance, involve the use of more disaggregated data or reallocation of emissions. Details on these improvements are presented in chapter 10. Other improvements in accuracy have resulted from the standard revision of activity data. Energy activity data for the years 1990 to 2019 have been updated according to the latest energy statistics published by the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE, 2021).

Projects to implement further improvements for the reference approach and sectoral approach are ongoing. The system for tracking and calculating emissions within the Energy sector was previously migrated to the R programming language to improve data management. A further project to streamline and simplify the greenhouse gas reporting data system was completed in 2021. This project identified a number of minor inconsistencies and bugs that were addressed. Several upstream data systems, including the energy balance tables, have also been translated into the R programming language, to improve data management. Furthermore, work is progressing well on the construction of a new, comprehensive system for fuel properties data management. It is expected to be commissioned before New Zealand's next submission. All source-specific planned improvements are discussed in their corresponding sections in chapter 3.

Changes to activity data in the Energy sector have resulted in a 0.6 per cent (133.2 kt CO_2-e) increase in estimated energy emissions in 1990 and a 0.7 per cent (241.4 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated energy emissions in 2019.

IPPU (chapter 4)

For this submission, amounts of refrigerant added to equipment in the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category were re-estimated to better account for changes in stocks held by importers and users.

Chapter 4, section 4.1.7 contains more details about improvements and recalculations for the IPPU sector.

Improvements and recalculations made in the IPPU sector have resulted in no change for 1990 and a 5.0 per cent decrease in estimated emissions for 2019 (254.9 kt CO_2-e).

Agriculture (chapter 5)

New Zealand has made the following improvements and corrections to the Agriculture sector.

- Updated $Frac_{LEACH}$ values for cropland were adopted for the entire time series (from 1990 to 2020). Further detail on this improvement can be found in chapter 5, section 5.5.5, chapter 10, section 10.1.3 and annex 3.
- The assumption regarding the purity of agricultural lime applied to soils was updated.

Further details on these improvements can be found in chapter 5, section 5.8.6, chapter 10, section 10.1.3 and annex 3.

Improvements and recalculations made to the Agriculture sector in the 2022 submission have only had a small impact on emissions. They resulted in a 0.18 percent (62.3 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated agricultural emissions in 1990 and a 0.22 percent (85.4 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated agricultural emissions in 2020.

LULUCF (chapter 6)

The main differences between this submission and estimates of New Zealand's LULUCF net removals reported in the previous submission result from the following (in decreasing order of magnitude).

- The methods used to estimate the planted forest average harvest age, harvest age profile (harvest area by age) and forest age profile have been updated. These changes have been made to improve the accuracy of the ages at which we report pre-1990 or post-1989 planted forest being harvested or deforested. These updates result in an increase in estimated emissions of approximately 1,700 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and 100 kt CO_2-e in 2019.
- The yield tables used for pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest have been updated to provide more accurate and up-to-date estimates of carbon stock change. All planted forest yield tables have been updated to include plots measured in the 2020 national forest inventory. The period-specific yield tables used for pre-1990 planted forest have also been updated to reflect different planting periods. Changes to the planted forest yield tables have resulted in a decrease in emissions of approximately 115 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and an increase in emissions of approximately 1,460 kt CO_2-e in 2019.
- The way in which the pre-1990 natural forest is classified has been updated to ensure consistency between the classification of tall and regenerating forest and assigning emission factors to forest area based on forest type. Additionally, the assumption that tall forests were in steady state has been revised so that a carbon stock change per hectare estimate is now also included for the tall forest component. Collectively, these changes have resulted in an increase in annual emissions estimates of approximately 1,300 kt CO_2-e across the time series.

Chapter 6, section 6.1.4 and its land use category-specific sections contain more details about improvements and recalculations for the LULUCF sector.

Improvements made to the LULUCF sector have resulted in a 11.6 per cent (2,785.2 kt CO_2 e) decrease in net LULUCF removal estimates in 1990 and a 16.0 per cent (4,390.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in net LULUCF removal estimates in 2019.

Waste (chapter 7)

Improvements and recalculations made to estimates in the Waste sector have resulted in a 0.5 per cent (18.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated emissions in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (4.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated emissions in 2019.

Minor changes have been made for three categories in the 2022 submission. These include:

- updates to activity data for *Managed waste disposal sites*
- updates to activity data for non-municipal landfills, part of the *Unmanaged waste disposal sites* category
- a correction to total carbon content in paper/card in the *Open burning of waste* category.

Further details can be found under methodological issues for each source category in chapter 7 and also in chapter 10.

Other (Tokelau – chapter 8)

One minor recalculation has been made in the Tokelau emission estimates since the 2021 submission. The recalculation made for Tokelau has resulted in no change in emissions in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (0.0023 kt CO_2-e) increase in emissions in 2019.

KP-LULUCF (chapter 11)

Improvements have been introduced to the LULUCF sector for the 2022 submission, resulting in recalculations for all three Kyoto Protocol (KP) activities. These improvements are listed in chapter 1 and chapter 11 (KP-LULUCF).

The LULUCF improvements that impact the KP-LULUCF sector include:

- updated methods to estimate the planted forest average harvest age, harvest age profile (harvest area by age) and forest age profile
- revised pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest yield tables
- alignment of the method to classify tall and regenerating natural forest area with the stock change methods
- revision of the assumption that tall natural forests were in steady state
- inclusion of emissions from the drainage of managed soils on land classified under *Forest management.*

Improvements to national inventory system

No changes have been made in the legal or institutional arrangements in the national inventory system since the 2021 submission.

ES.7 National registry (chapters 12 and 14)

The national registry (the New Zealand Emissions Trading Register or the Register) is New Zealand's online facility to manage the accounting, reporting and reconciliation of emissions, unit holdings and transactions as part of the NZ ETS. The Environmental Protection Authority is designated as the agency responsible for implementing and operating New Zealand's national registry under the Kyoto Protocol. The Register is electronic and accessible via the internet (www.emissionsregister.govt.nz).

In January 2008, New Zealand's national registry was issued with New Zealand's assigned amount of 309,564,733 metric tonnes CO_2-e for CP1 (covering the five-year period 2008 to 2012).

At the beginning of the calendar year 2021, New Zealand's national registry held 308,343,858 CP1 assigned amount units (AAUs), 110,744,560 CP1 emission reduction units (ERUs), 21,685,909 CP1 certified emission reduction units (CERs) and 100,845,399 CP1 removal units (RMUs). The number and mix of units held at the end of 2021 were the same as at the beginning of 2021, because no international transactions occurred during this period and this value includes the units retired to meet CP1 obligations. No CP2 units were held by New Zealand in 2021.

At the end of 2021, the units held in New Zealand's national registry remained at 308,343,858 AAUs, 110,744,560 ERUs, 21,685,909 CERs and 100,845,399 RMUs.

New Zealand's national registry did not hold any temporary CERs or long-term CERs during 2021.

For further information, refer to chapters 12 and 14, as well as the standard electronic format tables on New Zealand Emissions Trading Register holdings and transactions that were submitted to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change along with this submission.

Executive summary: References

IPCC. 2006. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use.* IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

Manley B. 2021. *Afforestation and Deforestation Intentions Survey 2020*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Ministry for the Environment. 2016. *New Zealand's Initial Report to Facilitate the Calculation of its Emissions Budget for the Period 2013 to 2020*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

MBIE. 2021. *Energy in New Zealand*. Wellington: Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. Retrieved from www.mbie.govt.nz/building-and-energy/energy-and-natural-resources/energy-statistics-and-modelling/energy-publications-and-technical-papers/energy-in-new-zealand/ (September 2021).

UNFCCC. 2013. FCCC/CP/2013/10/Add.3. *Report of the Conference of the Parties on its nineteenth session, held in Warsaw from 11 to 23 November 2013: Addendum; Decision 24/CP.19 Revision of the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories for Parties included in Annex I to the Convention*.

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Background

Greenhouse gases (GHGs) in the Earth's atmosphere trap warmth from the sun and make life as we know it possible. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Sixth Assessment Report (IPCC, 2021) confirms that the evidence showing humans have an influence on the climate system is unequivocal. Human-induced climate change is evident in extreme weather events all around the world. Many of these events, including heatwaves, severe rainfall and droughts, have become more frequent and extreme as a result of climate change and will continue to intensify.

Some of the changes to the climate system, including sea-level rise and loss of glaciers, are irreversible over centuries to millennia. The rate and magnitude of these committed changes, however, still depend on future greenhouse gas emissions. While the IPCC (2021) revised its estimate upwards of how much warming has occurred already, scenarios show that we can still limit warming to 1.5°C. To do that, the world must achieve net zero carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions by around 2050 along with deep reductions in other greenhouse gases.

1.1.1 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

The IPCC assesses the science of climate change. In 1990, it concluded that human-induced climate change was a threat to our future. In response, the United Nations General Assembly convened a series of meetings that culminated in the adoption of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC or the Convention) at the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro in May 1992.

The Convention has been signed and ratified by 197 nations, including New Zealand, and took effect on 21 March 1994.

The main objective of the Convention (UNFCCC, 1992, Article 2) is to achieve:

> … stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system. Such a level should be achieved within a time-frame sufficient to allow ecosystems to adapt naturally to climate change, to ensure that food production is not threatened and to enable economic development to proceed in a sustainable manner.

All countries that ratify the Convention (the Parties) are required to take action to address climate change, including by monitoring trends in anthropogenic GHG emissions. Producing the annual inventory of GHG emissions and removals fulfils this obligation. Parties are also obliged to protect and enhance carbon sinks and reservoirs, for example, forests, and implement measures that assist in national and/or regional climate change adaptation and mitigation. In addition, Parties listed in Annex II[8] to the Convention commit to providing

[8] Annex II to the Convention (a subset of Annex I) lists the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) member countries at the time the Convention was agreed.

technology transfer, capacity building and financial assistance to non-Annex I[9] Parties (developing country Parties).

Annex I Parties also agreed to aim to return GHG emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2000. Only a few Annex I Parties made appreciable progress towards achieving this aim. The international community recognised that the existing commitments in the Convention were not enough to ensure GHGs would be stabilised at a safe level. In response, in 1995, Parties launched a new round of talks to provide stronger and more detailed commitments for Annex I Parties. After two-and-a-half years of negotiations, the Kyoto Protocol was adopted in Kyoto, Japan on 11 December 1997. New Zealand ratified the Kyoto Protocol on 19 December 2002. The Protocol came into force on 16 February 2005.

To accelerate and intensify the actions and investments needed for a sustainable low-carbon future, Parties to the Convention reached a landmark agreement in Paris, France on 12 December 2015; the Paris Agreement. New Zealand ratified the Paris Agreement on 4 October 2016. The extension (as of 13 November 2017) of New Zealand's ratification of the Convention and the Paris Agreement to include Tokelau means, among other things, that New Zealand's national inventory shall include GHG emissions and removals estimates from Tokelau. Since the 2019 submission, the inventory has included gross emissions from Tokelau.

1.1.2 Kyoto Protocol

The Kyoto Protocol shares and expands on the Convention's objectives, principles and institutions. Only Parties to the Convention that have also become Parties to the Protocol (by ratifying, accepting, approving or acceding to it) are bound by the Protocol's commitments, noting that GHG targets in the Kyoto Protocol only apply to Annex I Parties. The original objective of the Kyoto Protocol was to reduce the aggregate emissions of six GHGs from Annex I Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, together with targets for the first commitment period (CP1), by at least 5 per cent below 1990 levels in the CP1 (2008 to 2012). New Zealand's target in CP1 was to return emissions to 1990 levels[10] on average over the commitment period or otherwise take responsibility for the excess.

The eighth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (Doha, Qatar, November to December 2012) agreed to amendments to the Kyoto Protocol for the period 2013 to 2020, including new targets in an amended Annex B.[11]

For the period 2013 to 2020, New Zealand's target was to reduce emissions to 5 per cent below 1990 levels by 2020. New Zealand has taken this target under the Convention and does not have a commitment listed in the amended Annex B of the Kyoto Protocol. However,

---

[9] Annex I to the Convention lists the countries included in Annex II, as defined above, together with countries defined at the time as undergoing the process of transition to a market economy, commonly known as 'economies in transition'.

[10] New Zealand's target under the Kyoto Protocol was a responsibility target. A responsibility target means that New Zealand can meet its target through a mixture of domestic emission reductions, the storage of carbon in forests and the purchase of emission reductions in other countries through the emissions trading mechanisms established under the Kyoto Protocol. The target was set against total gross emissions from the following sectors: Energy, Industrial Processes, Solvent and Other Product Use (from 2015, Industrial Processes and Solvent and Other Product Use are reported jointly under the Industrial Processes and Product Use sector), Agriculture and Waste.

[11] The Doha amendment entered into force on 28 October 2020, just before the end of the Kyoto Protocol's second (and final) commitment period.

New Zealand remains a Party to the Kyoto Protocol and applies the Kyoto Protocol framework of rules to meeting its target for the 2013 to 2020 period.

When reporting emissions and removals from the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector, New Zealand uses the land-based approach, set out in the *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* (IPCC, 2006a). For the period 2013 to 2020, New Zealand has also completed activity-based reporting under Article 3.3 of the Kyoto Protocol for the categories *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation*, and under Article 3.4 for *Forest management*.

1.1.3 The inventory

The Convention covers emissions and removals of all anthropogenic GHGs not controlled by the Montreal Protocol. *New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory* (the inventory) is the official annual report of these emissions and removals in New Zealand.

The methodologies, content and format of the inventory are described in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b) and reporting guidelines agreed by the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP). The most recent reporting guidelines for inventory reporting under the Convention are FCCC/CP/2013/10/Add.3 (UNFCCC, 2013a). As per the Convention reporting guidelines, New Zealand follows the 2006 IPCC Guidelines in preparing the inventory. New Zealand applies the 100-year global warming potential values from the IPCC Fourth Assessment Report (UNFCCC, 2013a).

A complete inventory submission contains two main components: the national inventory report (NIR) and the common reporting format (CRF) tables. In addition, the inventory submission includes standard electronic format (SEF) tables that show holdings and transactions of units transferred and acquired under the Kyoto Protocol. Inventories are subject to a technical review process administered by the UNFCCC secretariat. The results of these reviews are available on the Convention website (www.unfccc.int).

The inventory reports on emissions and removals of the gases CO_2, methane (CH_4), nitrous oxide (N_2O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulphur hexafluoride (SF_6) and nitrogen trifluoride (NF_3).[12] The indirect GHGs,[13] carbon monoxide (CO), sulphur dioxide (SO_2), oxides of nitrogen (NO_X) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs), are also included. Only emissions and removals of the direct GHGs (CO_2, CH_4, N_2O, SF_6, PFCs, HFCs and NF_3) are reported in total gross and net emissions under the Convention and are accounted for under the Kyoto Protocol. The gases are reported under five sectors and gross emissions include those from Energy, Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU), Agriculture and Waste, but do not include emissions and removals from the LULUCF sector (UNFCCC, 2013a).

[12] Because NF_3 emissions do not occur in New Zealand, they are not included in this report.

[13] Indirect greenhouse gases are the gases that have indirect radiative effects in the atmosphere. This may happen either through conversion of an indirect gas to a direct greenhouse gas in the atmosphere (for example, where carbon monoxide is converted to carbon dioxide) or when chemical reactions in the atmosphere involving these gases change the concentrations of direct greenhouse gases.

Since the 2019 submission, GHG emissions from Tokelau, New Zealand's overseas dependent territory,[14] have been included in the inventory.

Reporting on afforestation, reforestation and deforestation activities since 1990 (under Article 3.3 of the Kyoto Protocol) and forest management activities (under Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol) is mandatory during the 2013 to 2020 period of the Kyoto Protocol. The definitions of afforestation, reforestation, deforestation and forest management activities are consistent with Decision 16/CMP.1 (UNFCCC, 2005a).

1.1.4 Supplementary information required

Following guidelines adopted by the CMP for reporting under Article 7.1 of the Kyoto Protocol, New Zealand includes supplementary information in its annual inventory submission.

The supplementary information covers:

- information on emissions and removals for each activity under Article 3.3, and forest management activities under Article 3.4 (chapter 11)
- holdings and transactions of units transferred and acquired under Kyoto Protocol mechanisms (chapter 12)
- significant changes to the national system for estimating emissions and removals (chapter 13) and to the Kyoto Protocol unit registry (chapter 14)
- information related to the implementation of Article 3.14 on the minimisation of adverse impacts on developing country Parties (chapter 15).

1.2 Description of the national inventory arrangements

1.2.1 Institutional, legal and procedural arrangements

In 2002 New Zealand enacted the Climate Change Response Act 2002 (the Act).[15] This enabled New Zealand to meet its international obligations under the Convention and Kyoto Protocol. A Prime Ministerial directive for the administration of the Act named the Ministry for the Environment (MfE) as New Zealand's 'inventory agency'. Part 3, section 32, of the Act specifies the following functions and requirements.

1. The primary functions of New Zealand's inventory agency are to:
    - estimate annually New Zealand's human-induced emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases
    - prepare all of the following reports for the purpose of discharging New Zealand's obligations:

[14] The United Nations Charter (United Nations, 1945) defines a non-self-governing territory as a territory "whose people have not yet attained a full measure of self-government". Tokelau has been on the United Nations list of non-self-governing territories since 1946, following New Zealand's declaration of its intention to transmit information on the Tokelau Islands under Article 73e of the United Nations Charter.

[15] The Climate Change Response Act has been amended several times since 2002. The Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Act 2020, among other things, added reporting under the Paris Agreement to the functions of the inventory agency.

   i. New Zealand's annual inventory report under Articles 4 and 12 of the Convention and Article 7.1 of the Protocol, including (but not limited to) the quantities of long-term certified emission reduction units and temporary certified emission reduction units that have expired or have been replaced, retired or cancelled
   ii. report of information by New Zealand under Article 13 of the Paris Agreement[16]
   iii. New Zealand's national communication (or periodic report) under Article 7.2 of the Kyoto Protocol and Article 12 of the Convention.

2. In carrying out its functions, the inventory agency must:
   - identify source categories
   - collect data by means of:
     i. voluntary collection
     ii. collection from government agencies and other agencies that hold relevant information
     iii. collection in accordance with regulations made under this Part (if any)
   - estimate the emissions and removals by sinks for each source category
   - undertake assessments on uncertainties
   - undertake procedures to verify the data
   - retain information and documents to show how the estimates were determined.

Compliance provisions in section 36 of the Act authorise inspectors to collect information needed to estimate emissions or removals of GHGs.

On 13 November 2017, New Zealand also extended its ratification of the Convention and the Paris Agreement to include Tokelau. This means, among other things, that New Zealand's national GHG inventory now includes emissions estimates from Tokelau. For further details, see chapter 8, section 8.1.3.

1.2.2 Inventory planning, preparation and management

Article 5.1 of the Kyoto Protocol requires New Zealand to have a national system for its inventory. New Zealand provided a full description of the national system in its initial report under the Kyoto Protocol (Ministry for the Environment, 2006). Changes to the national inventory system are documented in section 1.2.4 and chapter 13.

New Zealand has developed national inventory system guidelines that document the tasks required to officially submit the inventory. These guidelines cover multiple aspects of the production of the inventory: inventory management, inventory planning and preparation, quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) processes, communication and error management. This is a living document that is updated as required.

[16] Inserted, on 23 June 2020, by section 56(1) of the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Act 2020 (2020 No 22).

Inventory management

New Zealand applies a hybrid (centralised/distributed) approach to the production of the inventory. MfE, as New Zealand's inventory agency, manages and coordinates the inventory production, compiles and publishes the inventory, and submits it to the UNFCCC secretariat, in a centralised manner. The National Inventory Compiler is based at MfE. A number of designated government departments carry out sector-specific work, which includes obtaining and processing activity data, estimating emissions, preparing sectoral CRF or SEF tables and writing sectoral inventory chapters. Arrangements with these government departments have evolved as resources and capacity have allowed and as understanding of the reporting requirements has increased.

Inventory governance within each sector, as well as sector-level quality control, is managed by the department responsible for the sector. The Reporting Governance Group (RGG) provides cross-agency governance over the climate change reporting, modelling and projections of GHG emissions and removals. The RGG is chaired by the manager of the inventory compilation team (within MfE). Its membership includes representation from the Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI), the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) and the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA), as well as observers (Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade (MFAT), Government of Tokelau and Stats NZ). The main roles and expectations of the RGG include:

- guiding, conferring and approving (on the basis of advice from technical experts) major inventory recalculations and improvements, GHG emissions projections and their assumptions, analytical systems and tools for climate change reporting, planning and priorities, key messages, and management of stakeholders and risks
- focusing on the delivery of reporting commitments to meet national and international requirements
- providing reporting leadership and guidance to analysts and technical specialists involved in this work
- sharing information, providing feedback and resolving any differences among departments that impact on the delivery of the work programme
- monitoring and reporting to a Climate Change Directors Group (a cross-agency group that oversees New Zealand's international and domestic climate change policy) on the 'big picture' of the reporting work programme, direction, progress in delivery and capability to deliver.

Apart from its overall inventory coordination role, MfE compiles estimates for:

- emissions for the IPPU sector (non-CO_2 gases through industry surveys and CO_2 data provided by MBIE and the EPA)
- emissions for the Waste sector
- emissions and removals for the LULUCF sector
- emissions for Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 activities under the Kyoto Protocol.

MfE conducts field measurement programmes within the LULUCF sector. It undertakes land use mapping from satellite imagery to report on emissions and removals for the LULUCF sector and Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 activities under the Kyoto Protocol. This is supplemented with data from forestry grant schemes, harvested wood products production and non-CO_2 emissions that are collected through surveys of the sector.

MfE coordinates the preparation of Tokelau's inventory data and information with the Tokelau Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience (MiCORE; formerly known as the Climate Change Agency).

MBIE estimates all emissions from the Energy sector and CO_2 emissions from the IPPU sector, based in part on Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) returns.

MPI estimates emissions from the Agriculture sector. The estimates are underpinned by research and modelling undertaken at New Zealand's Crown research institutes, universities and private research companies, and survey data collected by the national statistics agency Stats NZ and key sector organisations.

MFAT provides information on the minimisation of adverse impacts under Article 13.4 of the Kyoto Protocol, as reported in chapter 15.

MiCORE and the Tokelau National Statistics Office coordinate efforts in activity data collection and data processing to estimate emissions from Tokelau for all inventory sectors.

The Climate Change Response Act 2002 establishes the requirement for a registry and a registrar. The EPA is the designated agency responsible for implementing and operating New Zealand's national registry under the Kyoto Protocol, the New Zealand Emissions Trading Register. The registry is electronic and accessible via the internet (www.emissionsregister.govt.nz). Information on the annual holdings and transactions of transferred and acquired units under the Kyoto Protocol is provided in the SEF tables accompanying this submission. Refer to chapters 12 and 14 for further information.

The above arrangements are presented in figure 1.2.1, which shows the specific responsibilities of different agencies involved in the inventory production as well as their contribution to the submission.

Figure 1.2.1 New Zealand's inventory system at a glance: how different agencies are involved



Note: EPA = Environmental Protection Authority; ETS = Emissions Trading Scheme; IPPU = Industrial Processes and Product Use; KP-LULUCF = Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry activities under the Kyoto Protocol; LULUCF = Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry; MBIE = Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment; MFAT = Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade; MfE = Ministry for the Environment; MiCORE = Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience (Tokelau); MPI = Ministry for Primary Industries; QC = quality control.

Inventory planning and preparation

Figure 1.2.2 summarises the inventory planning and preparation process.

Figure 1.2.2 Summary of New Zealand's inventory planning and preparation



Note: CRF = common reporting format; NIR = National Inventory Report; PR = peer review; QC = quality control.

Inventory planning

Inventory planning is a two-phase process. The first phase, intrinsic sectoral planning, involves planning for the inventory compilation at the sector level. This includes planning for technical projects, actions and procedures that are specific to each sector. The second phase, national compilation and publication planning, involves planning for the cross-sectoral compilation.

Once the intrinsic sectoral planning is complete, the plans are coordinated between the agencies and adjustments made as necessary. This usually happens through a lessons learned workshop and a production planning workshop. The lessons learned workshop is dedicated to analysing what worked well and what did not in the previous inventory cycle. During the production planning workshop, the following are discussed and agreed:

- inventory deliverables
- QC deliverables
- schedule of major milestones
- changes to chapter structure
- approach for solving problems during inventory preparation.

The second phase of the inventory planning, the national compilation and publication planning, is comprised of two workshops. The first workshop, scheduled towards the end of each calendar year, is dedicated to cross-sectoral compilation and publication planning. Participants include MfE's publication and public liaison teams as well as the inventory production team. They discuss different aspects of the compilation process and agree on a detailed plan for the cross-sectoral compilation and publication. Timelines discussed and agreed cover:

- national compilation
- publication
- public relations.

Lessons learned are also considered in developing the plan.

The second workshop in the second phase of the inventory planning is dedicated to key messages for the inventory, which is an integral part of the cross-sectoral compilation. The workshop's output is the set of key inventory messages agreed among the sector leads, National Inventory Compiler and primary peer reviewers. The key messages are used for both the NIR and the inventory summary on MfE's website, which presents a brief description of the inventory findings.

The inventory planning process for Tokelau is governed by a Memorandum of Understanding between New Zealand and Tokelau. For further information, see chapter 8.

Inventory preparation

The inventory preparation cycle has three phases: data collection and preliminary processing; final data processing and chapter preparation; and the national inventory compilation.

The first phase, data collection and preliminary processing (June to October), includes data cleansing, data checks and preliminary formatting of data for further use. This phase may also include analysing potential improvements and related recalculations involved in the inventory.

The second phase of the inventory preparation (October to January) includes final data processing and drafting of sector chapters. During this phase, emissions estimates are finalised, final data quality control and verification are performed, data are loaded into the CRF Reporter and sector chapters are updated, reviewed and approved.

The final phase of the inventory preparation (February to April) includes cross-sector analyses, national inventory compilation and publication, and the production of supplementary material for New Zealand's Minister of Climate Change and the general public. Since 2020 the inventory compilation team has been developing and implementing new automated processes for the compilation of some of its cross-sector chapters.

Tokelau follows the same inventory preparation cycle. The inventory data from Tokelau are prepared in November and undergo the same processes as the rest of the inventory.

During the inventory planning and preparation cycles, the National Inventory Compiler and inventory project manager have regular meetings with sector leads and experts to ensure that all issues are addressed and the production proceeds as planned. The inventory QC manager also has regular meetings with sector leads to monitor QC processes and procedures that are in place to ensure the quality of the final product meets the Convention standards and the QC deliverables are produced according to the agreed plan. Both the National Inventory Compiler and the QC manager provide technical support and advice to the sector leads when required.

1.2.3 Quality assurance and quality control and verification plan

Quality assurance and quality control are integral parts of preparing New Zealand's inventory. MfE's QA/QC plan, following reporting guidelines under the Convention (UNFCCC, 2006, 2013a), formalises the documentation and archiving of the QA/QC procedures. This plan has been updated over time as the QC tools have been developed and, where possible, automated. Details of the QA/QC activities performed during the compilation of the 2022 submission are discussed in the relevant sections below. Examples of QC checks are provided in the Excel spreadsheets accompanying this submission.

Quality control

The focus of New Zealand's QC plan is to meet the transparency, accuracy, completeness, consistency and comparability (TACCC) principles while ensuring efficient use of resources, and to mitigate QC-related risks in the inventory planning and preparation process.

The main elements of the QA/QC plan include:

- revising the QC deliverables to ensure they are fit for purpose, well supported with relevant templates and adapted to the changes in the inventory software tools
- reinforcing the error-checking process by providing dedicated personnel and support to the sector leads
- applying automated inventory tools, where available, to minimise the number of errors during data transfers
- adjusting QC tools to accommodate any changes in the CRF Reporter software that have been introduced since the previous submission
- performing CRF data integrity checks and adhering to the reporting guidelines once data compilation in each sector is complete
- ensuring the chapters in the inventory and their structure demonstrate transparency of the methods and incorporate suggestions from previous inventory reviews.

Completion of the IPCC 2006 Tier 1 QC check sheets for each sector is the responsibility of the sector leads (see table 1.2.1 for a list of the responsible agencies). The Tier 1 checks are in line with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b). Wherever possible, manual checking has been replaced by, or supplemented with, automated checks.

The sectoral contributions to the inventory, that is, sector chapters and data preparation, and Tier 1 QC checks were signed off by the responsible agency before final approval of the inventory by the national inventory agency (MfE) and submission to the UNFCCC secretariat.

MfE used the QC-checking procedures included in the CRF Reporter to ensure the data submitted to the UNFCCC secretariat are complete. In addition, data in the CRF tables were checked for anomalies, errors and omissions.

After the CRF data were compiled in each inventory sector, MfE personnel reviewed the CRF data for each sector and category for data integrity and time-series consistency before sector finalisation. The purpose of this review is to ensure that the CRF Reporter does not contain blank entries in the reported categories, and that all instances of using the 'IE' (included elsewhere) and 'NE' (not estimated) notation keys for GHG emissions, as well as large variations in the implied emission factors, have been explained. The results of these checks were provided to the sector leads so they could make any corrections and include the relevant references and explanations, if required, in order to finalise the CRF data for each inventory sector.

Annex 6 contains details of the QA/QC processes applied during the preparation of the inventory.

Table 1.2.1 Agency responsible for each sector

Responsibility	Responsible New Zealand agency
Energy sector	Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment
IPPU sector	Ministry for the Environment
LULUCF sector and KP-LULUCF	Ministry for the Environment
Waste sector	Ministry for the Environment
Tokelau	Ministry for the Environment, Tokelau Government
Agriculture sector	Ministry for Primary Industries
Registry	Environmental Protection Authority
Minimisation of adverse impacts	Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade
National inventory agency	Ministry for the Environment

The Energy and Agriculture activity data provided by Stats NZ are official national statistics (Tier 1). As such, they are subject to their own rigorous QA/QC procedures.

Human population and animal production statistics provided by Stats NZ were also used for estimating emissions from the Waste sector.

Tokelau's activity data undergo QC processes at the Tokelau National Statistics Office. Tokelau's inventory estimates in the CRF undergo QA/QC processes that are similar to those of other inventory sectors.

Quality assurance

New Zealand's QA system includes prioritisation of improvements, processes around accepting improvements into the inventory, in-depth review of sector inventories or their components every 5 to 10 years, and improving the expertise of key contributors to the inventory. The government audit agency (Audit New Zealand) makes annual audits of the inventory performance. New Zealand also considers the international inventory reviews performed by the expert review teams under the Convention as an important element of QA. The main aspects of QA are explained in detail below.

All sector leads are encouraged to schedule QA audits of their systems at least every five years.

The Energy sector lead discussed sectoral issues with the Danish inventory team during bilateral meetings in 2017 dedicated to different aspects of the Energy sectoral inventory. Specific issues covered were: data sources, data collection and verification processes; using the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS) data for higher-tier methods in the Energy sector; applying higher-tier methods for road transport; disaggregation of non-road liquid fuel use; and fugitive emissions from fuels. In 2019, an external consultant was contracted to review and develop a QA plan for the Energy sector. Most recommendations from that review have already been implemented, and work continues on addressing the remaining issues.

The Agriculture sector completed a major QA review of its calculation models with an external party in 2013. Since then, other QA activities for Agriculture have included a bilateral review with Australia in 2014, and an external review of equations used to determine metabolisable energy requirements in 2016. For more information, see chapter 5, section 5.1.6.

Prioritisation of improvements

Priorities for the development of the inventory are guided by:

- the results of key category analyses (level and trend)
- the degree of improvement to be achieved for existing emission and removal estimates
- the availability of resources required to implement the change
- recommendations from previous international reviews of the inventory.

Uncertainties are also considered in prioritising improvements. For example, if a change in a methodological approach may lead to a significant increase in uncertainty of the estimates, then the proposed change may be rejected on the basis of an undesired increase in uncertainty. Otherwise, if the proposed improvement is not expected to affect the uncertainty significantly or will reduce uncertainty, then the change is likely to be accepted. Sectors are encouraged to develop annual inventory improvement and QA and QC plans to reflect current and future development of the inventory.

Acceptance of improvements and recalculations

All proposed improvements in the inventory undergo peer review by an independent expert or a group of experts. The change will be included in the inventory only if the peer reviewer concludes that the change is consistent with 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b) for the preparation and continuous improvement of national greenhouse gas inventories.

Given the significance of the Agriculture sector to New Zealand's emissions, the Government established the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel, an independent group of experts, to assess whether proposed improvements and recalculations in the sector are scientifically robust enough to include in the inventory. Reports and/or papers on proposed changes must be peer reviewed before they are presented to the panel, which then advises MPI of its recommendations. Refer to chapter 5, section 5.1.5 for further details.

All recalculations for and improvements to the inventory require the approval of the RGG. The recalculations need to be sufficiently explained in terms of improving one or more of the TACCC principles. If, due to the recalculations, emissions from the recalculated category are higher than 500 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e), the results of and reasons for the recalculations are recorded in the Recalculation form. The recalculations and explanations are documented and archived for future reference.

Verification activities

Where relevant, further verification activities carried out for a sector are discussed in the sector-specific chapters of this report. Section 1.9.2 provides information about the verification method that has become available for the inventory by using data from the NZ ETS.

In the Energy sector, the reference approach is used to verify the emissions estimates for CO_2 obtained from the sectoral approach.

Treatment of confidentiality issues

When specific emissions and activity data in the inventory can result in identifying individuals and/or individual businesses and, therefore, affect their wellbeing, commercial interest in trade and/or negotiations, those data are considered to be confidential.

New Zealand's Tier 1 Statistics Protocol (Stats NZ, 2007) is a part of its national circumstances. The relevant definition of confidentiality (protocol 4) is as follows.

- Confidentiality refers to the protection of individuals' and organisations' information, and ensuring that the information is not made available or disclosed to unauthorised individuals or entities.
- The protection of respondents' information is a cornerstone of maintaining the integrity of the Official Statistics System.

Confidential data are aggregated so as to draw out the information that is important to the user, without disclosing confidential data (IPCC, 2006b). For New Zealand, confidentiality issues largely apply to sources of emissions in the Energy and IPPU sectors, where an entire industry or source category is often represented by just one or two companies. Therefore, a practice of presenting information as an 'industry average' is often not applicable in New Zealand because this would breach business confidentiality. Confidential information is held by the agencies preparing the inventory sector estimates (MPI, MBIE, EPA and MfE), and each agency has security procedures (e.g., password-restricted access to files on computers) to keep the data confidential.

To protect the confidentiality of businesses that contribute data to the inventory (as appropriate), two approaches are used.

- Where emissions can be reported without compromising confidentiality, the corresponding activity data are not reported and are marked as confidential in the CRF tables.
- Where reporting emissions data would risk breaching confidentiality, the emissions data are aggregated with other emissions from a different source category. The notation key 'IE' is used.

In the IPPU sector, activity data for the categories *Iron and steel production*, *Cement production* and *Glass production* are marked as confidential. Emissions for *Glass production* are reported under the *Other uses of carbonates* category.

1.2.4 Changes in national inventory arrangements since the previous annual greenhouse gas inventory submission

No changes have been made in the legal or institutional arrangements in the national inventory system since the last (2021) submission.

1.3 Inventory preparation: data collection, processing and storage

Inventory planning and preparation are described in section 1.2.2

The National Inventory Compiler coordinates the calculation of level and trend uncertainties, along with key category assessment, and finalises the inventory. The inventory is then approved for publication by the New Zealand Secretary for the Environment before submission to the UNFCCC secretariat.

The inventory and all required data for the submission are stored at MfE in a restricted file system. The inventory is published on the MfE and Convention websites.

Data archiving, security and recovery

To provide data security and file recovery for the inventory in the event of a disaster, a distributive strategy for storage is in place. This includes storing inventory files using different types of storage devices (local and networked storage devices) in different geographical locations. The changes to all files are backed up on a daily basis, and the entire system is backed up on a weekly basis.

New Zealand's inventory archiving system reflects the distributed system, as follows.

- All files for the inventory are stored in MfE's secure file management system and backed up on several different devices held in different locations. This covers all data files and supplementary materials as part of the submission for the inventory, CRF tables, database back-up files from the CRF Reporter, sectoral chapters, the compiled inventory, confirmations of sign-off, communication between New Zealand's inventory team and the expert review team, national inventory system, process maps, project planning and documentation, and other related documents for the inventory.
- Each sectoral agency keeps its data in secure file systems, including communication with contractors, activity data, emission factors, preliminary calculations and specific software applications containing sectoral data models.
- Each of the agencies involved in the preparation of the inventory has security procedures in case of natural disasters, fire, flood or other accidents, which are kept at a high standard.

1.4 Methodologies and data sources used

The guiding documents in the inventory's preparation are the *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* (IPCC, 2006b), the *2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol* (IPCC, 2014), the revised *UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories for Parties included in Annex I to the Convention* (UNFCCC, 2013a) and the Kyoto Protocol decisions on reporting and review (UNFCCC, 2005a–k, 2012, 2013b, 2016a, 2016b).

A number of possible methodologies for calculating emissions or removals from a given category are provided (IPCC, 2006b). In most cases, these possibilities represent calculations of the same form where the differences are in the level of detail at which the calculations are carried out. The methodologies are provided in a structure of three tiers that describe and connect the various levels of detail at which estimates can be made. The choice of method depends on factors such as the importance of the inventory category and the availability of data. The tiered structure ensures that estimates calculated at a highly detailed level can be aggregated up to a common minimum level of detail for comparison with all other reporting countries. The methods for estimating emissions and/or removals are distinguished between the tiers as follows.

- Tier 1 methods apply IPCC default emission factors and use IPCC default methods.
- Tier 2 methods apply country-specific emission factors and use IPCC default methods.
- Tier 3 methods apply country-specific emission factors and use country-specific methods.

This section provides a brief description of the methodology for each sector in the inventory. Refer to each sector chapter for more detail.

Energy

Greenhouse gas emissions from the Energy sector are calculated using a detailed sectoral approach. This bottom-up approach is demand based; it involves processing energy data collected on a regular basis through various surveys. For verification, New Zealand also applies the IPCC reference approach to estimate CO_2 emissions from fuel combustion for the time series 1990 to 2020 (see annex 4).

The activity data used for the sectoral approach are referred to as 'observed' energy-use figures. These are based on surveys and questionnaires administered by MBIE. The differences between 'calculated' and 'observed' figures are reported as statistical differences in the energy balance tables released along with *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021). Note that, due to the intervening time between the publication of *Energy in New Zealand* and the preparation of this submission, some data revisions may have occurred.

IPPU

Activity data in the IPPU sector have been derived from a variety of sources. In the *Mineral industry* category, the primary data source is emissions data reported under the NZ ETS. For the *Chemical industry* and *Metal industry* categories, data (including activity data) are provided to MBIE in response to an annual survey. For some large-scale activities in the *Mineral industry*, *Chemical industry* and *Metal industry* categories, which are carried out by only one or two companies in New Zealand, activity data are reported as confidential in the CRF tables.

Emissions data for glass production (2.A.3) are reported in category 2.A.4 to aggregate the data with other sources and preserve confidentiality. Also, data on emissions from hydrogen making at the Marsden Point oil refinery are reported in the *Chemical industry* source category. This allows data from New Zealand's only industrial hydrogen-making process, which is smaller in scale than refining, to be aggregated and kept confidential.

For the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS*[17] category, updated activity data have been obtained through a detailed annual survey covering the electrical, refrigeration and other industry participants (Verum Group Ltd, unpublished) as well as importers of HFCs and other substances in this category. New Zealand uses a combination of Tier 1 and Tier 2 methodologies for the IPPU sector. Tier 2 methods are used for all key categories.

For the small amounts of indirect GHG emissions reported in the *Chemical industry* category and the *Other product manufacture and use* category, data were obtained by a detailed industry survey and analysis (CRL Energy Ltd, unpublished). Emissions and activity data have been extrapolated for the years since 2006.

Country-specific emission factors have been used where available, including for emissions of indirect GHGs.

Agriculture

New Zealand has developed a largely Tier 2 (with some Tier 1 aspects) methodology with country-specific emission factors for a range of emission sources. This methodology uses detailed data on livestock population and production to calculate livestock energy requirements for four major livestock categories (*Dairy cattle, Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle, Sheep* and *Deer*). Other livestock are classified as 'minor' due to their small total contribution to agricultural emissions and are outlined below. Animal population data are collected by Stats NZ. Productivity data are available from the Livestock Improvement Corporation and industry organisations, such as Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd and Deer Industry New Zealand, which regularly collect animal sector statistics. Statistics on animal carcass weights are collected by MPI and are used to derive live weights.

Other livestock species combined (*Swine*, *Goats*, *Horses*, llamas and alpacas, *Mules and asses* and *Poultry*) account for only 0.5 per cent of New Zealand's agriculture emissions. Emissions from these minor livestock species are estimated using Tier 1 methods. Where information is available, New Zealand has used country-specific emission methodology and factors. Rabbits are considered an agricultural pest in New Zealand and, based on expert opinion, only a very small number of rabbits are farmed in the country (R Sanson, pers. comm., 2019). Subsequently, emissions from farmed rabbits are not estimated because their emissions are insignificant. There is no known farming of other fur-bearing animals in New Zealand.

For estimating emissions from the *Agricultural soils* category, New Zealand uses methodologies based on the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), the outputs of the Tier 2 livestock population characterisation, modelling of the livestock nutrition and energy requirements, and data on the application of nitrogen fertilisers. New Zealand uses a combination of default and country-specific emission factors and parameters to calculate N_2O emissions from the *Agricultural soils* category. Details on these emission factors and parameters are given in chapter 5 (tables 5.5.2, 5.5.3 and 5.5.4) and annex 3 (tables A3.1.5, A3.1.6 and A3.1.7). Chapter 5, table 5.5.5 contains the parameters used to estimate emissions where specific mitigation technologies are used.

---

17 'ODS' stands for ozone depleting substances.

Activity data for the *Liming* category are obtained from Stats NZ, and activity data on the use of synthetic fertiliser containing nitrogen are provided by the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand. A Tier 2 (model) approach is used to calculate emissions from the *Burning of agricultural residues* category. No rice cultivation or CO_2 emissions from other carbon-containing fertilisers occur in New Zealand.

LULUCF and KP-LULUCF

New Zealand uses a combination of Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 methodologies for estimating emissions and removals for the LULUCF sector under the Convention and for Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 activities under the Kyoto Protocol. Tier 2 or Tier 3 approaches have been applied to estimate biomass carbon in the pools with the most living biomass at maturity: *Pre-1990 natural forest*, *Pre-1990 planted forest*, *Post-1989 natural forest*, *Post-1989 planted forest*, *Perennial cropland* and *Grassland with woody biomass*. For all other land-use categories, a Tier 1 approach is used for estimating biomass carbon. A Tier 2 modelling approach has also been used to estimate carbon changes in the mineral soil component of the soil organic matter pool, while Tier 1 is used for organic soils. Furthermore, a Tier 2 approach has been used to estimate carbon stock changes in the *Harvested wood products* category.

New Zealand has established a data collection and modelling program for the LULUCF sector called the Land Use and Carbon Analysis System (LUCAS). The LUCAS program includes:

- use of field plot measurements for natural and planted forests
- use of allometric models and a forest carbon modelling system to estimate carbon stock and carbon stock change in natural and planted forests respectively (Paul et al., 2020, unpublished(a), unpublished(b), unpublished(c); Paul and Wakelin, unpublished)
- wall-to-wall land use mapping for 1990, 2008, 2012 and 2016 using satellite and aircraft remotely sensed imagery, with additional information on post-1989 forest afforestation and deforestation of planted forest used for estimating the change
- development of databases and applications to store and process all data associated with LULUCF activities.

Waste

Activity data for the Waste sector have come from a variety of sources. Municipal solid waste disposal data, from mandatory reporting under the Waste Minimisation Act 2008 and from the NZ ETS, were used for the years for which they are available (2010 onwards). Activity data for all other sources were based on specific surveys. Interpolation based on gross domestic product (GDP) or population is used for other years.

New Zealand uses Tier 2 methodologies for estimating emissions from the *Solid waste disposal* source category, which is a key category, and for some wastewater emissions. Tier 1 methods are used to estimate other emissions from the Waste sector.

Country-specific emission factors have been used where available, including parameters for municipal waste (Eunomia, unpublished) and for treatment of some types of industrial wastewater (Cardno, 2015).

Methodological issues are discussed under each source category in chapter 7.

Other sector (Tokelau)

The Tokelau National Statistics Office collects and processes activity data from Tokelau for inventory preparation. Chapter 8, table 8.1.2 contains the key sources of the activity data from Tokelau used in Tokelau's GHG inventory.

1.5 Key categories

1.5.1 Reporting under the Convention

The 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPPC, 2006b) identify a key category as:

> … one that is prioritised within the National Inventory System because its estimate has a significant influence on a country's total Inventory of direct greenhouse gases in terms of the absolute level of emissions, the trend in emissions, or both.

Key categories identified within the inventory are used to prioritise inventory improvements.

The key categories in the inventory have been assessed using the approach 1 level (L1) and approach 1 trend (T1) methodologies from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPPC, 2006b). This is because some categories in the inventory apply default uncertainty values for emissions estimates, and developing country-specific uncertainty values is resource prohibitive.

The key category analysis identifies key categories of emissions and removals as those that sum to 95 per cent of the gross or net level of emissions and those that are within the top 95 per cent of the categories that contribute to the change between 1990 and 2020, or the trend of emissions. New Zealand does not currently use qualitative assessment to identify any key categories. The key categories identified in the 2020 year are summarised in table 1.5.1. In accordance with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), the key category analysis is performed once for the inventory excluding the LULUCF sector and then repeated for the inventory including the LULUCF sector. Non-LULUCF categories that are identified as key in the first analysis are still counted even when they are not identified as a key category in the analysis that includes the LULUCF sector.

The key category analysis performed for the inventory differs from that produced in the CRF tables, because the level of aggregation of categories is adjusted to better reflect New Zealand's emissions profile. Specifically, a large proportion of emissions from the Energy and Agriculture sectors is disaggregated further than the key category analysis generated in the CRF tables, to allow for a more evenly proportioned analysis of categories.

Table 1.5.2(a) identifies that the major contributions to the level analysis of net emissions for 2020 are:

- CO_2 emissions from *Forest land – Forest land remaining forest land* (14.1 per cent)
- CH_4 emissions from *Dairy cattle – Enteric fermentation* (12.9 per cent)
- CO_2 emissions from *Road transportation – Liquid fuels* (10.9 per cent)
- CH_4 emissions from *Sheep – Enteric fermentation* (7.6 per cent).

As detailed in table 1.5.3(a), the key categories that were identified as having the largest relative influence on the trend, when compared with the average change in net emissions from 1990 to 2020, are:

- CO_2 emissions from *Forest land – Forest land remaining forest land* (19.0 per cent as a decrease)
- CH_4 emissions from *Sheep – Enteric fermentation* (13.8 per cent as a decrease)
- CO_2 emissions from *Forest land – Land converted to forest land* (12.2 per cent as an increase)
- CH_4 emissions from *Dairy cattle – Enteric fermentation* (8.6 per cent as an increase).

For gross emissions, table 1.5.2(b) identifies that the major contributions to the level analysis for 2020 are:

- CH_4 emissions from *Dairy cattle – Enteric fermentation* (17.8 per cent)
- CO_2 emissions from *Road transportation – Liquid fuels* (15.2 per cent)
- CH_4 emissions from *Sheep – Enteric fermentation* (10.5 per cent)
- CH_4 emissions from *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle – Enteric fermentation* (7.6 per cent).

As detailed in table 1.5.3(b), the key categories that were identified as having the largest relative influence on the trend, when compared with the average change in gross emissions from 1990 to 2020, are:

- CH_4 emissions from *Sheep – Enteric fermentation* (22.2 per cent as a decrease)
- CH_4 emissions from *Dairy cattle – Enteric fermentation* (15.8 per cent as an increase)
- CO_2 emissions from *Road transportation – Liquid fuels* (9.7 per cent as an increase)
- CO_2 emissions from *Energy industries – Manufacture of solid fuels* and *Other energy industries – Gaseous fuels* (4.3 per cent as a decrease).

Table 1.5.1 Summary of New Zealand's key categories for the 2020 level assessment and the trend assessment for 1990 to 2020 (including LULUCF activities)

Quantitative method used: IPCC Tier 1			
CRF category code	**IPCC category**	**Gas**	**Criteria for identification**
Energy			
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Solid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Liquid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.1.c	Energy Industries – Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.c	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Chemicals Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Solid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1

Quantitative method used: IPCC Tier 1			
CRF category code	**IPCC category**	**Gas**	**Criteria for identification**
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.f	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Non-metallic Minerals Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.2.g.iii	Other (please specify) – Mining (excluding fuels) and Quarrying Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.g.v	Other (please specify) – Construction	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.3.a	Domestic Aviation – Jet Kerosene	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.3.d	Domestic Navigation – Residual Fuel Oil	CO_2	L1
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.4.c	Other Sectors – Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1
1.A.4.c	Other Sectors – Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.B.1.a.1	Coal Mining and Handling – Underground Mines	CH_4	T1
1.B.2.b.5	Natural Gas – Distribution	CH_4	L1, T1
1.B.2.c.1.ii	Venting – Gas	CO_2	L1, T1
1.B.2.d	Other (please specify) – Geothermal	CO_2	L1, T1
IPPU			
2.A.1	Mineral Industry – Cement Production	CO_2	L1, T1
2.C.1	Metal Industry – Iron and Steel Production	CO_2	L1
2.C.3	Metal Industry – Aluminium Production	CO_2	L1
2.C.3	Metal Industry – Aluminium Production	PFCs	T1
2.F.1	Product Uses as Substitutes for ODS – Refrigeration and Air Conditioning	HFCs	L1, T1
Agriculture			
3.A.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	L1, T1
3.A.1	Option A – Non-Dairy (*Beef*) Cattle	CH_4	L1, T1
3.A.2	Other (please specify) – Sheep	CH_4	L1, T1
3.A.4	Other Livestock – Deer	CH_4	L1
3.A.4	Other Livestock – Goats	CH_4	T1
3.B.1.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	L1, T1
3.B.1.2	CH_4 Emissions – Sheep	CH_4	T1
3.D.1.1	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Inorganic N Fertilisers	N_2O	L1, T1

Quantitative method used: IPCC Tier 1			
CRF category code	**IPCC category**	**Gas**	**Criteria for identification**
3.D.1.3	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Urine and Dung Deposited by Grazing Animals	N_2O	L1, T1
3.D.1.4	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Crop Residues	N_2O	L1
3.D.1.6	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Cultivation of Organic Soils	N_2O	L1, T1
3.D.2.1	Indirect N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Atmospheric Deposition	N_2O	L1
3.D.2.2	Indirect N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Nitrogen Leaching and Run-off	N_2O	L1
3.G	Agriculture – Liming	CO_2	L1
3.H	Agriculture – Urea Application	CO_2	L1, T1
LULUCF			
4.A.1	Forest Land – Forest Land Remaining Forest Land	CO_2	L1, T1
4.A.2	Forest Land – Land Converted to Forest Land	CO_2	L1, T1
4.B.1	Cropland – Cropland Remaining Cropland	CO_2	L1, T1
4.C.1	Grassland – Grassland Remaining Grassland	CO_2	L1, T1
4.C.2	Grassland – Land Converted to Grassland	CO_2	L1, T1
4.G	Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry – Harvested Wood Products	CO_2	L1, T1
Waste			
5.A	Waste – Solid Waste Disposal	CH_4	L1, T1
5.C	Waste – Incineration and Open Burning of Waste	CO_2	T1
5.D	Waste – Wastewater Treatment and Discharge	CH_4	L1

Note: L1 means a key category is identified under the level analysis – approach 1 and T1 is trend analysis – approach 1. According to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), L1 indicates a level assessment for a Tier 1 key category, and T1 indicates a trend assessment for a Tier 1 key category.

Table 1.5.2(a & b) 2020 level assessment for New Zealand's key category analysis including LULUCF (a) and excluding LULUCF (b)

(a) IPCC Tier 1 category level assessment – including LULUCF (net emissions): 2020

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Level assessment (%)	Cumulative total (%)
4.A.1	Forest Land – Forest Land Remaining Forest Land	CO_2	–15,345.3	14.1	14.1
3.A.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	14,034.7	12.9	26.9
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Liquid Fuels	CO_2	11,947.2	10.9	37.9
3.A.2	Other (please specify) – Sheep	CH_4	8,271.2	7.6	45.5
4.G	Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry – Harvested Wood Products	CO_2	–6,834.6	6.3	51.7
3.A.1	Option A – Non-Dairy (*Beef*) Cattle	CH_4	5,980.9	5.5	57.2
4.A.2	Forest Land – Land Converted to Forest Land	CO_2	–4,638.0	4.3	61.5
3.D.1.3	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Urine and Dung Deposited by Grazing Animals	N_2O	3,890.0	3.6	65.0
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	2,697.3	2.5	67.5
5.A	Waste – Solid Waste Disposal	CH_4	2,637.7	2.4	69.9
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Solid Fuels	CO_2	1,809.3	1.7	71.6

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Level assessment (%)	Cumulative total (%)
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Solid Fuels	CO_2	1,702.0	1.6	73.1
2.C.1	Metal Industry – Iron and Steel Production	CO_2	1,578.6	1.4	74.6
3.D.1.1	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Inorganic N Fertilisers	N_2O	1,548.2	1.4	76.0
1.A.2.c	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Chemicals Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	1,540.1	1.4	77.4
2.F.1	Product Uses as Substitutes for ODS – Refrigeration and Air Conditioning	HFCs	1,391.6	1.3	78.7
3.B.1.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	1,387.1	1.3	79.9
1.A.4.c	Other Sectors – Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing Liquid Fuels	CO_2	1,362.6	1.2	81.2
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Liquid Fuels	CO_2	1,313.1	1.2	82.4
4.C.2	Grassland – Land Converted to Grassland	CO_2	1,299.0	1.2	83.6
4.C.1	Grassland – Grassland Remaining Grassland	CO_2	1,225.5	1.1	84.7
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	1,086.7	1.0	85.7
3.D.2.1	Indirect N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Atmospheric Deposition	N_2O	925.2	0.8	86.6
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Liquid Fuels	CO_2	707.9	0.6	87.2
1.A.3.a	Domestic Aviation – Jet Kerosene	CO_2	681.4	0.6	87.8
3.D.1.6	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Cultivation of Organic Soils	N_2O	667.6	0.6	88.4
2.C.3	Metal Industry – Aluminium Production	CO_2	549.2	0.5	88.9
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Liquid Fuels	CO_2	543.8	0.5	89.4
3.H	Agriculture – Urea Application	CO_2	542.0	0.5	89.9
3.D.2.2	Indirect N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Nitrogen Leaching and Run-off	N_2O	516.9	0.5	90.4
3.A.4	Other Livestock – Deer	CH_4	497.6	0.5	90.9
1.B.2.d	Other (please specify) – Geothermal	CO_2	449.7	0.4	91.3
1.A.2.g.v	Other (please specify) – Construction	CO_2	446.9	0.4	91.7
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	426.6	0.4	92.1
3.G	Agriculture – Liming	CO_2	409.5	0.4	92.5
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	388.4	0.4	92.8
2.A.1	Mineral Industry – Cement Production	CO_2	379.2	0.3	93.2
1.A.2.g.iii	Other (please specify) – Mining (excluding fuels) and Quarrying Liquid Fuels	CO_2	365.4	0.3	93.5
4.B.1	Cropland – Cropland Remaining Cropland	CO_2	318.2	0.3	93.8
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	306.7	0.3	94.1
1.A.3.d	Domestic Navigation – Residual Fuel Oil	CO_2	271.8	0.2	94.3
1.A.1.c	Energy Industries – Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	263.7	0.2	94.6
3.D.1.4	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Crop Residues	N_2O	258.6	0.2	94.8
5.D	Waste – Wastewater Treatment and Discharge	CH_4	256.9	0.2	95.0

(b) IPCC Tier 1 category level assessment – excluding LULUCF (gross emissions): 2020

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Level assessment (%)	Cumulative total (%)
3.A.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	14,034.7	17.8	17.8
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Liquid Fuels	CO_2	11,947.2	15.2	33.0
3.A.2	Other (please specify) – Sheep	CH_4	8,271.2	10.5	43.5
3.A.1	Option A – Non-Dairy (*Beef*) Cattle	CH_4	5,980.9	7.6	51.1
3.D.1.3	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Urine and Dung Deposited by Grazing Animals	N_2O	3,890.0	4.9	56.0
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	2,697.3	3.4	59.4
5.A	Waste – Solid Waste Disposal	CH_4	2,637.7	3.3	62.8
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Solid Fuels	CO_2	1,809.3	2.3	65.1
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Solid Fuels	CO_2	1,702.0	2.2	67.2
2.C.1	Metal Industry – Iron and Steel Production	CO_2	1,578.6	2.0	69.2
3.D.1.1	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Inorganic N Fertilisers	N_2O	1,548.2	2.0	71.2
1.A.2.c	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Chemicals Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	1,540.1	2.0	73.2
2.F.1	Product Uses as Substitutes for ODS – Refrigeration and Air Conditioning	HFCs	1,391.6	1.8	74.9
3.B.1.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	1,387.1	1.8	76.7
1.A.4.c	Other Sectors – Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing Liquid Fuels	CO_2	1,362.6	1.7	78.4
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Liquid Fuels	CO_2	1,313.1	1.7	80.1
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	1,086.7	1.4	81.5
3.D.2.1	Indirect N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Atmospheric Deposition	N_2O	925.2	1.2	82.6
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Liquid Fuels	CO_2	707.9	0.9	83.5
1.A.3.a	Domestic Aviation – Jet Kerosene	CO_2	681.4	0.9	84.4
3.D.1.6	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Cultivation of Organic Soils	N_2O	667.6	0.8	85.3
2.C.3	Metal Industry – Aluminium Production	CO_2	549.2	0.7	85.9
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Liquid Fuels	CO_2	543.8	0.7	86.6
3.H	Agriculture – Urea Application	CO_2	542.0	0.7	87.3
3.D.2.2	Indirect N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Nitrogen Leaching and Run-off	N_2O	516.9	0.7	88.0
3.A.4	Other Livestock – Deer	CH_4	497.6	0.6	88.6
1.B.2.d	Other (please specify) – Geothermal	CO_2	449.7	0.6	89.2
1.A.2.g.v	Other (please specify) – Construction	CO_2	446.9	0.6	89.8
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	426.6	0.5	90.3
3.G	Agriculture – Liming	CO_2	409.5	0.5	90.8
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	388.4	0.5	91.3

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Level assessment (%)	Cumulative total (%)
2.A.1	Mineral Industry – Cement Production	CO_2	379.2	0.5	91.8
1.A.2.g.iii	Other (please specify) – Mining (excluding fuels) and Quarrying Liquid Fuels	CO_2	365.4	0.5	92.3
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	306.7	0.4	92.6
1.A.3.d	Domestic Navigation – Residual Fuel Oil	CO_2	271.8	0.3	93.0
1.A.1.c	Energy Industries – Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	263.7	0.3	93.3
3.D.1.4	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Crop Residues	N_2O	258.6	0.3	93.7
5.D	Waste – Wastewater Treatment and Discharge	CH_4	256.9	0.3	94.0
1.B.2.c.1.ii	Venting – Gas	CO_2	256.6	0.3	94.3
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Liquid Fuels	CO_2	255.9	0.3	94.6
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Liquid Fuels	CO_2	212.3	0.3	94.9
1.B.2.b.5	Natural Gas – Distribution	CH_4	192.2	0.2	95.1

Table 1.5.3(a & b) 1990–2020 trend assessment for New Zealand's key category analysis including LULUCF (a) and excluding LULUCF (b)

(a) IPCC Tier 1 category trend assessment – including LULUCF (net emissions)

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	1990 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Trend assessment	Absolute contribution to trend (%)	Absolute cumulative total (%)
4.A.1	Forest Land – Forest Land Remaining Forest Land	CO_2	–1,965.5	–15,345.3	0.155	19.0	19.0
3.A.2	Other (please specify) – Sheep	CH_4	14,557.9	8,271.2	0.113	13.8	32.9
4.A.2	Forest Land – Land Converted to Forest Land	CO_2	–18,334.3	–4,638.0	0.099	12.2	45.1
3.A.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	6,147.3	14,034.7	0.070	8.6	53.7
4.G	Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry – Harvested Wood Products	CO_2	–2,481.2	–6,834.6	0.056	6.9	60.5
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Liquid Fuels	CO_2	6,519.0	11,947.2	0.042	5.1	65.6
1.A.1.c	Energy Industries – Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	1,715.3	263.7	0.021	2.6	68.2
5.A	Waste – Solid Waste Disposal	CH_4	3,318.2	2,637.7	0.017	2.1	70.3
3.A.1	Option A – Non-Dairy (*Beef*) Cattle	CH_4	5,950.0	5,980.9	0.017	2.1	72.4
2.F.1	Product Uses as Substitutes for ODS – Refrigeration and Air Conditioning	HFCs	0.0	1,391.6	0.016	1.9	74.3
3.D.1.1	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Inorganic N Fertilisers	N_2O	230.3	1,548.2	0.014	1.7	76.0

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	1990 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Trend assessment	Absolute contribution to trend (%)	Absolute cumulative total (%)
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Solid Fuels	CO_2	474.8	1,809.3	0.014	1.7	77.7
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	2,999.6	2,697.3	0.012	1.5	79.2
2.C.3	Metal Industry – Aluminium Production	PFCs	909.9	87.9	0.012	1.5	80.6
4.C.1	Grassland – Grassland Remaining Grassland	CO_2	220.0	1,225.5	0.011	1.3	81.9
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Solid Fuels	CO_2	731.1	35.3	0.010	1.2	83.2
1.A.2.c	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Chemicals Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	524.8	1,540.1	0.010	1.2	84.4
3.B.1.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	416.6	1,387.1	0.010	1.2	85.5
4.C.2	Grassland – Land Converted to Grassland	CO_2	389.8	1,299.0	0.009	1.1	86.7
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	443.4	1,086.7	0.006	0.7	87.4
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Solid Fuels	CO_2	938.6	1,702.0	0.006	0.7	88.1
3.H	Agriculture – Urea Application	CO_2	39.2	542.0	0.005	0.7	88.8
1.A.3.a	Domestic Aviation – Jet Kerosene	CO_2	892.6	681.4	0.005	0.6	89.4
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Liquid Fuels	CO_2	778.9	543.8	0.005	0.6	90.0
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Solid Fuels	CO_2	344.9	28.4	0.005	0.6	90.5
1.B.1.a.1	Coal Mining and Handling – Underground Mines	CH_4	289.6	0.0	0.004	0.5	91.0
1.A.2.f	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Non-metallic Minerals Solid Fuels	CO_2	382.9	178.4	0.003	0.4	91.4
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Liquid Fuels	CO_2	814.5	1,313.1	0.003	0.4	91.8
1.A.2.g.iii	Other (please specify) – Mining (excluding fuels) and Quarrying Liquid Fuels	CO_2	94.1	365.4	0.003	0.3	92.2
3.A.4	Other Livestock – Goats	CH_4	196.6	21.6	0.003	0.3	92.5
2.A.1	Mineral Industry – Cement Production	CO_2	448.7	379.2	0.002	0.3	92.7
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	140.3	0.0	0.002	0.2	93.0
3.D.1.6	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Cultivation of Organic Soils	N_2O	658.7	667.6	0.002	0.2	93.2

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	1990 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Trend assessment	Absolute contribution to trend (%)	Absolute cumulative total (%)
1.B.2.d	Other (please specify) – Geothermal	CO_2	228.6	449.7	0.002	0.2	93.4
1.B.2.b.5	Natural Gas – Distribution	CH_4	277.5	192.2	0.002	0.2	93.6
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	184.9	388.4	0.002	0.2	93.9
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	0.0	148.2	0.002	0.2	94.1
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Liquid Fuels	CO_2	52.3	212.3	0.002	0.2	94.3
1.A.2.g.v	Other (please specify) – Construction	CO_2	245.0	446.9	0.002	0.2	94.4
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	347.6	306.7	0.001	0.2	94.6
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	235.2	426.6	0.001	0.2	94.8
1.A.4.c	Other Sectors – Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing Solid Fuels	CO_2	35.1	171.8	0.001	0.2	95.0
4.B.1	Cropland – Cropland Remaining Cropland	CO_2	351.1	318.2	0.001	0.2	95.2

(b) IPCC Tier 1 category trend assessment – excluding LULUCF (gross emissions)

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	1990 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Trend assessment	Absolute contribution to trend (%)	Absolute cumulative total (%)
3.A.2	Other (please specify) – Sheep	CH_4	14,557.9	8,271.2	0.143	22.2	22.2
3.A.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	6,147.3	14,034.7	0.101	15.8	38.0
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Liquid Fuels	CO_2	6,519.0	11,947.2	0.062	9.7	47.7
1.A.1.c	Energy Industries – Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	1,715.3	263.7	0.028	4.3	52.0
2.F.1	Product Uses as Substitutes for ODS – Refrigeration and Air Conditioning	HFCs	0.0	1,391.6	0.021	3.3	55.3
5.A	Waste – Solid Waste Disposal	CH_4	3,318.2	2,637.7	0.021	3.3	58.6
3.D.1.1	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Inorganic N Fertilisers	N_2O	230.3	1,548.2	0.019	3.0	61.6
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Solid Fuels	CO_2	474.8	1,809.3	0.019	2.9	64.6
3.A.1	Option A – Non-Dairy (*Beef*) Cattle	CH_4	5,950.0	5,980.9	0.019	2.9	67.5
2.C.3	Metal Industry – Aluminium Production	PFCs	909.9	87.9	0.016	2.4	69.9

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	1990 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Trend assessment	Absolute contribution to trend (%)	Absolute cumulative total (%)
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	2,999.6	2,697.3	0.014	2.2	72.1
1.A.2.c	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Chemicals Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	524.8	1,540.1	0.014	2.2	74.3
3.B.1.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	416.6	1,387.1	0.014	2.1	76.4
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Solid Fuels	CO_2	731.1	35.3	0.013	2.0	78.4
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Solid Fuels	CO_2	938.6	1,702.0	0.009	1.4	79.7
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	443.4	1,086.7	0.008	1.3	81.1
3.H	Agriculture – Urea Application	CO_2	39.2	542.0	0.008	1.2	82.2
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Liquid Fuels	CO_2	778.9	543.8	0.006	0.9	83.2
1.A.3.a	Domestic Aviation – Jet Kerosene	CO_2	892.6	681.4	0.006	0.9	84.1
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Solid Fuels	CO_2	344.9	28.4	0.006	0.9	85.1
1.B.1.a.1	Coal Mining and Handling – Underground Mines	CH_4	289.6	0.0	0.005	0.8	85.9
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Liquid Fuels	CO_2	814.5	1,313.1	0.005	0.8	86.7
1.A.2.f	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Non-metallic Minerals Solid Fuels	CO_2	382.9	178.4	0.004	0.7	87.4
1.A.2.g.iii	Other (please specify) – Mining (excluding fuels) and Quarrying Liquid Fuels	CO_2	94.1	365.4	0.004	0.6	88.0
3.A.4	Other Livestock – Goats	CH_4	196.6	21.6	0.003	0.5	88.5
3.D.1.3	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Urine and Dung Deposited by Grazing Animals	N_2O	3,068.6	3,890.0	0.003	0.4	88.9
1.B.2.d	Other (please specify) – Geothermal	CO_2	228.6	449.7	0.003	0.4	89.3
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	140.3	0.0	0.003	0.4	89.7
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	184.9	388.4	0.003	0.4	90.1
2.A.1	Mineral Industry – Cement Production	CO_2	448.7	379.2	0.003	0.4	90.5
1.A.2.g.v	Other (please specify) – Construction	CO_2	245.0	446.9	0.002	0.4	90.9

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	1990 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	2020 estimate (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Trend assessment	Absolute contribution to trend (%)	Absolute cumulative total (%)
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Liquid Fuels	CO_2	52.3	212.3	0.002	0.4	91.2
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	0.0	148.2	0.002	0.4	91.6
1.B.2.b.5	Natural Gas – Distribution	CH_4	277.5	192.2	0.002	0.3	91.9
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/ Institutional Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	235.2	426.6	0.002	0.3	92.3
1.A.4.c	Other Sectors – Agriculture/ Forestry/ Fishing Solid Fuels	CO_2	35.1	171.8	0.002	0.3	92.6
3.D.1.6	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Cultivation of Organic Soils	N_2O	658.7	667.6	0.002	0.3	92.9
1.B.2.c.1.ii	Venting – Gas	CO_2	109.3	256.6	0.002	0.3	93.2
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/ Institutional Solid Fuels	CO_2	142.2	57.7	0.002	0.3	93.4
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	347.6	306.7	0.002	0.3	93.7
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Solid Fuels	CO_2	109.5	23.1	0.002	0.3	94.0
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/ Institutional Liquid Fuels	CO_2	500.7	707.9	0.002	0.2	94.2
5.C	Waste – Incineration and Open Burning of Waste	CO_2	158.9	89.8	0.002	0.2	94.5
3.B.1.2	CH_4 Emissions – Sheep	CH_4	148.8	91.9	0.001	0.2	94.7
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Liquid Fuels	CO_2	10.6	99.2	0.001	0.2	94.9
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Liquid Fuels	CO_2	281.1	255.9	0.001	0.2	95.1

Note: Removals from the LULUCF sector are shown as negatives in this table. In line with the methodology for key category analysis, the absolute values for those removals were used for the calculations.

1.5.2 LULUCF activities under the Kyoto Protocol

Key categories under the Kyoto Protocol are identified by looking at the assessment of similar categories within the LULUCF sector as reported under the Convention. In 2020, *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management* were all identified as key categories in both the level and trend assessment.

1.6 Inventory uncertainty

1.6.1 Reporting under the Convention

Uncertainty estimates are an essential element of a complete inventory. The purpose of uncertainty information is not to dispute the validity of the inventory estimates but to help prioritise efforts to improve the accuracy of inventories and guide decisions on methodological choice (IPCC, 2006b). Inventories prepared in accordance with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines will typically contain a wide range of emission estimates, varying from carefully measured and demonstrably complete data on emissions, to order-of-magnitude estimates for highly variable emissions such as N_2O fluxes from soils and waterways (IPCC, 2006b).

New Zealand includes an uncertainty analysis of the aggregated figures, as required by the inventory reporting guidelines (UNFCCC, 2013a), applying approach 1 from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b).

Uncertainties in the categories are combined to provide uncertainty estimates for all emissions for the latest reporting year and the base year, and the uncertainty in the trend over time. Annex 2 sets out uncertainties for net emissions, where removals under LULUCF categories are included, in table A2.1.1, and gross emissions excluding LULUCF in table A2.1.2.

In 2021 an internal review was undertaken focusing on the methods used to calculate the uncertainties reported in chapter 1 and presented in table A2.1.1. The review identified an anomaly in the application of the methodology to the LULUCF categories that were comprised of net removals, where they were previously converted to absolute values for the uncertainty calculation. This method resulted in lower total uncertainty estimates for the base year, final year and trend, when compared with using real values. The calculation method has been revised for this submission.

In most instances, the uncertainty values are determined by analysis of emission factors or activity data using expert judgement from sectoral or industry experts, or by referring to uncertainty ranges provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPPC, 2006b). Uncertainties for the source categories were originally determined at the lowest level where information and data were available. The uncertainty estimates within each sector were made by the personnel at the agencies responsible for the sector, which is a part of New Zealand's national system arrangements.

The low-level uncertainties have then been aggregated to various extents by the sector compiling agencies as far as the second-level category for each of CO_2, CH_4, N_2O and SF_6 separately and for HFCs and PFCs as groups. These data at the aggregated category level have been submitted to the National Inventory Compiler for performing overall uncertainty calculations for level and trend uncertainties for gross and net emissions (excluding and including LULUCF).

In most cases, to aggregate uncertainties from subcategories, sectoral compilers used the approach 1 recommended in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b, equation 3.2, page 3.28).

In the IPPU sector (for the ODS category only), most of the emissions are estimated using a mass balance approach as indicated in chapter 4. This approach uses the data on imports of each gas as the total for all applications for an input. In this calculation, it would not be appropriate to combine the uncertainties for subcategories using the propagation method because they are not independent variables and, therefore, expert judgement on the bulk value of HFCs was used.

The uncertainty for CH_4 emissions from enteric fermentation was calculated by expressing the coefficient of variation according to the standard error of the CH_4 yield. A Monte Carlo simulation has been used to determine uncertainty for N_2O from agricultural soils. For the 2016 data, the uncertainty in the annual estimate was calculated using the 95 per cent confidence interval determined from the Monte Carlo simulation as a percentage of the mean value.

In the LULUCF sector, uncertainties were combined and aggregated using the error propagation procedure outlined in approach 1 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b, equation 3.1 and equation 3.2, page 3.28). These uncertainties incorporate natural variability, measurement error and model prediction error. The uncertainties in the net carbon emissions for each category in the LULUCF sector are given within the relevant sections of chapter 6. Detailed analysis of LULUCF uncertainties is presented in annex 3.2.8.

Gross emissions

Uncertainty in 2020 – level assessment

The uncertainty in gross emissions (excluding emissions and removals from the LULUCF sector) in 2020 is ±8.8 per cent. This is an increase of 0.3 per cent from that reported for 2019 in the previous submission. Emissions contributing the most to the overall uncertainty of gross emissions in 2020 were CH_4 from *Enteric fermentation* (±16.0 per cent) at 5.9 per cent, N_2O from *Agricultural soils* (± 55.3 per cent) at 5.5 per cent and CH_4 from *Solid waste disposal* (±97.0 per cent) at 3.2 per cent. The uncertainty in these categories reflects the inherent variability when estimating emissions from natural systems.

Uncertainty in 1990 – level assessment

In 1990, the uncertainty in gross emissions was ±9.5 per cent. This is a decrease of 0.2 per cent from the previous submission. Emissions of CH_4 from *Enteric fermentation* contributed 6.7 per cent, CH_4 from *Solid waste disposal* 4.9 per cent and N_2O from *Agricultural soils* 4.5 per cent to the overall uncertainty of gross emissions in 1990.

Uncertainty in the trend

The trend uncertainty in gross emissions (excluding emissions and removals from the LULUCF sector) from 1990 to 2020 is ±6.4 per cent. This is a decrease of 0.4 per cent from the previous submission. This decrease is primarily due to CH_4 in *Solid waste disposal*, mainly because of a correction in the activity data uncertainty calculated for this category.

Net emissions

Uncertainty in 2020 – level assessment

The uncertainty for New Zealand's inventory, including emissions and removals from the LULUCF sector, in 2020 is ±26.9 per cent. There has been a 10.0 per cent decrease in uncertainty between 2019 and 2020.

This decrease is primarily a result of improvements to the LULUCF *Forest land* uncertainties. Emissions contributing the most to the overall uncertainty in net emissions for 2020 were CO_2 from *Forest land* (±61.6 per cent) at 22.2 per cent, CO_2 from *Harvested wood products* (±68.2 per cent) at 8.4 per cent and CH_4 from *Enteric fermentation* (±16.0 per cent) at 8.3 per cent.

Uncertainty in 1990 – level assessment

In 1990, the uncertainty in net emissions was 32.0 per cent. There has been a decrease of 15.6 per cent between 2019 and 2020. This decrease is primarily a result of improvements to the LULUCF *Forest land* uncertainties. Emissions of CO_2 from *Forest land* contributed 28.4 per cent, CH_4 from *Enteric fermentation* 10.0 per cent and CH_4 from *Solid waste disposal* 7.3 per cent to the overall uncertainty of net emissions in 1990.

Uncertainty in the trend

When emissions and removals from the LULUCF sector are included, the overall uncertainty in the trend from 1990 to 2020 is ±13.8 per cent. This is a decrease of 11.0 per cent when compared with the revised uncertainty for 2019. This decrease is primarily a result of improvements to the LULUCF *Forest land* uncertainty values undertaken for this submission.

1.6.2 LULUCF activities under the Kyoto Protocol

The combined uncertainty for net emissions from *Afforestation and reforestation* category activities in 2020 is ±19.2 per cent. The uncertainty for net emissions from the *Deforestation* category in 2020 is ±1.4 per cent.

The uncertainty for net emissions from the *Forest management* category in 2020 is ±43.8 per cent. The uncertainty introduced into net emissions from *Forest management* is high because this category has large emissions from harvesting and large removals from forest growth, leaving relatively small net change. Because the uncertainty is calculated on emissions and removals relative to net change, this results in a large uncertainty figure.

Combining these uncertainties gives a total uncertainty estimate in emissions for LULUCF activities under the Kyoto Protocol of ±45.7 per cent.

Chapter 11, section 11.4.1 provides further information on the uncertainty analysis for activities under the Kyoto Protocol and how this uncertainty analysis relates to the LULUCF sector.

1.7 Inventory completeness

1.7.1 Reporting under the Convention

The inventory for the period 1990 to 2020 is complete. In accordance with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), New Zealand has focused its resources for inventory development in the key categories and non-key categories that are mandatory. Additional information on the use of the notation key 'NE' in the context of paragraph 37(b) of the Convention reporting guidelines is presented in annex 6.2.

1.7.2 LULUCF activities under the Kyoto Protocol

New Zealand has included all carbon pools in reporting for Article 3.3 activities and Article 3.4 *Forest management* under the Kyoto Protocol. See chapter 11, section 11.1 for more information.

1.8 National registry

The national registry (the New Zealand Emissions Trading Register or the Register) is New Zealand's online facility to manage the accounting, reporting and reconciliation of emissions, unit holdings and transactions as part of the NZ ETS. The EPA is designated as the agency responsible for implementing and operating New Zealand's national registry under the Kyoto Protocol. The Register is electronic and accessible via the internet (www.emissionsregister.govt.nz).

In January 2008, New Zealand's national registry was issued with New Zealand's assigned amount of 309,564,733 metric tonnes CO_2-e for CP1 (covering the five-year period 2008 to 2012).

At the end of CP1, New Zealand retired Kyoto Protocol units in its registry equal to its reported emissions and submitted its true-up report (available on both the MfE and Convention websites) to meet CP1 Kyoto Protocol obligations. While remaining a Party to the Kyoto Protocol, New Zealand does not have a target under the second commitment period (CP2). Instead, New Zealand joins countries that have made international pledges under the Convention. As such, New Zealand has no assigned amount for CP2; however, New Zealand has chosen to maintain a registry connected to the International Transaction Log. This is required because the Register continues to hold Kyoto Protocol units, and these must be able to be reconciled via the International Transaction Log. New Zealand also committed to apply the Kyoto Protocol accounting approach for the period 2013 to 2020 (the period covered by CP2).

Although most international unit transfers of Kyoto Protocol units are no longer possible from or to the Register, it is still possible for direct issuance of certified emission reduction units from the Clean Development Mechanism and voluntary unit cancellation transactions to occur. Details of these transactions are included in the SEF tables submitted to the UNFCCC secretariat as part of New Zealand's national inventory reporting. Also, changes to international trading may occur after CP2 ends and new arrangements under Article 6 of the Paris Agreement come into effect.

New Zealand replaced its registry system in August 2016. The Register was tested and reviewed by the UNFCCC secretariat before it went live.

At the beginning of the 2021 calendar year, New Zealand's national registry held 308,343,858 CP1 assigned amount units (AAUs), 110,744,560 CP1 emission reduction units (ERUs), 21,685,909 CP1 certified emission reduction units (CERs) and 100,845,399 CP1 removal units (RMUs). The number and mix of units held at the end of 2021 were the same as at the beginning of 2021, because no international transactions occurred during this period and this value includes the units retired to meet CP1 obligations. No CP2 units were held by New Zealand in 2021.

At the end of 2021, the units held in New Zealand's national registry remained at 308,343,858 AAUs, 110,744,560 ERUs, 21,685,909 CERs and 100,845,399 RMUs.

New Zealand's national registry did not hold any temporary certified emission reduction units or long-term certified emission reduction units during 2021.

Any changes to the Register are captured in chapter 14 (table 14.3).

For further information, refer to chapters 12 and 14 and the SEF tables on New Zealand Emissions Trading Register holdings and transactions that were submitted to the UNFCCC secretariat with this inventory.

1.9 New Zealand's Emissions Trading Scheme

The NZ ETS is one of the Government's primary tools for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. This section explains the background of the NZ ETS and how the data collected for the NZ ETS have been used to verify CO_2 emissions in the Energy and IPPU sectors.

1.9.1 New Zealand Units

The NZ ETS is based on trading units that represent 1 tonne of CO_2-e, called a New Zealand Unit (NZU), which is created and distributed by the New Zealand Government. The scheme was established through an amendment to the Climate Change Response Act 2002[18] and came into effect progressively from 2008, with coverage since 2010 of all emissions except agricultural CH_4 and N_2O. Sectors under the scheme are required to report on their emissions and surrender units to the Government to cover their emissions. The Government supplies units for emissions removals through forestry and exports of emitting products from New Zealand. The emissions price is determined by the market, based on supply and demand of units, and this price creates a financial incentive for businesses that emit greenhouse gases to invest in technologies and practices that reduce emissions.

An amendment to the Climate Change Response Act 2002[19] in 2020 made changes to the NZ ETS that are now being implemented through regulation. The most significant changes are the introduction of auctioning and caps on the supply of emission units, which took effect from 1 January 2021. The fixed price option, or price ceiling, was increased for 2020 and removed from 1 January 2021. These changes took effect after 2020, so are not expected to affect the emissions and removals reported in this submission.

1.9.2 Verification

Participants in the NZ ETS are required to record and report the GHG emissions for which they have obligations or the removals for which they can claim NZUs. Participants with obligations are also required to annually surrender NZUs to cover their emissions. How participants estimate their emissions is set out in the regulations prescribed under the Climate Change Response Act 2002. The schedule for sectors entering the NZ ETS is detailed in table 1.9.1.

For this submission, data collected for the NZ ETS were used to verify the inventory estimates for CO_2 emissions in the Energy and IPPU sectors (see chapters 3 and 4 for further detail of the verification). Data from the NZ ETS were used as a primary source in the IPPU sector for the cement and lime industries, and in the Waste sector to verify activity data on municipal waste disposal. Data reported under the Waste Minimisation Act 2008 have been used as the primary data (see chapter 7 for details).

[18] Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading) Amendment Act 2008.

[19] Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Act 2020.

The NZ ETS data are also used for LULUCF and Kyoto Protocol reporting. Forest age, area and deforestation as reported under the NZ ETS are used for verifying the areas of pre-1990 planted forest, post-1989 forest and deforestation.

Table 1.9.1 Dates for sector entry into the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme

Sector	Voluntary reporting	Mandatory reporting	Obligations
Forestry	–	–	1 January 2008
Transport fuels	–	1 January 2010	1 July 2010
Electricity production	–	1 January 2010	1 July 2010
IPPU	–	1 January 2010	1 July 2010
Synthetic gases	1 January 2011	1 January 2012	1 January 2013
Waste	1 January 2011	1 January 2012	1 January 2013
Agriculture	1 January 2011	1 January 2012	–

1.10 Improvements introduced

An important part of producing the inventory is improving the accuracy of estimates for emissions and removals. In this inventory, a number of recalculations have been made to the estimates, due to improvements in:

- activity data
- emission factors and/or other parameters
- methodologies
- availability of activity data and emission factors for sources that were previously reported as 'NE' because of insufficient data.

It is good practice to recalculate the whole time series, from 1990 to the latest reported year in the inventory, to ensure consistency across the time series. This means estimates of emissions in a given submission year may differ from emissions reported in previous submissions. There may be exceptions to recalculating the entire time series and, where this has occurred, explanations are provided.

This year, for the first time, New Zealand published the key methodological changes that were being introduced to the inventory, and their estimated impact on emissions compared with the method used previously (Ministry for the Environment, 2022). This information was published before the publication of this inventory to increase the transparency of inventory improvements.

Chapter 10 summarises all recalculations made to estimates of emissions and removals.

Improvements made to New Zealand's national registry are described in chapter 14.

Energy

A number of improvements have been introduced for the 2022 submission. Some were made in response to expert review team recommendations and, for instance, involve the use of more disaggregated data or reallocation of emissions. Details on these improvements are presented in chapter 10. Other improvements in accuracy have resulted from the standard revision of activity data. Energy activity data for the years 1990 to 2019 have been updated according to the latest energy statistics published by MBIE (MBIE, 2021).

Projects to implement further improvements for the reference approach and sectoral approach are ongoing. The system for tracking and calculating emissions within the Energy sector was previously migrated to the R programming language. A further project to streamline and simplify the greenhouse gas reporting data system was completed in 2021. This project identified a number of minor inconsistencies and bugs, which were then addressed. Several upstream data systems, including the energy balance tables, have also been translated into the R programming language. Furthermore, work is progressing well on the construction of a new comprehensive fuel properties data management system. It is expected to be commissioned before the next submission. All source-specific planned improvements are discussed in their corresponding sections in chapter 3.

Changes to activity data in the Energy sector have resulted in a 0.6 per cent (133.2 kt CO_2-e) increase in estimated energy emissions in 1990 and a 0.7 per cent (241.4 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated energy emissions in 2019.

IPPU

For this submission, amounts of refrigerant added to equipment in the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category were re-estimated to better account for changes in stocks held by importers and users.

Chapter 4, section 4.1.7 contains more details about improvements and recalculations for the IPPU sector.

Improvements and recalculations made in the IPPU sector have resulted in no change for 1990 and a 5.0 per cent decrease in estimated emissions for 2019 (254.9 kt CO_2-e).

Agriculture

New Zealand has made the following improvements and corrections to the Agriculture sector.

- It updated FracLEACH values for cropland for the entire time series from (1990 to 2020). For details on this improvement, see chapter 5, section 5.5.5, chapter 10, section 10.1.3 and annex 3.
- It updated the assumption about the purity of agricultural lime applied to soils. For details on this improvement, see chapter 5, section 5.8.6, chapter 10, section 10.1.3 and annex 3.

Improvements and recalculations made to the Agriculture sector in the 2022 submission have only had a small impact on emissions estimates. They resulted in a 0.18 per cent (62.3 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated agricultural emissions in 1990 and a 0.22 per cent (85.4 kt CO_2-e) decrease in agricultural emissions in 2020.

LULUCF

The main differences between this submission and estimates of New Zealand's LULUCF net removals reported in the previous submission result from the following (in decreasing order of magnitude).

- The methods used to estimate the planted forest average harvest age, harvest age profile (harvest area by age) and forest age profile have been updated. These changes have been made to improve the accuracy of the ages at which we report pre-1990 or post-1989 planted forest being harvested or deforested. These updates result in an increase in estimated emissions of approximately 1,700 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and 100 kt CO_2-e in 2019.

- The yield tables used for pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest have been updated to provide more accurate and up-to-date estimates of carbon stock change. All planted forest yield tables have been updated to include plots measured in the 2020 national forest inventory. The period-specific yield tables used for pre-1990 planted forest have also been updated to reflect different planting periods. Changes to the planted forest yield tables have resulted in a decrease in emissions of approximately 115 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and an increase in emissions of approximately 1,460 kt CO_2-e in 2019.
- The way in which the pre-1990 natural forest is classified has been updated to ensure consistency between the classification of tall and regenerating forest and assigning emission factors to forest area based on forest type. Additionally, the assumption that tall forests were in steady state has been revised so that a carbon stock change per hectare estimate is now also included for the tall forest component. Collectively, these changes have resulted in an increase in annual estimated emissions of approximately 1,300 kt CO_2-e across the time series.

Chapter 6, section 6.1.4 and its sections specific to the land use category contain more details about improvements and recalculations for the LULUCF sector.

Improvements made to the LULUCF sector have resulted in an 11.6 per cent (2,785.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated net LULUCF removals in 1990 and a 16.0 per cent (4,390.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated net LULUCF removals in 2019.

Waste

Improvements and recalculations made to estimates in the Waste sector have resulted in a 0.5 per cent (18.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated emissions in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (4.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated emissions in 2019.

Minor changes have been made for three categories in the 2022 submission. First, there are minor updates to activity data for *Managed waste disposal sites*. Revisions made to historical waste disposal levy data are now included in the inventory. Overall, the data have changed by less than 1 per cent, and the reason for these changes was that the landfill operators submitted amendments that arose due to operational circumstances. The levy data will continue to be fully updated in each future submission.

Second, the following two issues are addressed in the activity data for non-municipal landfills, which are part of the *Unmanaged waste disposal sites* category.

- Activity data for 1950 to 2015 had previously been calculated using data based on Tonkin and Taylor Ltd (unpublished); however, the link between the source data and the calculated activity data used in the inventory was not clear. With further analysis, the calculated activity data were re-created using scripts and this method is fully repeatable. There is a discrepancy of about 5 per cent between the original and the new methods, which is acceptable due to the corresponding increase in transparency achieved.
- Methods to generate activity data for 2016 onward are revised to keep activity data constant at 2015 levels. Before the 2022 submission, there was a significant step-change in activity data that did not appear to be justified, and it did not reflect an accurate assumed composition of Waste data. Keeping activity data constant at 2015 levels is considered to be a suitable alternative, before activity data based on actual rather than assumed Waste data are made available.

Third, a correction is made to total carbon content in paper/card in the *Open burning of waste* category. The percentage of total carbon content for paper/card was previously reported as 43 per cent and this has been adjusted to 46 per cent in accordance with table 2.4 of volume 5 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006c) and is also reflected in chapter 7, table 7.4.3.

For more detail, see the methodological issues section in each sector chapter and chapter 10.

Other sector (Tokelau)

One minor recalculation has been made in the Tokelau emissions estimates since the 2021 submission. This recalculation has resulted in no change in emissions in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (0.0023 kt CO_2-e) increase in emissions in 2019.

Chapter 1: References

Cardno. 2015. *Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Industrial Wastewater Treatment*. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2015. Retrieved from https://environment.govt.nz/publications/greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-industrial-wastewater-treatment-inventory-basis-review/ (accessed 15 January 2016).

CRL Energy Ltd. Unpublished. Hennessy W, Maxwell D. Inventory of HFC, PFC and SF_6 Emissions for New Zealand 2004–2005. Report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by CRL Energy Ltd in 2006.

Eunomia. Unpublished. Improvements to Estimates of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Landfills. Report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment in 2020.

IPCC. 2006a. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use.* IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2006b. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 1. General Guidance and Reporting.* IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2006c. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 5. Waste*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2014. Hiraishi T, Krug T, Tanabe K, Srivastava N, Baasansuren J, Fukuda M, Troxler TG (eds). *2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol*. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.

IPCC. 2021. Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pirani A, Connors SL, Péan C, Berger C, Caud N, Chen Y, Goldfarb L, Gomis MI, Huang M, Leitzell K, Lonnoy E, Matthews JBR, Maycock TK, Waterfield T, Yelekçi O, Yu R, Zhou B (eds). Climate Change 2021: The physical science basis. *Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Cambridge University Press.

Ministry for the Environment. 2006. *New Zealand's Initial Report under the Kyoto Protocol: Facilitating the calculation of New Zealand's assigned amount and demonstrating New Zealand's capacity to account for its emissions and assigned amount in accordance with Article 7 paragraph 4 of the Kyoto Protocol*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry for the Environment. 2022. *Planned Methodological Improvements for Aotearoa New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory 1990–2020*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

MBIE. 2021. *Energy in New Zealand 2021*. Wellington: Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment.

Paul TSH, Andersen C, Kimberley MO, Dash J, Beets PN. Unpublished(a). Carbon Stock Changes in New Zealand's Pre-1990 Planted Forests Based on a Periodic Ground Inventory. Report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by the New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2016.

Paul TSH, Beets PN, Kimberley MO. Unpublished(b). Carbon Stocks in New Zealand's Post-1989 Natural Forest – Analysis of the 2018/2019 forest inventory data. Report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by the New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2020.

Paul TSH, Kimberley MO, Beets PN. 2020. Natural Forests in New Zealand – a large terrestrial carbon pool in a national state of equilibrium. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Paul TSH, Kimberley MO, Dodunski C. Unpublished(c). The 2019 NFI Plot Analysis Yield Tables and Carbon Stocks at Measurement. Report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2020.

Paul TSH, Wakelin SJ. Unpublished. Yield Tables and Approach for Estimating Historic Carbon Stocks in Pre-1990 Planted Forests for Greenhouse Gas Inventory Reporting. Report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2020.

Stats NZ. 2007. Principles and Protocols for producers of Tier 1 statistics. www.stats.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/Principles-and-protocols-for-producers-of-tier-1-stats/principles-and-protocols-for-producers-of-tier-1-stats.pdf

Tonkin and Taylor Ltd. Unpublished. GHG Estimates from Non-municipal Landfills New Zealand. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment in 2014.

UNFCCC. 1992. FCCC/INFORMAL/84 *United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. unfccc.int/files/essential_background/background_publications_htmlpdf/application/pdf/conveng.pdf

UNFCCC. 2005a. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3. *Land use, land-use change and forestry*.

UNFCCC. 2005b. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.2. *Modalities for the accounting of assigned amounts under Article 7, paragraph 4 of the Kyoto Protocol*.

UNFCCC. 2005c. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.2. *Standard electronic format for reporting Kyoto Protocol units*.

UNFCCC. 2005d. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.2. *Guidelines for the preparation of the information required under Article 7 of the Kyoto Protocol*.

UNFCCC. 2005e. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3 *Guidelines for national systems under Article 5, paragraph 1 of the Kyoto Protocol*.

UNFCCC. 2005f. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3 *Good practice guidance and adjustments under Article 5, paragraph 2 of the Kyoto Protocol*.

UNFCCC. 2005g. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3 *Issues relating to adjustments under Article 5, paragraph 2 of the Kyoto Protocol*.

UNFCCC. 2005h. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3 *Guidelines for review under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol*.

UNFCCC. 2005i. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3 *Terms of service for lead reviewers*.

UNFCCC. 2005j. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3 *Issues relating to the implementation of Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol – 1 (Training programme for members of expert review teams)*.

UNFCCC. 2005k. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3 *Issues relating to the implementation of Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol – 2 (Confidential information)*.

UNFCCC. 2006. FCCC/SBSTA/2006/9. *Guidelines for the preparation of national communications by Parties included in Annex I to the Convention, Part I: UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories (following incorporation of the provisions of Decision 13/CP.9)*.

UNFCCC. 2012. FCCC/KP/CMP/2011/10/Add.1. *Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its seventh session, held in Durban from 28 November to 11 December 2011; Decision 2/CMP.7, Land use, land-use change and forestry*.

UNFCCC. 2013a. FCCC/CP/2013/10/Add.3. *Report of the Conference of the Parties on its nineteenth session, held in Warsaw from 11 to 23 November 2013, Addendum; Decision 24/CP.19 Revision of the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories for Parties included in Annex I to the Convention*.

UNFCCC. 2013b. FCCC/KP/CMP/2012/13/Add.1. *Decision 2/CMP.8 Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 5/CMP.7 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol, including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, Annex 2*.

UNFCCC. 2016a. FCCC/KP/CMP/2015/8/Add.1. *Decision 3/CMP.11 Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 4/CMP.7 and 1/CMP.8 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol, including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, part I: implications related to accounting and reporting and other related issues*.

UNFCCC. 2016b. FCCC/KP/CMP/2015/8/Add.1. *Decision 4/CMP.11 Implications of the implementation of decisions 2/CMP.7 to 4/CMP.7 and 1/CMP.8 on the previous decisions on methodological issues related to the Kyoto Protocol, including those relating to Articles 5, 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, part II: implications related to review and adjustments and other related issues*.

United Nations. 1945. *Charter of the United Nations, 1945.* Retrieved from www.un.org/en/sections/un-charter/ chapter-xi (7 February 2019).

Verum Group Ltd. Unpublished. Hennessy W. Inventory of HFC, SF_6 and Other Industrial Process Emissions for New Zealand 2019. Report prepared by Verum Group Ltd for the Ministry for the Environment in 2020.

Chapter 2: Trends in greenhouse gas emissions

2.1 Emission trends for aggregated greenhouse gas emissions

This chapter describes emission trends by sector and greenhouse gas (GHG).

2.1.1 National trends in greenhouse gas emissions

Gross emissions

Gross emissions include those from Energy, Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU), Agriculture, Waste and Tokelau, but do not include emissions and removals from the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector (UNFCCC, 2013).

1990–2020

In 1990, New Zealand's gross GHG emissions were 65,197.0 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e). Between 1990 and 2020, GHG emissions increased by 13,581.4 kt CO_2-e (20.8 per cent) to 78,778.4 kt CO_2-e in 2020 (see figure 2.1.1). From 1990 to 2020, the average annual growth in gross emissions was 0.6 per cent.

The emission categories that contributed the most to this increase in gross emissions were: *Enteric fermentation*[20] *from dairy cattle*, *Road transportation*, *Agricultural soils*, *Manufacturing industries and construction* (especially the categories *Chemicals* and *Food processing, beverages and tobacco*) and *Product uses as substitutes for ODS*.[21]

2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, New Zealand's gross emissions decreased by 2,838.7 kt CO_2-e (3.5 per cent), which was primarily driven by a decrease in emissions from the Energy sector (of 2,459.0 kt CO_2-e or 7.2 per cent) due to COVID-19 restrictions. This was mainly due to a decrease in emissions from reduced fuel use in:

- *Road transportation* (carbon dioxide)
- *Manufacturing industries and construction* (carbon dioxide)
- *Domestic aviation* (carbon dioxide).

Emissions from the IPPU sector decreased by 5.0 per cent, which is attributed to restrictions brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic. Emissions from the Agriculture and Waste sectors changed only by small annual variations of 0.2 per cent and 1.3 per cent respectively.

[20] Methane emissions produced from the digestive process in ruminant livestock.

[21] 'ODS' stands for ozone depleting substances.

Net emissions: reporting under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

Net emissions include gross emissions as defined above (i.e., from the Energy, IPPU, Agriculture and Waste sectors, including Tokelau) and net emissions from the LULUCF sector, as reported under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (the Convention).

In 1990, New Zealand's net emissions were 43,967.8 kt CO_2-e. Between 1990 and 2020, net GHG emissions increased by 11,497.3 kt CO_2-e (26.1 per cent) to 55,465.1 kt CO_2-e (see figure 2.1.1).

The four categories that contributed the most to the increase in net emissions between 1990 and 2020 were *Land converted to forest land*, *Enteric fermentation from dairy cattle*, *Road transportation* and *Agriculture soils*.

Figure 2.1.1 New Zealand's gross and net emissions (under the Convention) from 1990 to 2020



New Zealand's 2020 target

For the period 2013 to 2020, New Zealand's target is to reduce emissions to 5 per cent below 1990 levels by 2020. New Zealand has taken its target under the Convention. New Zealand will apply the Kyoto Protocol framework of rules to account for its target, to ensure New Zealand's actions are transparent and have integrity. This means New Zealand will count net removals from Article 3.3 – *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation* and Article 3.4 – *Forest management* of the Kyoto Protocol towards its emissions reduction target.

Following the Kyoto Protocol rules, New Zealand's emissions budget for the period 2013 to 2020 is 509,774,982 tonnes CO_2-e. This is based on the gross emissions data for 1990 included in New Zealand's 2016 inventory submission. For more details, refer to New Zealand's *Initial Report to Facilitate the Calculation of its Emissions Budget for the Period 2013 to 2020* (Ministry for the Environment, 2016).

The emissions budget is calculated as the total cumulative emissions New Zealand would emit on a straight-line track from a 1990 level in 2010 (equal to gross emissions in 1990 from the Initial Report) to the target in 2020 (i.e., the same amount multiplied by 0.95).

To measure progress towards New Zealand's 2020 target, annual gross emission values from this submission, being the final submission for the period, will be summed for 2013 to 2020. This will represent the quantity of gross emissions for which New Zealand is responsible.

For the target period, New Zealand's gross emissions sum to 639,600.7 kt CO_2-e. The contribution towards New Zealand's target from LULUCF activities under the Kyoto Protocol is a net removal of 123,281.1 kt CO_2-e. This comprises net removals of 139,218.6 kt CO_2-e from *Afforestation and reforestation*, net removals from *Forest management* relative to the forest management reference level up and limited to a predetermined cap of 18,681.6 kt CO_2-e,[22] less 34,619.1 kt CO_2-e from *Deforestation* (see section 2.3 for further detail).

The accounting rules for the LULUCF activities reported under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol are explained in chapter 11.

In addition, New Zealand can access sufficient Kyoto units for the purpose of meeting its 2013 to 2020 target, if required, pending the outcome of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) expert review of this inventory.

On the basis of this inventory submission, and pending its review, New Zealand is on track to meet its 2013 to 2020 target. Refer to New Zealand's latest net position.[23]

2.2 Emission trends by sector

New Zealand reports emissions and removals for the Energy, IPPU, Agriculture, LULUCF and Waste sectors. Tokelau's emissions are also reported separately by sector as 'Other'.

2.2.1 New Zealand's emissions by sector and by gas in 2020

New Zealand's emissions by sector reflect the composition of the national economy. The Agriculture sector contributed 50.0 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions in 2020. New Zealand's Energy sector contributed 39.9 per cent to the national gross emissions, while the IPPU and Waste sectors contributed 5.9 per cent and 4.1 per cent respectively (figure 2.2.1). New Zealand's 'Other' sector (Tokelau) contributed 0.005 per cent to the national gross emissions.

The LULUCF sector currently represents a sink, with a net removals value of –23,313.3 kt CO_2-e. This offset 29.6 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions in 2020.

---

22 Note, a cap is applied to limit the accounting quantity claimed from forest management activities. See chapter 11 for further information.

23 New Zealand's net position in relation to its 2020 target can be accessed from the Ministry for the Environment's website (https://environment.govt.nz/what-government-is-doing/areas-of-work/climate-change/emissions-reduction-targets/latest-update-on-new-zealands-2020-net-position).

Figure 2.2.1 New Zealand's emissions by sector in 2020



Note: The percentages may not add up to 100 per cent due to rounding. The LULUCF sector, which is not a part of gross emissions, is included here as a negative value. The Tokelau sector is not visible due to its small contribution (4.18 kt CO_2-e or 0.005 per cent of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions).

Each of the sectors is dominated by one or two GHGs. Figure 2.2.2 shows New Zealand's gross emissions by gas.

Figure 2.2.2 New Zealand's gross emissions by gas in 2020



Note: CH_4 = methane; CO_2 = carbon dioxide; N_2O = nitrous oxide. The percentages may not add up to 100 per cent due to rounding.

Carbon dioxide (CO_2) contributes 43.7 per cent to New Zealand's gross emissions (34,456.8 kt CO_2) (figure 2.2.2). The Energy sector produces the largest amount of CO_2, at 30,549.4 kt (88.7 per cent) of New Zealand's CO_2 emissions in 2020. The categories contributing most to CO_2 emissions in the Energy sector are *Transport* (13,078.7 kt CO_2, 38.0 per cent, of New Zealand's CO_2 emissions) and *Manufacturing industries and construction* (6,595.2 kt CO_2, 19.1 per cent, of New Zealand's CO_2 emissions). In 2020, the LULUCF sector was a CO_2 sink, sequestering –23,666.2 kt CO_2 (68.7 per cent) of New Zealand's CO_2 emissions. This resulted in net CO_2 emissions of 10,790.5 kt in 2020.

The amount of methane (CH_4) emitted in New Zealand in 2020 (measured as CO_2-e) is 43.5 per cent of gross emissions (34,272.9 kt CO_2-e). Nitrous oxide (N_2O), at 10.7 per cent (8,463.8 kt CO_2-e), is the third-largest component of New Zealand's gross emissions. The Agriculture sector produces the largest amounts of both CH_4 and N_2O. In 2020, the contributions of the Agriculture sector to national emissions of CH_4 and N_2O were 88.9 per cent and 94.5 per cent respectively. The major source of CH_4 in the Agriculture sector is *Enteric fermentation* (28,831.5 kt CO_2-e, 84.1 per cent, of New Zealand's gross CH_4 emissions). Emissions from the *Agricultural soils* category (from adding nitrogen to soil, for example, manure or fertiliser) are the largest source of gross N_2O emissions (7,882.8 kt CO_2-e, 93.1 per cent, of national N_2O emissions).

Methane is also the largest component of New Zealand's Waste sector emissions, contributing 3,011.9 kt CO_2-e, or 8.8 per cent, of gross CH_4 emissions.

Fluorinated gases (hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs) and sulphur hexafluoride (SF_6))[24] collectively contribute 2.0 per cent to New Zealand's gross emissions. The IPPU sector is the only source of fluorinated gases in New Zealand. Taken together, the emissions of HFCs, PFCs and SF_6 were 1,584.9 kt CO_2-e in 2020. No manufacture of any of the fluorinated greenhouse gases occurs in New Zealand. Emissions of fluorinated gases are dominated by HFCs (93.4 per cent of all fluorinated gases). The PFCs and SF_6 contribute 5.5 per cent and 1.1 per cent to total emissions of fluorinated gases respectively. Almost all of New Zealand's PFC emissions (99.99 per cent) result from aluminium production.

2.2.2 Emission trends by sector from 1990 to 2020

The Agriculture and Energy sectors dominate New Zealand's gross emissions. Together, these sectors produced almost 90 per cent of New Zealand's annual gross GHG emissions from 1990 to 2020. The IPPU and Waste sectors produce relatively small amounts of GHGs, contributing between 4 per cent and 6 per cent to the annual gross emissions for the entire time series. Figure 2.2.3 shows the contribution that each inventory sector contributed to New Zealand's emissions. The LULUCF sector was a net GHG sink between 1990 and 2020.

Figure 2.2.3 Trends in New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions by sector from 1990 to 2020



Note: Net removals from the LULUCF sector are as reported under the Convention (chapter 6).

24 New Zealand does not produce or consume nitrogen trifluoride (NF_3).

Table 2.2.1 presents New Zealand's emissions by sector in 1990 and 2020 and the change between the years in absolute terms and by percentage. Figure 2.2.4 shows the changes in emissions by sector comparing between 1990 and 2020.

Table 2.2.1 New Zealand's emissions by sector between 1990 and 2020

Sector	1990	2020	Change from 1990 (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Change from 1990 (%)
Energy	23,877.9	31,461.4	7,583.5	31.8
IPPU	3,579.9	4,618.4	1,038.4	29.0
Agriculture	33,792.9	39,425.5	5,632.7	16.7
Waste	3,943.1	3,268.9	–674.2	–17.1
Tokelau	3.2	4.2	1.0	31.9
Gross	**65,197.0**	**78,778.4**	**13,581.4**	**20.8**
LULUCF	–21,229.2	–23,313.3	–2,084.0	–9.8
Net	**43,967.8**	**55,465.1**	**11,497.3**	**26.1**

Note: Net emissions from the LULUCF sector are as reported under the Convention (chapter 6). Columns may not sum due to rounding. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

Figure 2.2.4 Change in New Zealand's emissions by sector comparing 1990 and 2020



Figure 2.2.5 presents the absolute change in gross emissions for each sector (LULUCF is excluded from the estimate of gross emissions).

The figure shows that the absolute changes in New Zealand's gross emissions were mostly influenced by changes in the Agriculture and Energy sectors. This is to be expected because they are the largest sectors of the New Zealand economy and show higher sensitivity to both changes in global economic conditions, extreme weather conditions and natural disasters.

For example, during droughts, the level of inflow to hydro lakes is low resulting in lower levels of hydro electricity production. Consequently, electricity produced from fossil fuels makes a higher contribution to the national electricity grid resulting in increased emissions from the Energy sector.

Droughts also affect the size of the livestock and livestock productivity, which usually result in reduced emissions from the Agriculture sector.

Figure 2.2.5 also shows a decrease in emissions from Tokelau, which is mainly due to decreases in emissions from *Domestic navigation* as a result of restrictions brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Figure 2.2.5 Absolute change in New Zealand's gross emissions by sector from 1990 to 2020



Note: Gross emissions exclude emissions from LULUCF.

Net removals from the LULUCF sector fluctuate significantly over the time series. The fluctuations in net removals from LULUCF (figure 2.2.6) are mainly influenced by harvesting, afforestation and deforestation rates (see the LULUCF sector section below).

Figure 2.2.6 Absolute change in net emissions from the LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2020

Energy sector

Emissions from the Energy sector are dominated by CO_2 (97.1 per cent of all emissions from the sector) and smaller amounts of CH_4 and N_2O (2.2 per cent and 0.7 per cent respectively). The major source categories in the sector are *Road transportation* and *Public electricity and heat production*.

Emissions in the Energy sector are influenced not only by demand but also climatic conditions. A large proportion of New Zealand's stationary energy needs are met by renewables, mainly hydro power and wind. Electricity generated from renewable energy sources was 81 per cent in 2020.

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Energy sector contributed 31,461.4 kt CO_2-e, or 39.9 per cent, of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions (see figure 2.2.1).

The largest sources of emissions in the Energy sector were the *Road transportation* category, contributing 12,023.2 kt CO_2-e (38.2 per cent), and the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category, contributing 6,681.6 kt CO_2-e (21.2 per cent) to energy emissions.

1990–2020

In 2020, emissions from the Energy sector increased by 31.8 per cent (7,583.5 kt CO_2-e) from the 1990 level of 23,877.9 kt CO_2-e.

This growth in emissions is primarily from *Road transportation*, which increased by 5,194.1 kt CO_2-e (76.1 per cent), *Food processing, beverages and tobacco*, which increased by 1,387.0 kt CO_2-e (83.0 per cent), and *Public electricity and heat production*, which increased by 1,127.4 kt CO_2-e (32.3 per cent).

In 2020, emissions from *Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries* were lower than the 1990 level by 1,452.7 kt CO_2-e (84.6 per cent). This decrease is mainly due to the cessation of synthetic gasoline production in 1997.

Figure 2.2.7 shows the Energy sector emissions time series from 1990 to 2020. The trend shows emissions increased until around 2005, after which a flat to decreasing trend has occurred in Energy sector emissions.

Figure 2.2.7 New Zealand's Energy sector emissions from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

The 2020 calendar year saw disruption to economic activity in New Zealand, with the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic being felt by the energy sector throughout the year. This resulted in significant changes to the supply and demand of energy in New Zealand. For in-depth analysis and commentary see *Energy in New Zealand*, section C: Impacts of COVID-19 (Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, 2021).

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the Energy sector decreased by 2,459.0 kt CO_2-e (7.2 per cent). This is largely due to the 1,093.0 kt CO_2-e (8.3 per cent) decrease in emissions from 1.A.3.b *Road transportation*, followed by a 315.3 kt CO_2-e (30.8 per cent) decrease from category 1.A.3.a *Domestic aviation*.

The decrease was partially offset by an increase from category 1.A.1.a *Public electricity and heat production* of 401.5 kt CO_2-e (9.5 per cent).

A decrease of 847.6 kt CO_2-e (11.3 per cent) also occurred in emissions from category 1.A.2 *Manufacturing industries and construction*.

IPPU sector

The IPPU sector in New Zealand produces CO_2 emissions (62.0 per cent), fluorinated gases (34.0 per cent) and smaller amounts of CH_4 and N_2O. The major categories in the IPPU sector are *Iron and steel production*, *Refrigeration and air conditioning*, *Aluminium production* and *Cement production*. Coal and natural gas are also used on a significant scale for energy in the *Mineral industry*, *Chemical industry* and *Metal industry* categories. Carbon dioxide and any other emissions from combustion of fuels in these industries are reported under the Energy sector.

2020

In 2020, emissions from the IPPU sector contributed 4,618.4 kt CO_2-e, or 5.9 per cent, of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions.

The largest category is the *Metal industry*, with substantial CO_2 emissions from the *Iron and steel production* and *Aluminium production* categories. The *Mineral industry* and *Chemical industry* categories also contribute significant CO_2 emissions, and most of the non-CO_2 emissions come from the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category.

The IPPU sector also produces smaller amounts of CH_4 from methanol production and N_2O used for medical applications in the *Other product manufacture and use* category.

Coal and natural gas are also used on a significant scale for energy in these industries, and related emissions are reported under the Energy sector in the category *Manufacturing industries and construction*.

The emissions by category are shown in chapter 4, table 4.1.1.

1990–2020

Emissions from the IPPU sector in 2020 were 1,038.4 kt CO_2-e (29.0 per cent) higher than emissions in 1990 (3,579.9 kt CO_2-e).

This increase was mainly driven by increasing emissions from the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category, due to the introduction of HFCs to replace ODS in refrigeration and air conditioning and the increased use of household and commercial air conditioning. Carbon dioxide emissions have also increased due to increased production of metals, lime and cement, but at a slower rate; and in 2020, the increase was offset by reduced emissions due to COVID-19 restrictions. A substantial reduction occurred in emissions of PFCs due to improved management of anode effects in the *Aluminium production category* and some reduction occurred in emissions of N_2O used for medical applications in the *Other product manufacture and use* category. The trends are shown in chapter 4, figure 4.1.1 and figure 4.1.2.

The trends for the IPPU sector are shown in figure 2.2.8.

Figure 2.2.8 New Zealand's IPPU sector emissions from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the IPPU sector decreased by 242.7 kt CO_2-e (5.0 per cent).

This change was the net result of a significant decrease in emissions from the *Metal industry* category (109.5 kt CO_2-e or 4.7 per cent), and smaller decreases in other categories, due to plant shutdowns related to the COVID-19 pandemic and consequent decreased production. New Zealand had a national lockdown from 26 March to 27 May 2020.

Agriculture sector

The Agriculture sector in New Zealand produces three main greenhouse gases: CH_4, N_2O and CO_2, which comprise 77.3 per cent, 20.3 per cent and 2.4 per cent of all Agriculture sector emissions respectively. Trends in Agriculture sector emissions are largely driven by the populations of ruminant livestock (dairy cattle, non-dairy (beef) cattle, sheep, and deer). The largest contributing categories in the Agriculture sector are *Enteric fermentation* and *Agricultural soils*. Emissions from the Agriculture sector reflect the total livestock population, the types of livestock and farming systems, and levels of production. Several drivers affect the emission trends for both CH_4 and N_2O in the sector. These include:

- changes over time to the population of the main livestock types farmed in New Zealand. Since 1990, the dairy cattle population has increased, while sheep and non-dairy (beef) cattle populations have decreased as the profitability of dairy products has risen relative to sheep and beef products (see chapter 5, figure 5.1.3a and figure 5.1.3b)

- increases in livestock productivity (for both milk and meat yield per head of livestock), which have been achieved by New Zealand farmers since 1990. This has resulted in increased feed intake per animal to meet higher energy demands of increased production. Increased feed intake results in increased CH_4 (from increased enteric fermentation) and N_2O emissions (from increased excreta deposited on pasture) per animal
- incidence of severe droughts, which have resulted in reduced livestock productivity and livestock populations, which in turn reduced livestock-related emissions. Noteworthy droughts have decreased the trend in emissions, when compared with previous years. The Ministry for Primary Industries produces quarterly reports that summarise the effects of these events at a sector level and provide short-term forecasts[25]
- commodity price fluctuations that drive farmer investment decisions in livestock numbers and species as well as production inputs. The 'Situation and outlook for primary industries report' produced by the Ministry for Primary Industries summarises these decisions at a sector level and provides short-term forecasts[26]
- shifting land use across different types of livestock farming and other agriculture enterprises including forestry. The Agriculture sector uses around 45 per cent of New Zealand's land area, mostly for grazing of pastoral land. Between 1990 and 2020, the area used for sheep, beef and deer grazing has decreased by nearly 36 per cent (Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd, 2021), while the area used for dairy grazing has increased by 69.1 per cent (LIC and DairyNZ, 2021)
- use of synthetic (not organic) nitrogen (N) fertiliser. The amount of synthetic N fertiliser applied to agricultural land has increased by 693 per cent since 1990.

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Agriculture sector contributed 39,425.5 kt CO_2-e, representing 50.0 per cent of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions in 2020 (see figure 2.2.9).

Enteric fermentation was the main source of agriculture emissions, contributing 73.1 per cent (28,831.5 kt CO_2-e) of the sector's emissions. *Agricultural soils* (20.0 per cent) was the second largest source followed by *Manure management* (4.4 per cent). *Urea application* and *Liming* contributed 1.4 per cent and 1.0 per cent respectively. *Field burning of agricultural residues* contributed the remaining 0.1 per cent.

25 For more information, see www.mpi.govt.nz/news-and-resources/economic-intelligence-unit/situation-and-outlook-for-primary-industries.

26 For more information, see www.mpi.govt.nz/news-and-resources/economic-intelligence-unit/situation-and-outlook-for-primary-industries.

Figure 2.2.9 New Zealand's Agriculture sector emissions from 1990 to 2020



1990–2020

In 2020, New Zealand's Agriculture sector emissions were 16.7 per cent (5,632.7 kt CO_2-e) above the 1990 level of 33,792.9 kt CO_2-e.

The greatest contributions to the increase since 1990 are a 48.7 per cent (2,581.9 kt CO_2-e) increase in N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils* and a 5.4 per cent (1,481.1 kt CO_2-e) increase in CH_4 emissions from *Enteric fermentation*.

The increase in N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils* is mainly a result of increased application of synthetic N fertiliser by around 693 per cent since 1990, primarily due to an increase in dairy farming.

The increase in emissions from *Enteric fermentation* is driven by an increase in dairy cattle numbers, which have been partially offset by decreases in beef cattle and sheep numbers. The change in animal populations since 1990 reflects the relative financial returns in each animal sector (it has been more profitable to farm dairy cattle than beef cattle or sheep in New Zealand over the reporting period) and changes in New Zealand's regulatory environment, especially connected to improving freshwater quality.

2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, total agricultural emissions decreased by 0.2 per cent (93.1 kt CO_2-e) due to a reduction in emissions from sheep, urea application and liming. This comprises:

- emissions from sheep (CH_4 and N_2O), which reduced by 2.8 per cent (268.3 kt CO_2-e) due to a further decrease in the sheep population

- *Liming emissions* (CO_2), which reduced by 9.0 per cent (40.3 kt CO_2-e) due to a decrease in the use of lime
- *Urea emissions* (CO_2), which reduced by 5.0 per cent (28.7 kt CO_2-e) due to a decrease in the use of urea fertiliser.

Emissions from other activities increased but were not enough to offset the overall decrease in agricultural emissions. These increases comprise emissions from:

- inorganic fertiliser, which increased by 7.5 per cent (128.5 kt CO_2-e)
- non-dairy (beef) cattle, which increased by 1.2 per cent (84.0 kt CO_2-e) as the population recovered from a decrease in 2019
- dairy cattle, which increased by 0.2 per cent (31.2 kt CO_2-e) due a slight increase in the population.

LULUCF sector

The following information on LULUCF summarises reporting under the Convention. Reporting for Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 LULUCF activities under the Kyoto Protocol are covered in section 2.3 and the Executive summary, section ES.5.

2020

In 2020, net emissions from the LULUCF sector were –23,313.3 kt CO_2-e, or –29.6 per cent, of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions. This comprises net removals of –23,666.2 kt CO_2, emissions of 81.7 kt CO_2-e of CH_4 and 271.3 kt CO_2-e of N_2O. The category contributing the most to both removals and emissions is *Forest land remaining forest land*. This is because large removals result from the growth of all forests on this land and large emissions also occur from the sustainable harvest of New Zealand's plantation forests.

1990–2020

Net emissions in 2020 have decreased by 2,084.0 kt CO_2-e (9.8 per cent) from the 1990 level of –21,229.2 kt CO_2-e (see chapter 6, table 6.1.1 and figure 6.1.1). This is largely due to an increase in the production of harvested wood products, which have compensated for the emissions from the increase in forest harvesting.

The fluctuations in net emissions from the LULUCF sector (see figure 2.2.10) are influenced by afforestation, harvesting and deforestation rates. Harvesting rates are driven by several factors, particularly forest age and log prices. Deforestation rates are driven by the relative profitability of forestry compared with alternative land uses. The increase in net emissions between 2004 and 2007 was mainly due to the increase in planted forest deforestation that occurred leading up to 2008, immediately before the introduction of the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS).[27] In 2019 and 2020, rates of afforestation significantly increased. This is likely due to policy initiatives incentivising afforestation as well as higher carbon prices in the NZ ETS resulting from the announcements leading up to the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Bill and its passing into law in June 2020.

[27] The New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme included the Forestry sector as of 1 January 2008.

Figure 2.2.10 New Zealand's LULUCF sector net emissions from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

Net removals from the LULUCF sector increased between 2019 and 2020 by 278.3 kt CO_2-e (1.2 per cent) (see chapter 6, table 6.1.2).

The largest change occurred in the *Harvested wood products* category, with an increase in emissions of 2,203.3 kt CO_2-e. The reason for this change was that the production of harvested wood products fell due to disruptions in processing and logistics related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The *Grassland* category had the second largest change, with a decrease in emissions of 1,802.9 kt CO_2-e, driven by reduced deforestation of planted forest, resulting in fewer conversions from *Forest land* to *Grassland*.

Waste sector

The Waste sector in New Zealand produces mainly CH_4 emissions (92.1 per cent) followed by N_2O (5.1 per cent) and CO_2 emissions (2.7 per cent). The Waste sector produces 8.8 per cent of gross CH_4 emissions in New Zealand. Emissions of CO_2 also occur from the disposal of solid waste, but these are of biogenic origin and are not reported.

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Waste sector contributed 3,268.9 kt CO_2-e or 4.1 per cent of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions. The largest source category is *Solid waste disposal*, as shown in chapter 7, table 7.1.1 (emissions by source category).

1990–2020

In 2020, emissions from the Waste sector decreased by 17.1 per cent (674.2 kt CO_2-e), from 3,943.1 kt CO_2-e in 1990.

Annual emissions increased between 1990 and 2002, peaking at 4,468.9 kt CO_2-e in 2002, and have generally decreased since that time. Growth in population and economic activity since 1990 has resulted in increasing volumes of solid waste and wastewater for the whole of the time series. Ongoing improvements in the management of solid waste disposal at municipal landfills have meant total Waste sector emissions have been trending down since 2005, despite increasing volumes of solid waste and wastewater. The reduction in emissions is primarily the result of increased CH_4 recovery driven by the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality introduced in 2004 and also by the NZ ETS since 2013. The trends are shown in figure 2.2.11 and in chapter 7, figure 7.1.2 and figure 7.1.3.

Figure 2.2.11 New Zealand's Waste sector emissions from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the Waste sector decreased by 43.8 kt CO_2-e (1.3 per cent). This is largely the result of decreases in CH_4 emissions in the *Solid waste disposal* category due to changes in the composition of waste disposed to municipal landfills.

Other sector (Tokelau)

Beginning with the 2019 submission, New Zealand's national inventory includes emissions from Tokelau, which is an overseas dependent territory of New Zealand. Table 2.2.2 shows the contribution of emissions from Tokelau. Generally, in New Zealand's inventory, net and gross emissions are reported as a total of emissions from New Zealand's mainland territory, plus emissions from Tokelau where applicable. Because emissions from Tokelau are small, and the methodology used varies greatly between Tokelau's inventory and the inventory for New Zealand's mainland territory, emissions from Tokelau are reported in the Other sector. Methodological issues for Tokelau are detailed in chapter 8, separately from the sectoral chapters that focus on methods for New Zealand's mainland territory only. The tables in annex 7 provide common reporting format tables of time series for emissions and activity data, and information on methods and emission factors for each sector and category contributing to the gross emissions from Tokelau.

Due to its small land area, small population and absence of industry, Tokelau has a very low impact on the environment and emits very small amounts of GHGs. In relative terms, emissions have increased overall since 1990, due to increasing per capita consumption despite a decrease in population. Tokelau produces mainly CO_2 emissions (57.9 per cent) and CH_4 emissions (35.3 per cent) followed by HFCs (5.6 per cent) and N_2O emissions (1.2 per cent). Emissions from HFCs are largely coming from the use of domestic fridges and freezers.

Table 2.2.2 Emissions from Tokelau

Sector	1990	2020	Change from 1990 (kt CO_2-equivalent)	Change from 1990 (%)	Contribution to gross for Tokelau (%)	Contribution to gross NZ (incl Tokelau) (%)
Energy for Tokelau	1.26	2.40	1.14	90.22	57.53	0.003
IPPU for Tokelau	0.05	0.26	0.21	441.92	6.11	0.000
Agriculture for Tokelau	1.15	0.82	–0.33	–28.29	19.72	0.001
Waste for Tokelau	0.71	0.70	–0.01	–1.73	16.64	0.001
Gross emissions for Tokelau	**3.17**	**4.18**	**1.01**	**31.92**	**100.00**	**0.005**

Note: The 2020 submission includes emissions from Tokelau's largest contributing sectors, which are the Energy, IPPU, Agriculture and Waste sectors. The LULUCF sector is not estimated because it is expected to contribute a miniscule amount of emissions and removals because Tokelau has no planted or managed forests. The percentages may not add up to 100 per cent due to rounding.

2020

In 2020, emissions from Tokelau contributed 4.18 kt CO_2-e or 0.005 per cent of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions.

The largest source category is *Domestic navigation*, which contributed 2.08 kt CO_2-e (86.3 per cent of all energy emissions and 49.7 per cent of gross emissions from Tokelau).

1990–2020

In 1990, total emissions from Tokelau were 3.17 kt CO_2-e. Between 1990 and 2020, total emissions increased by 31.9 per cent (1.01 kt CO_2-e) to 4.18 kt CO_2-e.

The emission categories that contributed the most to this change were *Domestic navigation* and *Electricity generation*.

The changes in *Domestic navigation* are a result of Tokelau gaining ownership and use of the ferry *Mataliki* in 2016, cargo vessel *Kalopaga* in 2018 and passenger vessel *Fetu o te Moana* in 2019 leading to an increasing number of sea voyages between the atolls, which increased transport emissions. Emissions from Tokelau's IPPU sector have also increased mainly due to the introduction of air conditioning after 2006. Further changes in Tokelau's Energy sector emissions are a significant rise and then drop (by nearly 400 per cent and 82.5 per cent respectively) in consumption of imported petroleum products used for electricity production in Tokelau. Emissions from Tokelau's Agriculture sector decreased slightly as a result of a reduced pig population.

The trends are shown in figure 2.2.12 and in chapter 8.

Figure 2.2.12 Emissions by sector for Tokelau from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

Total Tokelau emissions in 2020 were 0.12 kt CO_2-e (2.8 per cent) lower than emissions in 2019. The lower emissions are largely the result of decreases in CO_2 emissions in the *Domestic navigation* category, due to decreased shipping within Tokelau. This decrease is largely the result of lockdowns occurring due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

2.3 Activities under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol

As described in section 2.1.1, New Zealand is applying the Kyoto Protocol LULUCF accounting provisions towards its 2020 target under the Convention.

In 2020, net emissions from land subject to Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 activities under the Kyoto Protocol were –29,476.1 kt CO_2-e[28] (see table 2.3.1). This estimate includes net removals from

28 In climate change literature, negative emissions are often referred to as 'removals' because they indicate removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere as a net result. This report uses the term 'removal' or 'net removal' where it will make the relevant sections easier to understand.

the growth of all forest types and their conversion into harvested wood products, as well as emissions from:

- decay of harvested wood products from *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Forest management* land
- *Deforestation* of all forest types
- conversion of land to post-1989 forest
- biomass burning
- soil disturbance associated with land use conversion.

New Zealand's estimates for emissions and removals from activities under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol do not include emissions associated with nitrogen-containing fertiliser use on afforested and reforested land, because these are reported and accounted for in the Agriculture sector. The notation key 'IE' (included elsewhere) is used for this in the common reporting format tables.

Accounting rules apply to activities under the Kyoto Protocol. Application of one of these rules, called carbon equivalent forests, means that the area of new forest planting is different from the area of *Afforestation* for some years, and the area of forest clearance and land conversion is different from the area of *Deforestation*. These accounting rules are explained further in chapter 11.

Afforestation and reforestation

Between 1990 and 2020, it is estimated that 814,354 hectares of new forest (post-1989 forest) were established as a result of *Afforestation and reforestation* activities (table 11.3.1). The net area of post-1989 forest (calculated from the total area of new forest planted since 31 December 1989 minus the deforestation of post-1989 forest since 1 January 1990) as at the end of 2020 was 775,385 hectares. This figure includes 38,969 hectares of deforestation activity that has occurred in these forests since 1990. The average annual increase of *Afforestation and reforestation* activity is 26,269 hectares. During 2020, an estimated 40,887 hectares of new forest was established, which is greater than the area established in 2019 (27,070 hectares) (see chapter 11, table 11.3.1).

Deforestation

The area deforested between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2020 was 214,077 hectares.[29] The provisional estimated area subject to *Deforestation* in 2020 was 2,443 hectares. In 2020, net emissions from *Deforestation* were 1,320.5 kt CO_2-e, compared with 3,131.8 kt CO_2-e in 2019 (a 57.8 per cent decrease).

Forest management

The total area reported under *Forest management* at the end of 2020 was 9,198,965 hectares, equivalent to 34.2 per cent of New Zealand's total land area. This category includes all land that was forest at 1 January 1990 and has not been deforested since 1990. Net removals on this land in 2020 were 16,031.9 kt CO_2-e, including net removals of 7,118.4 kt CO_2-e from the *Harvested wood products* category.

---

[29] *Deforestation* estimates for 2017 to 2020 are provisional.

Accounting quantity

For the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol (2013–20) new rules are in place for accounting under the Kyoto Protocol. These include:

- the ability to account for changes in the *Harvested wood products* category for *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Forest management* land
- the ability to exclude from accounting emissions and removals due to natural disturbance
- the ability to account for emissions and removals on land that meets the criteria of carbon equivalent forests under *Forest management* that would otherwise be accounted for under *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation*
- the ability to account for *Forest management* against a forest management reference level
- the ability to set the *Forest management* cap at 3.5 per cent of a country's base year emissions excluding LULUCF multiplied by the number of years in the commitment period.

New Zealand's accounting quantity is presented in table 2.3.1. The accounting quantity includes the sum of emissions and removals from *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation* as well as net emissions from *Forest management* (Article 3.4) relative to a forest management reference level. Credits resulting from *Forest management* cannot exceed a predetermined cap based on 3.5 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions in the base year, per year. Therefore, only the value of the cap over the eight-year period, 18,681.6 kt CO_2-e of removals, has been included in the accounting quantity. Refer to chapter 11 for more details.

Table 2.3.1 New Zealand's net emissions and removals from land subject to activities under Article 3.3 and Forest management under Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol

Activity	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Emissions in period to 2020 (kt CO_2-e)
Afforestation/ reforestation	−17,520.32	−17,913.5	−18,054.1	−18,004.8	−18,486.5	−17,741.1	−16,733.5	−14,764.7	−139,218.6
Deforestation	8,936.6	6,657.5	4,965.3	4,442.4	2,845.7	2,319.2	3,131.8	1,320.5	34,619.1
Forest management	−24,180.7	−21,978.2	−20,019.5	−18,515.0	−15,503.6	−15,247.1	−15,220.7	−16,031.9	−146,696.7
Net emissions	**−32,764.3**	**−33,234.2**	**−33,108.3**	**−32,077.4**	**−31,144.4**	**−30,669.0**	**−28,822.5**	**−29,476.1**	**−251,296.2**
Excluded emissions from natural disturbances									
Technically corrected forest management reference level ($FMRL_{corr}$)									−14,339.3
Forest management cap for period to 2020									−18,681.6
Accounting quantity excluding Forest management									**−104,599.5**

Activity	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Emissions in period to 2020 (kt CO_2-e)
Accounting quantity including Forest management									**−123,281.1**
Annual Forest management emissions against FMRL	−9,841.4	−7,638.9	−5,680.2	−4,175.7	−1,164.3	−907.7	−881.4	−1,692.6	
Cumulative *Forest management* emissions against FMRL	−9,841.4	−17,480.3	−23,160.5	−27,336.1	−28,500.4	−29,408.2	−30,289.6	−31,982.2	−18,681.6

Note: Where net emissions result in removals, they are expressed as a negative value as per section 2.2.3 of the *IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* (IPCC, 2006). The accounting quantity includes net emissions from *Forest management* because these are accounted for at the end of the commitment period against a forest management reference level and capped to 3.5 per cent of base year emissions; further information on this is included in chapter 11. Columns may not total due to rounding. Emission estimates from afforestation and deforestation activities differ from that reported in chapter 6 due to the application of the carbon equivalent forest provision. FMRL = forest management reference level; FMRLcorr = technically corrected forest management reference level.

Chapter 2: References

Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd. 2021. *Mid-Season Update 2020–21*. Wellington: Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd.

IPCC. 2006. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 5. Waste*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

LIC (Livestock Improvement Corporation Limited) and DairyNZ. 2021. *New Zealand Dairy Statistics 2020–2021*. Hamilton: DairyNZ. Retrieved from www.dairynz.co.nz/publications/dairy-industry/new-zealand-dairy-statistics-2020-21/ (9 December 2021).

Ministry for the Environment. 2016. *New Zealand's Initial Report to Facilitate the Calculation of its Emissions Budget for the Period 2013 to 2020*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. 2021. *Energy in New Zealand*. Wellington: Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. Retrieved from www.mbie.govt.nz/dmsdocument/16820-energy-in-new-zealand-2021 (September 2021)

UNFCCC. 2013. FCCC/CP/2013/10/Add.3. *Report of the Conference of the Parties on its nineteenth session, held in Warsaw from 11 to 23 November 2013, Addendum; Decision 24/CP.19 Revision of the UNFCCC reporting guidelines on annual inventories for Parties included in Annex I to the Convention*.

Chapter 3: Energy

3.1 Sector overview

3.1.1 Introduction

In New Zealand, the Energy sector covers:

- combustion emissions resulting from fuel being burned to produce useful energy
- fugitive emissions, for example:
  - production, transmission and storage of fuels
  - non-productive combustion
  - venting of carbon dioxide (CO2) at natural gas treatment plants
  - emissions from geothermal fields.

Historically, combustion emissions from *Road transportation* and *Public electricity and heat production* constituted the largest share of domestic emissions from the Energy sector in New Zealand. New Zealand has one of the highest rates of car ownership among members of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development and a relatively old vehicle fleet (average age of light passenger fleet was around 14 years in 2020). Most freight is transported by trucks, with smaller quantities transported by rail and coastal shipping.

Due to its sparse population and rural-based economy, New Zealand's domestic transport emissions per capita are high, when compared with many other Annex I countries.

Electricity generation from the combustion of coal, oil and gas supports New Zealand's highly renewable electricity system. In 2020, fossil fuel thermal plants provided 18.8 per cent of New Zealand's total electricity supply, which is very low by international standards. This is due to the high proportion of demand met by hydroelectric power generation, as well as other renewable power sources such as geothermal and wind. While this provides a strong power generation base in years with good hydro inflows, electricity emissions remain sensitive to rainfall in the key catchment areas. New Zealand has low levels of hydro lake storage compared with other countries where hydro features prominently in their electricity supply.

Fugitive emissions present a relatively minor portion of New Zealand's energy emissions profile. The main sources of New Zealand's fugitive emissions include coal mining operations, production and processing of natural gas (largely venting and flaring) and geothermal operations (largely for electricity generation).

New Zealand reports emissions from Tokelau, which is a dependent territory of New Zealand. Emissions from Tokelau for all activities are reported in chapter 8 and annex 7 of the National Inventory Report, and within the 'Other' sector in the common reporting format (CRF) tables. This is due to the significantly different methods applied and prohibitive complexity of integrating emissions within the main sectors. Therefore, all emissions reported under this sector are from New Zealand excluding Tokelau. Please refer to chapter 8 of the National Inventory Report for details of methods applied and the emissions for Tokelau.

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Energy sector contributed 31,461.4 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e), or 39.9 per cent, of New Zealand's gross greenhouse gas emissions (see chapter 2, figure 2.2.1).

The largest sources of emissions in the Energy sector were the *Road transportation* category, contributing 12,023.2 CO_2-e (38.2 per cent), and the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category, contributing 6,681.6 kt CO_2-e (21.2 per cent) to energy emissions.

1990–2020

In 2020, emissions from the Energy sector had increased by 31.8 per cent (7,583.6 kt CO_2-e), from the 1990 level of 23,877.8 kt CO_2-e.

This growth in emissions is primarily from *Road transportation*, which increased by 5,194.1 kt CO_2-e (76.1 per cent), *Food processing, beverages and tobacco*, which increased by 1,387.0 kt CO_2-e (83.0 per cent), and *Public electricity and heat production,* which increased by 1,127.4 kt CO_2-e (32.3 per cent).

In 2020, emissions from *Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries* were lower than the 1990 level by 1,452.7 CO_2-e (84.6 per cent). This decrease is primarily due to the cessation of synthetic gasoline production in 1997.

Figure 3.1.1 shows the Energy sector emissions time series from 1990 to 2020. The trend shows emissions increased until around 2005, after which a flat to decreasing trend has occurred in Energy sector emissions.

Figure 3.1.1 New Zealand's Energy sector emissions from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

The 2020 calendar year saw disruption to economic activity in New Zealand, with the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic being felt by the energy sector throughout the year. This saw significant changes to the supply and demand of energy in New Zealand. For in-depth analysis and commentary please see *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021), section C: Impacts of COVID-19.

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the Energy sector decreased by 2,459.0 kt CO_2-e (7.2 per cent). This is primarily due to the 1,093.0 kt CO_2-e (8.3 per cent) decrease in emissions from category 1.A.3.b *Road transportation*, followed by a 315.3 kt CO_2-e (30.8 per cent) decrease from category 1.A.3.a *Domestic aviation*.

The decrease was partially offset by an increase from category 1.A.1.a *Public electricity and heat production*, which increased by 401.5 kt CO_2-e (9.5 per cent).

A 847.6 kt CO_2-e (11.3 per cent) decrease also occurred in emissions from high level category 1.A.2 *Manufacturing industries and construction*.

3.1.2 Key categories for Energy sector emissions

Details of New Zealand's key category analysis are in chapter 1, section 1.5. The key categories in the Energy sector are listed in table 3.1.1.

Table 3.1.1 Key categories in the Energy sector

CRF category code	IPCC categories	Gas	Criteria for identification
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Solid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.1.a	Energy Industries – Public Electricity and Heat Production Liquid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.1.b	Energy Industries – Petroleum Refining Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.1.c	Energy Industries – Manufacture of Solid Fuels and Other Energy Industries Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.c	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Chemicals Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.d	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Pulp, Paper and Print Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Solid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.e	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Food Processing, Beverages and Tobacco Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.f	Manufacturing Industries and Construction – Non-metallic Minerals Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.2.g.iii	Other (please specify) – Mining (excluding fuels) and quarrying Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.g.v	Other (please specify) – Construction	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.2.g.viii	Other (please specify) – Other (please specify) Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.3.a	Domestic Aviation – Jet Kerosene	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.3.b	Transport – Road Transportation Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.3.d	Domestic Navigation – Residual Fuel Oil	CO_2	L1
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.4.a	Other Sectors – Commercial/Institutional Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Gaseous Fuels	CO_2	L1, T1

CRF category code	IPCC categories	Gas	Criteria for identification
1.A.4.b	Other Sectors – Residential Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.A.4.c	Other Sectors – Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing Liquid Fuels	CO_2	L1
1.A.4.c	Other Sectors – Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing Solid Fuels	CO_2	T1
1.B.1.a.1	Coal Mining and Handling – Underground Mines	CH_4	T1
1.B.2.b.5	Natural Gas – Distribution	CH_4	L1, T1
1.B.2.c.1.ii	Venting – Gas	CO_2	L1, T1
1.B.2.d	Other (please specify) – Geothermal	CO_2	L1, T1

Note: L1 means a key category is identified under the level analysis – approach 1 and T1 is trend analysis – approach 1. See chapter 1 for more information.

3.2 Background information

3.2.1 New Zealand sectoral methodology

Greenhouse gas emissions from the Energy sector are calculated using a detailed sectoral approach. This bottom-up approach is demand based; it involves processing energy data collected on a regular basis through various surveys. For verification, New Zealand also applies the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reference approach to estimate CO_2 emissions from fuel combustion for the time series 1990 to 2020 (see annex 4).

The activity data used for the sectoral approach are referred to as 'observed' energy-use figures. These are based on surveys and questionnaires administered by the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE). The differences between 'calculated' and 'observed' figures are reported as statistical differences in the energy balance tables released along with *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021). Note that, due to the intervening time between the publication of *Energy in New Zealand* and the preparation of this submission, some data revisions may have occurred.

3.2.2 International bunker fuels

The data on fuel use by international transportation are collected and published online by MBIE (2021). This data release uses information from oil company survey returns (Delivery of Petroleum Fuels by Industry (DPFI) and Monthly Oil Supply (MOS) as explained below) provided to MBIE.

Data on fuel use by domestic transport are sourced from the quarterly DPFI survey conducted by MBIE.

Some of the international bunkers data in CRF table 1.A.b are from the MOS survey, whereas the international bunkers data in CRF table 1.D are from the DPFI survey. The DPFI survey is a quarterly sectoral breakdown of observed demand (i.e., actual sales figures for different industries, one of which is international bunkers). The MOS survey is collected monthly and is a liquid fuels supply balance provided by companies selling fuels, of which one category is 'international bunkers'. Companies that respond to the DPFI survey are asked to reconcile their figures with their figures in the MOS survey. Discrepancies between the surveys are usually very small, and the companies explain differences between the two data sets as the MOS survey following a top-down approach and the DPFI following a bottom-up approach. Furthermore, the MOS and DPFI surveys are usually completed by different sections from within the fuel companies. Also, note that the *Other fuels* category is not covered in the DPFI so data must come from the MOS.

3.2.3 Feedstock and other non-energy use of fuels

For some industrial companies, the fuels supplied are used both as fuels for combustion and as feedstocks. In these instances, process-related emissions are calculated by taking the fraction of carbon stored or sequestered in the final product (based on industry production and chemical composition of the product) and subtracting this from the total fuel supplied. This difference is assumed to be the amount of carbon emitted as CO_2 and is reported both in the Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU) sector and in CRF table 1.AD. Other fuel materials, such as bitumen, also contribute to emissions that are reported under the IPPU sector and (where appropriate) in CRF table 1.AD.

In New Zealand, these non-energy fuels are as follows.

- The carbon in the natural gas used as feedstock to produce methanol is all considered to be stored in the product and therefore has no associated CO_2 emissions. The balance of the carbon is oxidised and results in CO_2. Emissions from fuel used for combustion are reported in CRF category 1.A.2.c. These figures may differ slightly from those reported online by MBIE, which are based on natural gas energy use and non-energy use as reported by the plant operator.
- All ammonia produced in New Zealand is processed into urea. Carbon dioxide emissions from the use of natural gas in ammonia production (feedstock) are reported under the IPPU sector and are included in CRF table 1.AD. Emissions from fuel used for combustion are reported in CRF category 1.A.2.c.
- Bitumen produced in New Zealand is not used as a fuel but rather by the companies Fulton Hogan and Downer EDI as a road construction material (non-energy use). Bitumen therefore has no associated direct emissions. Indirect emissions are reported under the IPPU sector.
- Coal used in steel production at New Zealand Steel Limited is used as a reductant, which is part of an industrial process. Therefore, all emissions from this coal are reported under the IPPU sector rather than the Energy sector.

For the four industries using natural gas as feedstock, the fraction of carbon stored is given in table 3.2.1. Emissions for individual products are withheld because of confidentiality concerns.

Table 3.2.1 Use of natural gas as a feedstock in New Zealand

Product	Percentage of carbon stored	Energy use reported under	Non-energy use reported under
Methanol	100	1.A.2.c	NA
Urea	80–93[30]	1.A.2.c	2.B.1
Hydrogen	0	1.A.2.c	2.B.10
Steel	0	1.A.2.a	2.C.1

Note: NA = not applicable.

Regarding urea, the split of feedstock gas and fuel gas is provided by the company. Although most of the carbon in feedstock gas used for urea production is stored in the product, this carbon is later emitted when the urea is used on farms as fertiliser. These emissions are reported under the Agriculture sector under urea application (all ammonia produced in New Zealand is processed into urea).

[30] For urea production, the fraction of carbon stored varies across the time series, depending on the composition of the feedstock gas.

Emissions from synthetic gasoline production are reported in the *Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries* category. Synthetic gasoline production in New Zealand ceased in 1997.

The split of natural gas consumed for energy use versus non-energy use across the time series is shown in figure 3.2.1, and a table giving the energy versus non-energy use data for natural gas is included in annex 4, section A.4.4. The trend in natural gas use in the chemicals category can be explained partly by events in the methanol production industry in New Zealand. Methanex New Zealand operates methanol production plants in the country and is a major gas user. Methanex significantly reduced its production in 2004 following deficient gas supply in 2003. In 2004 it started to run at reduced capacity, but increased its capacity in 2008 and then further in 2012. Production at full capacity resumed in December 2013. Details of natural gas use for the various non-energy uses are covered under the IPPU sector (chapter 4).

Figure 3.2.1 Natural gas consumption by end use type from 1990 to 2020



3.2.4 Carbon dioxide capture from flue gases and subsequent carbon dioxide storage

No CO_2 capture from flue gases and subsequent CO_2 storage occurred in New Zealand between 1990 and 2020.

3.2.5 Country-specific issues

Reporting for the Energy sector presents an issue related to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006). The issue is described below.

Sectoral approach – Methanol production

The sector activity data do not include non-energy use of fuels. As a result, subtraction of emissions is not needed to account for the sequestration of carbon in methanol. The emissions from the fuel portion are reported in the CRF category 1.A.2.c *Chemicals* in the Energy sector, and the emissions from the feedstock portion are described in chapter 4 (IPPU sector), section 4.3.2.

3.2.6 New Zealand energy balance

New Zealand's energy balance, along with comprehensive information and analysis of energy supply and demand, is published annually in *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021). It covers energy statistics, including supply and demand by fuel types, energy balance tables, pricing information and international comparisons. An electronic copy of this report is available online at: www.mbie.govt.nz/building-and-energy/energy-and-natural-resources/energy-statistics-and-modelling/energy-publications-and-technical-papers/energy-in-new-zealand.

For the most part, categories and fuels from the energy balance correspond directly with the categories and fuels in CRF table 1.A(b). A few special cases occur, as follows, where the structure of the CRF table does not align with New Zealand's energy balance.

- In the New Zealand energy balance table, crude oil and refinery feedstocks are combined, whereas in CRF table 1.A(b) these are separate line items.
- In New Zealand, liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) is considered a primary fuel and indigenous production is included in the national energy balance as such. The CRF table does not allow entry of LPG production, so it is included in natural gas production and then allocated to liquefied petroleum gas via stock change.
- New Zealand's energy balance includes the production of synthetic gasoline from natural gas under energy transformation. The CRF table does not allow entry of synthetic gasoline transformation, so it is included in natural gas production and then allocated to gasoline via stock change.

These allocations allow a more meaningful comparison with the sectoral approach data for liquid and gaseous fuels.

3.3 Fuel combustion (CRF 1.A)

3.3.1 Sector-wide information

Description

The *Fuel combustion* category reports all fuel combustion activities from 1.A.1 *Energy industries*, 1.A.2 *Manufacturing industries and construction*, 1.A.3 *Transport* and 1.A.4 *Other sectors* categories (see figure 3.3.1). These categories use common activity data sources and emission factors. The CRF tables require energy emissions to be reported by category. Apportioning energy activity data across categories is not as accurate as apportioning activity data by fuel type because of difficulties in allocating liquid fuel to the appropriate categories.

Information about methodologies, emission factors, uncertainty, and quality control and assurance for each of the categories is discussed below.

Figure 3.3.1 Change in New Zealand's emissions from the fuel combustion categories from 1990 to 2020



Methodological issues

Energy emissions are compiled using MBIE's energy statistics, along with relevant New Zealand-specific emission factors. Unless otherwise noted in the relevant section, CO_2 emissions are calculated by multiplying a country-specific emission factor for the given fuel by the relevant activity data using an IPCC 2006 Tier 2 method. Non-CO_2 emissions are calculated using IPCC 2006 default emission factors, unless otherwise noted.

Activity data

Liquid fuels

The primary source of liquid fuel consumption data is the DPFI. MBIE began conducting the DPFI in 2009. Before this, the survey was conducted by Stats NZ. The quarterly survey includes liquid fuel sales data collected from the four oil companies importing and selling fuel. The purpose of the survey is to provide data on the amount of fuel delivered by all oil companies to end users and other distribution outlets. Each oil company in New Zealand supplies MBIE with the volume of petroleum fuels delivered to resellers and the industrial, commercial and residential sectors.

Petroleum fuels data are currently collected in volume units (thousand litres). Before 2009, data were collected in metric tonnes. Year-specific calorific values are used for all liquid fuels, reflecting changes in liquid fuel properties over time. Annual fuel property data are provided by New Zealand's sole refinery.

Emissions from fuel sold for use in international transport (e.g., international bunker fuels) are reported separately as a memo item, as required (IPCC, 2006).

An MBIE-commissioned survey in 2008 on liquid fuel use (MBIE, 2008) found that there were, at the time, 19 independent fuel distribution companies operating in New Zealand that resell fuel bought wholesale from the oil companies. Furthermore, it found that this on-selling resulted in over-allocation of liquid fuel activity data to the *Transport* category, because most fuel purchased from the distribution companies was used by the *Agriculture/forestry/fishing* category. The study recommended starting an annual survey of deliveries of gasoline and diesel to each sector by independent distributors. These data were then used to correctly allocate sales of liquid fuels by small resellers to the appropriate sector.

The Annual Liquid Fuel Survey was started in 2009 (for the 2008 calendar year) and found that the independent fuel distribution companies delivered 18 per cent of New Zealand's total diesel consumption and 3 per cent of New Zealand's total gasoline consumption. Using these data, each company's deliveries between 1990 and 2006 were estimated, because no information was available for these years. The report *Delivering the Diesel – Liquid Fuel Deliveries in New Zealand 1990–2008* (MBIE, 2010) outlines in further detail the methodology employed to perform this calculation.

Solid fuels

Since 2009, MBIE has conducted the New Zealand Quarterly Statistical Return of Coal Production and Sales, previously conducted by Stats NZ. The survey covers coal produced andsold by coal producers in New Zealand. The three grades of coal surveyed are bituminous, sub-bituminous and lignite.

The Quarterly Statistical Return of Coal Production and Sales splits coal sold into over 20 industries, using the Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification 2006 (Australian Bureau of Statistics and Statistics New Zealand, 2006). Before 2009, when Stats NZ ran the survey, coal sales were surveyed for only seven high-level sectors.

All solid fuel used for iron and steel manufacture is reported under the IPPU sector to avoid double counting.

Gaseous fuels

MBIE receives activity data on gaseous fuels from various sources. Individual natural gas field operators provide information on the amount of gas extracted, vented, flared and for own use at each gas field. Information on processed gas, including the Kapuni gas field, and information on gas transmission and distribution throughout New Zealand, is provided by the operator of the Kapuni Gas Treatment Plant and gas distribution networks.

Large users of gas, including electricity generation companies, provide their activity data directly to MBIE. Finally, MBIE surveys retailers and wholesalers on a quarterly basis to obtain activity data from industrial, commercial and residential natural gas users.

In response to expert review team (ERT) recommendations, all fuel combustion for electricity auto-production was disaggregated into the appropriate sector, rather than reported in 1.A.2.g *Manufacturing industries and construction – Other*. This improvement was implemented in the 2013 submission and resulted in a reduction in unallocated industrial emissions and increases in various manufacturing and construction categories. For further information, see section 3.3.7.

Biomass

Activity data for the use of biomass come from several different sources. Electricity and co-generation data are received by MBIE from electricity generators.

- New Zealand reports emissions from landfill gas, sewage waste gas, sludge gas (derived from cattle effluent at the Tirau dairy processing facility) and commercial biogas use. Before 2013, New Zealand only reported emissions from landfill gas, sewage waste gas and commercial biogas use.
- New Zealand's gas biomass emissions are estimates based on electricity generation data (some of which are also estimated). No direct data are available on gas biomass emissions from landfills or sewage treatment facilities. See below for details of the estimation methodology of landfill gas and sewage waste gas.

- Gas biomass is also thought to be used by some local government councils; however, MBIE has no information on this use. At some point, information was collected, but the small quantities and materially insignificant emissions mean that MBIE has not focused on collecting these data for many years. A standing estimate (unchanged) has been included since 2006, but the source of this number is unknown. Emissions continue to be reported in this category to ensure no under-reporting occurs, given there is anecdotally some use outside of electricity generation and industry.
- No information is collected on flared gas biomass.
- The only gas biomass direct-use data that have been collected are for the Tirau dairy processing facility (and only one data point, which has been used for all years where it is believed the plant has emitted).

Gas biomass emissions estimates are based on electricity generation data

- Electricity generation data are collected for 15 individual plants. As of 31 December 2020, New Zealand gas biomass generation was known to include the following:
  - 11 landfill facilities, totalling 33.1 megawatts (MW). These facilities are electricity only (some landfill gas was used to heat a swimming pool in Christchurch before the Christchurch earthquake of February 2011, but that facility suffered major earthquake damage and has been removed. A new trigeneration facility has since been built)
  - four wastewater treatment facilities, totalling 12.9 MW. These are all co-generation facilities that provide heat and electricity for the processing of sewage.

    Note: Accurate information is not available on the exact type of generation plant used at these individual facilities, although it is known to be a combination of gas turbines, internal combustion engines and some steam turbine facilities.
- Generation data are collected for each year ending 31 March, with generation assumed to be distributed equally across quarters to estimate December year-end generation.
  - Generation data are usually collected from all 15 plants. However, in some years, estimates are made based on the previous year's generation.
- Fuel input information for generation is not collected for small generators (those less than 10 MW), to minimise the burden on respondents and ensure MBIE receives some information rather than nothing. Estimates of fuel input are made on the assumption of 30 per cent efficiency based on gross generation.
  - All generation data collected are assumed to be net generation, that is, parasitic load has already been excluded. They are then scaled up using default net to gross generation factors sourced from the International Energy Agency. For all thermal generation, the net to gross factor is assumed to be 1.07 (i.e., an additional 7 per cent of electricity is generated but used within the plant itself). Fuel input estimates are then calculated based on the gross generation using a default electrical efficiency factor of 30 per cent. This estimated quantity of biogas is used as total biogas for energy purposes. Biogas use estimates for landfill gas and sewage waste gas are calculated and reported in petajoules (PJ).
  - Energy quantities of gas biomass are then converted into greenhouse gas emissions using default IPCC emission factors. These factors are as follows:
- CO_2 – 13.4 kt carbon/PJ or 49.17 kt CO_2/PJ. This is derived from the IPCC default net emission factor (it is assumed that the net emission factor is 10 per cent lower than the gross emission factor)

- methane (CH_4) – 0.9 t/PJ
- nitrous oxide (N_2O) – 0.09 t/PJ.
- Emissions from gas biomass comprise a very small part of New Zealand's emissions inventory. Given this situation, MBIE believes the current process is sufficient for estimating emissions from gas biomass. Efforts to improve emissions quality would be better focused on other areas.

Residential and industrial solid biomass activity data are taken from the annual *Energy in New Zealand* publication (MBIE, 2021). The residential values are estimated by MBIE based on information on the proportion of households with wood burning heaters (from Census data) and data from the Building Research Association of New Zealand (BRANZ, 2002) on the average amount of energy used by households that use wood for heating. The industrial biomass estimation is based on the report *Heat Plant in New Zealand* (Bioenergy Association of New Zealand, 2011).

Liquid biofuel activity data are based on information collected under the Petroleum or Engine Fuel Monitoring Levy, as reported in MBIE quarterly online data releases.

Electricity auto-production

All combustion activity for electricity auto-production is allocated into the appropriate manufacturing category.

Emission factors

New Zealand emission factors are based on gross calorific values. A list of emission factors for CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O for all fuel types is provided in annex 4, tables A4.1 to A4.4. The characteristics of liquid, solid and gaseous fuels and biomass used in New Zealand are described under each of the fuel sections below. Where a New Zealand-specific value is not available, New Zealand uses either the IPCC value that best reflects New Zealand conditions or the mid-point value from the IPCC range. All emission factors from the IPCC are converted from net calorific value to gross calorific value. New Zealand adopts the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development and International Energy Agency assumptions to make these conversions.

- Gaseous fuels: Gross Emission Factor = 0.90 × Net Emission Factor
- Liquid and solid fuels: Gross Emission Factor = 0.95 × Net Emission Factor
- Wood: Gross Emission Factor = 0.80 × Net Emission Factor

Liquid fuels

Where possible, CO_2 emission factors for liquid fuels are calculated on an annual basis. Carbon dioxide emission factors are calculated from Refining New Zealand data on the carbon content and calorific values of the fuels that they produce. For non-CO_2 emissions, IPCC default values are used unless otherwise specified in the relevant section. Annex 4, section A4.1, includes further information on liquid fuel emission factors, including a time series of gross calorific values.

Solid fuels

Emission factors for solid fuels were updated for the 2016 submission across the time series from 1990 to 2008, in response to a 2013 ERT recommendation (FCCC/ARR/2013/NZL, paragraph 32) (UNFCCC, 2014). A comprehensive list of carbon content by coal mine is not currently available. A review of New Zealand's coal emission factors in preparation for the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS) (CRL Energy Ltd, 2009) recommended re-weighting the current default emission factors to 2007 production rather than continuing with those in the *New Zealand Energy Information Handbook* (Baines, 1993). However, following the recommendation of the ERT review of New Zealand's 2013 submission (FCCC/ARR/2013/NZL, paragraph 32) (UNFCCC, 2014), the emission factors between 1990 and 2008 have been interpolated.

Also, the emission factor used to calculate emissions from coal use in the public electricity and heat production sector has been weighted to reflect the combustion of imported coal. A time series of the effect of this weighting is included in annex 4 (table A4.2).

Gaseous fuels

New Zealand's gaseous fuel emission factors are above the IPCC 2006 default range, because New Zealand natural gas fields tend to have higher CO_2 content than most international gas fields. This is verified by regular gas composition analysis. Emission factors for 2020 from all fields, along with the production weighted average, are included in annex 4.

The annual gaseous fuel emission factor is the calculated weighted average for all of the natural gas production fields. The emission factor takes into account gas compositional data from all gas fields. This method provides increased accuracy because the decline in production from both the Maui and Kapuni gas fields has been replaced by other new gas fields (for example, Pohokura) coming on-stream. This emission factor fluctuates slightly from year to year, mainly due to the relative production volume at different gas fields in a given year.

The Kapuni gas field has particularly high CO_2 content. Historically, this field has been valued by the petrochemicals industry as a feedstock. However, most of the gas from this field is now treated, and the excess CO_2 is removed at the Kapuni Gas Treatment Plant. Consequently, separate emission factors were used to calculate emissions from Kapuni treated and untreated gas, due to the difference in carbon content (see annex 4, table A4.1). Carbon dioxide removed from raw Kapuni gas then vented is reported under 1.B.2.c *Venting and flaring*.

Biomass

The emission factors for wood combustion are calculated from the IPCC 2006 default emission factors. This assumes that the net calorific value is 20 per cent lower than the gross calorific value (IPCC, 2006). Carbon dioxide emissions from wood used for energy production are reported as a memo item and are not included in the estimate of New Zealand's total greenhouse gas emissions (IPCC, 2006). Carbon dioxide emission factors for liquid biofuels are sourced from the *New Zealand Energy Information Handbook* (Baines, 1993), while CH_4 and N_2O emission factors are IPCC 2006 default emission factors.

3.3.2 Sector-wide improvements

After significant work to upgrade the oil and gas data system, data for naphtha, lubricants and petroleum coke have been disaggregated and are now reported separately in the reference approach.

The system for tracking and calculating emissions within the Energy sector was previously migrated to the R programming language. A further project to streamline and simplify the greenhouse gas reporting data system was completed in 2021. This project identified a number of minor inconsistencies and bugs that were addressed. Several upstream data systems, including the energy balance tables, have also been translated into the R programming language.

All source-specific improvements are discussed in their corresponding sections.

3.3.3 Sector-wide planned improvements

Work is progressing well on the construction of a new comprehensive fuel properties data management system. It is expected to be commissioned before New Zealand's next submission.

All source-specific planned improvements are discussed in their corresponding sections.

3.3.4 Sector-wide quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC)

In the preparation of this inventory, the *Fugitive* category underwent Tier 1 quality-assurance and quality-control checks, as recommended in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. These include regular control sums throughout systems to verify system integrity, time-series consistency checks on activity data and consistency checks on implied emission factors at the industry–plant level, where possible. Figure 3.3.2 describes the quality control process map for the Energy sector.

Figure 3.3.2 Energy sector quality control process map



As discussed in section 3.1, the reference approach provides a good, high-level quality check for activity data. A significant deviation (greater than 5 per cent) indicates a likely issue.

Implied CO_2 emission factors for combustion of liquid, solid and gaseous fuels from this inventory were compared with those in the IPCC Emission Factor Database, and converted to gross values for comparability with the New Zealand energy system.

Figure 3.3.3, figure 3.3.4 and figure 3.3.5 weight the upper, lower and middle IPCC 2006 emission factor ranges according to observed fuel consumption in New Zealand for the given year. For example, the top of the IPCC range for liquid fuels was calculated using the top of the IPCC 2006 emission factor range for each liquid fuel and observed New Zealand activity data for each liquid fuel.

The sum of all these emissions was then divided by the total observed liquid fuel combustion to obtain an implied emission factor weighted by New Zealand liquid fuel use. This was repeated for all fuel groups and years for the high, low and mid-points of the IPCC 2006 ranges.

With the exception of gaseous fuels (as discussed in section 3.3.1), each fuel type falls within the IPCC default range.

Figure 3.3.3 Carbon dioxide implied emission factor (IEF) – Liquid fuel combustion from 1990 to 2020



Figure 3.3.4 Carbon dioxide implied emission factor (IEF) – Solid fuel combustion from 1990 to 2020



Figure 3.3.5 Carbon dioxide implied emission factor (IEF) – Gaseous fuel combustion from 1990 to 2020



Note: As discussed in section 3.3.1 under 'Emission factors', carbon dioxide emission factors for New Zealand natural gas fields are established through gas composition analysis and are known to be high by international standards.

3.3.5 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainty in greenhouse gas emissions from fuel combustion varies, depending on the type of greenhouse gas. The uncertainty in CO_2 emissions is relatively low. This is important because CO_2 emissions comprise around 96 per cent to 97 per cent of CO_2-e emissions from fuel combustion in New Zealand. By comparison, emissions of the non-CO_2 gases are much less certain because emissions vary with combustion conditions. Uncertainties for CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O activity data and emission factors are supplied in table 3.3.1. Many of the non-CO_2 emission factors used by New Zealand are the IPCC default values. Further detailed information around uncertainties for each fuel type can be found in annex 4, sections A4.1, A4.2 and A4.3.

Table 3.3.1 Uncertainty for New Zealand's Energy sector emission estimates for 2020

	Category	Activity data uncertainty (%)	Emission factor uncertainty (%)
CO_2	Liquid fuels	1.5	±0.5
	Solid fuels	4.6	±2.2
	Gaseous fuels	9.3	±2.4
	Fugitive – geothermal	5.0	±5.0
	Fugitive – venting/flaring	9.3	±2.4
	Fugitive – oil and gas production and transport	5.0	±100.0
	Fugitive – transmission and distribution	9.3	±100.0
CH_4	Liquid fuels	1.5	±50.0
	Solid fuels	4.6	±50.0
	Gaseous fuels	9.3	±50.0
	Biomass	50.0	±50.0
	Fugitive – geothermal	5.0	±5.0
	Fugitive – venting/flaring	9.3	±50.0
	Fugitive – coal mining and handling	4.6	±50.0

	Category	Activity data uncertainty (%)	Emission factor uncertainty (%)
	Fugitive – transmission and distribution	9.3	±100.0
	Fugitive – oil and gas exploration and production	9.3	±100.0
	Fugitive – oil transportation	5.0	±50.0
N_2O	Liquid fuels	1.5	±50.0
	Solid fuels	4.6	±50.0
	Gaseous fuels	9.3	±50.0
	Biomass	50.0	±50.0
	Fugitive – venting/flaring	5.0	±100.0

New Zealand uses the percentage difference between annual calculated consumer energy from supply-side surveys and annual observed consumer energy from demand-side surveys to estimate activity data uncertainty. As a result, activity data uncertainty can vary significantly from year to year.

3.3.6 Fuel combustion: Energy industries (CRF 1.A.1)

Description

This category includes combustion for public electricity and heat production, petroleum refining and the manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries. The latter category includes estimates for natural gas in oil and gas extraction and from natural gas in synthetic gasoline production. The excess CO_2 removed from Kapuni gas at the Kapuni Gas Treatment Plant has also been reported in the *Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries* category because of confidentiality concerns.

In 2020, emissions in category 1.A.1 *Energy industries* totalled 5,574.6 kt CO_2-e (17.7 per cent of the Energy sector emissions). Emissions from energy industries in 2020 were 412.2 kt CO_2-e (6.9 per cent) lower than the 1990 level of 5,986.9 kt CO_2-e. Category 1.A.1.a *Public electricity and heat production* accounted for 4,617.6 kt CO_2-e of emissions from the *Energy industries* category in 2020. This is 1,127.4 kt CO_2-e (32.3 per cent) higher than the 1990 level of 3,490.1 kt CO_2-e.

Changes in emissions between 2019 and 2020

Between 2019 and 2020, an increase of 401.5 kt CO_2-e (9.5 per cent) occurred in emissions from 1.A.1.a *Public electricity and heat production*. This was largely because the share of electricity generated from renewable energy sources was 81 per cent in 2020, down from 83 per cent in 2019. This drop was mainly caused by reduced hydro inflows over the course of the year. The reduced hydro generation was compensated for by increased gas and coal-fired generation over the year, which together increased 7.5 per cent from 2019.

Key categories identified in the 2020 level and trend assessment for the *Energy industries* category are given in table 3.3.2.

Table 3.3.2 Key categories for 1.A.1 *Energy industries*

	Liquid fuels	Solid fuels	Gaseous fuels
Public electricity and heat production – CO_2	Trend	Level, trend	Level, trend
Petroleum refining – CO_2	Level, trend		Trend
Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries – CO_2			Level, trend

New Zealand's electricity generation is dominated by hydroelectric generation. For the 2020 calendar year, hydro generation provided 55.6 per cent of New Zealand's electricity generation. A further 18.1 per cent came from geothermal, 5.3 per cent from wind, 1.7 per cent from biomass and 0.4 per cent from solar. The remaining 18.8 per cent was provided by fossil fuel thermal generation plants using natural gas, coal and oil (MBIE, 2021).

Greenhouse gas emissions from the *Public electricity and heat production* category show large year-to-year fluctuations between 1990 and 2020. These fluctuations can also be seen over the time series for New Zealand's gross emissions. The fluctuations are influenced by the close inverse relationship between thermal and renewable generation (see figure 3.3.6). In a dry year, when low rainfall affects most of New Zealand's hydro lake levels, any shortfall in hydroelectric generation is made up by increased thermal electricity generation. New Zealand's hydro resources have limited storage capacity; total reservoir storage is only around 10 per cent of New Zealand's annual demand. Hence, regular rainfall throughout the year is needed to sustain a high level of hydro generation. Electricity generation in a 'normal' hydro year does not require significant use of natural gas and coal, while a 'dry' hydro year requires higher use of natural gas and coal.

Figure 3.3.6 New Zealand's electricity generation by source from 1990 to 2020



Methodological issues

1.A.1.c Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries

Methanex New Zealand produced synthetic gasoline until 1997. A Tier 2 methodology was used to estimate CO_2 emissions based on the annual weighted average gas emission factor.

Activity data

1.A.1.a Public electricity and heat production

All thermal electricity generators provide figures to MBIE for the amount of coal, natural gas and oil used for electricity generation. Greenhouse gas emissions from geothermal electricity generation are reported under 1.B.2.d.

Around 5 per cent of New Zealand's electricity is supplied by co-generation (also known as combined heat and power) (MBIE, 2021). Most of the major co-generation plants are attached to large industrial facilities that consume most of the generated electricity and heat.

Six co-generation plants that fit the IPCC 2006 definition of public electricity and heat production produce electricity as their primary purpose. The emissions from these plants are included in the *Public electricity and heat production* category, while emissions from other co-generation plants are included in the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category (section 3.3.7).

To establish a consistent approach to on-site generation, MBIE developed a decision tree to guide the allocation of associated fuel consumption and identify whether the plant is a main activity electricity generator or an autoproducer (see figure 3.3.7).

Figure 3.3.7 Decision tree to identify a main activity electricity generator or an autoproducer



1.A.1.b Petroleum refining

Refining New Zealand provides annual activity data and emission factors for each type of fuel being consumed at the site. The fuel-type specific emission factors were adopted under the Government's Projects to Reduce Emissions in 2003 (Ministry for the Environment, 2009).

Refinery gas is obtained during the distillation of crude oil and production of oil products. As a result, emissions from its combustion are implicitly included under liquid fuels in the reference approach.

1.A.1.c.ii Manufacture of solid fuels and other energy industries – Other energy industries

Activity data for the useful combustion (own use) of natural gas during oil and gas extraction are provided to MBIE by each individual gas and/or oil field operator. Some crude oil is also combusted (own use) during oil and gas extraction. The quantity is reported directly by the oil and/or gas field operator to MBIE.

Emissions from natural gas combustion (own use) for the purpose of natural gas transmission are reported directly by the transmission network operator to MBIE. Emissions from natural gas combustion (own use) for the purpose of natural gas processing are reported directly by the plant operator to MBIE.

Losses and own use of coal by coal mining entities are reported as a single item, so data on on-site coal use are not available. Coal mines often provide a bathhouse for miners to bathe and unwind after a hard shift at the coalface. In the past, hot water was supplied by a coal-fired boiler; however, the last of these at the Stockton mine closed in the mid-1980s.

The expert opinion of coal industry specialists is that on-site coal use does not occur because any water boilers on site are now fuelled by natural gas or electricity.

Emission factors

Gaseous fuels

As mentioned in section 3.3.1, New Zealand's CO_2 emission factor for natural gas fluctuates from year to year, reflecting the relative amount of gas produced from the various gas fields in a given year. New Zealand gas fields also have higher CO_2 content than most international gas fields. This is particularly evident in the *Public electricity and heat production* category.

Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties in emissions and activity data estimates for this category are relevant to the entire *Fuel combustion* category (see table 3.3.1).

Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the *Energy industries* category underwent Tier 1 quality-assurance and quality-control checks as recommended in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. These include regular control sums throughout systems, to verify system integrity, and consistency checks on implied emission factors.

Source-specific recalculations

Updated activity data have been provided by some plant operators for the quantity of natural gas consumed for electricity generation. This has resulted in some recalculations for recent years.

3.3.7 Fuel combustion: Manufacturing industries and construction (CRF 1.A.2)

Description

This category comprises emissions from fossil fuels combusted in iron and steel, other non-ferrous metals, chemicals, pulp, paper and print, food processing, beverages and tobacco, and other uses. Emissions from co-generation plants that do not meet the definition of co-generation as provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines are included in this category.

In 2020, emissions from the 1.A.2 *Manufacturing industries and construction* category accounted for 6,681.6 kt CO_2-e (21.2 per cent) of emissions from the Energy sector. Emissions from this category are 1,923.9 kt CO_2-e (40.4 per cent) higher than the 1990 level of 4,757.7 kt CO_2-e. A decline in methanol production in 2003 to 2004 caused a significant reduction in emissions from this category. Methanol production is the largest source of emissions in category 1.A.2.c *Chemicals*. Methanex New Zealand restarted previously mothballed plants in 2012/13 and operated all three of its plants during 2020 although output was reduced due to constrained gas supply.

Changes in emissions between 2019 and 2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category decreased by 847.6 kt CO_2-e (11.3 per cent). This was driven chiefly by a decrease in emissions from the *Non-metallic minerals* category, down 296.7 kt CO_2-e (50.8 per cent) from 2019. A significant driver behind the decrease was restrictions due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the flow-on economic effects, see *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2020), for further information.

Key categories identified in the 2020 level and trend assessment for the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category are given in table 3.3.3.

Table 3.3.3 Key categories for 1.A.2 *Manufacturing industries and construction*

Category	Liquid fuels	Solid fuels	Gaseous fuels
Chemicals – CO_2			Level, trend
Pulp, paper and print – CO_2		Trend	Level, trend
Food processing, beverages and tobacco – CO_2	Level, trend	Level, trend	Level, trend
Non-metallic minerals – CO_2		Trend	
Other – mining and quarrying – CO_2	Level, trend		
Other – construction – CO_2*	Level, trend		
Other – other non-specified – CO_2	Level, trend	Trend	

Note: *This key category is calculated using emissions that do not distinguish by fuel type, however, it is known to be primarily liquid fuels.

Methodological issues

To ensure no double counting of emissions occurs, in some instances, emissions from the use of solid fuels and gaseous fuels are excluded from this category because they are accounted for under the IPPU sector. New Zealand Steel Limited uses coal as a reducing agent in the steel-making process. In accordance with 2006 IPCC Guidelines, the emissions from this are included in the IPPU sector rather than the Energy sector. In several instances, natural gas is excluded from the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category because it is accounted for under the IPPU sector. This includes urea production, hydrogen production and some of the natural gas used by New Zealand Steel (New Zealand Steel separately reports its emissions from natural gas as part of the combustion process and natural gas as part of the chemical process).

Activity data

Energy balance tables released with *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021) split out industrial uses of energy using the Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC) 2006. This was possible because of the collection of more detailed information from the various surveys used to compile the energy balance tables since 2009.

This has allowed a further disaggregation of the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category and, therefore, greater transparency. Where actual survey data are not available at the required level, estimates of the energy use across these categories have been made to ensure time-series consistency. These are described in further detail below.

Solid fuels

In 2010, the disaggregation of the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category for coal was implemented within the energy greenhouse gas data system. This was the first time the category was disaggregated and it was applied from 2009. These percentage splits, based on 2009 data, were applied to activity data for the annual inventory submission across the whole time series (back to 1990). However, during 2014, the coal data system at MBIE was revised to internally disaggregate manufacturing industries based on a 2011 survey of major coal users.

Therefore, applying the disaggregation procedure previously used within the greenhouse gas data system is no longer necessary.

From 2009 onwards, the coal sales survey conducted by MBIE provides data at a more disaggregated level.

Solid biomass

The Bioenergy Association of New Zealand conducted a 2006 Heat Plant Survey of New Zealand (Bioenergy Association of New Zealand, 2011) to gain information on heat plant (boiler) capacity and use in New Zealand. One area this survey examined was solid biomass use in New Zealand industrial companies (see table 3.3.4). The survey shows that most solid biomass in New Zealand is used by the wood processing industry. The industrial splits from the survey were used to separate out solid biomass activity data for the inventory. These splits were applied across the whole time series (back to 1990) for activity data and CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O emissions.

Table 3.3.4 Solid biomass splits for 2006 that were used to disaggregate the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category between 1990 and 2020

CRF category code	Manufacturing industries and construction category	Per cent
1.A.2.a	Iron and steel	NO
1.A.2.b	Non-ferrous metals	NO
1.A.2.c	Chemicals	NO
1.A.2.d	Pulp, paper and print	99.94
1.A.2.e	Food processing, beverages and tobacco	0.05
1.A.2.g	Other – mining and construction	NO
1.A.2.g	Other – textiles	NO
1.A.2.f	Other – non-metallic minerals	NO
1.A.2.f	Other – manufacturing of machinery	NO
1.A.2.g	Other – non-specified	0.01

Note: NO = not occurring. Survey data indicate that solid biomass combustion does not occur in the sectors.

Gas biomass

Sludge gas is produced at the Tirau dairy processing facility. Cattle effluent is used to produce sludge gas that is used to raise heat for the milk processing facility, which is open from September through to December each year. See section 3.3.1 (Biomass) for further information.

Sludge gas is not metered or analysed at the site, but estimates of flow rate and CH_4 content were obtained from the facility manager for the 2011 reporting year. MBIE then used these data to calculate an estimate of the total energy content, which was then confirmed by the facility manager.

The facility has operated in the same fashion since its construction in the late 1980s. Therefore this estimate was assumed to be valid across the time series.

Liquid fuels (diesel, gasoline and fuel oil)

As mentioned in section 3.3.1 (Liquid fuels), New Zealand uses the Annual Liquid Fuel Survey to capture sales by independent distributors. With this information, some liquid fuel demand that would otherwise be allocated to national transport is reallocated to the correct sector's demand. In terms of the Energy sector emission estimates, emissions attributed to category 1.A.3 *Transport* decreased by around 20 per cent as a result of this reallocation, and emissions attributed to other categories, such as 1.A.4.c *Agriculture/forestry/fishing*, increased significantly.

Following ERT recommendations (2007 in-country review), New Zealand began to disaggregate liquid fuel combustion in the 1.A.2 *Manufacturing industries and construction* category for the 2011 inventory. Diesel and gasoline consumption were disaggregated for the 2012 submission, and the method was subsequently extended to include fuel oil.

While data are not collected at this level of detail in energy surveys for liquid fuels, New Zealand has produced estimates based on Stats NZ survey data. Stats NZ conducted an industrial and trade energy use survey (Stats NZ, 2018), which assessed energy consumption and end use across manufacturing industries for the 2016 calendar year.

Proportions of liquid energy end use were then determined across the manufacturing industries. These proportions, along with category gross domestic product (GDP) data from Stats NZ for the period, were used to calculate implied energy intensities (PJ per unit of GDP) for each category for diesel, gasoline and fuel oil (see table 3.3.5). These intensities were then applied to Stats NZ GDP data across the time series and scaled to match the fuel sales reported for all manufacturing industries and construction, to estimate activity data for each category.

Table 3.3.5 Energy intensity values used to disaggregate liquid fuel use for manufacturing (gigajoules per gross domestic product index)

Category	Petrol	Diesel	Fuel Oil
Mining	2.4	1,117.9	19.6
Building and construction	30.5	334.8	2.7
Food processing	1.4	475.6	243.5
Textiles	0.9	12.8	158.6
Wood, pulp, paper and printing	1.1	199.5	43.7
Chemicals	0.2	36.3	2.0
Non-metallic minerals	0.7	587.4	263.9
Basic metals	1.2	88.1	0.4
Mechanical/electrical equipment	2.6	23.6	0.9
Industry unallocated	0.7	2.7	0.5

By disaggregating into categories, more accurate estimates of stationary versus mobile combustion for diesel were also able to be made, resulting in small changes to the emissions from manufacturing industries and construction.

Disaggregating the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category for solid fuels, solid biomass, gasoline and diesel has led to a significant decrease in the *Other – not specified* category (1.A.2.g) under *Manufacturing industries and construction*. The proportions are shown in figure 3.3.8, figure 3.3.9 and figure 3.3.10.

Figure 3.3.8 **Proportions used for *Manufacturing industries and construction* category – Gasoline from 1990 to 2020**



Figure 3.3.9 **Proportions used for *Manufacturing industries and construction* category – Diesel from 1990 to 2020**



Figure 3.3.10 **Proportions used for *Manufacturing industries and construction* category – Fuel oil from 1990 to 2020**



Gaseous fuels

Annual natural gas consumption statistics are published by MBIE. A review of the allocation of natural gas consumption data was undertaken in 2011 by MBIE. The purpose of this review was to address time-series discontinuities in the sectoral breakdown for some sectors before 2006. Several inconsistencies in sector reporting were found, along with a considerable amount of missing data for sectoral breakdowns. Inconsistencies from 2003 to 2005 were due to changing surveys over time. Inconsistencies or missing data before 2003 were re-worked and re-estimated. These missing data comprised around 40 per cent of total natural gas use (which was not altered at a total level but only reallocated by sector).

Where necessary, new estimates of gas consumption were made, depending on data availability. The chosen data source in order of preference was as follows.

- Data from major consumers of natural gas were used if available because they are more reliable, accurate and easily classified by sector.
- Where these data were not available, natural gas retailers' reported sales by sector were used.
- If these data were also not available, then estimates based on regressions using GDP data were used. GDP output and production data were used along with assumptions about energy intensity and consumption of categories (to as detailed a level as possible).

Several categories are represented by only one or two major natural gas consumers, for some of these cases data from major consumers were directly used. Where there are industries with many major natural gas consumers, gas retailers' reported sales by sector were used, though these can, at times, exhibit data-quality issues.

A review was also undertaken in 2014 by MBIE covering data going back to 1999. Several sales previously identified as wholesale sales (i.e., gas bought to be on-sold) were in fact sold to consumers, but at 'wholesale' (lower) prices. Work was done to correct the classifications of these sales, based on customer name, to their relevant sectors.

1.A.2.a Iron and steel

Activity data for coal used in iron and steel production are reported to MBIE by New Zealand Steel Limited. A considerable amount of coal is used in the production of iron. Most of the coal is used in the direct reduction process to remove oxygen from iron-sand. However, all emissions from the use of coal are included in the IPPU sector because the primary purpose of the coal is to produce iron (IPCC, 2006). A small amount of natural gas is used in the production of iron and steel to provide energy for the process and is reported under the Energy sector in 1.A.2.a *Iron and steel*.

1.A.2.c Chemicals

The *Chemicals* category includes estimates from the following sub-industries:

- industrial gases and synthetic resin
- organic industrial chemicals
- inorganic industrial chemicals, other chemical production, rubber and plastic products.

The quantity of natural gas used for the production of methanol and ammonia (and, subsequently, urea) has been split into feedstock gas (which is included in 2.B.8.a and 2.B.1 respectively) and energy-use gas (which is included in 1.A.2.c *Chemicals*). Further details are included in chapter 4 (IPPU sector).

The activity data for methanol production are supplied directly by Methanex New Zealand. Until 2004, methanol was produced at two plants by Methanex New Zealand. In November 2004, production at the Motunui plant was halted and the plant re-opened in late 2008. Methanex New Zealand exports most of this methanol.

Methanex is the sole methanol producer in New Zealand and considers its natural gas consumption to be commercially sensitive information. New Zealand takes a Tier 2 (IPCC, 2006) approach to estimating emissions from methanol production that uses natural gas consumption at the plant along with country- and field-specific emission factors to calculate potential emissions before deducting the carbon sequestered in the end product.

The major non-fuel-related emissions from the methanol process are CH_4 (reported under the IPPU sector) and non-methane volatile organic compounds.

Superphosphate is the fertiliser most commonly used in New Zealand to ensure that soil has a sufficiently high phosphorous content. It is manufactured from the reaction between sulphuric acid and phosphate rock.[31] During the process, the heat generated by the exothermic reaction between molten sulphur and oxygen is recovered in a waste-heat boiler to produce steam that is then used for process heating and also to drive a steam turbine that produces electricity. The electricity is used on site and ideally any excess is exported to the local power supplier.

On-site electricity generation

As mentioned in section 3.3.1, on-site electricity generation is allocated to either the *Public electricity and heat production* category or the sector in which the associated plant operates, using the decision tree shown in figure 3.3.7.

Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties in emission and activity data estimates are those relevant to the entire Energy sector (annex 4, sections A4.1, A4.2 and A4.3).

Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category underwent Tier 1 quality-assurance and quality-control checks, as recommended in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. These include regular control sums throughout systems, to verify system integrity, and time-series consistency checks.

Source-specific recalculations

Some historical energy demand data may have been revised due to revisions in data provided by companies. This has resulted in minor revisions in activity data and corresponding emissions for some years.

---

[31] Phosphate rock: rock rich in the mineral fluorapatite, $Ca_5(PO_4)_3F$.

3.3.8 Fuel combustion: Transport (CRF 1.A.3)

Description

This category includes emissions from fuels combusted during domestic transportation, such as civil aviation, road, rail and domestic marine transport. Emissions from international marine and aviation bunkers are reported as memo items and are not included in New Zealand's total emissions.

In 2020, category 1.A.3 *Transport* was responsible for 13,176.4 kt CO_2-e (41.9 per cent of emissions from the Energy sector). Emissions in 2020 were 5,050.2 kt CO_2-e (62.1 per cent) higher than the 8,126.2 kt CO_2-e emitted from the transport sector in 1990. The transport emissions profile in 2020 was dominated by emissions from category 1.A.3.b *Road transportation*. In 2020, road transport accounted for 12,023.2 kt CO_2-e (91.2 per cent) of total transport emissions. This is 5,194.1 kt CO_2-e (76.1 per cent) higher than the 1990 level of 6,829.1 kt CO_2-e.

Changes in emissions between 2019 and 2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from transport decreased by 1,478.6 kt CO_2-e (10.1 per cent). The largest contributing factor was COVID-19 restrictions that significantly supressed activity during specific periods of the year, see *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021), section C: Impacts of COVID-19 for more in-depth analysis.

Key categories identified in the 2020 level and trend assessment for the *Transport* category are given in table 3.3.6.

Table 3.3.6 Key categories for 1.A.3 *Transport*

	Liquid fuels	Solid fuels	Gaseous fuels
Domestic aviation – CO_2	Level, trend		
Road transportation – CO_2	Level, trend		Trend
Domestic navigation – CO_2	Level		

Methodological issues

1.A.3.a Civil aviation

A Tier 1 approach (IPCC, 2006) that does not use landing and take-off cycles has been taken to estimate emissions from the *Civil aviation* category. Given the uncertainty surrounding CH_4 and N_2O emission factors for landing and take-off cycles, a Tier 2 approach to estimating non-CO_2 emissions would not necessarily reduce uncertainty (IPCC, 2006).

1.A.3.b Road transportation

The IPCC 2006 Tier 2 approach was used to calculate CO_2 emissions from *Road transportation* using New Zealand-specific emission factors. The emission factors were calculated using data provided by New Zealand's sole oil refinery for oil products and the weighted average emission factor of New Zealand natural gas fields for compressed natural gas (CNG).

Since the 2012 submission, New Zealand has used a Tier 3 (IPCC, 2006) methodology to estimate CH_4 and N_2O emissions from road transport. Data collected by New Zealand's Ministry of Transport provide comprehensive information on vehicle-kilometres-travelled by vehicle class and fuel type from 2001 to 2016. Before 2001, insufficient data were available, so good practice guidance was used in choosing the splicing method to ensure time-series consistency.

The current New Zealand vehicle fleet is split relatively evenly between vehicles:

- manufactured in New Zealand[32] or imported for sale as new vehicles
- produced and used in Japan and then imported into New Zealand.

This split has been relatively constant for the past nine years.

For this reason, when estimating emissions from road transport, the New Zealand vehicle fleet (and associated CH_4 and N_2O emissions) is split into the 'new vehicle fleet' and 'used vehicle fleet' (based on a vehicle's year of manufacture rather than when they are first added to the New Zealand fleet).

New vehicles were allocated an appropriate vehicle class from the COPERT 4 model (European Environment Agency, 2007), and used Japanese vehicles were allocated emission factors as per categories from the Japanese Ministry of the Environment. These emission factors are broken down by:

- vehicle type
- fuel type
- vehicle weight class
- year of manufacture.

Due to the presence of expensive catalysts, many used vehicles imported into New Zealand had their catalytic converters removed before being exported from Japan. The Ministry of Transport undertook several testing studies to determine the proportion of catalytic converters that are removed in Japan before export.

Information on non-CO_2 emission factors can be found in annex 4, table A4.7.

Vehicle-kilometres-travelled were sourced from national six-monthly warrant of fitness inspections. These were further split into travel type (urban, rural, highway, motorway) using New Zealand's Road Assessment and Maintenance Management system.

The *New Zealand Travel Survey* (Ministry of Transport, 2010) is used to further split the 'urban' travel type into cold and hot starts. This survey provides detailed trip-by-trip information on travel type. These data were used to establish the percentage of light-vehicle urban travel that was cold and hot starts.

MBIE and the Ministry for the Environment met with the Australian inventory reporting team in July 2011 to conduct a review of proposed methodologies for calculating emissions of CH_4 and N_2O associated with road transport. New Zealand's Tier 3 approach for road transport was presented, resulting in a recommendation from the Australian team that the new methodology be adopted for the 2012 submission, and that New Zealand use the IPCC-recommended approach to selecting splicing techniques to choose an appropriate splicing method. In this, New Zealand applied splicing techniques following the 2006 IPCC Guidelines on the method selection approach.

For the 2018 submission, the Ministry of Transport has implemented several improvements for its estimates of non-CO_2 emissions from road transport. First, new emission factors for Euro 5 and 6 vehicles have been used, where previously these vehicles were treated as Euro 4/IV.

[32] As of 2018, New Zealand only manufactures a small number of buses and heavy trucks.

Second, the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme/European Environment Agency emission inventory guidebook was updated in late 2016 (European Environment Agency, 2016). Some of the emission factors for Euro 4 and earlier vehicles were also updated in the latest version of the guidebook. Moreover, detailed emission factors were provided for heavy-duty trucks in different gross vehicle mass bands.

Time-series consistency

The data available for applying the Tier 3 methodology between 1990 and 2000 were insufficient, so combining the methods to form a complete time series (splicing) was necessary. To establish the most appropriate splicing method, emissions were calculated using the Tier 1 methodology for the period 2001 to 2016. These emissions were compared against those calculated using the Tier 3 methodology, to determine the relationship between the two series (see figure 3.3.11). The guidance for the method selection process is provided in table 5.1 (volume 2) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.

Figure 3.3.11 Splicing method decision tree for gasoline emissions



For all fuels, interpolation was considered inappropriate due to the size of the block of unavailable data and the lack of data from before the missing block (1990–2000).

For emission estimates from LPG, the relationship between Tier 1 and Tier 3 appears nearly constant for both N_2O and CH_4 from 2001 until 2002. As a result, the overlap method was used (IPCC, 2006), with:

$$y_t = x_t \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n} y_i \,/ \sum_{i=m}^{n} x_i \right)$$

Where: y_t is the recalculated emission estimate computed using the overlap method

x_t is the estimate developed using the previous method

y_i and x_i are the estimates prepared using the new and previously used methods during the period of overlap, as denoted by years *m* through *n*.

However, for gasoline and diesel vehicles, the ratio Tier 3:Tier 1 appears to change approximately linearly with time. While surrogates for Ministry of Transport data were available (fuel consumption), their use resulted in a step-change that is unlikely to be representative of road transport emissions for the period. While the trend in emissions was not consistent over time, the trend of the Tier 3:Tier 1 ratio emission estimates showed a strong linear relationship with time. As a result, a hybrid method of overlap and trend extrapolation was chosen with:

$$y_t = (at + b)x_t$$

Where: *t* is the year for which a new estimate is required

a is the slope of the line achieved by regressing Tier 3:Tier 1 for the overlap period

b is the intercept of the line achieved by regressing Tier 3:Tier 1 for the overlap period

x_t is the estimate for year *t* using the previous methodology.

The relationship between Tier 3 and Tier 1 emissions is linear from 2001 to 2005 (inclusive) for both CH_4 and N_2O. This relationship was extrapolated back to the beginning of the time series to derive a factor by which to multiply the Tier 1 estimate for a given year.

Dual-fuel vehicles

Production and use of dual fuels (CNG–gasoline and LPG–gasoline) in transport was part of a government strategy to reduce New Zealand's dependence on imported oil. The use of dual fuels in vehicles was significantly larger up until government subsidies were removed in 1987. Since then, together with falling oil prices, CNG and LPG were slowly squeezed out of the market. However, up until October 2017, a Hamilton bus company continued using CNG in its bus fleet. Use of CNG or LPG for transport has not been recorded since.

Vehicle-kilometres-travelled data collected by the Ministry of Transport allocate vehicles using dual fuels (LPG–gasoline and CNG–gasoline) to the *Gasoline* category. Historically, non-CO_2 emission factors have been lower for LPG than those for gasoline. Analysis undertaken to remove activity data from gasoline to be allocated to LPG resulted in a slight decrease in overall emissions. As a result, the reallocation was not made due to a desire to be conservative when applying methods that would lead to net emission reductions. For the purposes of time-series consistency, the new methodology was considered incomparable with the previous methodology due to fundamental differences in the type of activity that the two methods represent. The CH_4 emission factors (tonnes CH_4/PJ) from a purpose-built natural gas (CNG) bus are known to be significantly lower than those from a light passenger vehicle built to run on gasoline then converted to use natural gas.

To ensure that emissions were not underestimated, an estimate of the energy used in CNG buses was made. The remaining natural gas was then assumed to be combusted in converted light passenger vehicles, and an IPCC default emission factor was used to estimate the associated emissions.

Blended biofuels

Small volumes of bio-gasoline and biodiesel are sold blended with mineral oil products and combusted in the *Road transportation* category (data exists from 2007 onwards). To ensure that liquid biofuel combustion is considered in the inventory, the energy split was calculated (i.e., gasoline as a share of combined gasoline and bio-gasoline or mineral diesel as a share of mineral diesel and biodiesel). The new estimate was then multiplied by this factor to account for gasoline and diesel not combusted. The emissions from the combustion of biofuels were then estimated using a Tier 1 methodology, as in previous inventories.

Biodiesel

Biodiesel has been disaggregated into the biogenic fraction and the fossil fraction. The biodiesel biogenic fraction continues to be classified as *Biomass*, while the biodiesel fossil fraction is classified as *Other fossil fuels*. Biodiesel produced and consumed in New Zealand is generally fatty acid methyl ester (FAME). To produce FAME, vegetable oil or animal fat is trans-esterified with methanol, which is assumed to be of fossil origin. Consequently, every single molecule of FAME contains one fossil carbon atom. While the exact fraction of fossil carbon in FAME depends on the nature of the feedstock oil, a value of 5.4 per cent is assumed based on measurements of a range of biodiesels from Reddy et al. (2008). As a result, part of the CO_2 emissions previously reported as biomass memo items are now included in the national total emissions.

1.A.3.c Railways

Non-CO_2 emissions from the *Railways* category (including both liquid and solid fuels) were estimated using a Tier 1 approach (IPCC, 2006).

1.A.3.d Navigation (domestic marine transport)

Non-CO_2 emissions from the *Navigation* category in New Zealand were estimated using a Tier 1 approach (IPCC, 2006).

1.A.3.e Other transportation

Combustion related to pipeline transport has been recategorised from 1.A.1.c to 1.A.3.e.i *Pipeline transport*, in response to feedback received from the ERT during the 2018 centralised review.

A recent development in New Zealand is the emergence of a nascent aerospace industry. In New Zealand, space-related activities (launches into outer space, launch facilities, high-altitude vehicles and payloads) are overseen by the New Zealand Space Agency, based within MBIE, as regulated in legislation by the Outer Space and High-altitude Activities Act 2017. Currently, one private company is actively launching rockets from a launch complex on the Māhia Peninsula (on the east coast of New Zealand's North Island) to put small satellites in orbit around Earth. The rockets use liquid oxygen and RP-1 as propellants. RP-1 is a form of highly refined kerosene. The specific categorisation within energy statistics is yet to be determined, although this type of kerosene is likely classified as jet kerosene under ANZSIC code *I49 Air and Space Transport*, and so would be included within 1.A.3.a *Civil aviation*. While the combustion characteristics of rocket engines are likely to differ somewhat from other jet-fuelled activities, no specific emission factors are provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.

The 2006 IPCC Guidelines cover emissions from civil aviation but do not specifically refer to aeronautics or aerospace. Ballistic vehicles, such as rockets, are usually considered to be included under aeronautics but not aviation. Presumably aerospace activities should be considered as a type of off-road transport because the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (volume 2, table 3.1.1) state that emissions from all remaining transport activities should be reported under 1.A.3.e *Other transportation*, and that 1.A.3.e.ii *Off-road* should include emissions from *Other transportation* excluding *Pipeline transport*. However, as mentioned above, the aerospace fuel activity data are currently included in 1.A.3.a *Civil aviation*. Further justification for not disaggregating the category is commercial data confidentiality concerns due to the small number of companies operating in the sector.

An important methodological issue concerning water-borne navigation and civil aviation is to make a distinction between domestic and international transport. The 2006 IPCC Guidelines clearly state that the international–domestic split should be determined on the basis of port of departure and port of arrival. By extension, that same principle should apply to aerospace transport. However, it is not clear in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines whether a journey of a craft that departs from one country but does not arrive in any other country should be defined as domestic or international transport. It is also unclear whether emissions occurring outside Earth's atmosphere should be included in either category. Furthermore, the classification of ground-based rocket testing as a form of either stationary or mobile combustion poses a methodological issue and activity data disaggregation challenge.

Activity data

1.A.3.a Civil aviation

MBIE currently collects data on aviation fuels used for international and domestic aviation through the DPFI. The respondents to this survey are New Zealand's four main oil companies, namely: BP, Z Energy, ExxonMobil and Gull (Gull participates only in gasoline and diesel sales).

The distinction between domestic and international flights is based on refuelling at the domestic and international terminals of New Zealand airports. The allocation of aviation fuels between domestic and international segments has previously been raised by the ERT. A previous centralised review stated (UNFCCC, 2009):

> The National Inventory Report (NIR) reports that the allocation of fuel consumption between domestic and international air transport is based on refuelling at the domestic and international terminals of New Zealand's airports. Currently splitting the domestic and international components of fuels used for international flights with a domestic segment was not considered; however, the number of international flights with a domestic segment is considered to be negligible. The Expert Review Team (ERT) notes that in 2006, New Zealand began consultations with the airlines to clarify the situation and improve the relevant Activity Data (AD), and is currently working on a methodology that will allow for better international and domestic fuel use allocation. New Zealand is encouraged to adopt the new approach and report the outcome in its 2010 submissions.

In the DPFI, the oil companies report quantities of different fuels (jet A1, aviation gasoline and kerosene, among others) used for the purposes of international and domestic transport. The companies allocate the fuel to international or domestic transport based on whether or not they charge goods and services tax (GST) on the fuel sold; GST is not charged when the destination of a flight is outside of New Zealand.

Some international flights from New Zealand contain a domestic leg, for example, Christchurch–Auckland–Tokyo. Industry practice is to refuel at both points with sufficient fuel to reach the next destination so that the domestic leg will be coded appropriately. By this logic, fuel used for the domestic leg will attract GST and therefore be coded as domestic, and the international leg, which does not attract GST, will be coded as international.

Although this is a supply-side approach, MBIE believes the split of international and domestic transport to be accurate because BP, Z Energy and ExxonMobil supply 100 per cent of the aviation fuels market in New Zealand. Based on the above findings and consultation, MBIE believes the current data-collection methodology is sufficiently robust to ensure all the domestic aviation fuels are reported accordingly and to avoid missing or misallocation of domestic fuel use.

1.A.3.b Road transportation

Activity data for the *Road transportation* category are provided by the Ministry of Transport's six-monthly fleet data and MBIE's national energy statistics. For more information on the use of vehicle fleet data for estimating non-CO_2 emissions, see 'Methodological issues' above.

Activity data on the consumption of fuel by the *Transport* category were sourced from the DPFI conducted by MBIE. LPG and CNG consumption figures are reported online by MBIE.

As mentioned in section 3.3.1, this inventory continues to use the results of the Annual Liquid Fuel Survey that began in 2009. The purpose of this survey is to capture the allocation of fuel resold by small independent resellers. In recent years, these independent resellers have accounted for around 30 per cent of national diesel sales and around 8 per cent of national gasoline sales.

As a result of resale data captured by the Annual Liquid Fuel Survey, emissions that would otherwise be reported in category 1.A.3.b *Road transportation* are allocated to the correct category.

For time-series consistency, these reallocations were also made from 1990 to 2008, before the collection of data on the resale of liquid fuel by independent distributors.

The diesel activity data for the *Road transport* category are assumed to be the diesel reported for domestic transport, less that reported by KiwiRail, the operator of national rail services in New Zealand, in 1.A.3.c *Railways* and 1.A.3.d *Domestic navigation*, discussed below.

The fuel sold data have been validated by estimating fuel consumption based on vehicle kilometres using a vehicle fleet model. Over the past decade, the fuel quantity from the fuel use data has been larger than that estimated using kilometres travelled. Several factors can contribute to differences between the two methods, for example, fuel sold by retailers that is then used for off-road purposes, and the real-world fuel efficiencies of vehicles differing from assumptions used in the vehicle fleet model. Across the time series (2001–15), the average difference is 1.5 per cent for petrol and 4.8 per cent for diesel, which shows that the methods align very closely. This level of agreement compares favourably with the fuel data of other countries.

MBIE receives import–export and excise data on liquid biofuels from the New Zealand Customs Service and sales data from major fuel companies. In December 2012 the Biofuel Sales Obligation was abolished and in June 2012 the Biodiesels Grant Scheme was removed. The trend in biodiesel use in road transport can be explained by the removal of these two schemes. Following their removal, activity fell significantly and has remained relatively stagnant since.

1.A.3.c Railways

Activity data for fuel used in this category are obtained directly from KiwiRail. This also includes diesel sold to the metropolitan service operated by Veolia in Auckland.

1.A.3.d Domestic navigation

Fuel oil activity data on fuel use by domestic transport are sourced from the quarterly DPFI conducted by MBIE. The DPFI provides monthly marine diesel supply figures that are added to diesel consumption data provided by KiwiRail (the operator of inter-island ferries) to obtain total diesel consumption in the *Domestic navigation* category. New Zealand-specific emission factors have been used to estimate CO_2 emissions and, because of insufficient data, the IPCC 2006 default emission factors have been used to estimate CH_4 and N_2O emissions.

Fuel sales to domestic navigation and international marine bunkers are reported separately in national energy data surveys.

Historically, the Marsden Point oil refinery produced marine diesel oil (MDO). Production of MDO at the refinery stopped in late 2006. Data collected from the operators of the Interislander Ferry service (KiwiRail) have not included MDO use since 2006. The end to the collection of these data coincided with this operator ceasing a 'fast ferry' service between the North Island and South Island – this ferry ran on MDO – whereas the remainder of its fleet runs on fuel oil. No significant quantity of diesel is used for commercial domestic navigation in New Zealand. Smaller quantities of diesel may be used in private and/or recreational vessels, but this is difficult to estimate. The DPFI would capture these sales as road transport.

Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties in emission estimates from the *Transport* category are relevant to the entire *Fuel combustion* sector (see table 3.3.1).

Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the *Transport* category underwent Tier 1 quality-assurance and quality-control checks as recommended in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. These include regular control sums throughout systems, to verify system integrity, and time-series consistency checks.

Comparisons of international implied emission factors across the time series (1990–2012), and those resulting from the new Tier 3 methodology for CH_4 and N_2O emissions from road transport, were made using data from the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change website.

Source-specific recalculations

Minor revisions to historical data have been made within the oil data system at MBIE. The method used to model emissions for the *Road transportation* category in the period 1990 to 2000 has been updated to improve consistency between fuels and vehicle types.

Source-specific improvements

Revisions to the national energy balance have resulted in reallocation of liquid fuel activity data from transport to the residential category. A description of the change is provided in section 3.3.9.

A previous ERT recommendation was to:

> Continue to estimate the CO_2 emissions on the basis of fuel sold, but report the CO_2 emissions disaggregated by vehicle mode using the data collected for the estimation of CH_4 and N_2O emissions.

This was implemented using the vehicle-kilometres-travelled data as the basis for the disaggregation. The Ministry of Transport has now provided estimates of CO_2 by mode based on its vehicle fleet data and incorporating estimates of vehicle fuel economy. This represents best practice and will greatly increase accuracy. These splits have been used to disaggregate the MBIE petrol and diesel fuel sales data between cars, light trucks, heavy trucks/buses, and motorcycles.

This change has no impact on overall road transport emissions, just reallocation between modes: the estimated petrol consumption by cars has decreased (average –165 kt CO_2 across the time series) while petrol consumption by light trucks has increased (average +201 kt CO_2 across the time series). The estimated diesel consumption by cars has decreased (average –1,106 kt CO_2 across the time series) while diesel consumption by heavy trucks/buses has increased (average +1,794 kt CO_2 across the time series).

Source-specific planned improvements

MBIE is discussing with the Ministry of Transport the possibility of incorporating the results of a new aviation emissions model in the inventory estimates (for CH_4 and N_2O emissions). This will require time and resources to progress if it is deemed to be a worthwhile improvement. An update will be reported in the next annual submission.

3.3.9 Fuel combustion: Other sectors (CRF 1.A.4)

Description

The category 1.A.4 *Other sectors* comprises emissions from fuels combusted in the *Commercial/institutional*, *Residential* and *Agriculture/forestry/fishing* categories.

In 2020, the *Fuel combustion – Other sectors* category accounted for 4,681.3 kt CO_2-e (14.9 per cent of the emissions from the Energy sector). This is 1,103.1 kt CO_2-e (30.8 per cent) higher than the 1990 value of 3,578.2 kt CO_2-e.

Changes in emissions between 2019 and 2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from 1.A.4 *Other sectors* decreased by 90.1 kt CO_2-e (1.9 per cent).

Key categories identified in the 2020 level and trend assessment for the *Other sectors* category are given in table 3.3.7.

Table 3.3.7 Key categories for 1.A.4 *Other sectors*

	Liquid fuels	Solid fuels	Gaseous fuels
Commercial/institutional – CO_2	Level, trend	Trend	Level, trend
Residential – CO_2	Level, trend	Trend	Level, trend
Agriculture/forestry/fishing – CO_2	Level	Trend	

Methodological issues

This category has no notable methodological issues.

Activity data

Liquid fuels

As mentioned in section 3.3.1, this inventory continues to use the results of the Annual Liquid Fuel Survey that began in 2009. The purpose of this survey is to capture the allocation of fuel resold by small independent resellers. In recent years, these independent resellers accounted for around 30 per cent of national diesel deliveries and around 8 per cent of national gasoline deliveries.

As the result of resale data captured by the Annual Liquid Fuel Survey, emissions that would otherwise be reported in category 1.A.3.b *Road transportation* are allocated to the correct category.

For time-series consistency, these reallocations are also made from 1990 to 2008, before the collection of data on the resale of liquid fuel by small, independent distributors began.

As mentioned in section 3.3.7 (Activity data, Liquid fuels), historical national energy sales surveys captured fuel use by mining operations under 'other primary industry'. For consistency with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, this inventory uses data provided by Stats NZ's Energy Use Survey (Stats NZ, 2018) to estimate the split of historical 'other primary industry' activity for fuel oil between forestry and logging, and mining (see table 3.3.8). The historical data are insufficient to extrapolate a historical trend for this split: instead, activity splits are interpolated between the two surveys and assumed to be constant for the period 1990 to 2008.

Table 3.3.8 Split of fuel oil activity for 'other primary industry'

Activity	Energy Use Survey 2008 (%)	Energy Use Survey 2016 (%)
Forestry and logging	51.3	9.1
Mining	48.7	90.9

Solid fuels

In 2010, it was discovered that some coal reported as sold to the commercial sector was in fact being on-sold to resellers rather than directly to end-users. As a result, some activity previously reported in the *Commercial* category has been reallocated to the Agriculture sector. This on-selling is assumed to continue across the time series 1990 to 2020.

A number of synthetic solid fuels are used as lightweight cooking fuels by hikers and the military. Examples include hexamethylenetetramine, metaldehyde and trioxane, which are derived from chemical feedstocks such as aldehydes and ammonia, and hence contain fossil carbon. These fuels are not covered by the national energy balance, and the emissions associated with their combustion have not been estimated.

Solid biomass

Residential combustion of biomass is estimated using household number estimates from Stats NZ, along with five-yearly census figures estimating the percentage of households using biomass for heating. Interpolation is used to estimate shares for intermediate years. The census data indicate that the popularity of woodburners is decreasing slowly over time. The energy content of biomass burned in each household was estimated by the study *Energy Use in New Zealand Households* (BRANZ, 2002).

The controlled outdoor combustion of biomass is a common pastime activity across New Zealand backyards, beaches and other public spaces as part of a celebration or to provide heat for warmth or cooking. Examples include bonfires, campfires, pizza ovens, braziers, fire pits and chimeneas. Traditional methods of cooking food using heated rocks buried in a pit oven include hāngī, umu and lovo. These activities are distinct from open-burning of unwanted biomass that is not used for energy purposes, which would be reported under the Waste sector. While activity data are not available, expert opinion indicates that the likely level of activity is relatively minor compared with the indoor residential combustion of biomass; therefore, emissions associated with these outdoor activities have not been estimated.

Gaseous fuels

Annual natural gas consumption statistics are published by MBIE. Reviews of all natural gas consumption data were undertaken in 2011 and 2014 by MBIE. For further information, see section 3.3.7 (Activity data, Gaseous fuels).

Other fossil fuels

Mixtures of fossil fuels are combined with a wide range of metal, organic and non-organic compounds in the formulation of low explosive pyrotechnic devices that are used for entertainment purposes (fireworks). In New Zealand, most towns and cities hold public fireworks displays for the folkloric festival known as Guy Fawkes Night (5 November) and increasingly on other days to celebrate religious and cultural festivals, such as Diwali, Matariki and Lunar New Year, as well as at major sporting events. Consumer fireworks are also available for sale to the general public during the four-day period between 2 and 5 November; however, there is no restriction on the days of use. Fireworks are imported from overseas, generally China. The solid fuels in fireworks are combusted to provide energy as a propellant for aerial fireworks and also to provide heat, light and sound effects. Common fossil–carbon based fuels include carbon black, coal, asphaltum and gilsonite. In addition to CO_2, greenhouse gas emissions also include CH_4 and N_2O.

The annual gross weight of imported fireworks was used as activity data. Emission factors were sourced from the Danish NIR.

Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties in emission estimates for data from other sectors are relevant to the entire Energy sector (see table 3.3.1).

Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the *Other sectors* category underwent Tier 1 quality-assurance and quality-control checks as recommended in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. These include regular control sums throughout systems, to verify system integrity, and consistency checks of implied emission factors.

Source-specific recalculations

Some minor recalculations may have occurred across the time series due to revisions of activity data provided by the energy data team at MBIE.

Source-specific improvements

Emissions from fireworks have been estimated and included in the *Residential* category.

Revisions to the national energy balance have resulted in reallocation of liquid fuel activity data from *Road transportation* to the *Residential* category. In July 2021, the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority published the report *Off-road Liquid Fuel Insights: Quantifying off-road diesel and petrol use in New Zealand* (Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority, 2021). The research provides a more detailed breakdown of where off-road fuel is used than was previously available, enabling better understanding of how fossil fuels are used in the off-road context. A key recommendation was that recreational marine use should be recorded as ‘off-road’ in future data. The research found the potential exists for 383 million litres of petrol and 50 million litres of diesel to be sourced through the retail network for recreational marine use annually. This was previously recorded as ‘on-road’ use in the official data, while its actual use is off-road. The recommendation to reallocate this fuel use was implemented and the national energy balance was revised. Recreational boating is considered a residential leisure activity and so fuel use has been allocated to the residential category.

Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are planned for this category.

3.4 Fugitive emissions from fuels (CRF 1.B)

Fugitive emissions arise from the production, processing, transmission, storage and use of fossil fuels, and from non-productive combustion. This category comprises two subcategories: *Solid fuels* and *Oil and natural gas*.

In 2020, fugitive emissions from fuels accounted for 1,347.5 kt CO_2-e (4.3 per cent) of emissions from the Energy sector. This is 81.4 kt CO_2-e (5.7 per cent) lower than the 1990 level of 1,428.9 kt CO_2-e.

Changes in emissions between 2019 and 2020

Between 2019 and 2020, fugitive emissions from fuels decreased by 168.0 kt CO_2-e (11.1 per cent). This was primarily the result of decreased activity in category 1.B.2.c. *Venting and flaring.*

Key categories identified in the 2020 level and trend assessment for the *Fugitive emissions* category are given in table 3.4.1.

Table 3.4.1 Key categories for 1.B *Fugitive emissions*

Category	CO_2	CH_4
Coal mining and handling – Underground mines		Trend
Natural gas – Distribution		Level, trend
Venting – Gas	Level, trend	
Other – Geothermal	Level, trend	

3.4.1 Fugitive emissions from fuels: Solid fuels (CRF 1.B.1)

Description

In 2020, fugitive emissions from the *Solid fuels* category accounted for 61.4 kt CO_2-e (4.6 per cent) of emissions from the *Fugitive emissions* category. This is 266.7 kt CO_2-e (81.3 per cent) lower than the 328.0 kt CO_2-e reported for 1990.

Between 2019 and 2020, fugitive emissions from the *Solid fuels* category decreased by 4.7 kt CO_2-e (7.1 per cent). This occurred as a result of decreased coal production.

New Zealand's fugitive emissions from the *Solid fuels* category are a by-product of coal mining operations. Methane is created during coal formation. The amount of CH_4 released during coal mining is dependent on the coal grade and the depth of the coal seam. This includes the emissions from post-underground mining activities such as coal processing, transportation and use. In 2020, New Zealand coal production was 2.8 million tonnes, similar to production levels in 2016 and 2017. Fewer than 20 active coal mines are operating in New Zealand; as of 2020, none are underground. The two largest open-cast operations, at Stockton and Rotowaro, account for most national production. For further information and data on the coal mining industry, refer to *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021).

At the end of 2020, no known flaring or capture of CH_4 was occurring at coal mines in New Zealand. Pilot schemes of both coal seam gas and underground coal gasification began in 2012, but these projects have not progressed.

Methodological issues

The New Zealand-specific emission factor for underground mining of sub-bituminous coal is used to calculate CH_4 emissions (Beamish and Vance, 1992). The sub-bituminous emission factor derived from Beamish and Vance is considered to be reliable because the emission factor (12.1 tonnes per kilotonne (t/kt)) is:

a) well within the 2006 IPCC default range of 6.7–16.75 t/kt

b) largely based on data for the most significant sub-bituminous coal mine in New Zealand (Huntly East mine), which has continued production since 1988 and has remained the most significant producing mine.

The emission factor for underground mining of bituminous coal is taken from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. It is noted that any bituminous emission factor derived from Beamish and Vance (1992) would not be reliable, and should not be used, for the following reasons.

a) The derived emission factor (35.28 t CH_4/kt) is more than double the high default 2006 IPCC value. Using such an emission factor that is so far out of line with the default values would require a strong justification.

b) New Zealand already has the highest implied emission factor for underground mining among Annex I Parties. Dramatically increasing the emission factor further still would not be in the interests of comparability.

c) The bituminous data are based on production (in 1988) of only 125 kt and so represent a very small sample size (compared with the sub-bituminous mines, where the data are based on production of 655 kt). The small sample size significantly increases the uncertainty.

d) Beamish and Vance's study is based on data from 1988, for just eight bituminous coal mines. These data are out of date, because all of these mines are no longer producing, and bituminous coal production comes from entirely different underground mines, to which the suggested emission factors may not be applicable.

Emission factors for the other subcategories, for example, surface mining, are sourced from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.

Activity data

Activity data for this category are collected from MBIE's coal production survey. This survey gathers quarterly data on coal production by mine type (underground and/or surface) and rank (coking, bituminous, sub-bituminous, lignite).

Abandoned underground mines (1.B.1.a.1.iii)

MBIE has been conducting an investigation (including seeking expert advice) to ascertain whether or not activity in this category is occurring. According to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, mines of only a few acres in size should be disregarded, and, additionally, non-gassy mines and flooded mines are presumed to have negligible emissions. Most New Zealand mines are small by European standards and can be disregarded. The first stage of the project was completed in 2016 and concluded that the activity is not occurring (NO) in the North Island: details are given in table 3.4.2. The second stage of the project, focusing on collating and digitising mine data for the South Island, commenced in December 2019 and is ongoing. A mine plans database has been made available online (https://mineplans.nzpam.govt.nz), although this is still a work in progress. An online exploration database is also available (https://data.nzpam.govt.nz/GOLD/system/mainframe.asp).

During 2021, a contractor was employed to review all the coal reports within MBIE's online coal mine databases and record the following details:

- mine name
- mine number
- coalfield
- mining method
- location of the mine (grid reference or coordinates)
- mine start year
- mine closure year
- details of production
- whether the mine was gassy (mentions of problems with gas)
- whether the mine was flooded or had water problems that would indicate it is now flooded.

A long list of historical mines was collated. Only 63 mines had information on whether the mine is flooded and only 30 mines had information on whether the mine was a gassy mine. Further data collection and processing is still required before it will be usable for a meaningful assessment of fugitive emissions. To enable a realistic estimate of emissions to be made, further information is required: a) elevation data to determine likely flooded or unflooded status and b) data on mine size to be used in applying a cut-off threshold. The intention is to complete this work in time for the 2023 submission.

Activity data in the form of CH_4 output derived from mine ventilation measurements have been obtained from mine operators for those mines where data exist. Those mines have now closed and are flooded. Source-specific details are not provided, so as to maintain confidentiality. Recovery and/or flaring of CH_4 from abandoned mines does not occur.

Table 3.4.2 Details of abandoned underground mines in the North Island

Region/coalfield	Significant mine	Status
Northland	Kamo	Only one significant mine; flooded
Waikato	Rotowaro mines	Underground mines either flooded or subsequently open-cast mined
	Huntly West	Flooded
	Taupiri/Ralphs	Mines under Huntly township; flooded
Taranaki	Tatu	Only one significant mine; flooded

Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties in fugitive emissions are relevant to the entire Energy sector (see table 3.3.1).

Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the *Fugitive emissions* category underwent Tier 1 quality-assurance and quality-control checks, as recommended in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. These include regular control sums throughout systems, to verify system integrity, and consistency checks of implied emission factors.

Source-specific recalculations

Some coal production data has been reclassified from underground to open cast for the years 2017 to 2019. There is no methodological change. The estimated level of emissions has decreased because the emission factors for underground mining are higher than those for open-cast mining. The activity data were revised in the 2021 edition of *Energy in New Zealand* (MBIE, 2021) and the national energy balance.

Source-specific planned improvements

As described above, the project to enable the more accurate estimation of emissions associated with abandoned coal mines is under way, and results will be included in the next annual submission.

3.4.2 Fugitive emissions from fuels: Oil and natural gas and other emissions from energy production (CRF 1.B.2)

Description

In 2020, fugitive emissions from the *Oil and natural gas* category contributed 1,286.1 kt CO_2-e (95.4 per cent) to emissions from the *Fugitive emissions* category. This is 185.2 kt CO_2-e (16.8 per cent) higher than the 1990 level of 1,100.9 kt CO_2-e.

A source of emissions from the production and processing of natural gas is the Kapuni Gas Treatment Plant. The plant removes CO_2 from a portion of the Kapuni gas (a high CO_2 gas when untreated) before it enters the national transmission network. This is reported in CRF table 1.B.2.c.2.

The large increase in CO_2 emissions from the Kapuni plant between 2003 and 2004 and between 2004 and 2005 is related to the drop in methanol production. Carbon dioxide previously sequestered during this separation process is now released as fugitive emissions from venting at the plant.

While emissions from the Kapuni plant may include traces of CH_4, the level of these emissions has been determined to be insignificant in comparison with national emissions: a conservative estimate (using default emission factors from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines) gives nearly 1.5 kt CO_2-e per year.

Carbon dioxide is also produced when natural gas is flared at the wellheads of other fields. The combustion efficiency of flaring is 95 per cent to 99 per cent, leaving some fugitive CH_4 emissions as a result of incomplete combustion.

Fugitive emissions also occur in transmission and distribution within the natural gas transmission pipeline system. However, these emissions are relatively minor in comparison with those from venting and flaring.

The *Oil and natural gas* category also includes estimates for emissions from geothermal operations. While some of the energy from geothermal fields is transformed into electricity, emissions from geothermal electricity generation are reported in the *Fugitive emissions* category because they are not the result of fuel combustion, unlike the emissions reported in the *Energy industries* category. Geothermal facilities supplying geothermal fluid for generating electricity or industrial heat are subject to the Climate Change (Stationary Energy and Industrial Processes) Regulations 2009, and are required to participate in the NZ ETS. Geothermal sites, where geothermal steam is not used for energy production, have been excluded from the inventory. Operations falling outside the scope of the regulations are not included in the inventory due to a lack of data, methodology and emission factors. Besides this, such sites – rather than using high temperature geothermal steam – use low temperature hot water, which does not carry high levels of dissolved gases, and any emissions are not significant. Naturally occurring sites do not contribute any anthropogenic emissions.

In 2020, emissions from geothermal operations were 568.0 kt CO_2-e, which is 284.7 kt CO_2-e (100.5 per cent) higher than the 1990 level of 283.4 kt CO_2-e.

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from geothermal sources decreased by 5.7 per cent. A steady decline in emissions has occurred over the past five years as certain fields that were more recently developed have de-gassed.

Methodological issues

Unless noted otherwise, CO_2 and CH_4 emissions from sources within this category have been calculated using the IPCC Tier 2 approach, and N_2O emissions were calculated using the default Tier 1 approach (IPCC, 2006).

Ozone precursors and sulphur dioxide from oil refining

New Zealand has only one oil refinery that has a hydro cracker rather than a catalytic cracker. Therefore, no emissions come from fluid catalytic cracking but they do come from sulphur recovery plants and storage and handling.

1.B.2.c Venting and flaring

Oil and natural gas fields in New Zealand produce a mixture consisting of variable ratios of natural gas, crude oil, condensate and natural gas liquids. Hence, emissions for this category are reported under 'combined'. The activity data are directly reported by field operators.

Venting of CO_2 resulting from hydrogen production at oil refineries is included in the IPPU sector so as to protect the confidentiality of individual companies (see chapter 4, for further information).

1.B.2.d Geothermal

When geothermal fluid is discharged, some CO_2 and small amounts of CH_4 are also released. The emissions released during electricity generation using geothermal fluid are reported in this inventory. Figure 3.4.1 shows a schematic diagram of a typical New Zealand geothermal flash power station.

Estimates of CO_2 and CH_4 emissions for the *Geothermal* category are obtained directly from the geothermal power companies. New Zealand has around 15 geothermal power stations and most of these are owned (or partly owned) by two major power companies. Two examples of methodologies used to estimate emissions by these companies are explained below.

Figure 3.4.1 Schematic diagram of the use of geothermal fluid for electricity generation – as at Wairakei and Ohaaki geothermal stations (New Zealand Institute of Chemistry, 1998)



Emissions from geothermal activities have stepped up incrementally over time. These increases are driven by an increase in geothermal emissions related to electricity generation, particularly with the additions of the 100 MW Kawerau geothermal plant since late 2008, Nga Awa Purua and Te Huka since 2010, Ngatamariki since 2013 and Te Mihi since 2014.

The schedules to the Climate Change Response Act 2002 create obligations for people carrying out certain activities to report greenhouse gas emissions as part of the NZ ETS. The Climate Change (Stationary Energy and Industrial Processes) Regulations 2009 and Climate Change (Liquid Fossil Fuels) Amendment Regulations 2009 set out the data collection requirements and methods for participants in those sectors to calculate their emissions, including prescribed default emission factors.

The Climate Change (Unique Emissions Factors) Regulations 2009 outline requirements for participants in certain sectors to calculate a unique emission factor (UEF) and apply for approval to use it in place of a default emission factor to calculate and report on emissions. Sectors eligible to apply for a UEF are a class of:

- liquid fossil fuel
- coal
- natural gas: CH_4 and N_2O
- geothermal fluid
- used oil, waste oil, used tyres or waste.

The 2010 year was the first calendar year in which operators could apply for UEFs. MBIE received five applications relating to the use of UEFs of geothermal fluid for that calendar year. These five approved UEFs were then adopted for the inventory after careful assessment of the materiality impact and time-series consistency.

Because 2010 was the introduction year, MBIE made a judgement that the UEF would apply only to years for which sufficient data are available, that is, from 2010 onward. This submission continues with this approach. From 1990 to 2009, emissions are calculated using field-specific default emission factors. Emissions from 2010 onwards are calculated using UEFs where available and field-specific default emission factors otherwise.

When several years of UEF data are available for comparison, the 1990 to 2009 emission factors for each affected field will be reviewed.

Geothermal methodology for Company A

At Company A, quarterly gas sampling analysis is conducted to measure the amount of CO_2 and CH_4 in the steam. Gas samples are collected at the inlet to the electricity generation station and at the extraction process when gas is dissolved in the condensate (wastewater).

The concentration of CO_2 (e.g., 0.612 per cent) and CH_4 (e.g., 0.0029 per cent) by weight of discharged steam is then calculated by carrying out a mass balance.

'Gas discharged to atmosphere' = 'Gas to electricity generation station' – 'Gas dissolved in condensate'

Company A also collects information on the average steam flow (tonnes of steam per hour) to the electricity generation station. This average steam flow is based on an annual average (e.g., 582.3 tonnes of steam per hour).

Therefore, working out CO_2 emissions discharged to the atmosphere involves the following calculations.

Average discharge per hour is calculated as:

$$582.3\ \frac{tonnes\ of\ steam}{hour}\ x\ \frac{0.612\ CO_2}{100}\ by\ weight\ of\ steam\ = 3.565\ \frac{tonnes\ of\ CO_2}{hour}$$

And the total discharge per year is:

$$3.565\ \frac{tonnes\ of\ CO_2}{hour}\ x 8760\ \frac{hours}{year} = 31{,}230 \text{ tonnes of } CO_2.$$

Using the same methodology above will yield 149 tonnes of CH_4. The overall emission for Company A is therefore 34,359 tonnes of CO_2-e emissions.

Geothermal methodology for Company B

At Company B, spot measurements of both CO_2 and CH_4 concentrations are taken at the inlet steam when the power stations are operating normally. The net megawatt hours of electricity generated that day are then used to calculate the emission factor. This implied emission factor is then multiplied by the annual amount of electricity generated to work out the annual emissions for each power station.

Activity data

1.B.2.a.1 Exploration

Activity data are the number of wells drilled in each year as reported by New Zealand Petroleum and Minerals (MBIE, 2021). Data were only available for the years from 2001 onwards, so estimates were made by extrapolation for the years preceding 2001.

1.B.2.a.3 Transport

The activity data are New Zealand's total production of crude oil (MBIE, 2021).

1.B.2.a.4 Refining

Activity data are total intake at New Zealand's sole oil refinery (MBIE, 2021).

1.B.2.a.5 Distribution of oil products

Activity data are New Zealand's total consumption of gasoline (MBIE, 2021).

1.B.2.b.3 Processing

Venting of CO_2 is reported under 1.B.2.c.2, in accordance with a previous ERT recommendation. No activity data are available.

1.B.2.b.4 Transmission and 1.B.2.b.5 Distribution

Carbon dioxide and CH_4 emissions from gas leakage mainly occur from low-pressure distribution pipelines rather than from high-pressure transmission pipelines. Emissions from transmission and distribution are reported separately.

Emissions from the high-pressure transmission system were provided by the system operator. Natural gas transmission losses included both direct leakage of CH_4 and CO_2 and gas lost and/or used when starting lines compressors. Data are provided for gigajoules (GJ) of CH_4 and tonnes of CO_2. Gigajoules of CH_4 are converted to tonnes of CH_4 using the conversion factor of 55.6 GJ/t. New Zealand has a high-pressure transmission network nearly 3,500 kilometres in length. It joins most North Island cities (natural gas is available only in New Zealand's North Island). No time series of the total length of the transmission lines is available; however, expert opinion is that it would have been nearly constant since 1990.

New Zealand bases distribution loss emissions on information about gas entering the distribution network, which is administrative data collected at the 'gas gate' by the gas industry regulator (the Gas Industry Company Ltd). It does not follow the alternative approach of using survey information collected from gas retailers on the amount of gas sold and metered at the individual customer (household, small business) level.

Of the gas entering the low-pressure distribution system, 1.75 per cent (which is based on consultation between the Government and the Gas Association of New Zealand, an industry group) is assumed to be lost through leakage. Consequently, the amount of natural gas leaked from the low-pressure distribution system is assumed to be 1.75 per cent of the gas entering the distribution system, and CO_2 and CH_4 emissions are calculated based on the natural gas composition data provided by the system operator.

1.B.2.b.4 Natural gas storage

Natural gas storage occurs at the Ahuroa gas storage facility. Ahuroa is a depleted gas field that can hold 5 PJ to 10 PJ of natural gas at any one point. A significant portion of this gas is used to run Contact Energy's Stratford gas peaking plant, which consists of two 100 MW open cycle gas turbine units.

1.B.2.c Venting and flaring

Data on natural gas flaring and losses and own use are reported directly by gas field operators.

The operator of the Kapuni Gas Treatment Plant supplies estimates of CO_2 vented during the processing of natural gas.

In response to an ERT recommendation, flaring of refinery gas has been reallocated from 1.B.2.a to 1.B.2.c.

Emission factors

Unless noted otherwise, default IPCC emission factors have been used.

Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The time series of data from the various geothermal fields varies in completeness, with some historical data not available. The individual geothermal fields each produce varying levels of output and emissions so the overall implied emission factors display a certain amount of natural variation.

Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the *Fugitive emissions* category underwent Tier 1 quality-assurance and quality-control checks as recommended in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. These include regular control sums throughout systems, to verify system integrity, and consistency checks of implied emission factors.

Source-specific revisions and improvements

Updated data sourced from field operators has been used to improve the accuracy of emissions estimates for geothermal activities.

Source-specific planned improvements

As the data set of verified UEFs for individual geothermal fields and coal mines obtained from the NZ ETS grows, New Zealand will consider methods of incorporating these data to improve the accuracy of estimates.

Chapter 3: References

Australian Bureau of Statistics and Statistics New Zealand. 2006. *Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification Revision 1.0.* Canberra: Australian Bureau of Statistics.

Baines JT. 1993. *New Zealand Energy Information Handbook*. Christchurch: Taylor Baines and Associates.

Beamish BB, Vance WE. 1992. Greenhouse Gas Contributions from Coal Mining in Australia and New Zealand. *Journal of the Royal Society of New Zealand* 22(2).

Bioenergy Association of New Zealand. 2011. *Heat Plant in New Zealand*. Retrieved from www.bioenergy.org.nz/documents/resource/heat-plant-database-report-august-2011.pdf (March 2018).

BRANZ. 2002. *Energy Use in New Zealand Households*. Porirua, Wellington: Building Research Association of New Zealand.

CRL Energy Ltd. 2009. *Reviewing Default Emission Factors in Draft Stationary Energy and Industrial* Processes. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment. Retrieved from www.climatechange.govt.nz/consultation/energy/review-default-emissions-factors.pdf (March 2018).

Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority. 2021. *Off-road Liquid Fuel Insights: Research into the use of off-road diesel and petrol in New Zealand*. Wellington: Energy Efficiency and Conservation Authority. Retrieved from www.eeca.govt.nz/assets/EECA-Resources/Research-papers-guides/Off-road-liquid-fuel-insights.pdf (February 2021).

European Environment Agency. 2007. *EMEP/CORINAIR Emission Inventory Guidebook – 2007*. Copenhagen: European Environment Agency.

European Environment Agency. 2016. *EMEP/EEA Air Pollutant Emission Inventory Guidebook 2016: Technical guidance to prepare national emission inventories*. Copenhagen: European Environment Agency.

IPCC. 2006. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 2. Energy*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

MBIE. 2008. *Liquid Fuel Use in New Zealand*. Wellington: Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. Retrieved from www.med.govt.nz/sectors-industries/energy/energy-modelling/technical-papers/liquid-fuels-use-in-new-zealand (March 2013).

MBIE. 2010. *Delivering the Diesel – Liquid Fuel Deliveries in New Zealand 1990–2008*. Wellington: Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. Retrieved from www.med.govt.nz/sectors-industries/energy/energy-modelling/technical-papers/delivering-the-diesel-liquid-fuel-deliveries-in-new-zealand-1990-2008 (March 2013).

MBIE. 2021. *Energy in New Zealand*. Wellington: Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment. Retrieved from www.mbie.govt.nz/building-and-energy/energy-and-natural-resources/energy-statistics-and-modelling/energy-publications-and-technical-papers/energy-in-new-zealand (September 2021).

Ministry for the Environment. 2009. *Projects to Reduce Emissions (PRE)*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment. Retrieved from www.mfe.govt.nz/issues/climate/policies-initiatives/projects (March 2012).

Ministry of Transport. 2010. *New Zealand Travel Survey.* Wellington: Ministry of Transport. Retrieved from www.transport.govt.nz/research/Pages/TravelSurvey.aspx (March 2012).

New Zealand Institute of Chemistry. 1998. *Chemical Processes in New Zealand Auckland*. Christchurch: New Zealand Institute of Chemistry.

Reddy CM, DeMello JA, Carmichael CA, Peacock EE, Xu L, Arey JS. 2008. Determination of biodiesel blending percentages using natural abundance radiocarbon analysis: Testing the accuracy of retail biodiesel blends. *Environmental Science Technology* 42(7): 2476–2482.

Stats NZ. 2018. *Energy Use Survey*. Wellington: Stats NZ. Retrieved from www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/new-zealand-energy-use-2018 (February 2020).

UNFCCC. 2009. FCCC/ARR/2008/NZL. *Report of the Individual Review of the Greenhouse Gas Inventories of New Zealand Submitted in 2007 and 2008*. Centralised Review.

UNFCCC. 2014. FCCC/ARR/2013/NZL. *Report of the individual review of the annual submission of New Zealand submitted in 2013*. Centralised Review.

Chapter 4: Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU)

4.1 Sector overview

4.1.1 IPPU sector in New Zealand

New Zealand has a relatively small number of industrial processing plants emitting non-energy related greenhouse gases. Carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from eight distinct industrial processes in New Zealand are reported under the IPPU sector. These are:

- calcination of limestone in cement production
- calcination of limestone in burnt and slaked lime production
- production of ammonia, which is further processed into urea
- production of methanol
- production of hydrogen in oil refining and for making hydrogen peroxide
- production of steel, from iron sand and from scrap steel
- oxidation of anodes in aluminium smelting
- use of soda ash and limestone in glass making.

Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) and perfluorocarbons (PFCs) are used in a large number of products including refrigeration and air conditioning equipment. Some recovered HFCs are exported for destruction. Perfluorocarbons are also emitted as a result of anode effects in aluminium smelting. Sulphur hexafluoride (SF_6) is used in the electricity distribution sector and for small-scale medical and scientific applications. Historically, a very small amount of SF_6 has been used for magnesium casting. No fluorinated chemicals are produced in New Zealand; they are all imported.

Small amounts of CO_2 are reported from the use of lubricants and paraffin wax, imported calcium carbide, carbonates in kaolin clay used for ceramics production, and secondary lead production (recycling of lead-acid batteries). No other emission sources for direct greenhouse gases are applicable to New Zealand and no other activity data are available. Some indirect greenhouse gas emissions are reported from fertiliser, formaldehyde and other industries.

New Zealand reports emissions from Tokelau, which is a dependent territory of New Zealand. Emissions from Tokelau for all activities are reported in annex 7 of the National Inventory Report and within the 'Other' sector in the common reporting format (CRF) tables. This is due to the significantly different methods applied and the prohibitive complexity of integrating emissions within the main sectors. Therefore, all emissions reported in this sector are from New Zealand excluding Tokelau. Please refer to chapter 8 and annex 7 for details of methods applied and the emissions for Tokelau.

4.1.2 Emissions summary

The IPPU sector in New Zealand produces CO_2 emissions (62.0 per cent), fluorinated gases (34.0 per cent) and smaller amounts of CH_4 and N_2O. The major categories in the IPPU sector are *Iron and steel production*, *Refrigeration and air conditioning*, *Aluminium production* and *Cement production*. Coal and natural gas are also used on a significant scale for energy in the *Mineral industry*, *Chemical industry* and *Metal industry* categories. Carbon dioxide and any other emissions from combustion of fuels in these industries are reported under the Energy sector.

2020

In 2020, emissions in the IPPU sector contributed 4,618.4 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e), or 5.9 per cent, of New Zealand's gross greenhouse gas emissions.

The largest category is the *Metal industry* category, with substantial CO_2 emissions from the*Iron and steel production* and *Aluminium production* categories, as well as PFCs from the *Aluminium production* category in earlier years. The *Mineral industry* and *Chemical industry* categories also contribute significant CO_2 emissions, and most of the non-CO_2 emissions come from the *Product uses as substitutes for ozone depleting substances (ODS)* category.

The IPPU sector also produces smaller amounts of CH_4 from methanol production and N_2O used for medical applications in the *Other product manufacture and use* category.

Coal and natural gas are also used on a significant scale for energy in these industries, and related emissions are reported under the Energy sector in the category *Manufacturing industries and construction*.

The emissions by category are shown in table 4.1.1.

1990–2020

Emissions from the IPPU sector in 2020 were 1,038.4 kt CO_2-e (29.0 per cent) higher than emissions in 1990 (3,579.9 kt CO_2-e). This increase was mainly driven by increasing emissions from the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category, due to the introduction of HFCs to replace ODS in refrigeration and air conditioning and the increased use of household and commercial air conditioning. Carbon dioxide emissions have also increased due to increased production of metals, lime and cement, but at a slower rate and in 2020 the increase was offset by reduced emissions due to COVID-19 restrictions. There has been a substantial reduction in emissions of PFCs due to improved management of anode effects in the *Aluminium production* category and some reduction in emissions of N_2O used for medical applications in the *Other product manufacture and use* category. The trends are shown in figures 4.1.1 and 4.1.2.

2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the IPPU sector decreased by 242.7 kt CO_2-e (5.0 per cent).

This change was the result of a significant decrease in emissions from the *Metal industry* category (109.5 kt CO_2-e or 4.7 per cent), and smaller decreases in other categories, due to plant shutdowns related to COVID-19 and consequent decreased production. New Zealand had a national lockdown in force from 26 March to 27 May 2020.

Table 4.1.1 New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions for the IPPU sector by category in 1990 and 2020

Source category	Emissions (kt CO_2-e) 1990	Emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2020	Difference (kt CO_2-e) 1990–2020	Change (%) 1990–2020	Share (%) 1990	Share (%) 2020
Mineral industry (2.A)	561.9	537.4	–24.4	–4.3	15.7	11.6
Chemical industry (2.B)	203.0	250.5	47.5	23.4	5.7	5.4
Metal industry (2.C)	2,670.2	2,215.7	–454.5	–17.0	74.6	48.0
Non-energy products from fuels and solvent use (2.D)	25.2	44.1	18.9	75.1	0.7	1.0
Product uses as substitutes for ODS (2.F)	–	1,480.1	1,480.1	–	–	32.0
Other product manufacture and use (2.G)	119.7	90.6	–29.1	–24.3	3.3	2.0
Total	**3,579.9**	**4,618.4**	**1,038.4**	**29.0**	**–**	**–**

Note: Columns may not sum due to rounding.

Figure 4.1.1 New Zealand's annual emissions from the IPPU sector from 1990 to 2020



Figure 4.1.2 Change in New Zealand's emissions from the IPPU sector from 1990 to 2020



Note: Emissions from the *Electronics industry* are not occurring (NO).

4.1.3 Key categories for IPPU sector emissions

Details of New Zealand's key category analysis are in chapter 1, section 1.5. The key categories in the IPPU sector are listed in table 4.1.2.

Table 4.1.2 Key categories in the IPPU sector

CRF category code	IPCC categories	Gas	Criteria for identification
2.A.1	Mineral Industry – Cement Production	CO_2	L1, T1
2.C.1	Metal Industry – Iron and Steel Production	CO_2	L1
2.C.3	Metal Industry – Aluminium Production	CO_2	L1
2.C.3	Metal Industry – Aluminium Production	PFCs	T1
2.F.1	Product Uses as Substitutes for ODS – Refrigeration and Air Conditioning	HFCs	L1, T1

Note: L1 means a key category is identified under the level analysis – Approach 1 and T1 is trend analysis – Approach 1. Refer to chapter 1 for more information.

4.1.4 Methodological issues for the IPPU sector

Activity data in the IPPU sector have been derived from a variety of sources. In the *Mineral industry* category, the primary data source is emissions data reported under the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS). For the *Chemical industry* and *Metal industry* categories, data (including activity data) are provided to the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment (MBIE) in response to an annual survey.

For some large-scale activities in the *Mineral industry*, *Chemical industry* and *Metal industry* categories, which are carried out by only one or two companies in New Zealand, activity data are reported as confidential in the CRF tables.

Emissions data for glass production (2.A.3) are reported in 2.A.4 to aggregate the data with other sources and preserve confidentiality. Also, data on emissions from hydrogen making at the Marsden Point oil refinery are reported in the *Chemical industry* category. This allows data from New Zealand's only industrial hydrogen-making process, which is smaller in scale than refining, to be aggregated and kept confidential.

For the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category, updated activity data have been obtained by a detailed annual survey covering the electrical, refrigeration and other industry participants (Verum Group, unpublished) as well as importers of HFCs and other substances in this category.

New Zealand uses a combination of Tier 1 and Tier 2 methods for the IPPU sector. Tier 2 methods are used for all key categories.

For small amounts of indirect greenhouse gas emissions reported in the *Chemical industry* category and the *Other product manufacture and use* category, data were obtained by a detailed industry survey and analysis in 2006 (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)). Emissions and activity data have been extrapolated for the years since that time.

Country-specific emission factors have been used where available, including for emissions of indirect greenhouse gases.

4.1.5 Uncertainties

The uncertainties are discussed under each category. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) default uncertainties have been used in nearly all cases.

Country-specific estimates of uncertainty have been made in the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category, reflecting the variable quality of data provided by a large number of survey respondents, and have been updated as needed for this submission.

4.1.6 Verification

The inventory agency (the Ministry for the Environment) verified information on CO_2 emissions reported in the *Iron and steel production* category against information provided by these industries as participants in the NZ ETS.

For PFCs in the *Aluminium production* category and for CO_2 in the *Mineral industry* category, the NZ ETS is used as the primary data source. Verification is done over time as ETS returns are verified by the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA), the agency that administers the NZ ETS, but no specific verification has been possible for this submission.

All data supplied in response to annual surveys (for the *Chemical industry*, *Metal industry* and *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* categories) were verified against national totals where possible and anomalous data followed up and checked.

4.1.7 Recalculations and improvements

For this submission, amounts of refrigerant added to equipment in the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category were re-estimated to better account for changes in stocks held by importers and users.

Expert review team comments

Expert review teams (ERTs) have recommended that New Zealand continue efforts to address the transparency of activity data in the *Mineral industry*, *Chemical industry* and *Metal industry* categories. This relates to activity data that are reported as confidential. Commercial confidentiality remains an issue for this and future submissions.

The ERT recommended that New Zealand improves the documentation of reporting in the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* and *Other product manufacture and use* categories. The descriptions in these categories in the inventory continue to be updated in each submission, and the source material can be made available for review teams.

4.1.8 Quality-assurance and quality-control (QA/QC) processes

Tier 1 quality checks were carried out on all data collected for this sector, with minor exceptions where data do not require updating. Figure 4.1.3 describes the quality control process map for the IPPU sector. Verification against independent data sources was possible only in specific cases, such as comparison of NZ ETS returns against data submitted in response to surveys.

Figure 4.1.3 Example: Tier 1 quality checks for the IPPU sector



4.2 Mineral industry (2.A)

4.2.1 Description

Emissions from the *Mineral industry* category include CO_2 from the calcination of limestone for cement and lime, and from the use of soda ash and limestone in the production of glass, aluminium, and iron and steel. Only CO_2 from calcination is reported here. Any emissions from the combustion of fuel to provide heat for these activities are reported under the Energy sector.

Only one cement production facility is now operating in New Zealand, a dry-process plant operated by Golden Bay Cement Ltd near Whangārei. Holcim New Zealand Ltd operated a wet-process cement plant at Cape Foulwind, on the West Coast of the South Island, but this plant was closed at the end of June 2016 and Holcim is now marketing cement made from imported clinker. Another, smaller cement company (Lee Cement Ltd) operated only from 1995 to 1998. The New Zealand cement industry produces clinker from the calcination of limestone and processes it into Portland cement or general purpose cement.

Three companies (McDonald's Lime Ltd, Websters Hydrated Lime Company and Perry Resources Ltd) have a history of making burnt and slaked lime at five different facilities in New Zealand. The industry has been consolidated over time and two companies (Graymont New Zealand and Websters Hydrated Lime Company) now produce all of New Zealand's burnt and slaked lime.

Small amounts of indirect emissions (sulphur dioxide (SO_2) only) from the *Cement production* category are also reported. Some emissions of SO_2 from the *Lime production* category were estimated in 2006 (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)), but there is currently no provision in the CRF to report this. Some additional SO_2 is derived from sulphur in coal or waste oil used as fuel in cement and lime kilns, and this is reported under the Energy sector.

Two companies are making glass in New Zealand, with emissions from the use of soda ash and limestone in the process. O-I New Zealand makes container glass and Tasman Insulation New Zealand Ltd makes smaller amounts of glass for building insulation products.

Limestone and soda ash are also used in the steel and aluminium industries and would normally be reported in the *Metal industry* category. Emissions from this use of mineral inputs are reported in the *Mineral industry* category (see section 4.2.2) to protect the confidentiality of data provided by these two glass companies.

A very small amount of CO_2 is reported from the use of kaolin clays in ceramics production.

The only key category is CO_2 emissions from the *Cement production* category (level and trend assessment).

In 2020, the *Mineral industry* category accounted for 537.4 kt CO_2-e (11.6 per cent) of emissions from the IPPU sector. This is 24.4 kt (4.3 per cent) below the 1990 emissions, due to COVID-19 restrictions, which reduced cement and lime production in 2020. Production of cement, lime and glass containers had previously increased over time, with a peak in 2015 of 876.3 kt CO_2-e. Emissions decreased after 2015 due to the closure of the Holcim cement plant.

Changes in the national standards for cement, in 1995 and 2010, allowed increasing amounts of other minerals to be added to clinker in formulating cement. Various cement products sold in New Zealand contain limestone and fly ash as mineral additions. This allowed a reduction in emissions per tonne of cement produced (Cement and Concrete Association of New Zealand, 1995). These improvements have been continued over time.

4.2.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Use of NZ ETS data

Firms that use limestone or soda ash in the production of clinker, cement, burnt or slaked lime, or glass have had emission reporting obligations under the NZ ETS since 2010. The emission returns submitted by participants in the NZ ETS are the primary source of data for CO_2 emissions from these categories.

The EPA administers and audits the emission returns submitted by participants. Data submitted by NZ ETS participants are protected by stringent provisions relating to commercial confidentiality. However, under section 149 of the Climate Change Response Act 2002, the inventory agency may request information from the EPA for the purpose of compiling New Zealand's annual National Inventory Report.

Those NZ ETS participants who apply for an allocation of emission units in any year also report the amount of product that they make in the calendar year. This encompasses production of clinker, container glass and burnt lime, including any burnt lime that is subsequently made into slaked lime (calcium hydroxide).

Cement production (2.A.1)

In 2020, the *Cement production* category accounted for 379.2 kt CO_2-e (70.5 per cent) of emissions from the *Mineral industry* category. The activity data used are the amounts of clinker produced by the cement plants. Calculation of emissions from clinker production is done on a plant-specific basis by the companies in preparing their ETS returns. Because historically there have been only two companies making cement in New Zealand, and now there is only one, the activity data for the *Cement production* category are not reported and have been shown as confidential in the CRF tables. For the years up to 2009, activity and emissions data were supplied by the cement companies to MBIE. From 2010, the companies' ETS returns have been used as the data source.

Lime production (2.A.2)

In 2020, the *Lime production* category accounted for 91.4 kt CO_2-e (17.0 per cent) of emissions from the *Mineral industry* category. The activity data used are the amounts of burnt lime or calcium oxide (CaO) produced, regardless of whether it is subsequently made into calcium hydroxide ($Ca(OH)_2$).

Activity data and emissions data were supplied annually by the lime companies to MBIE until 2009. This included the amount of burnt lime produced each year. From 2010, lime companies have reported CO_2 emissions and the amounts of pure CaO in the lime that they produce in their reporting to the NZ ETS regulator.

Glass production (2.A.3)

Activity and emissions data for the *Glass production* category are provided on a confidential basis by the two companies that produce glass in New Zealand and are not reported in the CRF tables (2.A.3). Emissions from the use of soda ash and limestone in glass making are reported in the *Other process uses of carbonates* (CRF 2.A.4) category and are aggregated with other relatively small amounts of CO_2 emissions that derive from the calcination of limestone and soda ash.

Other process uses of carbonates (2.A.4)

To preserve the confidentiality of data provided by the two glass companies (above), the data reported in the *Glass production* category have been aggregated as follows and reported in the *Other process uses of carbonates* category.

- Emissions from a relatively small amount of soda ash used by New Zealand Aluminium Smelters Ltd at the Tiwai Point smelter are reported in CRF 2.A.4.b (*Other uses of soda ash*) and aggregated with the CO_2 emissions from soda ash used in glass making.
- Emissions from a relatively small amount of limestone used by New Zealand Steel Limited are reported in CRF 2.A.4.d (*Other*) and aggregated with emissions from limestone used in glass making.

The amounts of soda ash and limestone used are reported as activity data in these two tables. Also, because the limestone emissions cannot be fully disaggregated in early data provided by New Zealand Steel, an extremely small amount of CO_2 from coke and electrode use at the steel plant is also included (see section 4.4.2).

Data on glass making for the years up to 2006 were provided by the companies and updated for the years 2007 to 2009 by survey requests from MBIE. Data on limestone and soda ash use were based on the companies' records where available. In the case of one glass-making facility, some historical emissions data had to be estimated based only on glass production rates, because actual limestone and soda ash use was not recorded before 2006.

For 2010 to 2020, the glass companies' NZ ETS returns are used.

A very small amount of CO_2 is reported from the use of kaolin clays in ceramics production (2.A.4.a). The activity data used are the approximate amount of kaolin clay produced for this purpose (Christie et al., 1999). In the absence of better data, the rate of production is assumed constant for the whole time series. Emissions from ground limestone used in liming agricultural soils are reported under the Agriculture sector.

Choice of methods

For the years up to 2009, cement emissions were calculated using the methodology specified in the *Cement CO_2 Protocol* (World Business Council for Sustainable Development, 2005), which uses plant-specific emission factors based on the CaO and magnesium oxide (MgO) content of clinker produced. This also includes an adjustment for emissions due to cement kiln dust. This calculation is consistent with the IPCC Tier 2 method (IPCC, 2006a).

Emissions for lime up to 2009 were calculated using the IPCC Tier 1 method and the default emission factor of 0.75 tonnes CO_2 per tonne of burnt lime produced. For glass making, the IPCC Tier 1 method and default emission factors were also used for the years up to 2009.

For NZ ETS reporting in the *Mineral industry* category (from 2010), the methods used are specified in the Climate Change (Stationary Energy and Industrial Processes) Regulations 2009. These methods require firms making clinker or burnt lime to report CO_2 emissions calculated from the amount of pure product made from calcination. In calculating their emissions, NZ ETS participants who make clinker or lime are required to determine and report the amounts of pure CaO and MgO in the clinker or burnt lime produced, and in kiln dust if relevant. The emissions are calculated from this chemical composition. The calculation of total emissions can be summarised as:

$$TE = 0.7848 \times A + 1.0919 \times B + 0.7848 \times C$$

Where: A is the amount of CaO produced

B is the amount of MgO produced

C is the amount of kiln dust produced.

Similarly, based on the Climate Change (Stationary Energy and Industrial Processes) Regulations 2009, NZ ETS participants that make glass report the amounts of pure limestone, dolomite and soda ash that they use in the process. This is consistent with the Tier 3 methods in volume 3, *Industrial Processes and Product Use*, of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) but is described as country specific in the CRF because of a minor discrepancy: NZ ETS participants are not required to report separately very small amounts of kiln dust or MgO.

NZ ETS participants in this category are not required to report annually on the specific methods that they have used to determine the amounts of pure CaO, MgO and other compounds that they report in their NZ ETS returns. They are required to keep this information available and it is verified periodically by the NZ ETS regulator.

All other emissions use Tier 1 methods. This includes the small amount of SO_2 emissions reported for cement production. Emissions of SO_2 from lime production were also estimated in 2006 (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)). These used a country-specific emission factor of 0.5 kilograms SO_2 per tonne of burnt lime produced, derived from plant measurements carried out in earlier years. There is no provision in the CRF to report these emissions, however.

Choice of emission factors

All calculations made for NZ ETS reporting and used in the *Mineral industry* category are based on plant-specific analysis.

The small amounts of SO_2 emitted in the *Cement production* category are estimated using plant-specific emission factors taken from mass balance data derived for the two cement plants in 2002 and 2005 (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)).

For the very small emissions of CO_2 from the *Ceramics* (2.A.4.a) category, a country-specific emission factor of 0.1 per cent of carbonates (as equivalent calcium carbonate) in local kaolin clay is used.

Other emission factors used are IPCC defaults.

4.2.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

IPCC default uncertainties have been used for all CO_2 emissions from the *Mineral industry* category (see table 4.2.1), except ceramics for which there is substantial uncertainty in the activity data and in the composition of clay. Cement kiln dust is not relevant for 2017 to 2020 because the cement plant now operating reports no significant kiln dust produced. For SO_2 emissions in the *Cement production* category, an uncertainty of ±40 per cent was estimated based on the variance between surveys when these emissions were determined (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)).

Table 4.2.1 Uncertainty in emissions from mineral products

Mineral product	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Cement (CO_2)	±1	±1
Cement kiln dust (CO_2)	±1	±5
Cement (SO_2)	±1	±40
Lime (CO_2)	±2	±2
Glass (SO_2)	±5	±10
Glass (NMVOC)	±5	±50
Ceramics (CO_2)	±50	±20
Other uses of soda ash (CO_2)	±3	±2
Other uses of limestone (CO_2)	±3	±2

Time-series consistency

In previous inventory submissions, the reported activity data for lime production have not been fully consistent through the time series because of the change to using NZ ETS data for cement and lime, and the use of different calculation methods for these emissions. For the years up to 2013, a default emission factor was used, based on the amount of burnt lime produced. For 2014 to 2020, NZ ETS returns are the primary data source. The companies carry out analysis and report the net amount of pure CaO and MgO as well as gross amounts of product made to the NZ ETS regulator.

4.2.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

For this submission, data for all CO_2 emissions in the *Mineral industry* category underwent Tier 1 quality checks in the preparation of this inventory. The only key category is CO_2 emissions from *Cement production* (level and trend assessment).

Verification of activity data from independent sources is not currently possible. The EPA carries out verification of NZ ETS participants' submitted data on a rotating basis and, as these verifications occur, the inventory agency (the Ministry for the Environment) will make use of the resulting information to verify the emissions data where possible.

4.2.5 Source-specific recalculations

For lime production, the activity data reported were revised in the previous submission, following a recommendation by the ERT to make the time series more consistent between NZ ETS data and the earlier reporting approach. The pure chemical content of the lime produced (CaO and MgO, net of impurities which are typically 2 to 3 per cent) is now reported for the entire time series.

There are no recalculations for the 2022 submission.

4.2.6 Source-specific planned improvements

The inventory agency has worked with the companies in the *Mineral industry* category to improve transparency and confidence in the data provided. Concerns about the confidentiality of data provided by the cement and glass companies remain a barrier to improving transparency further.

4.3 Chemical industry (2.B)

4.3.1 Description

The significant chemical processes occurring in New Zealand are the production of urea, methanol, superphosphate fertiliser, hydrogen peroxide, formaldehyde and ethanol. In addition, a substantial amount of hydrogen is made at the Marsden Point oil refinery, and CO_2 emissions from this process are reported in the *Chemical industry* category. No other relevant chemical products (such as nitric acid, adipic acid or ethylene) are produced in New Zealand.

Ammonia is made at one site in Taranaki by the catalytic steam reforming of natural gas. The ammonia produced is further processed into urea. Emissions of CO_2 arise from the fraction of process CO_2 that is not recovered for urea production. Nearly all of the urea product is used as a fertiliser in New Zealand. The emissions associated with agricultural use of urea (both manufactured in New Zealand and imported) are reported under the Agriculture sector (CRF 3.H). A small amount of urea is also used for catalytic reduction of diesel exhaust emissions. The emissions of CO_2 from this use of urea are reported in the *Non-energy products from fuels and solvent use* category (CRF 2.D.3).

Methane emissions are reported from the production of methanol, which is made from natural gas feedstock at two sites in Taranaki. From 1990 to 1997, a large proportion of the methanol made in New Zealand was processed into synthetic gasoline for transport use. All emissions associated with the production of gasoline, including the synthetic gasoline produced from 1990 to 1997, are reported under the Energy sector (chapter 3, sections 3.2 and 3.3).

From 1998 on, all methanol made in New Zealand has been chemical methanol for export, and therefore all process emissions from the methanol plants have been reported under the IPPU sector.

A small amount of CO_2 is reported from the use of imported calcium carbide, which is used to produce acetylene for welding. No carbides are manufactured in New Zealand.

Some indirect emissions (oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), carbon monoxide (CO), non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) and SO_2) are reported from methanol, formaldehyde, ethanol and superphosphate fertiliser production.

Emissions from the *Chemical industry* category in 2020 were 250.5 kt CO_2-e (5.4 per cent) of emissions from the IPPU sector. This is 47.5 kt CO_2-e (23.4 per cent) above the 1990 level. The increase has been driven by increasing production of ammonia and methanol, and increasing demand for transport fuels, which has increased the demand for hydrogen as an intermediate in oil refining. However, emissions in 2015 were 389.0 kt CO_2-e. Emissions have decreased since 2016 due to lower rates of production for hydrogen and urea, with a further decrease in 2020 due to COVID-19 restrictions, which reduced refinery outputs.

There are no key categories in the *Chemical industry* category.

4.3.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Ammonia and urea (2.B.1)

Data on the production of urea are supplied to MBIE by Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited, which operates the ammonia–urea production plant. The activity data reported are the production of ammonia, which is back-estimated from the amount of urea produced on the basis of a site-specific conversion factor that reflects the actual rate of conversion of ammonia to urea achieved in this plant.

Calcium carbide (2.B.5.b)

A small amount of calcium carbide is imported to New Zealand and used to produce acetylene gas for welding. The approximate amount of calcium carbide imported is used as activity data.

Methanol (2.B.8.a)

Data on methanol production (chemical methanol produced for export) are supplied to MBIE by Methanex, which operates the two methanol plants.

Hydrogen (2.B.10)

Most of the hydrogen produced in New Zealand is made by Refining New Zealand Ltd at the Marsden Point oil refinery. Another company, Evonik Limited, produces a small amount of hydrogen, which is converted to hydrogen peroxide. In both cases, the hydrogen is produced from CH_4 (from refinery gas and natural gas) and steam. Carbon dioxide is a by-product of the reaction and is vented to the atmosphere.

The activity data reported are the amounts of hydrogen produced, as reported to MBIE by the plant operators.

Fertiliser, formaldehyde and ethanol (2.B.10)

Some indirect emissions (SO_2 and NMVOCs) are also reported from the production of ethanol for purposes other than food and drink, superphosphate fertiliser and formaldehyde.

Choice of methods

Ammonia and urea (2.B.1)

The CO_2 emissions are estimated from a Tier 2 carbon balance, based on the feedstock gas used. The emissions are derived from all carbon in the feedstock gas used, less carbon recovered for urea production and remaining in the urea product (IPCC, 2006a). Note that only gas used as feedstock is included in this calculation. Gas used for combustion is reported under the Energy sector in the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category (CRF 1.A.2).

Calcium carbide (2.B.5.b)

The Tier 1 method is used.

Methanol (2.B.8 and 2.B.8.a)

Data on the natural gas used for methanol production are also supplied to MBIE by the plant operators. However, the available data on gas supplied to the methanol plants do not allow for any separate feedstock to be clearly distinguished from gas used for combustion. Also, close to 100 per cent of the carbon in feedstock gas is converted to methanol. Therefore, no significant CO_2 emissions can be clearly related to the process. The 2006 IPCC Guidelines do not provide a method for estimation of any CO_2 emissions from this process (IPCC, 2006a). Any small amount of process CO_2 emissions from the methanol production process is included under the Energy sector (1.A.2), along with the much larger amount of combustion-related emissions from the methanol plants.

Fugitive CH_4 from the methanol manufacturing process is estimated using the Tier 1 method. Emissions of NO_x, CO and NMVOC are also reported.

Hydrogen (2.B.10)

Emissions of CO_2 from hydrogen production are calculated using the Tier 2 methodology, based on feedstock composition (IPCC, 2006a). The required data are supplied directly to MBIE by the two production companies.

Choice of emission factors

Carbon dioxide and methane

For ammonia production, the carbon content of each type of natural gas (up to three types taken from different natural gas fields, and mixed pipeline gas) used as feedstock determines country-specific CO_2 emission factors.

In some years, these emission factors are higher than Tier 1 default emission factors, due to the use of untreated high-CO_2 gas from the Kapuni field as part of the feedstock at this plant. This gas has a carbon content factor (CCF_i) of approximately 22.5 kilograms per gigajoule in comparison with the default of 15.3 kilograms per gigajoule. Kapuni gas has not been used in the years 2015 to 2020.

For hydrogen production, site-specific (for refinery gas) and field-specific (for natural gas) emission factors are used to determine the CO_2 emissions from the feedstock gas streams used.

IPCC default emission factors are used to estimate emissions of CH_4 from methanol manufacture and CO_2 from calcium carbide use (IPCC, 2006a). No other information on these emission sources is available.

Indirect emissions

Indirect emissions of NO_x, CO and NMVOC from methanol production are reported (2.B.8) with emission factors estimated by Methanex (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)). The emission factors for NO_x and CO were derived from site measurements, and the emission factor for NMVOC is based on American Petroleum Institute methods for estimating vapour emissions from storage tanks.

Some indirect greenhouse gas emissions are also reported for superphosphate fertiliser, formaldehyde and ethanol production (2.B.10). The emission factors used are country-specific (CRL Energy unpublished(a)) and are as shown in table 4.3.1.

Table 4.3.1 Country-specific emission factors for indirect emissions

Activity	Type of gas	Emission factor
Superphosphate fertiliser production	SO_2	1.5 kg per tonne of H_2SO_4
Formaldehyde production	NMVOCs	1.5 kg per tonne of formaldehyde
Ethanol production	NMVOCs	6 g NMVOC per litre of ethanol

4.3.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

The IPCC default uncertainties have been used for CO_2 and most non-CO_2 emissions from this category, as shown in table 4.3.2.

Table 4.3.2 Uncertainty in emissions from the *Chemical industry* category

Product	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Ammonia	±2	±6 (CO_2)
Calcium carbide	±50	±50
Formaldehyde	±2	±50 (NMVOCs)
Hydrogen	±2	±6
Methanol	±2	±50 (NO_x and CO) ±30 (NMVOCs) ±80 (CH_4)
Superphosphate	±10	±25–60 (varies by site)
Sulphuric acid	±10	±15

Time-series consistency

The implied emission factor for CO_2 in ammonia production has reduced by about 5.0 per cent through the time series, reflecting higher plant utilisation and some improvements in plant efficiency. Because ammonia is made at a single site in New Zealand, the implied emission factor may also vary from year to year as a result of maintenance shutdowns and other events that affect plant performance.

The implied emission factor for hydrogen production (2.B.10) also varies from year to year mainly due to changes in refinery gas composition. Other implied emission factors in this category only reflect the default emission factors used.

4.3.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

There are no key categories in the *Chemical industry* category.

4.3.5 Source-specific recalculations

There are no recalculations for this category.

4.3.6 Source-specific planned improvements

There are no planned improvements for this category.

4.4 Metal industry (2.C)

4.4.1 Description

The main emissions in the *Metal industry* category in New Zealand are from iron and steel production (from iron sand and historically from recycled scrap steel) and from aluminium production. New Zealand has no production of coke, sinter or ferroalloys.

New Zealand Steel Limited produces iron using an 'alternative iron-making process', from titanomagnetite iron sand (Ure, 2000). This iron-making process involves the direct reduction of iron oxide contained in the sand, with sub-bituminous coal (which forms a reactive char) as the reductant. There is no coke production and no use of blast furnaces. The iron produced is then processed into steel.

Until 2015, Pacific Steel Limited operated an electric arc furnace at a separate site to process recycled scrap steel. The owners of New Zealand Steel Limited bought the Pacific Steel Limited production assets in 2015, and all of New Zealand's steel-making capacity is now integrated at the New Zealand Steel site. Steel billet production at the Pacific Steel plant, using recycled scrap, stopped in October 2015. As a result, production in New Zealand is now focused on newly produced iron rather than recycled steel scrap.

There is one aluminium smelter in New Zealand, operated by New Zealand Aluminium Smelters Limited (NZAS). The plant produces aluminium by smelting imported bauxite using centre-work prebake technology. Carbon dioxide and PFC emissions from aluminium production are reported.

Very small amounts of emissions are also reported from secondary lead production (from the recycling of lead-acid batteries) from 1990 to 2015 and from use of SF_6 in a magnesium foundry from 1990 to 1999.

Key categories in the *Metal industry* category are CO_2 emissions from *Iron and steel production* (level assessment) and from *Aluminium production* (level assessment), and PFCs from *Aluminium production* (trend assessment).

Emissions from the *Metal industry* category in 2020 were 2,215.7 kt CO_2-e (48.0 per cent) of emissions from the IPPU sector. This is 454.5 kt CO_2-e (17.0 per cent) below the 1990 level. The decrease was driven by a reduction in emissions of PFCs in aluminium smelting, which has been partly offset by increasing CO_2 emissions due to increasing production of steel and aluminium. A small decrease in emissions occurred in 2016 due to the closure of the Pacific Steel plant and the cessation of lead battery recycling.

The New Zealand Steel site was closed from 26 March to 27 April 2020 due to COVID-19 restrictions. Production was also reduced during the ramp-down for this closure and the ramp-up on restarting the plant, resulting in a reduction in output for the year of approximately 11 per cent. NZAS announced in March 2020 that it would shut down one pot line (of four) due to COVID-19, and aluminium production was approximately 5 per cent lower than 2019.

4.4.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Iron and steel production (2.C.1 and 2.C.1.a)

In 2020, the *Iron and steel production* category accounted for 1,578.6 kt CO_2-e (71.2 per cent) of emissions from the *Metal industry* category. The activity data (tonnes of steel produced) provided to MBIE came from two steel producers up to 2015 and now come from one; they are regarded as commercially confidential and are reported as confidential in the CRF.

Most of the CO_2 emissions from the *Iron and steel production* category are produced through the production of iron from titanomagnetite iron sand. Nearly all of the emissions in this process come from the use of sub-bituminous coal as a reducing agent. There is no carbon in the iron sand used by New Zealand Steel Limited (see table 4.4.1).

Table 4.4.1 Typical analysis from New Zealand Steel Limited of the primary concentrate (provided by New Zealand Steel Limited)

Element	Result (%)
Fe_3O_4	81.4
TiO_2	7.9
Al_2O_3	3.7
MgO	2.9
SiO_2	2.3
MnO	0.6
CaO	0.5
V_2O_3	0.5
Zn	0.1
Na_2O	0.1
Cr	0.0
P	0.0
K_2O	0.0
Cu	0.0
Total	**100.0**

Figure 4.4.1 shows a simplified illustration of steel production in New Zealand.

Figure 4.4.1 Simplified schematic of iron and steel production in New Zealand



Nearly all of the carbon entering the process is from coal used as a reductant in the iron-making process, and nearly all of this is emitted as CO_2 in two waste gas streams:

- gas generated in multihearth furnaces used to heat and dry concentrated iron sand and coal – this gas contains excess volatiles from the coal
- gas generated in rotary reduction kilns used to convert oxide in the iron sand to iron – this gas is rich in CO.

All of this waste gas is combusted in 'afterburners' and used for electricity production. It would not be acceptable for gas containing coal volatiles or CO to be emitted without this combustion stage. There is no other flaring or disposal mode for the waste gases. Emissions from supplementary natural gas used in this plant are reported under the Energy sector (1.A.2.a).

Much smaller amounts of CO_2 are derived from limestone added to the multihearth furnaces, and from additives and natural gas used in melters and steel making.

Aluminium production (2.C.3)

Carbon dioxide is emitted during the oxidation of carbon anodes. The two PFCs perfluoromethane (CF_4) and perfluoroethane (C_2F_6) are emitted from the reduction cells used for smelting during anode effects. An anode effect occurs when the aluminium oxide concentration in the cell is low. The emissions from combustion of various fuels used in aluminium production (heavy fuel oil, liquefied petroleum gas, petrol and diesel) are reported under the Energy sector.

In 2020, the *Aluminium production* category accounted for 637.1 kt CO_2-e (28.8 per cent) of emissions from the *Metal industry* category. Activity data (production of hot metal aluminium from the smelter) and estimates of CO_2 and PFC emissions were supplied by NZAS to MBIE until 2010. From 2011 to 2020, the CO_2 and PFC emissions data and activity data were sourced from the company's NZ ETS reporting.

Magnesium and other metal production

From 1990 to 1999 a very small amount of SF_6 was used as a cover gas in a magnesium foundry. Emissions are estimated based on an approximate estimate of the amount of SF_6 that was used (2.C.4). No other activity data are available (CRL Energy, unpublished(b)).

A very small amount of CO_2 emissions was also reported from secondary lead production between 1990 and 2015, with the approximate recycled lead output as the activity data. This production has now stopped. The only other metal production that occurs in New Zealand is gold and silver mining. No emissions are reported from these activities.

Choice of methods

Iron and steel production (2.C.1 and 2.C.1.a)

The IPCC Tier 2 approach is used for calculating CO_2 emissions from the iron and steel plant operated by New Zealand Steel Limited. Emissions from pig iron and steel production are not estimated separately because all of the iron made is processed into steel. This is a mass balance approach in which all carbon in inputs is assumed to be emitted, except the small amount sequestered in the steel produced.

Most of the input carbon comes from the coal used as a reductant. There are also some CO_2 emissions from the use of limestone in iron and steel production. These emissions are reported in the *Mineral industry* category (2.A.4.d), to preserve the confidentiality of data on limestone use supplied by companies in the *Glass production* category. A very small amount of CO_2 from other carbon-containing inputs (coke and electrodes) is also included.

Emissions from the production of steel by Pacific Steel have also been estimated using the Tier 2 mass balance approach. The average carbon content (0.2 per cent by mass) in the finished product is subtracted from the total carbon in inputs to obtain the amount of carbon emitted. Due to limited process data collected and retained by Pacific Steel in the past, emissions for the years 1990 to 1999 were calculated using the average of the implied emission factors for 2000 to 2008 based on production volume.

Aluminium production (2.C.3)

NZAS calculates the process CO_2 emissions using the International Aluminium Institute’s Tier 3 method (International Aluminium Institute, 2006, equations 1–3), which is compliant with the IPCC Tier 2 method (IPPC, 2006a). The same method is used in NZ ETS reporting for aluminium smelting. This method breaks the prebake anode process into three stages: baked anode consumption, pitch volatiles consumption and packing coke consumption.

Also, NZAS adds soda ash to the reduction cells to maintain the electrolyte chemical composition. This results in CO_2 emissions as a by-product. These emissions are reported in the *Mineral industry* category (2.A.4.b) to preserve the confidentiality of data on soda ash use supplied by companies in the *Glass production* category.

Data on the duration of anode effects at the smelter are available for 1993 and later years. Perfluorocarbon (CF_4 and C_2F_6) emissions from aluminium production are estimated using:

- the IPCC Tier 1 method for the years 1990 and 1991. The data needed to apply a Tier 2 method are not available
- interpolation for 1992; at this time, there was still no recording of anode effect duration
- the IPCC Tier 2 method (using slope coefficients) from 1993 to 2020. This method is applied in the reporting requirements the company now uses in its NZ ETS returns.

There is no history of direct measurement of PFC emissions at the smelter, so site-specific slope coefficients (required for the use of Tier 3) are not currently available.

Magnesium production (2.C.4)

Emissions are estimated based on an approximate estimate of the amount of SF_6 that was used as cover gas and on the basis that all SF_6 used is emitted. The method is Tier 1.

Lead production (2.C.5)

The Tier 1 method is used.

Choice of emission factors

Carbon dioxide

Plant-specific emission factors are applied for the sub-bituminous coal used as a reducing agent in iron and steel production. For the early years, the coal emission factor was 0.0937 tonnes of CO_2 per gigajoule. Plant-specific emission factors are also used for other carbon-containing inputs in both the *Iron and steel production* and *Aluminium production* categories.

For secondary lead production, the IPCC default emission factor (0.2 tonnes of CO_2 per tonne of lead recycled) is used.

Perfluorocarbons and SF_6

Default emission factors (slope coefficients) are used for emissions of CF_4 and C_2F_6 from aluminium production. For the emissions in 1990 to 1992, when data on the duration of anode effects are not available and a Tier 1 method is used, the default emission factors of 0.4 kilograms CF_4 and 0.04 kilograms C_2F_6 per tonne of aluminium are used.

Emissions of SF_6 used in magnesium casting are considered to be immediate.

Indirect emissions

Emissions of indirect greenhouse gases (CO, SO_2 and NO_x) are reported for the *Iron and steel production* and *Aluminium production* categories. These are based on a mass balance calculation (for SO_2) and a mix of plant-specific emission factors and IPCC defaults for other gases (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)).

4.4.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

IPCC default uncertainties have been used for activity data (see table 4.4.2). For the CO_2 emission factors in the *Iron and steel production* category, an uncertainty of ±7 per cent was assessed to reflect some uncertainty in the carbon content of the product. An uncertainty of ±30 per cent was assessed for PFCs reflecting the use of Tier 1 methods for the first three years. The uncertainties for indirect gases were assessed on a site-specific basis at the time the data were collected (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)).

Table 4.4.2 Uncertainty in emissions from the metal industry

Category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Iron and steel (CO_2)	±5	±7
Iron and steel (CO)	±5	±30
Iron and steel (NO_x)	±5	±70
Aluminium (CO_2)	±5	±2
Aluminium (PFCs)	±5	±30
Aluminium (SO_2)	±5	±5
Aluminium (CO)	±5	±40
Aluminium (NO_x)	±5	±50
Magnesium (SF_6)	±100	–
Lead (CO_2)	±50	±50

Time-series consistency

The implied emission factors for PFC emissions from aluminium production fluctuated over the time series between 1990 and 1998. The introduction of monitoring at the aluminium smelter in 1993 contributed to process and management improvements that reduced the frequency and duration of anode effects. This improvement process continued. Since 1998, emissions have been lower and relatively stable, due to the much better control of anode effects (see table 4.4.3).

Table 4.4.3 Explanation of variations in New Zealand's aluminium emissions

Variation in emissions	Reason for variation
Increase in CO_2 and PFC emissions in 1996	Commissioning of Line 4 cells
Decrease in CO_2 emissions in 1995	Good anode performance, compared with 1994 and 1996
Decrease in CO_2 emissions in 1998	Good anode performance
Decrease in CO_2 emissions in 2001, 2003 and 2006	Fewer cells operating from reduced aluminium production due to reduced electricity supply
	Good anode performance contributed in 2001
Increase in CO_2 emissions in 1996	All cells operating, including introduction of additional cells
	Increasing aluminium production rate from the cells
Decrease in PFC emissions in 1995	Reduced anode frequencies
	The implementation of the change control strategy to all reduction cells
	Repairs made to cells exerting higher frequencies
PFC emissions remained high in 1997	Instability over the whole plant as the operating parameters were tuned for the material coming from the newly commissioned dry scrubbing equipment (removes the fluoride and particulate from the main stack discharge)
Decrease in PFC emissions in 1998	Cell operating parameter control from the introduction of modified software. This software has improved the detection of an anode-effect onset and will initiate actions to prevent the anode effect
PFCs remain relatively static in 2001, 2003 and 2006	Increased emissions from restarting the cells

4.4.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

The three key categories in the *Metal industry* category are CO_2 emissions from *Iron and steel production* (level assessment), *Aluminium production* (level assessment), and PFCs from *Aluminium production* (trend assessment). The data for all direct emissions in this category underwent Tier 1 quality checks in the preparation of this inventory.

4.4.5 Source-specific recalculations

There are no recalculations for this category.

4.4.6 Source-specific planned improvements

There are no planned improvements for this category.

4.5 Non-energy products from fuels and solvent use (2.D)

4.5.1 Description

The emissions reported in the *Non-energy products from fuels and solvent use* category include CO_2 from the use of lubricants and a very small amount from the use of paraffin wax, some of which is likely to be used for candles.

In addition, a small amount of CO_2 is reported from the use of urea-based catalysts in diesel exhaust fluid (DEF) for control of NO_x emissions in diesel engine exhaust gas. These emissions are associated with transport, and the method used is given in volume 2, Energy, of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b); however, the CRF does not appear to allow for them to be reported under the Energy sector, so they are placed in 2.D.3.

Some emissions of indirect greenhouse gases (mainly NMVOCs) are estimated and reported from:

- the use of asphalt in road paving and roofing applications
- painting
- degreasing and dry cleaning
- use of solvents in printing
- general domestic and commercial use of solvents.

Emissions from the *Non-energy products from fuels and solvent use* category in 2020 were 44.1 kt CO_2-e (1.0 per cent) of emissions from the IPPU sector.

There are no key categories.

4.5.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Lubricant use (2.D.1)

Data reported to MBIE by the industry provide estimates of the amount of lubricants imported into New Zealand in each calendar year and the amounts in stock at the start and end of the year. This allows the amount of lubricants used in the year to be estimated.

However, this information is only available from the years 2011 on, and is considered to be unreliable for 2011 to 2014 due to under-reporting of imports. For earlier years, the activity data have been estimated by assuming that the amount of lubricant used was proportional to the amount of transport fuel used in New Zealand in the year. Also, because apparent use fluctuates from year to year, and it is unlikely such variations are reflected in actual lubricant use and emissions, averaging is used to estimate emissions for 2015 to 2020.

Paraffin wax use (2.D.2)

A small amount of paraffin wax is imported into New Zealand. There are no reliable data on import volumes, so the activity data have been estimated from an estimate of the value of imports. This is only available for 2005 to 2011, and the activity data for other years have been assumed to be the same.

Use of urea-based catalysts in transport (2.D.3)

The activity data (quantity of DEF used) are estimated from total sales of diesel, with the assessment that 33 per cent of fuel is used in heavy vehicles and 51 per cent of the heavy vehicle fleet currently uses DEF. The amounts for years up to 2016 are estimated by back-casting the uptake of vehicles that require DEF over time. There was no use of urea catalysts before 2008.

Asphalt paving and roofing and solvent use (2.D.3)

Three main bitumen production companies that provide materials for road paving are operating in New Zealand. Data on bitumen production and emission rates were provided by these companies (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)). One company is also manufacturing asphalt roofing in New Zealand.

Solvent use was estimated in 2006 (CRL Energy, unpublished(a)) and, for all of these sources, activity data for the years up to 2005 have been extrapolated for 2006 to 2020 in the absence of any updated information.

Choice of methods

Tier 1 methods (IPCC, 2006a, 2006b) are used to estimate all emissions in this category. Only approximate activity data are available, with no country-specific information on the amounts of lubricant and paraffin wax used for specific applications.

Choice of emission factors

Lubricant use (2.D.1) and paraffin wax use (2.D.2)

Default emission factors (carbon content and ‘oxidised during use’ factor) are used.

Use of urea-based catalysts in transport (2.D.3)

Default emission factors are used. DEF sold in New Zealand conforms with international norms and contains 32.5 per cent urea, which is the default value.

Asphalt paving and roofing and solvent use (2.D.3)

The bitumen content of road paving used in New Zealand is about 6 per cent, which is lower than commonly used in most countries. The NMVOC emissions from road paving are calculated using a country-specific method based on the fraction of bitumen in asphalt used in road paving material, the fraction of solvent added to bitumen and an assessment that 75 per cent of the solvent added will be emitted (see table 4.5.1).

Table 4.5.1 Calculation of NMVOC emissions from road paving

Calculation of NMVOC emissions from road paving
NMVOC emitted = A × B × C × D
Where:
A = road paving material used (kt)
B = fraction by weight of bitumen in asphalt
C = fraction of solvent added to bitumen (0.04)
D = fraction of solvent emitted (0.75)

The fraction of bitumen in asphalt used in road paving materials was reduced over time as methods of laying roading improved (see table 4.5.2).

Table 4.5.2 Fraction of bitumen in road paving material

Reporting years	Fraction by weight of bitumen in asphalt (B above)
1990–2001	0.80
2002–2003	0.65
2004–2018	0.60

For asphalt used as roofing material, IPCC default emission factors of 0.05 kilograms NMVOC and 0.0095 kilograms CO per tonne of product have been used.

4.5.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

IPCC default uncertainty is estimated for CO_2 from lubricant use. The uncertainties used for indirect emissions in this category are a mix of defaults and country specific. These uncertainties are shown in table 4.5.3.

Table 4.5.3 Uncertainty in emissions in non-energy products from fuels and solvent use

Category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Lubricant use	±20	±50
Paraffin wax use	±20	±100
Use of urea-based catalysts in transport	±50	±10
Asphalt road paving	±40	±40
Asphalt roofing	±50	±40
Paint application	±40	±50
Degreasing and dry cleaning	±40	±60
Printing	±50	±50
Domestic and commercial solvent use	±50	±60

Time-series consistency

For CO_2 emissions in this category, the activity data have been extrapolated and emission factors are defaults. The implied emission factors and time-series consistency reflect this.

4.5.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

Non-energy products from fuels and solvent use is a non-key category. Verification of the data from independent sources was not feasible.

4.5.5 Source-specific recalculations

For the previous submission, averaging the data for lubricants in recent years resulted in a small recalculation of emissions affecting 2018. There are no recalculations for this submission.

4.5.6 Source-specific planned improvements

This category is not a priority for improvement, due to the small scale of emissions. The inventory agency will make use of improved activity data where possible, particularly for lubricants and urea-based catalysts.

4.6 Electronics industry (2.E)

New Zealand has no significant industry engaged in the manufacture of electronic products, and no emissions are reported in this category.

4.7 Product uses as substitutes for ODS (2.F)

4.7.1 Description

HFCs are used in a wide range of equipment and products, including refrigeration and air conditioning systems and aerosols. Small amounts of PFCs have also been used in these applications in some years. No HFCs or PFCs are manufactured in New Zealand. PFCs are also emitted from the aluminium-smelting process and these emissions are reported in the *Metal industry* category (2.C.3.b).

The use of HFCs in New Zealand began in 1992 and has increased since the mid-1990s when chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFCs) began to be phased out under the Montreal Protocol. The Ozone Layer Protection Act 1996 set out a programme for phasing out the use of ODS in New Zealand. New Zealand is a signatory to the Kigali Amendment to the Montreal Protocol and is now phasing down the consumption of HFCs. The phase-down is being implemented through a permitting system for imports which began on 1 January 2020.

In 2020, emissions in the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category were 1,480.1 kt CO_2-e or 32.0 per cent of emissions from the IPPU sector. This was a decrease of 0.7 kt CO_2-e (0.05 per cent) from the 2019 level of 1,480.8 kt CO_2-e. No HFCs or PFCs were used in 1990. The first consumption of HFCs in New Zealand was reported in 1992 and the first consumption of PFCs in 1995.

Most of these emissions come from the use of HFCs in the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category. Emissions from the use of HFCs in the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category (level and trend assessment) were identified as a key category.

4.7.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Most of the activity data for the *Product uses as substitutes for ODS* category are collected using annual surveys of companies that import, distribute and export refrigerants and other synthetic gases, manufacture or import products containing them or use them on a significant scale (Verum Group, unpublished).

New Zealand imports substantial amounts of new HFCs, mainly for use as refrigerants, both in bulk and in factory-charged equipment. Both bulk chemical and equipment charged in New Zealand are exported but on a smaller scale.

Data on bulk imports and exports of refrigerant, and factory-charged imported and exported equipment, were obtained using a survey. Detailed information on the supplies and banks of chemical in each sub-application was obtained from survey questionnaires and follow-up calls to request specific data. The survey included:

- 15 companies known to be significant importers and distributors of HFCs and PFCs
- approximately 75 manufacturers, exporters, importers and significant users of air conditioning and refrigeration systems and equipment
- importers, service agents and installers of vehicle air conditioners, and their trade association
- the three companies that supply fire protection equipment
- six foam blowing companies and their suppliers.

Refrigeration and air conditioning (2.F.1)

These items of activity data are used to estimate the annual sales of new refrigerant and the total charge of new equipment, for input into the mass balance equation used to estimate emissions of each compound for each sub-application.

This information has been used to assess the mass balance for each sub-application. However, the attribution of bulk chemical to individual sub-applications is less accurate than the data on total amounts of each chemical imported. It is consistently difficult to attribute bulk chemical accurately to any specific sub-application, other than *Mobile air conditioning*.

The accurate attribution of bulk chemical to a specific year of use is challenging, due to large year-to-year variations in the amounts imported. Imports to New Zealand are variable at any time, due to the small amounts of some refrigerants that are used. In addition, import volumes have fluctuated at various times due to price changes and apparent stockpiling by importers and users. Care is also needed to avoid double counting of chemical that is sold more than once by wholesalers and other owners before it is used.

An additional challenge is incomplete or inaccurate data on the imports of refrigerant in pre-charged equipment. Total reported imports do not appear to fully account for the quantities in equipment sold and included in New Zealand’s mandatory product labelling scheme.

For the *Mobile air conditioning* sub-application, only HFC-134a is used in New Zealand, and has been since 1994. Data on vehicle registrations and fleet numbers are provided by Waka Kotahi New Zealand Transport Agency and inform a model of the fleet. Estimates of the annual amount added to the bank, and first-fill emissions, are based on a good understanding of the number of new cars, trucks and buses with air conditioning added to the fleet each year.
The results of the survey of bulk importers and distributors were also used to help determine the amount of HFC-134a sold for mobile air conditioning.

In 2009, the average charge of HFC-134a in vehicle air conditioning systems added to the bank at that time was estimated to be as shown in table 4.7.1, based on IPCC defaults and information from the industry.

Table 4.7.1 Average charge of HFC-134a in mobile air conditioners, 2009

Charge for cars and vans (g)	Charge for heavy trucks (g)	Charge for buses (g)
600	800	4,000

These amounts were higher in earlier years, with the charge in a car air conditioner at approximately 700 grams in 2000. New Zealand imports a wide variety of vehicles, many of them used cars, and it is not feasible to obtain accurate and up-to-date statistics on their refrigerant charge. Based on this earlier trend, the average charges in new vehicles added to the fleet are assessed to reduce by 2.0 per cent per year for 2010 to 2020. Discussion with importers in 2018 indicated that the ongoing trend to reduce these charges has continued (Verum Group, unpublished).

Foam blowing agents (2.F.2)

Only closed-cell foams are produced in New Zealand. Companies importing and using HFCs for foam blowing have provided data on the gas imported and used in response to the annual survey. Survey data indicated increasing use of commercial HFC mixes up to 2019. During 2020 foam companies have started to use hydrofluoroolefin (HFO) blowing agents. Small quantities of HFC-245fa are estimated to be contained in insulating foam in refrigerators and freezers that are imported from Mexico and the United States of America. Imported items from other source countries are unlikely to contain HFCs.

Fire protection (2.F.3)

Three companies in New Zealand import and supply fire protection equipment that contains HFC-227ea, with two other firms installing small amounts in marine fire protection systems. This gas has been used since 1994 as a substitute for ODS. No other HFCs or PFCs are used. The companies provide data on the amount imported in equipment in response to the annual survey.

Aerosols (2.F.4)

Most of the HFC use and emissions in this category are for medical use in metered dose inhalers (MDIs). MDIs that use HFC-134a were introduced in 1995, and all MDIs sold in New Zealand from 2012, if they have used any propellant, have used HFCs. Nearly all of the propellant in MDIs is HFC-134a, but a small amount of HFC-227ea is also used. Also, approximately 12.0 per cent of MDIs now sold do not use a propellant at all.

All MDIs used in New Zealand are imported. The government pharmaceutical purchasing agency (Pharmac) supplies annual data on the sales of MDIs.

Most of the MDIs imported and sold in New Zealand contain either 200 or 120 doses and either 15 grams or 9.5 grams of HFC-134a propellant per inhaler. An approximate weighted average is used to estimate emissions for each propellant, per dose – either:

- 81.4 milligrams of HFC-134a, or
- 66.9 milligrams of HFC-227ea.

HFC-134a is the predominant HFC propellant used in non-medical aerosols in New Zealand. A small amount of HFC-152a has been used from 2015. A very small amount of HFC-43-10mee was reported in 2020, mixed with HFC-134a in a specialised aerosol product.

All non-MDI aerosols used in New Zealand now are imported, with the propellant charge already in place. Up until 2014, one company loaded specialised aerosols in New Zealand with HFC-134a as the propellant. This activity is no longer carried out.

Nearly all of the aerosol cans that are imported and sold in New Zealand use hydrocarbon propellants, while only a few specialised applications use HFCs.

Information on the importation, manufacture and use of non-MDI aerosol products has been sourced from the survey data supplied by importers, from the one New Zealand aerosol manufacturer that previously used HFC-134a and from their industry association.

Import data – regardless of the source – are not complete or reliable because the aerosol market is diffuse and the available data do not clearly distinguish aerosols that contain HFCs from the much greater number containing hydrocarbons.

Survey data have provided some incomplete estimates of imports containing HFCs; for example, they accounted for 6.6 tonnes of HFC-134a in 2006. By combining this information with data from the New Zealand manufacturer, an assessment has been made of the proportions of HFC-134a in aerosol products sold in New Zealand:

- zero from 1990 to 1995, when HFC propellant had not yet been introduced
- phased in from 1996 to 2000, reaching 1 per cent in 2000
- 1 per cent (approximately 17 tonnes of HFC-134a annually) from 2001 to 2016
- phasing down by 0.1 per cent each year from 2017 to 2019, driven by introduction of HFOs
- remaining at 0.7 per cent for 2020.

For all non-MDI aerosol products using HFC-134a as the propellant, the average propellant charge is assessed to be 84 grams.

Choice of methods

Refrigeration and air conditioning (2.F.1)

The Tier 2b mass balance approach is used to estimate emissions from the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category. This method is used because quite complete and accurate data are available on bulk imports of the refrigerants used for these applications. The alternative Tier 2a approach would require bottom-up data on the charges, leakage rates and population of a great variety of equipment items. This information is not available.

Annual sales and the charge in new equipment are calculated as shown in box 7.3 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) (see box 4.1). Total charge of new equipment includes equipment that is later exported.

Box 4.1

IPCC (2006a) first equation in box 7.3

Annual Sales of New Refrigerant

= Domestically Manufactured Chemical

+ Imported Bulk Chemical — Exported Bulk Chemical

+ Chemical Contained in Factory Charged Imported Equipment

– Chemical Contained in Factory Charged Exported Equipment.

Total Charge of New Equipment

= Chemical to Charge Domestically Manufactured Equipment that is not Factory Charged

+ Chemical to Charge Domestically Manufactured Equipment that is Factory Charged

+ Chemical to Charge Imported Equipment that is not Factory Charged

+ Chemical Contained in Factory Charged Imported Equipment

– Chemical Contained in Factory Charged Exported Equipment.

The mass balance approach uses equation 7.9 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) (box 4.2).

Box 4.2

IPCC (2006a) equation 7.9

Emissions = Annual Sales of New Refrigerant — Total Charge of New Equipment + Original Total Charge of Retiring Equipment — Amount of Intentional Destruction

Spreadsheet models have been used to represent the refrigerant consumption and banks. Estimates have been made for the six sub-applications: *Household refrigeration*, *Commercial refrigeration*, *Industrial refrigeration*, *Transport refrigeration*, *Stationary air conditioning* and *Mobile air conditioning*.

Country-specific assessments for the lifetime of equipment have been made to calculate the 'original total charge of retiring equipment'. These assessments include progressive retirement of air conditioning equipment in years 8 to 19, and dehumidifiers in years 6 to 15. The analysis also takes account of the impact of the 2011 Canterbury earthquake, which resulted in emissions from demolition of damaged buildings in the following years – not all refrigerant installed in demolished buildings was collected.

There is no facility for the intentional destruction of HFCs or PFCs from this application in New Zealand. Some HFCs are exported for destruction in Australia, and the amounts recovered for destruction are reported.

Table 4.7.2 summarises the methodological tiers that are used for each sub-application.

Table 4.7.2 Summary of methodological tiers by sub-application

Sub-application	Chemical	Method used (Tier)
Household refrigeration	HFC-134a	2a
Commercial refrigeration	HFC-32	2a
	HFC-125	2b to 2006, 2a for 2007–2020
	HFC-134a	2a
	HFC-143a	2b

Sub-application	Chemical	Method used (Tier)
Industrial refrigeration	HFC-32	2a
	HFC-125	2a
	HFC-134a	2b
	HFC-143a	2a
Transport refrigeration	HFC-32	2a
	HFC-125	2a
	HFC-134a	2a
	HFC-143a	2a
Stationary air conditioning	HFC-32	2b
	HFC-125	2a to 2006, 2b for 2007–2020
	HFC 134a	2a
Mobile air conditioning	HFC-134a	2b

Other (2.F.2, 2.F.3 and 2.F.4)

The IPCC Tier 1a method is used for foam blowing agents and fire protection equipment.

Aerosol emissions are calculated using the IPCC Tier 1a/2a method (IPCC, 2006a, equation 7.6). Tier 2a requires subdividing these emissions by sub-application. In this submission, emissions from MDIs are reported separately as a sub-application (2.F.4.a). Insufficient data are available to further subdivide aerosol products by sub-application and all other aerosol products are reported together (2.F.4.b).

Choice of emission factors

Refrigeration and air conditioning (2.F.1)

The emission factors used in each sub-application (other than *Mobile air conditioning*) were assessed using a combination of IPCC defaults, information from the New Zealand industry and expert judgement.

In addition, the annual leakage rates were adjusted in some cases to ensure that the total results for all sub-applications were consistent with the much more complete and accurate data available to estimate the total mass balance (for all five sub-applications) for each chemical. For each chemical, this has meant that one of these five sub-applications is treated as a residual and may, consequently, be subject to greater apparent year-to-year variation than the other four.

These emission factors are detailed in the report by Verum Group (unpublished).

For *Mobile air conditioning*, the model used distinguishes between leakage that is replaced in regular servicing (3 per cent of the bank each year) and refrigerant that leaks, but is not replaced because owners do not have the air conditioning units serviced. The overall average amount of leakage for the fleet is assessed to be 15±10 per cent – that is, at any time a notional 'average vehicle' will have 85±10 per cent of the charge that it would have if there was no leakage.

Foam blowing agents (2.F.2)

The IPCC default emission factors for closed-cell foam are used, that is, assuming 10.0 per cent loss in the first year of use and 4.5 per cent in each of the following 20 years.

Fire protection (2.F.3)

For fire protection equipment, a country-specific emission factor of 0.015 (1.5 per cent of the charge lost in leakage each year) is used. This estimate is based on information from one major supplier of these systems, which was able to supply records of the amount of HFC-227ea it used to replace leakage and accidental discharges. Fire protection systems have a long life and retirements are rare. Based on information from the system suppliers, it is assessed that all refrigerant present on decommissioning is recovered.

Aerosols (2.F.4)

Aerosol emissions are considered to be prompt (emitted in the first year or two after manufacture or import) and so the default emission factor of 50.0 per cent of the initial charge emitted per year is applied.

4.7.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

Data on bulk imports of refrigerant gases in the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category are complete and accurate, with uncertainty of ±5 per cent. Data on the amount imported in factory-charged equipment, and the amount in retired equipment, are much less accurate, and uncertainties are estimated for each sub-application based on expert judgement.

Uncertainties in this category have been estimated for each sub-application, and table 4.7.3 summarises the uncertainties for each category. For the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category, uncertainty is attributed only to the activity data.

Table 4.7.3 New Zealand's uncertainties in product uses as substitutes for ODS

Category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Refrigeration and air conditioning	±34	NA
Foam blowing agents	±12	±50
Aerosols	±25	±10
Fire protection	±10	±41

Note: NA = not applicable.

Time-series consistency

There is substantial variation over the time series due to the introduction and increasing use of HFCs as replacements for CFCs and HCFCs. The amounts imported also vary unpredictably from year to year. Significant stockpiling of refrigerant gases occurred in anticipation of NZ ETS obligations in 2013, and some stockpiling appears to have occurred in other years in response to low NZ ETS unit prices. This has meant that stockpiling needs to be taken into account in applying the mass balance approach to calculate emissions.

Some year-to-year variation in the emissions from refrigeration and air conditioning may indicate that there are random changes in stocks from year to year that cannot be accurately assessed from available data. Also, due to the need to attribute bulk chemical across individual sub-applications, variances may show up in one sub-application as higher than expected year-to-year changes.

Calculated HFC emissions have been flat from 2018 to 2020 at 14,800 kt CO_2-e annually. However, this may not indicate a long-term trend because the banks of HFCs in operating equipment are still increasing, particularly in air conditioners. Future emissions will depend on the maintenance and eventual retirement of this equipment.

4.7.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

Refrigeration and air conditioning (2.F.1)

Use of HFCs in *Refrigeration and air conditioning* was a key category (level and trend assessment). In the preparation of this inventory, the data on HFCs underwent Tier 1 quality checks. During data collection and calculation, activity data provided by industry were verified against national totals where possible, and unreturned questionnaires and anomalous data were followed up and verified to ensure a complete and accurate record of activity data.

For the years up to 2001, the survey data supplied by importers on bulk HFC imports were verified by comparison with import data supplied by Stats NZ. The former Ministry of Economic Development compiled a detailed breakdown of bulk HFCs using these data and information from import licences for a range of mixtures, such as HFCs and HCFCs. This analysis has not been carried out since 2001, due to restricted access to commercially sensitive import data. Consequently, this independent check on the total imports reported by bulk chemical suppliers became unavailable after that year.

Survey data provided by Fisher and Paykel Limited (the largest importer and manufacturer) were used to compare with total import data where possible. In addition, bulk importers now submit NZ ETS returns, which are used to verify survey information on import volumes where possible.

There are no other key categories. Data underwent Tier 1 quality checks.

4.7.5 Source-specific recalculations

In the 2021 submission, the method used for 'emissions in use' in the *Mobile air conditioning* sub-application was improved. The model was changed to clearly distinguish emissions due to refrigerant that is replaced in servicing from emissions due to refrigerant that leaks but is not replaced. Minor errors were corrected and the proportion of non-MDI aerosols containing HFCs was recalculated to reflect a decline from 2017.

For this submission, the amounts of R134a and R404A added to the banks for industrial and commercial refrigeration and stationary air conditioning from 2007 to 2016 were re-estimated to better account for changes in stocks held by importers and users. A significant proportion was assigned to stocks rather than additions to the bank. This has meant that emissions for 2018 and 2019 are recalculated down by 361.6 and 252.7 kt CO_2-e respectively, with smaller changes for some earlier years.

The impact of these recalculations is to decrease the 2019 emissions by 252.7 kt CO_2-e.

4.7.6 Source-specific planned improvements

No specific improvements are planned for this category. There are still some unexplained year-to-year variations in emissions from the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category, which is an indication that further improvements can be made by better accounting for stockpiling of bulk chemical. Future submissions will continue to address this issue.

4.8 Other product manufacture and use (2.G)

4.8.1 Description

The *Other product manufacture and use* category in New Zealand comprises emissions from:

- use of SF_6 as an insulant and arc-extinguishing agent in electrical switchgear
- use of SF_6 in eye surgery
- use of PFCs (C_2F_6 and perfluoropropane (C_3F_8)) in eye surgery
- use of SF_6 as a tracer gas in scientific experiments
- possible other uses of SF_6, such as in vehicle tyres and industrial equipment
- medical uses of N_2O.

There are no emissions of nitrogen trifluoride (NF_3) in New Zealand. Small amounts of indirect emissions (NMVOC and SO_2) are reported from the manufacture of food and drink, pulp and paper, and board products (fibreboard and particleboard).

There are no key categories.

In 2020, net emissions of SF_6 and N_2O from the *Other product manufacture and use* category totalled 90.6 kt CO_2-e or 2.0 per cent of emissions from the IPPU sector. This is a decrease of 29.1 kt (24.3 per cent) from the emissions in 1990, driven by a reduction in the importation and use of N_2O over time.

4.8.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Companies importing or using SF_6 and N_2O provided data on their imports and holdings in response to an annual survey. This included all significant electricity companies, equipment manufacturers and industrial electricity users. In addition, companies that use SF_6 in electrical equipment and have more than 1 tonne of the gas in operating equipment report their holdings and emissions in NZ ETS returns.

Electrical equipment (2.G.1)

Data on bulk imports of SF_6 and the charge in installed equipment were supplied by New Zealand's only manufacturer of relevant electrical equipment (ABB Limited) and by the electricity transmission and generation companies. The transmission and generation companies import SF_6 for their own use.

Sulphur hexafluoride and PFCs from other product use (2.G.2)

One company (BOC Limited) imported SF_6 into New Zealand (for uses other than electrical switchgear) until 2012. There is no other known importer, and some users appear to have been using previously imported supplies since that time. The current usage rate is assessed (from earlier importation rates) to be approximately 120 kilograms per year. This is made up of 30 kilograms for medical use, 50 kilograms for scientific use and 40 kilograms for other uses.

Extremely small amounts of C_2F_6 and C_3F_8 have been imported into New Zealand from 2011, for use in a specialised type of eye surgery. The importer provided information on the amount imported: between 0.1 kilograms and 0.3 kilograms per year.

Enquiries to importers and the tyre industry have indicated that there is no use of SF_6 in New Zealand for other applications such as double-glazed windows, tyres and shoes.

Nitrous oxide from product uses (2.G.3)

Data on the import quantities of N_2O were available from the New Zealand Customs Service and Stats NZ from 2005, but some of these are considered unreliable due to classification errors by importers. Survey responses from companies that sell N_2O and import data have been assessed together to estimate the total imports, which vary between 181 tonnes and 205 tonnes per year (Verum Group, unpublished).

Choice of methods

Electrical equipment (2.G.1)

The national grid company, Transpower, and several of the larger electricity generation companies have supplied stocks and usage data that are detailed enough to allow the use of a Tier 3 approach for the years 2003 to 2019. This uses a mass balance calculation for closed pressure equipment and an emission factor calculation for sealed pressure equipment.

For all data prior to 2003, and for the other distribution companies that do not have ETS reporting obligations and have not provided detailed data, a Tier 1 approach is used.

Both approaches account for emissions from the operation and disposal of equipment.

Other

Because the quantities are small and the emissions are all considered to be prompt, Tier 1 methods are used for all other emissions in this category. All SF_6 or N_2O that is imported is assumed to be sold and emitted.

Choice of emission factors

Electrical equipment (2.G.1)

Default loss rates have been used, where required, for sealed pressure equipment and where a Tier 1 method has had to be used. Factors based on Europe have been used, because these are based on a study that distinguished between sealed and closed equipment types (IPCC, 2006a).

Improved information from surveys has allowed the use of these two different equipment types in New Zealand to be better disaggregated over time, and the choice of emission factors has become progressively more accurate (Verum Group, unpublished). However, this distinction is not always clear and remains a source of uncertainty. Units that are described as sealed can sometimes be topped up with SF_6 in service.

Losses on disposal are assessed as 95 per cent if a service agent is not used, and 5 per cent when service agents carry out the disposal and implement good recovery practices. No recovery of SF_6 was reported before the year 2000.

Other

Emissions of SF_6 and other gases for all other applications are assumed to be prompt, and an emission factor of either 50 per cent or 100 per cent is used, as appropriate.

4.8.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

A mix of expert judgement and IPCC default uncertainties has been used for emissions in this category (see table 4.8.1). IPCC (2006a) recommends the use of expert judgement for sources such as N_2O from product uses, because the uncertainties vary from country to country. For categories other than *Electrical equipment*, there is no uncertainty in emission factors because emissions are considered to be immediate.

Table 4.8.1 Uncertainty in emissions from other product manufacture and use

Category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Electrical equipment	±20	±30
Medical and other product use (SF_6)	±80	–
Medical and other product use (PFCs)	±80	–
N_2O from other product uses	±15	–

Time-series consistency

The implied emission factors for the *Electrical equipment* category have declined, due to improvements both in data quality and in the actual management of SF_6 utilisation and recovery by Transpower and other users over time. Recovery did not occur before 2000. Imports of SF_6 and N_2O for other purposes have varied over time.

4.8.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

Other product manufacture and use was a non-key category.

4.8.5 Source-specific recalculations

Minor errors in reported SF_6 stocks and disposal emissions in the *Electrical equipment* category have been corrected in this submission, increasing 2019 emissions by 0.2 kt CO_2-e.

4.8.6 Source-specific planned improvements

For the *Electrical equipment* category, it is expected that further improved activity data and more detailed reporting on stocks of SF_6 will become available over time from NZ ETS reporting and from surveys, as SF_6 handling practices in the industry improve. Better information should enable the consistent use of Tier 2 or Tier 3 methods for this category in future submissions.

Chapter 4: References

Cement and Concrete Association of New Zealand. 1995. The state of the standards. *New Zealand Concrete Construction* October/November. p 40.

Christie T, Thompson B, Brathwaite B. 1999. *Mineral Commodity Report 20 – Clays*. Wellington: Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences.

CRL Energy Ltd. Unpublished(a). Hennessy W, Maxwell D. Inventory of HFC, PFC and SF_6 Emissions for New Zealand 2004–2005. A report by CRL Energy Ltd to the Ministry for the Environment in 2006.

CRL Energy Ltd. Unpublished(b). Hennessy W, Maxwell D. Inventory of Non-CO_2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Industrial Sources and Solvents for New Zealand 2004–2005. A report by CRL Energy Ltd to the Ministry for the Environment in 2006.

International Aluminium Institute. 2006. *The Aluminium Sector Greenhouse Gas Protocol*. London: International Aluminium Institute.

IPCC. 2006a. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 3. Industrial Processes and Product Use*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2006b. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 2. Energy*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

Ure CR. 2000. *Alternative Iron-making at BHP New Zealand Steel.* In: 58th Electric Furnace Conference and 17th Process Technology Conference Proceedings. Orlando, FL: Iron and Steel Society. 12–15 November 2000. pp 535–546.

Verum Group Ltd. Unpublished. Hennessy W. Inventory of HFC, SF_6 and Other Industrial Process Emissions for New Zealand 2020. A report by Verum Group Ltd to the Ministry for the Environment in 2021.

World Business Council for Sustainable Development. 2005. *The Cement CO_2 Protocol: CO_2 Accounting and Reporting Standard for the Cement Industry*. Switzerland: World Business Council for Sustainable Development.

Chapter 5: Agriculture

5.1 Sector overview

Emissions summary

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Agriculture sector totalled 39,425.5 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e), representing 50.0 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions in 2020.

Enteric fermentation was the main source of agriculture emissions, contributing 73.1 per cent (28,831.5 kt CO_2-e) of the sector's emissions. *Agricultural soils* (20.0 per cent) was the second largest source followed by *Manure management* (4.4 per cent). *Urea application* and *Liming* contributed 1.4 per cent and 1.0 per cent respectively. *Field burning of agricultural residues* contributed the remaining 0.1 per cent.

Methane (CH_4) emissions from *Enteric fermentation* were 36.6 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions, and nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from the *Agricultural soils* category were 10.0 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions.

New Zealand reports emissions from Tokelau, which is a dependent territory of New Zealand. These are reported for all activities in annex 7 of the National Inventory Report and within the 'Other' sector in the common reporting format (CRF) tables. Therefore, all emissions reported in this sector are from New Zealand excluding Tokelau. Please refer to chapter 8 and annex 7 for details of methods applied and emissions for Tokelau.

1990–2020

In 2020, New Zealand's Agriculture sector emissions were 16.7 per cent (5,632.7 kt CO_2-e) above the 1990 level (33,792.9 kt CO_2-e) (table 5.1.1).

Table 5.1.1 Trends and relative contribution of New Zealand's agricultural greenhouse gas emissions by category between 1990 and 2020

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Change (%)	Difference (kt CO_2-e)	Share (%) of sector	
Category	1990	2020	1990–2020	1990–2020	1990	2020
Enteric fermentation (CRF 3.A)	27,350.4	28,831.5	5.4	1,481.1	80.9	73.1
Manure management (CRF 3.B)	778.5	1,735.6	122.9	957.1	2.3	4.4
Rice cultivation (CRF 3.C)	NO	NO	–	–	–	–
Agricultural soils (CRF 3.D)	5,300.9	7,882.8	48.7	2,581.9	15.7	20.0
Field burning of agricultural residues (CRF 3.F)	27.4	24.1	–12.0	–3.3	0.1	0.1
Liming CO_2 emissions (CRF 3.G)	296.5	409.5	38.1	113.0	0.9	1.0
Urea application CO_2 emissions (CRF 3.H)	39.2	542.0	1,282.9	502.8	0.1	1.4
Other carbon-containing fertilisers (CRF 3.i)	NE	NE	–	–	–	–
Total	**33,792.9**	**39,425.5**	**16.7**	**5,632.7**	**100**	**100**

Note: NO = not occurring, NE = not estimated. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

The greatest contributions to the increase since 1990 are a 48.7 per cent (2,581.9 kt CO_2-e) increase in N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils* and a 5.4 per cent (1,481.1 kt CO_2-e) increase in CH_4 emissions from *Enteric fermentation* (figure 5.1.1).

The increase in N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils* is primarily a result of increased application of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser by around 693 per cent since 1990, partly due to an increase in dairy farming.

The increase in emissions from *Enteric fermentation* is driven by an increase in dairy cattle numbers, which have been partially offset by a decrease in beef cattle and sheep numbers. The change in animal populations since 1990 reflects the relative financial returns in each animal sector (it has been more profitable to farm dairy cattle than beef cattle or sheep in New Zealand over the reporting period) and changes in New Zealand's regulatory environment, especially with regard to improving freshwater quality.

Figure 5.1.1 Change in New Zealand's emissions from the Agriculture sector from 1990 to 2020



Note: Rice cultivation does not occur (NO) in New Zealand. Emissions from other carbon-containing fertilisers are not estimated (NE).

Agriculture emissions peaked at 39,922.8 kt CO_2-e in 2014, corresponding with a peak in dairy cattle (and total cattle overall) numbers. Agriculture emissions previously peaked in 2005 but dropped by around 1,000 kt CO_2-e during the Global Financial Crisis (2007–08) and another 1,000 kt CO_2-e during the 2008 nationwide drought but have since recovered. Agriculture emissions have remained slightly below the 2014 peak in the years 2015 to 2020. This is partly due to a drop in the profitability of dairy (relative to other primary sector exports) since 2015 (figure 5.1.2).

Figure 5.1.2 New Zealand's Agriculture sector emissions from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, total agricultural emissions decreased by 0.2 per cent (93.1 kt CO_2-e) due to a decrease in emissions from sheep, urea and liming. This comprises:

- sheep emissions, which decreased by 2.8 per cent (268.3 kt CO_2-e) due to a further decrease in the sheep population
- *Liming* emissions (CO_2), which decreased by 9.0 per cent (40.3 kt CO_2-e) due to decrease in the use of lime
- *Urea* emissions (CO_2), which fell by 5.0 per cent (28.7 kt CO_2-e) due to a decrease in the use of urea fertiliser.

Emissions from other activities increased, but were not enough to offset the overall decrease in agricultural emissions. These increases comprise:

- inorganic fertiliser, which increased by 7.5 per cent (128.5 kt CO_2-e)
- non-dairy (beef) cattle, which increased by 1.2 per cent (84.0 kt CO_2-e) as the population recovered from a decrease in 2019
- dairy cattle, which increased by 0.2 per cent (31.2 kt CO_2-e) due a slight increase in the population.

5.1.1 New Zealand farming practices and trends

Agriculture is a major component of the New Zealand economy, and exports from agricultural products (excluding fisheries and forestry) comprise around 66.6 per cent of the total free on-board value of merchandise exports (Stats NZ, 2022). The production of land-based agricultural products in New Zealand is helped by the favourable temperate climate and access to widespread natural water resources. Typical farming practices in New Zealand include the use of year-round outdoor pastoral grazing systems, nitrogen inputs through nitrogen fixation by legumes complemented by synthetic nitrogen fertiliser use. The common use of outdoor pastoral grazing systems means New Zealand's agricultural production is more sensitive to climatic events affecting feed production than countries that use intensive grain-fed systems and indoor feedlots.

Intensive housing of major ruminant livestock species rarely occurs in New Zealand. As part of normal day-to-day management, farmers may temporarily take animals off regular grazing areas in an effort to prevent damage to soils and any subsequent loss in pasture growth, although most of these off-paddock sites are also outside. This means New Zealand has a much lower proportion of agricultural emissions from manure management, compared with other Annex I Parties, because most manure is deposited directly onto pastures. For further information about New Zealand's agricultural farming conditions, see section 2.9 (National circumstances, Agriculture) of New Zealand's Seventh National Communication (Ministry for the Environment, 2017).

Trends in Agriculture sector emissions are largely driven by the populations of the ruminant livestock categories (dairy cattle, beef cattle, sheep and deer). In 1990 and 2020 respectively, 94.2 per cent and 90.0 per cent of agricultural emissions originated from these ruminant livestock categories.

Agriculture and horticulture activities (excluding forestry) use around 42 per cent of New Zealand's total land area (Stats NZ, 2017). Since 1990, changes have occurred in the proportions of the main livestock categories farmed in New Zealand (see figures 5.1.3a and 5.1.3b). The number of dairy cattle has increased while the population of sheep and beef cattle has decreased. Between 1990 and 2020, the land area used for sheep, beef and deer grazing has decreased by 35.7 per cent (4,452,293 hectares) (Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd, 2021), while the area used for dairy farming has increased by 67.4 per cent (689,970 hectares) (LIC and Dairy NZ, 2021).

The use of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser in the Agriculture sector has increased by nearly 693.0 per cent since 1990. Total emissions from synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (including CO_2 from urea) have increased from 0.9 per cent (1990) to 6.1 per cent (2020) of agricultural emissions. The more cost-effective approach of using nitrogen fertiliser to boost pasture growth for feed (relative to the cost of other supplementary feeds) is largely responsible for this increased uptake.

Figure 5.1.3a **Populations of New Zealand's dairy cattle, beef cattle and deer from 1990 to 2020 (June year ending)**



Source: Stats NZ

Figure 5.1.3b **Population of New Zealand's sheep from 1990 to 2020 (June year ending)**



Source: Stats NZ

Effect of productivity improvements and climatic events on implied emission factors

A gradual increase has occurred in the implied CH_4 and N_2O emission factors[33] per head of the major livestock species farmed in New Zealand. This trend reflects the increased levels of productivity (milk and meat yield per head) achieved by New Zealand farmers between 1990 and 2020. Increases in animal liveweight and milk yield per animal require increased feed intake per animal to meet higher energy demands, which results in higher CH_4 and N_2O emissions per animal (i.e., higher implied emission factors (IEFs)). Since 1990, emissions per unit of product (i.e., milk and meat emissions intensity) have steadily decreased.

The use of year-round outdoor pastoral grazing systems means New Zealand production is dependent on the quantity and quality of pasture grown on land managed by farmers, as well as the use and/or availability of any supplementary feeds. Pasture growth is strongly influenced by weather and climatic events, such as droughts and floods. These factors can cause changes in per-animal productivity and mean that IEFs can be noticeably different in adjacent years. For example, in 2008, a major nationwide drought affected both livestock numbers and animal performance, resulting in lower livestock emissions, and overall agricultural emissions (see figure 5.1.2). The livestock population and IEFs started to increase after the drought, once seasonal growing conditions improved, and farmers looked to rebuild herd and flock numbers.

An example of this is included in figure 5.1.4, which overlays milk production per milking dairy cow with the IEFs (enteric fermentation) for dairy cattle from 1990 to 2020. The figure shows that, while per cow productivity has trended upward, there is a significant amount of inter-annual variability (influenced by climatic conditions and international product prices). It also shows that the IEFs are affected by these changes in productivity.

---

[33] Implied emission factors (IEFs) are calculated by dividing the total emissions of a particular animal species and sector (e.g., enteric fermentation from sheep) by the number of animals in that species and sector.

Figure 5.1.4 Dairy milk productivity and implied enteric fermentation methane emission factors for dairy cows from 1990 to 2020



Note: Milk production per cow is calculated by dividing total milk production by the milking dairy cattle population (i.e., excluding replacements and breeding bull numbers).

5.1.2 Key categories for Agriculture sector emissions

Details of New Zealand's analysis of key categories are in chapter 1, section 1.5. The key categories in the Agriculture sector are listed in table 5.1.2.

Table 5.1.2 Key categories in the Agriculture sector

CRF category code	IPCC categories	Gas	Criteria for identification
3.A.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	L1, T1
3.A.1	Option A – Non-Dairy *(Beef)* Cattle	CH_4	L1, T1
3.A.2	Other (please specify) – Sheep	CH_4	L1, T1
3.A.4	Other Livestock – Deer	CH_4	L1
3.A.4	Other Livestock – Goats	CH_4	T1
3.B.1.1	Option A – Dairy Cattle	CH_4	L1, T1
3.B.1.2	CH_4 Emissions – Sheep	CH_4	T1
3.D.1.1	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Inorganic N Fertilisers	N_2O	L1, T1
3.D.1.3	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Urine and Dung Deposited by Grazing Animals	N_2O	L1, T1
3.D.1.4	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Crop Residues	N_2O	L1
3.D.1.6	Direct N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Cultivation of Organic Soils	N_2O	L1, T1
3.D.2.1	Indirect N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Atmospheric Deposition	N_2O	L1
3.D.2.2	Indirect N_2O Emissions from Managed Soils – Nitrogen Leaching and Run-off	N_2O	L1
3.G	Agriculture – Liming	CO_2	L1
3.H	Agriculture – Urea Application	CO_2	L1, T1

Note: L1 means a key category is identified under the level analysis – approach 1 and T1 is trend analysis – approach 1. See chapter 1 for more information.

5.1.3 Methodological issues for the Agriculture sector

The Agriculture sector includes emissions of CH_4 and N_2O from livestock industries (estimated in *Enteric fermentation* (CH_4) and *Manure management* (CH_4 and N_2O)). In New Zealand, the predominant species (in terms of population numbers) are sheep, followed by dairy cattle, beef cattle and deer. New Zealand breeds are selected to perform under outdoor pastoral farming systems.

Other agricultural emission sources include N_2O from *Agricultural soils*, CH_4 and N_2O from *Field burning of agricultural residues* and CO_2 from *Liming* and *Urea application*.

New Zealand uses a range of models and tiers appropriate to the size of the different emission categories for calculating emissions. For example, 90.0 per cent of New Zealand's livestock emissions come from *Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle*, *Sheep* and *Deer* ('major' livestock categories). Emissions from major livestock categories are estimated using Tier 2 methodologies. Other livestock species, including *Swine*, *Goats*, *Horses*, llamas and alpacas, *Mules and asses* and *Poultry* ('minor' livestock categories) account for only 0.5 per cent of agriculture emissions, and are estimated using Tier 1 methodologies with some Tier 2 components. As such, most of New Zealand's reported agricultural emissions are calculated using Tier 2 methodology.

Table 5.1.3 summarises methods and emission factors for agriculture categories.

Table 5.1.3 Methods and emission factors in the Agriculture sector

Source category		CH_4		N_2O		CO_2	
		Method applied	Emission factor	Method applied	Emission factor	Method applied	Emission factor
A	Enteric Fermentation	–	–	–	–	–	–
	Cattle						
1	Dairy Cattle	T2	CS				
	Non-Dairy *(Beef)* Cattle	T2	CS				
2	Sheep	T2	CS				
3	Swine	T1	CS				
4	Other Livestock (Buffalo, Camels, Deer, Goats, Horses, Mules and Asses, Poultry)	T1, T2	CS, D				
B	**Manure Management**						
	Cattle						
1	Dairy Cattle	T2	CS	T2	CS		
	Non-Dairy *(Beef)* Cattle	T2	CS	NA	NA		
2	Sheep	T2	CS	NA	NA		
3	Swine	T1	CS	T1	CS		
4	Poultry	T1	D	T1	CS		
	Other Livestock (Buffalo, Camels, Deer, Goats, Horses, Mules and Asses)	T1, T2	CS, D	NA	NA		
C	**Rice Cultivation**	NA	NA				
D	**Agricultural Soils**						
	Direct Emissions						
	Synthetic Fertilisers			T2	CS		
	Animal Manure Applied to Soils			T1, T2	CS		
	Sewage Sludge Applied to Soils			NA	NA		
1	Other Organic Fertilisers Applied to Soils			NA	NA		
	Urine and Dung Deposited by Grazing Animals			T1, T2	CS		
	Crop Residues			T2	CS		
	Mineralisation associated with Loss of Soil Organic Matter			T1	D		
	Cultivation of Organic Soils			T1	D		

Source category		CH_4		N_2O		CO_2	
		Method applied	Emission factor	Method applied	Emission factor	Method applied	Emission factor
	Indirect Emissions						
2	Atmospheric Deposition			T1, T2	D		
	Nitrogen Leaching and Run-off			T1, T2	CS		
E	**Prescribed Burning of Savannas**	NA	NA	NA	NA		
F	**Field Burning of Agricultural Residues**	T2	CS	T2	CS		
G	**Liming**					T1	D
H	**Urea Application**					T1	D
I	**Other Carbon-containing Fertilisers**					NA	NA

Note: CS = country specific; D = IPCC Guidelines (2006) default; NA = not applicable; T1 = Tier 1; T2 = Tier 2.

Further technical details on emissions calculations is provided in the inventory methodology document on the Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) website (www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/13906-detailed-methodologies-for-agricultural-greenhouse-gas-emission-calculation) and in the methodological issues section for each category in this document. The approach for determining livestock nutritional and energy requirements, which is required to calculate *Enteric fermentation* emissions and nitrogen excreted by livestock as the precursor for calculating *Manure management* (and some *Agricultural soils emissions*), is described in the following paragraphs.

Description of the Tier 2 model for determining emissions from energy requirements for major ruminant livestock categories

A Tier 2 inventory model has been developed to calculate emissions from the major ruminant livestock categories (Clark et al., 2003). Components of the national Agriculture inventory model are constantly being improved through findings from new international and commissioned domestic research. New Zealand's model for calculating emissions from major livestock categories is a process-based model. The thoroughly researched country-specific emission factors and monthly data for livestock populations, animal productivity and pasture quality mean it is close to a Tier 3 inventory, however, data that inform pasture quality are not as comprehensive as would be required to report at a Tier 3 level. Figure 5.1.5 outlines the current Tier 2 methodology used to estimate emissions for the four major livestock categories.

Agricultural production (meat, milk and wool) and livestock population data are combined in the model with data on the total metabolisable energy (ME) content of the animal's diet. To determine CH_4 emissions from enteric fermentation, the production data are used to determine the dry-matter intake (DMI) required to meet total annual productivity levels for each of the livestock categories and are then multiplied by a country-specific CH_4 emission factor per unit of DMI. Manure management emissions are primarily CH_4 from manure deposited directly onto pasture, but also N_2O as that manure breaks down due to the processes of nitrification, denitrification, volatilisation and leaching. Information on the nitrogen content of feed is multiplied with the DMI previously calculated to determine quantity of animal nitrogen intake and subsequent nitrogen excretion after allowing for nitrogen in growth and milk production in dairy cattle.

Figure 5.1.5 Simplified methodology for calculating emissions for major ruminant livestock categories



Note: CH_4 = methane; DMI = dry-matter intake; kg = kilogram; MJ = megajoule; N_2O = nitrous oxide; NZ = New Zealand.

The main emissions from ruminant livestock are CH_4 from enteric fermentation and N_2O from manure (urine and dung). The quantity of livestock emissions has a linear relationship with the DMI, which is a function of livestock energy requirements and the energy concentration of the feed:

$$DMI = \frac{ME_{TOTAL}}{E}$$

Where: DMI is the dry-matter intake (kg $year^{-1}$)

ME_{TOTAL} is the total metabolisable energy requirement of the animal (kJ), and

E is the energy concentration in the feed (kJ/kg DMI).

Calculating metabolisable energy requirements (ME_{TOTAL})

For dairy cattle, beef cattle and sheep, the approach for calculating the total ME requirement was developed in Australia by the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO, 1990). The CSIRO algorithms have been chosen because they specifically include methods to estimate the energy requirements of grazing ruminants, which is the predominant feeding method used in New Zealand. Further, the CSIRO algorithms take into account animal liveweight and production requirements based on the rate of liveweight gain, gender, milk yield and physiological state. All calculations are performed on a monthly basis. The equation below is derived from the general equation used in the Australian feeding standards and adjusted to suit New Zealand conditions.

The total energy required (ME_{TOTAL}) is made up of:

- energy required to maintain animal weight, which is a function of the animal's liveweight, gender, breed and stage of maturity (ME_{BASAL})
- energy required for the given level of productivity (milk yield and milk fat percentage, and liveweight gain for dairy and beef production respectively) and physiological state (e.g., growing, gestating (ME_C) or lactating)
- the additional amount of energy expended during grazing, compared with similar housed animals (ME_{GRAZE}).

$$ME_{TOTAL} = ME_{BASAL} + 1.1ME_P + ME_{GRAZE} + ME_c$$

Where: ME_{BASAL} is the energy requirement for maintenance

ME_P is the energy used directly for production (meat, milk, wool and so on)

ME_{GRAZE} is the additional energy required by grazing livestock, and

ME_c is the energy used for gestation or growth of the conceptus (MJ/d).

And:

$$ME_{TOTAL} = \frac{KS(0.28W^{0.75}\exp(-0.03A))}{k_m} + 1.1ME_P + \frac{E_{GRAZE}}{k_m} + ME_c$$

Where: K is the coefficient that accounts for differences in fasting heat production across species (CSIRO, 1990). This value is 1.0 for sheep and 1.4 for all cattle and deer (CSIRO, 2007)

S is the coefficient that accounts for differences in basal metabolic rate between males and females. This value is 1.0 for females and castrates and 1.15 for entire mature males (CSIRO, 2007)

W is the liveweight (kg)

A is the age in years, up to a maximum value of 6

k_m is the net efficiency of use of ME for maintenance (ME_{BASAL}), and

E_{GRAZE} is the additional energy expenditure of livestock in cold stress.

For further details, see the inventory methodology document on the MPI website (www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/13906-detailed-methodologies-for-agricultural-greenhouse-gas-emission-calculation).

Monthly diet energy (E) concentration

Dairy cattle, beef cattle, sheep and deer are predominantly fed on pasture all year round. Data sets of estimated monthly energy concentrations of pasture consumed by different livestock species are used in the Agriculture inventory model. This diet may be supplemented with feeds of various types, such as pasture hay and silage, and a range of different crops. Data on the concentration of pasture are reported in the inventory methodology document (Pickering et al., 2020, appendices 3, 9 and 19) and are derived from published and unpublished research trial data and supplemented with additional data from farm surveys on commercial cattle and sheep farms.

To ensure consistency across the livestock emission source categories, a single enhanced livestock population characterisation and DMI estimate is produced by the Tier 2 model. The enhanced livestock characterisation and DMI is used to estimate CH_4 emissions for the

Enteric fermentation category, CH_4 and N_2O emissions for the *Manure management* category and N_2O emissions for urine and dung deposited by grazing animals onto pasture in the *Agricultural soils* category.

5.1.4 Activity data

Major livestock categories

The Tier 2 methodology developed by New Zealand uses data on livestock population and productivity to calculate livestock energy requirements and hence DMI. Animal population data are collected by Stats NZ. Productivity data are available from the Livestock Improvement Corporation (LIC) and industry-good organisations such as Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd and Deer Industry New Zealand, which regularly collect animal sector statistics. Statistics on animal carcass weights are collected by MPI from all major meat processors and are used to derive liveweights.

A challenge for New Zealand activity data is that the inventory is calculated on a calendar year, while New Zealand uses a June year end for animal statistics because this reflects the natural biological cycle for animals in the southern hemisphere. New Zealand developed a Tier 2 model that estimates livestock emissions on a monthly time step, beginning on 1 July of one calendar year and ending on 30 June of the next year. To calculate emissions for a single calendar year (January–December), the calculated emissions data from the last six months of a July–June year are combined with the first six months' emissions of the next July–June year. This approach enables comparisons with the agricultural inventories of other countries.

Dairy cattle is the only livestock type where emissions are calculated on a sub-national regional area basis represented by regional council areas. This allows the inventory to take into account regional differences in productivity for dairy livestock as a result of New Zealand's microclimates and management systems (Clark, 2008a). A regional emissions assessment is not carried out for other livestock types because regional productivity data are currently unable to be accurately collected at the sub-national level and integrated into the national population data.

Animal population data

Stats NZ collects animal population data on a sub-national territorial authority basis. Animal population data are collected on an annual basis through the Agricultural Production census and Agricultural Production survey. The census occurs every five years (the most recent occurred in 2017) and the survey is conducted in the interim years. The only difference between these two processes is the sample size. The census attempts to gather information from the entire target population, and a survey attempts to gather information from a representative sample of that population. Further details about the scope and accuracy of the Stats NZ Agricultural Production data collection are provided in annex 3, section 3.1.

The timing of Stats NZ final data releases (June the year after the survey) and the inventory submission deadlines mean data from an MPI *Expectations Report* (see chapter 10, section 10.1.3 for more detail) have been used in the 2022 (1990–2020) submission. The 2022 submission results will be updated with final data from Stats NZ in the 2023 (1990–2021) submission. This affects 2021 livestock population data only, which are needed to estimate emissions for the 2020 year.

The New Zealand Agriculture inventory uses a country-specific population characterisation for pasture-based livestock compared with the default recommended by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) for Tier 2 inventories (IPCC, 2000, 2006). The full list of categories for the major livestock populations can be found in annex 3, table A3.1.2, and in the inventory methodology document on the MPI website (www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/13906-detailed-methodologies-for-agricultural-greenhouse-gas-emission-calculation).

Dairy cattle encompass all cattle that support the milking dairy herd. In addition to dairy heifers, this includes calves, young growing non-lactating heifers, dry cows and dairy bulls. All other cattle in New Zealand are characterised as beef cattle. These include beef breeding lactating cows used for producing slaughter animals, such as calves, dry cows, bulls and all slaughter classes. A proportion of female calves not required for dairy replacements, and dairy bull calves, are transferred into the beef herd and are slaughtered for meat consumption, generally at 18 to 24 months of age.

A detailed livestock population model is used to calculate monthly populations for dairy cattle, beef cattle, sheep and deer (see annex 3, table A3.1.2, for the full list of categories).

This monthly population delineation has been developed by using industry knowledge and assumptions as detailed in Clark (2008b), Thomson et al. (2010, unpublished) and Suttie (2012). Populations within a given year are adjusted on a monthly basis to account for births, deaths and transfers between age groups. For example, most lambs are born and slaughtered between August and May, their numbers therefore do not appear in the June census or survey data. Additionally, male and female dairy calves not necessary for replacements are usually slaughtered at four days of age or transferred to the non-dairy herd. The monthly population model ensures that the calculated feed demand more accurately reflects the status of each livestock category at a particular time of the year. Average national estimates of monthly birth and death rates are used, which are based on expert opinion. In reality, these vary across the country, between farming species and between farms.

Animal productivity data

Animal productivity data are obtained from LIC and DairyNZ (2021), Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd (2021) and Deer Industry New Zealand. These are non-governmental, industry-good levy bodies providing services to the dairy cattle, beef cattle, sheep and deer industries.

Slaughter statistics are collected by MPI and used as a proxy to establish changes in animal liveweight over time (www.mpi.govt.nz/resources-and-forms/economic-intelligence/data/). Animal liveweight is derived from published slaughter-weight statistics and general nationally derived killing-out percentages (Clark et al., 2003; Muir et al., 2008; Muir and Thomson, 2010).

The same data sources are used each year to ensure consistency. Other information, such as the liveweight of beef cattle and breeding bulls, is collected at irregular intervals from small survey populations. For years when data are not available, expert opinion and extrapolation from existing data are used.

Dairy cattle – milk production: Regional data on milk production, proportions of dairy cattle breeds and animal liveweights are provided by LIC and published annually. These data are collectively compiled by LIC and DairyNZ.

Data on New Zealand's total milk production originate from the amount of milk processed through New Zealand dairy factories for both the export and domestic markets. Data on individual animal production are sourced from the Dairy Core Database, the regulated portion of LIC's database that holds core production data from cow herds tested in New Zealand. Dairy farmers are paid on total kilograms of milk solids (fat and protein) collected. Tankers that collect the milk also meter the milk collected from individual farms. These meters are regularly calibrated and audited. Milk samples from individual farms are also independently tested for milk solids, milk fat percentage and protein content.

LIC provides annual milk production data (milk yield and composition), but the Tier 2 livestock model operates on a monthly time step. Monthly milk production is determined by multiplying the assumed proportion of annual milk production for each month by the total annual milk production (see annex 3, table A3.1.3). Milk production commences from mid-July to early August every year, peaking around October–November and declines during autumn (April–May in the southern hemisphere). Milk production is low to non-existent in June and July in most herds (see figure 5.1.6).

Annual milk yields per animal have been obtained and reported as additional data in the CRF tables for Annex I country inventories by dividing the total milk produced by the total number of milking dairy cows and heifers.

Figure 5.1.6 National monthly milk production in New Zealand from 2018 to 2020



Source: Dairy Companies Association of New Zealand (2021)

Before 1993, no productivity data were collected at a territorial authority level, so pre-1993 data have been estimated by extrapolating from the trends observed in existing data from 1994 to 2008.

Before 2004, not all productivity data required could be collected from LIC at a territorial authority level. From 1993 to 2003, annual milk yield per cow was determined by the following equation:

$$Litres\ per\ cow = \frac{Mean\ milk\ fat\ (kg/cow) \cdot 100}{per\ cent\ milk\ fat}$$

From 2004 onwards, productivity data have been collected by LIC at a similar territorial authority level as the livestock population data collected by Stats NZ. MPI officials aggregate the territorial data up into the regional council boundaries used for the population data.

Nearly 76 per cent of all dairy cattle in milk were tested by LIC for milk production, along with milk fat and protein levels in the 2020/21 season (LIC and DairyNZ, 2021). LIC also does genetic testing to identify key breeding stock and their genetic background. Genetic improvement has contributed to the productivity improvements in the New Zealand dairy cattle herd (LIC, 2009).

New Zealand's dairy production per animal is lower, compared with dairy production in other developed countries. This is because New Zealand has predominantly pasture-based dairy systems rather than the housed grain-fed systems used in Europe and North America.

Dairy cattle – liveweight: Average liveweight data for dairy cows are obtained by taking into account the proportion of each breed in the national herd and its age structure based on LIC data. Dairy cow liveweight data are only available from LIC from 1996 onwards and have been disaggregated into eight regions, with some of these comprising several regional council regions. Data from the livestock improvement regions were appropriately apportioned to regional council areas. Liveweights before 1996 were estimated using the trend in liveweights from 1996 to 2008, together with data on the breed composition of the national herd (LIC, 2009).

In the model, replacement dairy animals (calves) are assumed to be about 9 per cent of the weight of the average cow at birth and to reach 90 per cent of the weight of the average adult cow at calving (at two years of age) (Clark et al., 2003). Growth between birth and calving is divided into two periods: birth to weaning (two months of age) and weaning to calving. Higher growth rates are applied in the model between birth and weaning, when animals receive milk as part of their diet. Within each period, the same daily growth rate is applied for the entire length of the period and applied nationally.

No data are available on the liveweights and performance of most breeding dairy bulls, which can range from the small Jersey breeds through to larger European beef breeds. It is assumed, based on expert opinion and taking into account industry data (Clark et al., 2003), that the average mature weight as of 1 January is 500 kilograms and that they grow at 0.5 kilograms per day. This gives an average weight (at the mid-point of the year) of 592 kilograms. This is almost 25 per cent higher than the average weight of a New Zealand breeding dairy cow but is supported by expert opinion, given that some of the bulls will be of a heavier breed (e.g., Friesian and some beef breeds). Total emissions are not sensitive to these assumed liveweight values because breeding bulls in the dairy herd are low in number and contribute less than 0.1 per cent of emissions to the dairy sector.

LIC and DairyNZ (2021) reported a number of different breeds in the New Zealand dairy herd, these included:

- Holstein–Friesian/Jersey crossbreed (49.6 per cent of the national cow population in 2020)
- Holstein–Friesian (32.5 per cent)
- Jersey (8.2 per cent)
- Ayrshire (0.4 per cent)
- other breeds (9.3 per cent).

The Holstein–Friesian/Jersey crossbreed has been developed specifically for New Zealand's pasture-based systems. This breed is nearly 7.8 per cent lighter than a Holstein–Friesian (LIC and DairyNZ, 2021) and has lower maintenance feed requirements. It does less damage to pasture during wet periods due to its lower liveweight, compared with larger cattle breeds. It also has higher milk volumes than the Jersey breed while maintaining a high percentage of milk solids.

Beef cattle: The principal source of information for estimating productivity for beef cattle is livestock slaughter statistics provided to MPI by meat processors. All growing beef animals are assumed to be slaughtered at two years of age,[34] and the average weight at slaughter for the three categories (*Heifers*, *Steers* and *Bulls*) is estimated from the carcass weight at slaughter. Liveweights at birth are assumed to be around 9 per cent of an adult cow weight for heifers and 10 per cent for steers and bulls (Clark et al., 2003). As with dairy cattle, growth rates of all

[34] This assumption is from Clark et al., 2003. In reality, the age at slaughter will vary; however, not enough data are available to estimate using a model. For more information on this assumption, see www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/32863/direct.

growing animals are divided into two periods in the model: birth to weaning and weaning to slaughter. Higher growth rates are applied before weaning when animals receive milk as part of their diet. Within each period, the same daily growth rate is applied for the entire period.

MPI slaughter statistics only began to separate carcass weights of adult dairy cows and adult beef cows in 2016 (MPI, 2021). Therefore, several assumptions[35] are made to estimate the liveweights of breeding beef cows. A total milk yield of 800 litres is assumed to be produced per breeding beef cow, which is then consumed by beef calves (Clark et al., 2003).

Sheep: Livestock slaughter statistics from MPI provided by meat processors are used to estimate the liveweights of adult sheep and lambs at slaughter, assuming killing-out percentages[36] of around 40 per cent for ewes and 45 per cent for lambs (Thomson et al., 2010). Lamb liveweights at birth are assumed to be 9 per cent of the adult ewe weight, with all lambs assumed to be born on 11 September on average (Thomson et al., 2010). Growing breeding and non-breeding ewe hoggets are assumed to reach full adult size when subsequently mated at an age of 20 months. Adult wethers (castrated male sheep) are assumed to be the same weight as adult breeding females. No within-year pattern of liveweight change is assumed for either adult wethers or adult ewes. All ewes rearing a lamb are assumed to have a total milk yield of 100 litres. Breeding rams are assumed to weigh 40 per cent more than adult ewes (Clark et al., 2003). Wool growth (greasy fleece growth) is assumed to be 5 kilograms per annum in mature sheep (ewes, rams and wethers) and 2.5 kilograms per annum in growing sheep and lambs. Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd, the industry-good organisation representing the beef cattle and sheep industries, provides estimates of the total wool production from 1990 to 2020 from which the individual fleece weight is estimated (Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd, 2021).

***Deer*:** Liveweights of growing hinds and stags are estimated from Deer Industry New Zealand statistics, assuming a killing-out percentage of 55 per cent. A fawn birth weight of 9 per cent of the adult female weight and a common birth date of mid-November are assumed. Liveweights of breeding stags and hinds are based on a report by Suttie (2012). It is assumed there is no pattern of liveweight change within any given year. The lactation assumptions are 204 litres of milk over 120 days, an average daily lactation yield of 1.7 litres of milk per day (Suttie, 2012).

Minor livestock categories

Tier 1 methodology is used for goats, horses, mules and asses, swine, poultry and alpacas (IPCC, 2006), using a combination of country-specific and IPCC default emission factors (annex 3, section A3.1.2, table A3.1.4).

The populations of goats, horses and swine are reported using data from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production census and the inter-census Agricultural Production survey. Data on the population of alpacas before 2010 are provided by Henderson and Cameron (unpublished) based on data from the Alpaca Association New Zealand. Alpaca population data from 2010 onwards are provided by Stats NZ.

35 The number of beef cows slaughtered is assumed to be 17 per cent of the total beef cow herd, with other adult cows slaughtered assumed to be dairy cows. The carcass weight of dairy cattle slaughtered was estimated using the adult dairy cow liveweights and a killing-out percentage of 42 per cent (Thomson et al., 2010). The total weight of dairy cattle slaughtered was calculated (carcass weight × number slaughtered) and then deducted from the national total carcass weight of slaughtered adult cows. This figure was then divided by the number of beef cows slaughtered, to obtain an estimate of the carcass weight of adult beef cows. Liveweights were calculated assuming a killing-out percentage of 42.6 per cent (Thomson et al., 2010).

36 Percentage of carcass weight in relation to liveweight.

A small number of buffalo are farmed in New Zealand. Stats NZ advised that, in 2011, there were 192 buffalo. Because the buffalo livestock are used for producing milk (which is then used to produce mozzarella cheese for the restaurant industry), they are reported within the dairy herd, so the notation key 'IE' (included elsewhere) is used for buffalo.

Mules and asses are not farmed commercially or used as working animals in New Zealand. A constant population of 141 donkeys has been included in the inventory under mules and asses. The emissions from these populations of animals are extremely small relative to the major livestock categories.

Poultry is further classified into three categories: broiler chicken, layer hens and other poultry. Stats NZ provides estimates of average annual broiler chicken flock sizes using industry data on the numbers of broilers processed every year since 1990. Mortality rates and days alive are used as suggested by Fick (2010). Stats NZ also obtains estimates of the number of layer hens and other poultry (e.g., ducks, turkeys, emus and ostriches) from the Agricultural Production census and survey. Ostrich and emu farming in New Zealand is extremely rare. In 2015, it was estimated only 739 ostriches were in the country. Other poultry manure management emissions are included and calculated. Enteric fermentation is negligible and therefore not estimated.

The average annual flock size of chickens is determined by the following equation:

$$Average\ annual\ flock\ size = \frac{days\ alive}{365} \times annual\ number\ of\ birds\ processed(1 - rate\ of\ mortality)$$

Rabbits are considered an agricultural pest, and only a very small number are farmed in the country (R Sanson, pers. comm., 2019). Because of this, emissions from farmed rabbits are reported as 'not estimated' (NE) because their emissions are insignificant. There is no known farming of other fur-breeding animals.

5.1.5 Recalculations

Agriculture emissions research

New Zealand invests in a comprehensive research programme to develop technologies and practices to reduce biological greenhouse gas emissions from agriculture. This is facilitated through the following.

- The New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Research Centre (NZAGRC), which was established in 2009. Its aims are to contribute to agricultural greenhouse gas understanding and mitigation through research programmes and international collaboration, and to enhance New Zealand's research capability and infrastructure in this area.
- The Pastoral Greenhouse Gas Research Consortium (PGgRc), which was established in 2003 by New Zealand agricultural sector organisations and private companies in partnership with Government. It funds research, primarily into mitigation technologies and management practices for ruminants but also to provide information to improve on-farm greenhouse gas inventories. The PGgRc is funded in partnership between the Government, through the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, and Agriculture sector parties.
- New Zealand is one of the founding members of the Global Research Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouse Gases (GRA), which facilitates international research collaboration to study means of increasing global food production while limiting agricultural greenhouse gas emissions. It also seeks to build capability and improve greenhouse gas inventories in developing countries. The GRA's global networks build emission research capability and facilitate transfer of mitigation technologies and

knowledge. MPI has hosted the GRA Secretariat since its establishment in 2009 and coordinates New Zealand's contribution, largely through the NZAGRC.

- MPI's Greenhouse Gas Inventory Research Fund aims to support continuous improvement of the Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Inventory to improve the accuracy and reduce uncertainty of emissions reporting and forecasting. This is required under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).

Research and data from these sources feed into New Zealand's improvement of the Agriculture inventory, and these research activities allow New Zealand to share technical skills and expertise internationally.

Biological Emissions Reference Group

The Biological Emissions Reference Group (BERG) was established in 2016. Group members included representatives from agricultural sector organisations and government agencies. The BERG's purpose was to build a portfolio of published and reviewed scientific evidence covering the opportunities to reduce biological greenhouse gas emissions from New Zealand agriculture, and the costs and benefits of these opportunities and barriers to their use.

The BERG published its evidence base[37] in December 2018. This evidence has informed government agricultural emissions policy, as well as actions farmers can take to reduce their emissions. This group has now been disbanded.

He Waka Eke Noa – Primary Sector Climate Action Partnership

He Waka Eke Noa forms part of New Zealand's actions towards its Climate Change Response (Zero Carbon) Amendment Act 2019 targets and nationally determined contributions under the Paris Agreement.

In October 2019, the Government announced the formation of a Joint Action Plan (He Waka Eke Noa) with the agri-food and fibre sector to address agricultural emissions. He Waka Eke Noa aims to deliver a world-first nationwide scheme for the Agriculture sector to measure, manage and price agricultural greenhouse gas emissions by 2025. It has done this by setting milestones for the sector to achieve, including ensuring that all farms know their annual total on-farm greenhouse gas emissions by the end of 2022. The Ministers of Climate Change and Agriculture are required to report back in 2022 on the design and feasibility of implementing a farm-level pricing scheme.

Recalculation and improvement approval process in the Agriculture inventory

The process for developing improvements and agreeing methodological changes to the Agriculture inventory is shown in figure 5.1.7.

Domestically, New Zealand has an engaged network of scientific experts in the field of CH_4 and N_2O emissions. Research findings are presented annually at the Greenhouse Gas Inventory Research Workshop. New inventory research ideas raised by the research community inform decisions for future inventory research. Final decisions on research priorities are made by MPI, following discussions between the network leaders and Ministry for the Environment (MfE) staff. Research is contracted to address specific questions relating to gaps in New Zealand's knowledge and to review, test and improve current model parameters used. Draft research reports are peer reviewed by at least one external independent expert with knowledge in the

---

37 The BERG report can be found on the Ministry for Primary Industries website: www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/32125/direct.

field and are assessed for their scientific robustness and suitability to be included in the inventory. A standard peer review report template is used.

If the report is suitable for inclusion in the inventory, a briefing and the final report are sent to the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel, which meets annually to review proposed changes to the inventory. The Panel comprises expert representatives from MPI and MfE, nominated science representatives from the Royal Society of New Zealand and the Methanet and NzOnet expert advisory groups.[38] The Panel is independent of policy and industry influences and has been formed to give independent advice on whether changes to the agriculture section of the National Inventory Report are scientifically robust, justifiable and internationally defensible. The Panel assesses if the proposed changes have been appropriately researched, using recognised scientific principles, and if there is sufficient scientific evidence to support the recommended changes.

Changes recommended by the Panel are sent to the Deputy Director-General (Policy and Trade) at MPI. The Deputy Director-General and MfE, via the Reporting Governance Group (RGG), must approve the changes for implementation into the annual national inventory.

Recalculations being considered by all inventory sectors in the annual submission to the UNFCCC are proposed to the RGG. This group is chaired by MfE and leads the reporting, modelling and projections of greenhouse gas emissions and removals across government and all sectors. Further details of the RGG are provided in chapter 1, section 1.2.2.

[38] Methanet and NzOnet contain experts on methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) respectively. These advisory groups have been running since the early 2000s. The groups were formed to identify the main direction of research needed to improve the CH_4 and N_2O inventory accounts and mitigation, develop a collaborative approach to improve the quality of CH_4 and N_2O emission data, and build and maintain inventory research capability.

Figure 5.1.7 Agriculture sectoral approval process for inventory recalculations and improvements



Note: AG = agriculture; DDG = Deputy Director-General; MfE = Ministry for the Environment; MPI = Ministry for Primary Industries; NIR = National Inventory Report; RGG = Reporting Governance Group (for the NIR).

Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel meeting – 2021

The 2021 meeting of the Panel was held on 4 November and considered the following potential inventory changes:

- the adoption of a CH_4 yield value for dairy and beef cattle
- the adoption of new $Frac_{LEACH}$ values for cropland and grassland
- an update to the assumption used for the purity of agricultural lime.

The Panel did not recommend a change be made to the CH_4 yield value for cattle (Muetzel, unpublished), given the value recommended in the research was significantly different from that seen internationally, and the animals it was based on do not provide a fair representation of New Zealand's dairy and beef herds. Further research (outlined in section 5.1.7) is ongoing to determine whether justification for a change exists.

For the second proposed change, the Panel recommended the use of new $Frac_{LEACH}$ values (Welten et al., 2021) on the proviso that further work is done to ensure the data behind the grassland values is credible. In the meantime, the $Frac_{LEACH}$ value for croplands has been implemented for this submission, with the $Frac_{LEACH}$ for grasslands to be implemented once it is determined to be suitable.

Finally, the Panel recommended the proposed improvement regarding the assumption used for the purity of agricultural lime. It was previously assumed that agricultural lime was 100 per cent pure calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$). However, new research by Thomson et al. (2021) has proposed the application of a correction factor to account for impurities and the moisture content in the lime applied.

All of the changes made have been backdated to the 1990 baseline. Further details on these changes are outlined in sections 5.5.5 and 5.8.6 (source-specific recalculations), as well as chapter 10 (all recalculations).

The briefs, reports and minutes of the 2021 Panel meetings (as well as panel meetings for previous years) are all available on the MPI website (www.mpi.govt.nz/news-and-resources/statistics-and-forecasting/greenhouse-gas-reporting/agricultural-inventory-advisory-panel).

Recalculations approved for the 2022 National Inventory Report submission in the Agriculture sector

Following the recommendations from the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel and approval from the Deputy Director-General (Policy and Trade) at MPI and the RGG, New Zealand has made the following improvements and corrections to the Agriculture sector in its 2022 annual submission:

- use of a new $Frac_{LEACH}$ value for nitrogen applied to cropland
- an updated assumption on the purity of agricultural lime.

The implementation of these improvements has resulted in the estimate of Agriculture sector emissions in 2020 being 0.2 per cent lower in the 2022 submission than they would otherwise be without the changes.

5.1.6 Quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC)

The team responsible for the Agriculture inventory preparation within MPI maintains close contact with the teams responsible for the collation of primary industries (agriculture, horticulture, forestry and fishing) data. These teams liaise with Stats NZ and provide analysis and forecasts of primary industries activity and performance. This arrangement ensures that the inventory preparation team has a good understanding of activity data and agricultural performance.

The connection with Stats NZ ensures that statistical data are aligned with changes in agricultural management practices in the primary industries sector. Capturing this data is required to be able to track changes in emissions as a result of mitigation and shifts in farm management in the inventory.

The team responsible for the Agriculture inventory preparation also maintains relationships with industry bodies that provide additional data, such as Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd, LIC, Deer Industry New Zealand, the Poultry Industry Association New Zealand and the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand.

As part of the quality control procedures, the inventory is reviewed by MPI personnel with expertise in climate change policy, international policy, climate change science and livestock farming policy. The review ensures that the inventory clearly explains the sources of agricultural emissions in New Zealand as well as the trends in emissions from year to year. The results from the inventory also inform domestic and international climate change policy.

MPI's Agriculture inventory experts meet regularly with the team at MfE that is responsible for coordinating the annual national inventory submission. MfE monitors MPI progress in implementing recommendations from previous expert review reports and on meeting timelines during the year.

MfE also manages an internal guidance document titled 'New Zealand's National Inventory System Guidelines for Compiling New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory'. This document provides domestic guidelines for the National Inventory Report sector leads to follow, including the decisions under the UNFCCC and Kyoto Protocol, and the application of these decisions within the Kyoto Protocol. The document also includes New Zealand's quality-assurance and quality-control plan, which is followed by all inventory sector leads.

MPI participates in the annual inventory debrief coordinated by MfE, to ensure the National Inventory Compiler and each sector lead understand what is working well and where improvements could be made.

In 2016, an external audit firm (Deloitte), with specialist skills in quality-assurance and quality-control management, was engaged to evaluate and improve quality-assurance and quality-control processes for the Agriculture inventory. New Zealand has used this feedback to update and improve the quality-assurance and quality-control methodology.

A process of quality-control checks is mandated in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories and the internal compilation process and is provided in table 5.1.4.

Table 5.1.4 Agriculture sectoral approval process for inventory recalculations and improvements

QA/QC area	Details of QA/QC procedure
Activity data	• Data inputs and checks are recorded in a data check table, which is signed by the individual staff members performing the data input and checks. • A comprehensive list of all external data to be collected annually from internal and external sources is included as a part of the data check sheet. • New activity data are cross checked for accuracy and completeness by someone not involved in the data input and primary compilation. • New data on activity and year-to-year time variance are reviewed by commodity analysts and economic modellers, to ensure the data are consistent and reflect the domestic situation. • Where practical, key historical data are re-checked concurrently with updating the latest data. • The data check table is included with the managerial sign-off materials before delivery to the Ministry for the Environment (MfE).
Emissions	• Implied emission factors are checked over time (1990 to most recent year) and against previous submissions. Any anomalies are investigated. • Key category emissions are compared against Tier 1 default methodologies and against similar parties, particularly Australia. A challenge for New Zealand is the lack of countries with similar agricultural circumstances and management practices. For example, New Zealand's major livestock types are almost all kept outdoors on pasture in all seasons. • Total emissions and key activity data from the common reporting format (CRF) tables are checked for accuracy against total emissions and activity in the workbooks. Category totals are also checked.
Recalculations	• Recalculations are agreed with MfE and the Reporting Governance Group every year before the Agriculture inventory compilation commences.

QA/QC area	Details of QA/QC procedure
	• Recalculations are compared with previous submissions and, as far as possible, explained and confirmed by the changes in method or activity data. • Anomalous results from recalculations are checked and corrected, if necessary. • The Agriculture inventory compiler completes recalculation forms, signs the forms and forwards them to MfE.
Periodic reviews	• Periodic reviews are completed on different aspects of the Agriculture inventory. Examples of these reviews are below. • The livestock population models, and productivity parameters have been reviewed (e.g., Thomson et al., 2010). These reviews have also been used to update and improve the Tier 2 model. • During the 2012 submission, new crops were included in the National Inventory Report and a new complex methodology was implemented. For the 2013 submission, Plant and Food Research, a Crown research institute that has expertise in this area, was hired to review the workbooks, check the formulae, and model parameters. • During the 2015 submission, a mutual bilateral greenhouse gas inventory review was held between Australia and New Zealand, which included the Agriculture sector (Australian Government, unpublished). • In 2018, the population models in the inventory model were reviewed. Small errors in the implementation of the population equations in the inventory model were found and corrected for in the 2019 (1990–2017) submission.
Error checking and reporting	• Errors confirmed during the year are recorded, and the National Inventory Compiler is notified. The factors contributing to the error are assessed. • An issues, risks and enhancements register is kept up to date and used to prioritise the resolution of key sources of risk to the Agriculture inventory compilation and results. • A checklist of quality-control activities is followed during data collection and entry into the model, data upload to the CRF reporting tool and National Inventory Report chapter preparation. • The Agriculture chapter of the National Inventory Report and the data exported to the CRF reporter are signed off by the chapter compiler, people involved in data checking and the responsible manager.
Documentation	• Internal working instructions are maintained, to allow for staff movements. • Workbooks and calculations are kept on an electronic archiving and management system, enabling wider team access to all workbooks. • Hyperlinks between check sheets, sign-off documents and workbooks are used to link relevant files on the document management system.

5.1.7 Planned improvements and research

MPI has commissioned several projects aimed at further improving New Zealand's Agriculture inventory.

Short-term studies (around one year) include:

- estimating N_2O emission factors for dairy urine using soil data and soil moisture content (see section 5.5.6)
- pork industry survey (see section 5.3.6)
- reviewing the birth and slaughter dates for sheep and non-dairy (beef) cattle to evaluate the suitability of current assumptions (below)
- improving the understanding of emissions from organic soils, to enhance the accuracy of reported emissions with the aim of implementing the IPCC 2013 Wetlands Supplement (IPCC, 2014) (see section 5.5.6)
- investigating N_2O emissions from nitrogen fertilisers and whether more disaggregated emission factors for non-urea nitrogen fertilisers are needed (see section 5.5.6).

Longer-term studies (more than one year) include:

- reviewing and updating the nutrient transfer model to capture new topography and animal behaviour data (see section 5.5.6)
- quantifying temporal and spatial trends in dairying on slopes across New Zealand (see section 5.5.6)

- compiling data on the number of lifestyle blocks and farmlets[39] in New Zealand that can be used for assessment of the number of livestock and other farming practices alongside existing population estimates (below)
- reviewing the CH_4 conversion factor for the calculation of CH_4 from dairy cattle manure deposited in anaerobic lagoons (see section 5.3.6)
- measuring the CH_4 emissions from supplemented dairy cows (see section 5.2.6). This work follows up from the proposal that was not recommended by the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel
- determining the impact of pasture with content of the grass species kikuyu (*Pennisetum clandestinum*) on enteric fermentation emissions (see section 5.2.6)
- modelling pasture quality data using remote sensing in the form of hyperspectral imaging (below)
- improving the information on feed types consumed by dairy cattle across New Zealand (see section 5.2.6)
- quantifying N_2O emissions from eutrophic lakes as a result of pollution from agricultural runoffs and wastewater discharge (see section 5.5.6)
- improving the methods used to determine the dairy cattle populations in the New Zealand inventory model (below)
- continuing work to improve activity data, including through the annual collection of agricultural data by Stats NZ.

New Zealand also intends to review the recent publication of the *2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories* (IPCC, 2019), and investigate changes to methodology and emission factors for agriculture where appropriate.

Some of these potential improvements cover multiple categories of the Agriculture inventory and are described further below. The remaining improvements relate only to a single Agriculture inventory category and are discussed in further detail in the relevant planned improvement sections 5.2.6 (*Enteric fermentation* (CRF 3.A)), 5.3.6 (*Manure management* (CRF 3.B)) and 5.5.6 (*Agricultural soils* (CRF 3.D)).

Lifestyle block analysis

This project will use available geospatial and land parcel data to develop models to help understand the importance of the number of livestock farmed on lifestyle blocks and the overall impact on greenhouse gas emissions.

Currently, New Zealand’s Agriculture inventory relies heavily on the data sourced from the annual Stats NZ Agricultural Production survey, which provides farm-level data at national and regional scales. However, non-Goods and Service Tax[40] registered small land owners are not required to complete this survey. This makes it difficult to ascertain the impact of animals farmed on small lifestyle blocks. Better data on livestock and land managed by small lifestyle block owners would improve the accuracy of the animal population models within the inventory.

---

[39] Lifestyle blocks and/or farmlets tend to denote a hobby farm or small holding that is generally run by people who have other full-time employment.

[40] Businesses in New Zealand must register for Goods and Services Tax (GST) if: (a) taxable activity and turnover was at least NZ$60,000 in the previous 12 months, or (b) taxable activities have been carried out and GST was added to the price of the goods and services sold (Inland Revenue Department, 2021).

Improvements to estimates of the dairy cattle population

This project will review the current methods and assumptions for determining the monthly dairy cattle populations used within the Agriculture inventory. This will be used to determine if these methods and assumptions adequately represent the New Zealand dairy industry throughout the reporting timeline (1990 onwards).

The Agriculture inventory uses annual livestock population data provided from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production survey, but several assumptions are made on how this population changes over each month of the year.

Remote sensing of pasture data

This project will seek to use remote sensing technologies to estimate the pasture quality (i.e., metabolisable energy, N content and digestibility). Physical sampling of sites is expensive and time consuming, and limits the amount of comprehensive farm-scale data that are available through New Zealand. Through the use of airborne hyperspectral imaging, this project will undertake a proof-of-concept to illustrate efficacy of mapping farm-scale pasture quality data compared with traditional methods.

Birth and slaughter dates for sheep and beef cattle

This research will review the current methods for determining sheep and beef cattle birth and slaughter dates for calculating agricultural emissions. It will involve reviewing data from industry bodies across New Zealand, as well as consulting with industry experts to determine whether the current methodology should be retained or updated based on the research findings.

5.2 Enteric fermentation (CRF 3.A)

5.2.1 Description

Methane is produced predominantly by ruminants as a by-product of enteric fermentation, which is a digestive process that breaks down consumed plant material in the rumen under anaerobic conditions. A portion of the plant material is fermented in the rumen to simple fatty acids, CO_2 and CH_4. The gases from this process are released by eructation (burping) and exhalation by the animal. The amount of CH_4 released is dependent on the type, quality and quantity of feed consumed, and energy expenditure of the animal. Energy expenditure is dependent on the type, age, weight, and production of the animal, as well as whether the animal is pregnant (and the stage of pregnancy).

Methane emissions from the *Enteric fermentation* category from dairy cattle, beef cattle and sheep were identified as among the largest key categories for New Zealand in the 2020 level assessment, and were also assessed as key categories in the trend assessment (excluding Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF)). The *Enteric fermentation* category from deer was also assessed as an additional key category in the level assessment, and the *Enteric fermentation* category from goats as an additional key category in the trend assessment. The methodology used by New Zealand for calculating CH_4 emissions from enteric fermentation in domestic livestock is a Tier 2 modelling approach.

Enteric fermentation contributed an estimated 28,831.5 kt CO_2-e, representing 36.6 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions and 73.1 per cent of agriculture emissions in 2020. The major livestock categories contributing to *Enteric fermentation* are:

- *Dairy cattle* (48.7 per cent of *Enteric fermentation*)
- *Sheep* (28.7 per cent of *Enteric fermentation*)

- *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle* (20.7 per cent of *Enteric fermentation*)
- *Deer* (1.7 per cent of *Enteric fermentation*).

Trends

Emissions from *Enteric fermentation* increased 5.4 per cent (1,481.1 kt CO_2-e) between 1990 and 2020. Since 1990, there have been changes in the relative sources of emissions within the *Enteric fermentation* category (see table 5.2.1). Large increases in CH_4 emissions from *Dairy cattle* (128.3 per cent increase in *Enteric fermentation* emissions between 1990 and 2020) have been partially offset by decreases in emissions from *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle*, *Sheep* and minor livestock species, such as *Goats*, *Horses* and *Swine*.

Table 5.2.1 Trends and relative contribution of enteric fermentation (methane expressed in kt CO_2-e) from livestock categories between 1990 and 2020

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Change from 1990		Share of Enteric fermentation category (%)		Share of total Agriculture sector (%)	
Livestock category	1990	2020	%	kt CO_2-e	1990	2020	1990	2020
Dairy cattle	6,147.3	14,034.7	128.3	7,887.3	22.5	48.7	18.2	35.6
Non-dairy *(beef)* cattle	5,950.0	5,980.9	0.5	30.8	21.8	20.7	17.6	15.2
Sheep	14,557.9	8,271.2	–43.2	–6,286.7	53.2	28.7	43.1	21.0
Deer	445.5	497.6	11.7	52.1	1.6	1.7	1.3	1.3
Minor livestock	249.5	47.1	–81.1	–202.4	0.9	0.2	0.7	0.1
Total	**27,350.4**	**28,831.5**	**5.4**	**1,481.1**	**100**	**100**	**80.9**	**73.1**

Note: Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

5.2.2 Methodological issues

Emissions from Non-dairy (beef) cattle, Dairy cattle and deer

The total amount of enteric CH_4 emitted by *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle, Dairy cattle* and *Deer* is calculated using a CH_4 conversion factor for emissions per unit of dry matter feed intake in kilograms of DMI per livestock category (see figure 5.2.1). The enhanced livestock population characterisation and DMI per head is calculated by New Zealand's Tier 2 inventory model (see section 5.1.3). A more complex algorithm has been used to calculate enteric CH_4 emissions from sheep and is discussed in the next section.

Figure 5.2.1 **Schematic diagram showing how New Zealand's emissions from enteric fermentation for cattle and deer are calculated**



Note: CH_4 = methane; DMI = dry-matter intake; GEI = gross energy intake.

The equation for the total production of enteric CH_4 for cattle and deer is:

$$CH_{4-enteric} = \sum_{\substack{livestock \\ type}} \frac{n.DMI \cdot CH_4 conversion\, rate}{1000}$$

Where: $CH_{4\text{-enteric}}$ is the methane from enteric fermentation (kg CH_4/year)

Livestock type is cattle or deer

n is the population of each livestock category (head)

DMI is the dry-matter intake (kg dry matter/head/year), and

CH_4 conversion rate is the CH_4 emissions per unit of feed intake (g CH_4/kg DMI) (see table 5.2.2).

Emissions from sheep

Enteric CH_4 emissions from S*heep* are calculated using a methodology outlined in a peer reviewed paper published by Swainson et al. (2016). This paper analysed a set of experiments where sheep were fed pasture of varying amounts and quality, and subsequent CH_4 emissions were measured using respiration chambers, the benchmark for measuring CH_4 emissions from livestock. The study confirmed that DMI alone has the largest influence on CH_4 emissions and that pasture quality (as measured by ME content) has a small but statistically significant effect on emissions from sheep less than one year of age.

Swainson and colleagues concluded that two log-transformed linear regressions (one for sheep less than one year of age and one for sheep greater than one year of age) provided the best fit for the data and recommended that these equations be used in the National Inventory Report.

The equation[41] for the total production of enteric CH_4 for sheep less than one year of age is:

$$CH_{4-enteric} = \sum_{class} \sum_{month} d_m \, Lamb_{cm} \frac{11.705}{1000} e^{0.05 \times ME} DMI^{0.734}$$

The equation[42] for the total production of enteric CH_4 for sheep greater than one year of age is:

$$CH_{4-enteric} = \sum_{class} \sum_{month} d_m \, Sheep_{cm} \frac{21.977}{1000} DMI^{0.765}$$

Where: $CH_{4\text{-enteric}}$ is the total CH_4 from enteric fermentation (kg CH_4/year)

d_m is the number of days in month m

$Lamb_{cm}$ is the population of sheep in class c during month m (head), less than one year of age (i.e., lambs)

$Sheep_{cm}$ is the population of sheep in class c during month m (head), greater than one year of age

ME is the metabolisable energy concentration of pasture during month m (megajoules of metabolisable energy per kg of dry matter)

DMI is the daily dry-matter intake of an individual sheep of class c in month m (kg dry matter/head/day)

class refers to the different categories of sheep greater than one year of age (e.g., dry ewes, wethers, rams) used in the Agriculture inventory, and

month refers to the 12 months of the calendar year.

Dry-matter intake per sheep per day is calculated by New Zealand's Tier 2 inventory model (see section 5.1.3). Monthly values of ME concentration for pasture are as provided by Giltrap and McNeill (2020).

Methane measurement and modelling

New Zealand uses country-specific methodology and emission factors for estimating enteric fermentation CH_4 emissions per kilogram of feed (i.e., DMI) for several reasons. First, the data requirements for existing digestion models[43] are less relevant, given New Zealand's predominantly pasture-based systems. The relationships in these models have been largely derived from animals fed indoors on diets dissimilar to the grass-based diets of New Zealand livestock. Further, none of these methods had high predictive power when compared against empirical experimental data derived from New Zealand research (Clark et al., 2003).

Since 1996, New Zealand scientists have been measuring CH_4 emissions from grazing cattle and sheep initially using the sulphur hexafluoride (SF_6) tracer technique (Lassey et al., 1997; Ulyatt et al., 1999). In recent years, New Zealand has invested in respiration chambers, which are considered the gold standard for assessing CH_4 emissions from livestock. These have been used to derive respiration-chamber-based measurements of CH_4 emissions for sheep and more recently, an expanded programme for dairy and beef cattle.

---

41 The equation displayed here is a rearranged form of the equation displayed in Swainson et al. (2016): $Ln(CH_4) = 0.734 \times ln(DMI) + 0.05 \times ME + 2.46$.

42 The equation displayed here is a rearranged form of the equation displayed in Swainson et al. (2016): $Ln(CH_4) = 0.765 \times ln(DMI) + 3.09$.

43 For example, Blaxter and Clapperton (1965); Moe and Tyrrel (1975); Baldwin et al. (1988); Djikstra et al. (1992) and Benchaar et al. (2001) – all cited in Clark et al. (2003).

To obtain New Zealand-specific values (or algorithms for sheep emissions), published and unpublished data on CH_4 emissions from New Zealand were collated and average values for CH_4 emissions from different categories of livestock were obtained (Clark et al., 2003; Swainson et al., 2016). Sufficient data were available to obtain values for cattle and to generate a suitable set of algorithms for sheep. The associated data are presented in table 5.2.2 together with the IPCC (2006, tables 10.12 and 10.13) default values for per cent gross energy intake (GEI) used to calculate CH_4. The New Zealand values for cattle fall within the IPCC range and are applied in this submission.

Table 5.2.2 Methane (CH_4) emissions and gross energy intake (GEI) from New Zealand measurements and IPCC (2006) default values

	Adult cattle	Adult sheep (> 1 year)	Young sheep (< 1 year)
New Zealand CH_4 emission rates from Clark et al. (2003) and Swainson et al. (2016) (g CH_4/kg DMI)	$21.6 \times DMI$	$21.977 \times DMI^{0.765}$	$11.705 \times e^{0.5ME} \times DMI^{0.734}$
New Zealand data (GEI, %)	6.5	–	–
IPCC (2006) default Y_m values (GEI, %)	6.5 ± 1.0	6.5 ± 1.0	4.5 ± 1.0

Note: DMI = dry matter intake; Y_m = methane yield, ME = metabolisable energy.

The adult cattle value is applied to all dairy and beef cattle, irrespective of age. The value for deer is calculated based on the average value for adult cows and the (now defunct) CH_4 emission rate for adult ewes[44] (Clark et al., 2003). In very young animals receiving a milk diet, no CH_4 emissions are assumed to arise from the milk portion of the diet duration.

Table 5.2.3 shows a time series of CH_4 IEFs (total emissions produced per animal type divided by the population of animals for each category) for dairy cattle, beef cattle, sheep and deer. New Zealand experiences significant inter-annual variability in these IEFs, which is explained further in section 5.1.1. In table 5.2.3, *Milking dairy cattle* is a subset of *All dairy cattle* and only includes mature dairy cows that are being milked. *All dairy cattle* includes milking cows as well as calves, dairy bulls and other dairy cattle not being milked.

Table 5.2.3 New Zealand's implied emission factors for enteric fermentation from 1990 to 2020

Year	All dairy cattle (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)	Milking dairy cattle (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)	Non-dairy *(beef)* cattle (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)	Sheep, all (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)	Deer (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)
1990	71.5	76.5	51.8	10.1	18.3
1991	74.5	74.9	53.3	10.2	18.7
1992	75.2	79.5	54.1	10.2	19.6
1993	76.0	79.1	54.9	10.4	20.1
1994	74.6	80.2	55.4	10.6	19.7
1995	74.2	79.6	54.9	10.5	20.5
1996	76.7	79.7	56.7	10.9	20.7
1997	77.6	82.7	57.7	11.2	21.0
1998	75.6	83.6	57.9	11.3	21.1
1999	77.0	81.3	56.5	11.2	21.3
2000	78.5	83.0	58.5	11.6	21.7
2001	79.6	85.6	59.6	11.8	21.7
2002	79.1	87.3	59.4	11.7	21.8
2003	82.0	87.1	59.0	11.7	21.8

44 Value was used in earlier versions of the National Inventory Report (21.25 grams methane per kilogram dry-matter intake).

Year	All dairy cattle (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)	Milking dairy cattle (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)	Non-dairy *(beef)* cattle (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)	Sheep, all (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)	Deer (kg CH_4 per animal per annum)
2004	80.8	88.3	60.0	11.9	22.1
2005	81.3	86.1	60.7	12.0	22.5
2006	81.0	86.8	61.9	11.9	22.8
2007	80.0	88.0	61.1	11.7	23.0
2008	78.8	87.4	60.6	12.0	23.2
2009	79.3	86.9	60.1	12.1	23.3
2010	81.4	86.7	60.0	11.8	23.3
2011	82.3	88.7	60.8	12.0	23.5
2012	82.4	91.2	61.7	12.1	23.6
2013	84.3	91.1	60.7	12.1	23.3
2014	84.7	93.8	60.4	12.3	23.5
2015	87.0	94.5	61.0	12.3	23.6
2016	84.9	96.3	61.6	12.5	24.1
2017	86.0	93.5	61.2	12.5	23.8
2018	87.8	96.1	59.5	12.6	23.4
2019	89.5	97.1	60.8	12.7	24.4
2020	90.5	98.4	61.6	12.7	23.9

Emissions from minor livestock categories

A Tier 1 approach is adopted for the minor livestock categories of *Goats*, *Horses*, *Swine*, llamas and alpacas, and *Mules and asses*, using either IPCC (2006) default emission factors (horses, alpacas, and mules and asses) or New Zealand country-specific emission factors (goats and swine). These minor livestock species comprised 0.2 per cent of the total *Enteric fermentation* emissions in 2020. The populations of goats, horses, pigs, alpacas, and mules and asses are reported using the statistics and assumptions described in section 5.1.4.

Goats: From 1990 to 2020, the population of goats declined from 1,062,900 to 96,416. This was largely driven by a decrease in demand for goat fibre and meat. New Zealand uses a country-specific emission factor for goats for enteric fermentation of 7.4 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1} for 1990 and 8.5 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1} for 2009 based on the differing population characteristics for those two years (Lassey, 2011). For the intermediate years between 1990 and 2009 and for 2010 to 2020, the emission factor is calculated based on the goat population, with the assumption that the dairy goat population has remained relatively consistent over time while the rest of the goat population has declined (Burggraaf et al., unpublished). The emission factor in 2020 was calculated to be 9.0 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}.

Swine: New Zealand uses a Tier 1 approach with country-specific emission factors to determine enteric fermentation emissions from swine and emissions from swine manure management. A country-specific emission factor was developed from research performed by Hill (2012) in which data on the composition of swine diets and industry practices in place to manage waste from production systems were obtained from a representative survey of 56 swine farms. The information obtained on swine diets and waste management practices was representative of practices from 59 per cent and over 67 per cent of New Zealand pork production respectively. Nutritional information was available for different swine age classes and categories. Additionally, the average value of GEI was adjusted for population and further verified against national animal welfare standards. The country-specific emission factor for enteric fermentation (1.06 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}) was developed from industry data on GEI (Hill, 2012). Gross energy data from swine diets were used in the Tier 2 IPCC equation

(equation 10.21, IPCC, 2006) to determine the country-specific enteric fermentation emission factor. This factor is then multiplied by population data to obtain the total CH_4 emissions produced by swine from enteric fermentation for a given inventory year.

The New Zealand emission factor for swine is lower than the IPCC (2006) default for developed countries,[45] which is based on average values derived from 1980s Western German swine production and population statistics. The IPCC (2006) default value for swine is not representative of New Zealand swine systems and does not reflect changes in production due to: improvements in genetic selection, reproductive cycle performance, housing and feed, animal husbandry and herd management. Further information on these factors is provided in the report by Hill (2012).

Horses: The IPCC (2006) default value (18 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}) is used to estimate emissions from the digestive system of horses.

Llamas and alpacas*:* The IPCC (2006) default value (8 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}) is used to estimate emissions from this livestock category.

Mules and asses: The IPCC (2006) default value is used (10 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}) to estimate emissions from this livestock category.

5.2.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

To ensure consistency across emission categories, a single enhanced livestock population characterisation and feed-intake estimate is produced by the Tier 2 model (see annex 3, table A3.1.2). It is used in different parts of the calculations for the National Inventory Report to estimate: CH_4 emissions for the *Enteric fermentation* category, CH_4 and N_2O emissions for the *Manure management* category and N_2O emissions for the *Pasture, range and paddock manure* category.

Livestock numbers

The calculations for total enteric fermentation require livestock population data. Information on uncertainties and time-series consistency for the livestock population data is included in section 5.1.4 and annex 3, section 3.1.

Methane emissions from enteric fermentation

A 2009 report by Kelliher et al. (2009) calculated the uncertainty of enteric fermentation CH_4 emissions for sheep and cattle using a Monte Carlo approach. This superseded a previous analysis undertaken in 2003 (Clark et al., 2003). The analysis expressed the coefficient of variation according to the standard deviation of the CH_4 yield. Kelliher et al. (2009) calculated the uncertainty by expressing the coefficient of variation according to the standard deviation of the CH_4 yield using a larger sample of measurements (relative to the previous analysis). The analysis was restricted to a single diet type: grass–legume pasture, the predominant diet of sheep and cattle in New Zealand. The resulting overall uncertainty of the enteric CH_4 emissions inventory, expressed as a 95 per cent confidence interval, was ±16 per cent (see table 5.2.4).

[45] The IPCC (2006) default emission factor for swine is identical to the IPCC (1996) emission factor.

Table 5.2.4 **New Zealand's uncertainty in the annual estimate of enteric fermentation emissions for 1990 and 2020, estimated using the 95 per cent confidence interval (±16 per cent)**

Year	Enteric CH_4 emissions (kt CH_4/annum)	95% confidence interval minimum (kt CH_4/annum)	95% confidence interval maximum (kt CH_4/annum)	Range of uncertainty (kt CH_4/annum)
1990	1,094.0	919.0	1,269.1	350.1
2020	1,153.3	968.7	1,337.8	369.0

Note: The methane (CH_4) emissions used in the Monte Carlo analysis exclude those from swine, horses, goats, mules and asses, and llamas and alpacas, which represent a small proportion of total CH_4 emissions.

Uncertainty in the annual CH_4 estimate is dominated by variance in the measurements of the 'methane per unit of intake' factor. This uncertainty is predominantly due to natural variation from one animal to the next due to genetic, management and environmental factors. Uncertainties in the estimates of livestock energy requirements, forage quality and animal population data are much smaller (Clark et al., 2003).

5.2.4 Source-specific QA/QC control and verification

Methane from *Enteric fermentation* from *Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle* and *Sheep* was identified as a key category (level and trend assessment). Methane from *Enteric fermentation* from *Goats* is a key category in the trend assessment, and from *Deer* in the level assessment. In the preparation for this inventory, the data for this category underwent Tier 1 and Tier 2 quality checks.

Enteric CH_4 emission rates per animal have been verified using micrometeorological techniques. Laubach and Kelliher (2004) used the integrated horizontal flux technique and the flux gradient technique to measure CH_4 emission flux above a dairy herd. Both techniques are comparable, within estimated errors, to scaled-up dairy animal emissions. The emissions from the cows measured by integrated horizontal flux (averaged over three trials) were 329 (±153) g CH_4/day/cow, compared with 365 (±61) g CH_4/day/cow for the scaled-up measurements reported by Waghorn et al. (unpublished(a), unpublished(b)) using the SF_6 technique for CH_4 measurement.

Enteric CH_4 emissions from lactating dairy cows have also been measured using the New Zealand SF_6 tracer method compared with the respiration chamber techniques (Grainger et al., 2007). Total CH_4 emissions were similar when measured using respiration chambers (322 g CH_4/day/cow) or the SF_6 tracer technique (331 g CH_4/day/cow) but the uncertainty of the SF_6 technique measurements was greater.

The calculations in New Zealand's model for all cattle, sheep and deer are Tier 2 and are based on the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006). Table 5.2.5 shows a comparison of the New Zealand-specific 2020 IEFs for enteric fermentation with the IPCC Tier 1 Oceania default value, the IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based value and the Australian-specific 2019 IEF for dairy cattle, beef cattle and sheep.

The IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based values are determined from the net energy algorithms in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (equation 10.16) for dairy cattle, beef cattle and sheep. New Zealand's inventory model calculates emissions for sheep (one year of age and older) and lambs (less than one year old) separately. Therefore, to provide an appropriate comparison between the New Zealand-specific IEF and the IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based values for sheep, the gross energy values determined using the IPCC Tier 2 energy equations were obtained for both sheep and lambs.

Table 5.2.5 **Comparison of the IPCC (2006) default emission factor and country-specific implied emission factors (IEFs) for methane (CH_4) from *Enteric fermentation* for *Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle* and *Sheep***

	Dairy cattle (kg CH_4/head/year)	Non-dairy (beef) cattle (kg CH_4/head/year)	Sheep (kg CH_4/head/year)
IPCC (2006) Tier 1 Oceania default value	90.0	60.0	8.0
IPCC (2006) Tier 2 net energy-based value	72.5	51.6	8.9
Australian-specific IEF 2019 value[46]	92.8	51.0 (pasture) 66.6 (feedlot)	6.8
New Zealand-specific IEF 2020 value	90.5 (all dairy cattle, including calves) 98.4 (mature milking cattle only)	61.6	12.7

Note: The IPCC (2006) value for sheep is for developed countries.

Dairy cattle: New Zealand's 2020 IEF for all dairy cattle, including calves, is higher than the IPCC Tier 1 Oceania default value but lower than the Australian-specific IEF. New Zealand's 2020 IEF for mature milking cattle is higher than the IPCC Tier 1 Oceania default value and the 2019 Australian-specific IEF.

Although the predominantly pasture-based system in New Zealand is similar to Australian dairy cattle management, the lower IEF value could be explained by New Zealand's higher proportion of lower liveweight cattle breeds. The 2017 Australian dairy herd comprised 74 per cent Holstein; other breeds include Jersey, Brown Swiss, Ayrshire, the Australian Red and the Illawarra (DataGene Limited, 2018). In 2020, 49.6 per cent of New Zealand's cow population comprised a Holstein–Friesian/Jersey crossbreed, 32.5 per cent are Holstein–Friesian and 8.2 per cent are Jersey (LIC and DairyNZ, 2021).

In New Zealand's Tier 2 inventory model, dairy cattle encompass all cattle that are required to support the milking dairy herd. This includes calves, young growing non-lactating heifers, dry cows and bulls. Because the emissions from these animals are included in the IEF calculations, the IEF will be lower than if only mature milking cows had been taken into account.

New Zealand's dairy 2020 IEF is higher than the IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based value because the feeding algorithms within New Zealand's national inventory use New Zealand-specific activity data and methodology that better reflect the pastoral-based farming systems in New Zealand.

Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle: The New Zealand-specific 2020 IEF for *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle* is similar to the IPCC Tier 1 Oceania default value but greater than the IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based value. Differences such as feed type and quality, breed and which animals are characterised as non-dairy will influence the IEFs. As explained for dairy cattle above, the main difference between the IPCC Tier 2 value and the New Zealand-specific value (apart from the different energy equations determining them) is that the feeding algorithms within New Zealand's national inventory use New Zealand-specific activity data and methodology that better reflect New Zealand's outdoor pastoral-based farming systems.

[46] As reported in volume 1 of Australia's National Inventory Report 2019 on greenhouse gas accounts (Commonwealth of Australia, 2021). Note that the Australian-specific beef cattle IEF value is calculated from a population-based weighted average of pasture and feedlot IEF values from beef cattle.

Sheep: New Zealand's 2020 IEF for sheep is higher than the IPCC Tier 1 default value and higher than the 2019 Australian-specific IEF. This is because the annual sheep population figure used to calculate the IEF is based on the June population, in winter. This count excludes most lambs, born in spring (August–September) and raised and slaughtered during summer and early autumn (February, March and April). New Zealand does take lambs into account when determining annual enteric CH_4 emissions because emissions are calculated monthly, but it does not include the lamb population when estimating the IEF. This results in the 2020 calculated sheep IEF being higher than the IPCC default IEF. The IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based sheep IEF is lower than New Zealand's-specific 2020 sheep IEF. The difference can be explained by the same rationale as put forward for cattle.

Verifying regional methane emissions using inverse modelling techniques

New Zealand has made a significant investment in developing country-specific methods and emission factors to estimate CH_4 emissions from ruminant animals reflecting its importance in the inventory. Present CH_4 emissions can be robustly calculated from estimates of animal populations, DMI and emission factors, but large-scale verification of the efficacy of mitigation technologies in the field present a challenge. A possible solution is inverse modelling of emissions, based on atmospheric greenhouse gas measurements from a network of observing stations, combined with models that describe the pathway the air took before arriving at the station to infer regional to national greenhouse gas emissions or uptake. The inversion is conducted by taking all existing data, the initial estimates of regional CH_4 (a priori), observations and back trajectory modelling, to infer what the regional emissions of CH_4 were.

In a recently completed research project (Geddes et al., 2020), atmospheric inverse modelling was tested on regional and national emission estimates for 2011 to 2013 and 2018 using data collected from the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research observing stations at Lauder, Central Otago, and Baring Head, Wellington region.

The emission estimates from this research are underpinned by several key resources: (i) an initial estimate of monthly CH_4 emissions and distributions; (ii) atmospheric CH_4 measurements at an inland and a background (baseline CH_4 levels) site; (iii) an atmospheric transport model that describes the pathway air took before arriving at the observing sites; and (iv) an inverse method that estimates the best combination of emissions to match the available data.

Due to the atmospheric observing network's insensitivity to North Island CH_4 emissions, calculated emissions for the South Island using the inverse model were more defensible than North Island estimates. For the South Island, estimated emissions using this technique were found to be comparable with those reported using inventory methods and data. The analysis showed that the atmospheric observations are adding new information that can be used to validate and enhance the Agriculture inventory. The inverse approach has the potential to shed light on seasonal and inter-annual variability and detect emission changes.

The accuracy of these estimates will be improved by the addition of more observations, the installation of additional sites, and further quality control. An expansion of New Zealand's national CH_4 observing network from two to eight sites is under way through the CarbonWatch NZ research programme. Satellites could also be a useful adjunct to allow further analysis of historical emissions and to constrain emissions where ground-based measurements are challenging (Geddes et al., 2020).

5.2.5 Source-specific recalculations

All activity data were updated with the latest available Stats NZ data.

5.2.6 Source-specific planned improvements

New Zealand is carrying out ongoing research to improve estimates of CH_4 emissions from *Enteric fermentation*. The projects described below outline research focused specifically on the *Enteric fermentation* reporting category of the Agriculture inventory, although several cross-cutting, broader projects will improve the accuracy of emissions in multiple categories (including *Enteric fermentation*, *Manure management* and *Agricultural soils*) that are described in section 5.1.7.

Methane emissions from supplemented dairy cows

This project will determine CH_4 emissions, using several measurement techniques, from early to mid lactation dairy cows grazing pasture and supplemented with graded levels of concentrate. This new data will be combined with relevant existing data from New Zealand and international databases on CH_4 emissions from dairy cows fed pasture plus supplements. This will be used to determine if CH_4 emissions differ between cattle-fed pasture alone or with supplementation.

Impact of pasture kikuyu content on enteric methane emissions

Kikuyu grass is dominant in pastures during summer and autumn in Northland and other coastal areas within the upper North Island of New Zealand due to its drought tolerance, and global warming is expected to increase and spread its dominance further. Indicative research has shown it to produce more CH_4 per unit of organic matter intake, however, this has not been tested in New Zealand.

This research will compare kikuyu composition against the default values used in the inventory, as well as estimate how the management of this pasture affects CH_4 production potential, using in vitro and modelling approaches.

Improving information on feeding practices in dairy farming systems

It is currently assumed that New Zealand dairy cattle consume 100 per cent pasture all year round, however, more robust data is needed before other feed types are able to be incorporated into the inventory. This research will estimate the number of dairy cattle in different farm systems and the likely timing and difference in diets between 1990 and present. Typical farming systems used in New Zealand can be found on the DairyNZ website (www.dairynz.co.nz/business/the-5-production-systems/).

5.3 Manure management (CRF 3.B)

5.3.1 Description

Most emissions from the *Manure management* category are from CH_4 produced during the storage and treatment of manure, and from manure deposited on pasture. The category also includes N_2O emissions produced during the storage and treatment of manure. It does not include N_2O emissions from the spreading of animal manure and from manure deposited directly onto pasture by grazing livestock. Instead, emissions from these sources are included in the *Agricultural soils* category (under *Organic nitrogen fertilisers* and *Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals* respectively).

Methane is produced when manure decomposes in the absence of oxygen (anaerobic conditions). The main factors affecting CH_4 emissions are the amount of manure produced and the portion of the manure that decomposes anaerobically. When manure is stored or treated as a liquid (e.g., in lagoons or ponds), it decomposes anaerobically and can produce

CH_4. The temperature and the length of time spent in storage also affect the cumulative amount of CH_4 produced over the inventory year. When manure is handled as a solid or when it is deposited directly on pastures, it tends to decompose under aerobic conditions and less CH_4 is produced overall.

Nitrous oxide emissions from managed manure occur directly through the processes of nitrification and denitrification of nitrogen contained in the manure. Nitrous oxide is also emitted indirectly through diffusion of oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) into the surrounding air (volatilisation) or via leaching and runoff. As with CH_4, the amount of manure N_2O emissions produced depends on the system of waste management and the duration of storage. In New Zealand, most manure is deposited directly on pasture by grazing animals, with little going into manure management systems. Manure management systems comprise manure mainly from just dairy cattle.

Methane from *Manure management* from dairy cattle (level and trend assessment) and sheep (trend assessment) were identified as key categories for New Zealand in 2020.

Manure management contributed an estimated 1,735.6 kt CO_2-e, representing 4.4 per cent of *Agriculture* emissions in 2020. Estimated emissions from this category consist of:

- CH_4 emissions (93.4 per cent of *Manure management* emissions)
- N_2O emissions (6.6 per cent of *Manure management* emissions).

In 2020, N_2O emissions were 115.1 kt CO_2-e (0.3 per cent of emissions from the Agriculture sector) (see table 5.3.1). In comparison, the combined direct and indirect N_2O emissions from organic fertilisers (spreading of animal manure) and manure deposited directly by grazing livestock reported in the *Agricultural soils* category totalled 5,087.2 kt CO_2-e in 2020 (12.9 per cent of emissions from the Agriculture sector).

Table 5.3.1 Trends and relative contribution of methane and nitrous oxide emissions under the *Manure management* category between 1990 and 2020

Manure management category	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Change from 1990		Share of Manure management category (%)		Share of total Agriculture sector (%)	
	1990	2020	%	Difference (kt CO_2-e)	1990	2020	1990	2020
Methane (CRF 3.B.(a))	727.8	1,620.5	122.7%	892.7	93.5%	93.4%	2.2%	4.1%
Nitrous oxide (CRF 3.B.(b))	50.7	115.1	127.0%	64.4	6.5%	6.6%	0.2%	0.3%

Table 5.3.2 shows the distribution of livestock waste across animal waste management systems in New Zealand. All beef cattle, sheep and deer manure is deposited directly onto pasture. Dairy cattle have a small amount of excreta (7.3 per cent) stored in anaerobic lagoon waste systems (Rollo et al., 2017). This is based on the proportion of time dairy cattle spend on pasture compared with the time they spend in the milking shed.

The minor livestock categories of *Goats*, *Horses*, *Mules and asses*, and llamas and alpacas are assumed to graze outdoors all year and deposit all their manure directly onto pastures. Estimates of the proportions of different waste management systems for swine and poultry in the manure management systems in New Zealand have been provided by Hill (2012) and Fick et al. (2011) respectively.

Table 5.3.2 Distribution of livestock waste across animal waste management systems in New Zealand

Livestock category	Anaerobic lagoon (%)	Daily spread[47] (%)	Pasture, range and paddock[48] (%)	Solid storage and dry lot (%)	Other (%)
Dairy cattle[49]	7.3	–	92.7	–	–
Non-dairy *(beef)* cattle	–	–	100.0	–	–
Sheep	–	–	100.0	–	–
Deer	–	–	100.0	–	–
Goats	–	–	100.0	–	–
Horses	–	–	100.0	–	–
Swine[50]	20.5	25.7	8.9	42.5	2.4
Poultry – broilers[51]	–	–	4.9	–	95.1
Poultry – layers[52]	–	–	5.8	–	94.2
Poultry – other[53]	–	–	3.0	–	97.0
Alpacas	–	–	100.0	–	–
Mules and asses	–	–	100.0	–	–

5.3.2 Methodological issues

Methane from manure management systems (CRF 3.B.(a))

New Zealand uses a Tier 2 approach to calculate CH_4 emissions from ruminant animal wastes from the major livestock categories in New Zealand (*Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle*, *Sheep* and *Deer*). This approach is based on the methods recommended by Saggar et al. (unpublished) and is consistent with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.

Because New Zealand has detailed information on the dairy population and their characteristics (such as feed intake), the IPCC (2006) Tier 2 methodology for dairy anaerobic lagoons is used. The Tier 1 methodology for estimating emissions from various manure management systems of the minor livestock categories uses country-specific and IPCC (2006) default emission factors.

Manure methane from the major livestock categories

The approach for calculating CH_4 emissions from the major livestock categories relies on:

(1) an estimation of the total quantity of faecal material produced, split into dung and urine

(2) allocating the faecal material to the appropriate manure management system, either onto pastures or anaerobic lagoons (based on the distributions in table 5.3.2)

(3) New Zealand-specific emission factors for the quantity of CH_4 produced per unit of faecal dry-matter (FDM) output.

47 Reported under *Agricultural soils*, under *Organic nitrogen fertilisers* (CRF 3.D.1.2).

48 Reported under *Agricultural soils*, under *Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals* (CRF 3.D.1.3).

49 Calculated using 2018 data.

50 Hill (2012).

51 Fick et al. (2011) and pers. comm. (2010).

52 Fick et al. (2011) and pers. comm. (2010).

53 IPCC (1996) default waste management proportions for Oceania.

The following equation is used to determine the monthly FDM output for each livestock category (*Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle*, *Sheep* and *Deer*):

$$FDM = DMI \times (1 - DMD)$$

Where: FDM is faecal dry matter (kg $head^{-1}$ $month^{-1}$)

DMI is dry-matter intake (kg $head^{-1}$ $month^{-1}$), and

DMD is dry-matter digestibility (decimal proportion).

The DMI and dry-matter digestibility estimates in this calculation are the same as those used to calculate the enteric fermentation CH_4 and nitrogen in excreta. These Tier 2 model calculations are based on livestock performance statistics (see section 5.1.4).

Methane from dairy effluent anaerobic lagoons

Each year, a proportion of manure from dairy cows is stored in anaerobic lagoons (Rollo et al., 2017). A Tier 2 methodology derived from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (equations 10.23 and 10.24) linking volatile solids to FDM is used for calculating CH_4 emissions from this activity.

The following equation is used to determine CH_4 emissions ($CH_{4\text{-}MM}$) from dairy cattle manure in anaerobic lagoons:

$$CH_{4-MM} = FDM \cdot (1 - ASH) \cdot B_0 \cdot 0.67 \cdot MCF \cdot MS$$

Where: FDM is the faecal dry matter excreted by dairy cows (on pasture and stored in anaerobic lagoons) (kg $head^{-1}$ $month^{-1}$)

ASH is the ash content of manure, 0.08 (IPCC, 2006, default value)

B_0 is the maximum CH_4-producing capacity of manure variable by species and diet, 0.24 (IPCC, 2006; Oceania default value, verified by Pratt et al., 2012)

0.67 is the conversion factor for converting CH_4 from cubic metres to kilograms (IPCC, 2006)

MCF is the CH_4 conversion factor, 0.76 (IPCC, 2006, table 10.17, default for uncovered anaerobic lagoon, average annual temperature 15 degrees Celsius, verified by Pratt et al., 2012)

MS is the fraction of total dairy manure excreted in anaerobic lagoons.

Methane emissions from the major livestock categories

The following equation is used to determine CH_4 emissions ($CH_{4\text{-}PRP}$) from beef cattle, sheep and deer manure deposited onto pasture:

$$CH_{4-PRP} = FDM \cdot Y_m$$

Where: FDM is the faecal dry matter (kg/head/month)

Y_m is the CH_4 yield value.

Country-specific CH_4 yield values have been developed from New Zealand studies. Details on the values used for each of the major livestock categories are provided below.

Dairy cattle: The quantity of CH_4 produced per kilogram of FDM is 0.98 g CH_4/kg for manure deposited on pasture. This value is obtained from New Zealand studies on dairy cows and varies from around 0.92 to 1.04 g CH_4/kg (Saggar et al., unpublished; Sherlock et al., unpublished).

Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle: The value of 0.98 g CH_4/kg per unit of FDM is based on New Zealand studies on dairy cattle manure (Saggar et al., unpublished; Sherlock et al., unpublished). No specific studies have been conducted in New Zealand on CH_4 emissions from beef cattle manure.

Sheep: The quantity of CH_4 produced per unit of sheep FDM is 0.69 g CH_4/kg. This value is obtained from a New Zealand study on sheep in which values ranged from 0.340 to 1.288 over six sampling periods (Carran et al., unpublished).

Deer: The quantity of CH_4 produced per unit of FDM is assumed to be 0.91 g CH_4/kg. Deer are not housed in New Zealand, and all faecal material is deposited directly onto pasture. This value is derived from New Zealand studies on sheep (Carran et al., unpublished) and dairy cattle (Saggar et al., unpublished; Sherlock et al., unpublished). No New Zealand studies have been done on CH_4 emissions from deer manure. Further information on the calculation of the manure CH_4 emission factor for deer is contained on page 123 (section 7.1.4) of the inventory methodology (Pickering et al., 2020).

Methane emissions from minor livestock categories

Manure CH_4 emissions from the minor livestock categories are calculated per head^{-1}, using country-specific and IPCC default emission factors.

Swine: New Zealand uses a country-specific emission factor of 5.94 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1} (Hill, 2012) for estimating CH_4 emissions from swine manure management. Industry data on swine diets (to determine digestible energy of the swine feed and volatile solid excretion levels) and the use of waste management systems used by New Zealand swine producers (Hill, 2012) were used (equations 15 and 16 from the 1996 IPCC Guidelines, which correspond to equations 10.23 and 10.24 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines) to determine a country-specific manure management emission factor. Further information on this is provided in the report by Hill (2012).

Poultry: Methane emissions from poultry manure management use New Zealand-specific emission factor values derived from Fick et al. (2011). These are based on New Zealand-specific volatile solids and proportions of poultry faeces in each manure management system for each production category. The poultry population has been disaggregated into three different categories, and the manure management emission factor values for each category are: *Broiler birds* 0.022 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}; *Layer hens* 0.016 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}; and *Other*[54] 0.117 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}. The overall IEF for poultry is affected by the change over time in the population proportions of these different poultry categories.

Goats*, *Horses*, and *Mules and asses: New Zealand uses IPCC (2006) default emission factors for CH_4 emissions from manure management for goats, horses, mules and asses (table 10.15, IPCC, 2006). The emission factors are 0.20 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1} for goats, 2.34 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1} for horses and 1.10 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1} for mules and asses. These are the IPCC values for temperate developed countries.

Llamas and alpacas: No IPCC default value is available for CH_4 emissions from manure management for alpacas. The emissions are calculated by assuming that the CH_4 emission factor from manure management for alpacas for all years is equal to the CH_4 manure management IEF for sheep in 1990 (i.e., manure management CH_4 sheep emissions per sheep).The alpaca emission factor (0.10 kg CH_4 head^{-1} year^{-1}) is not indexed to sheep over time because there are no data indicating that alpacas have had the productivity increases over time seen in sheep.

[54] *Other* poultry generally consists of ostrich and emus, hence the higher emissions per head.

Nitrous oxide from manure management systems (CRF 3.B.(b))

Nitrous oxide emissions from manure management can be classified as either direct or indirect. Direct N_2O emissions occur from nitrification and denitrification of nitrogen contained in the manure. Indirect N_2O emissions result from volatile nitrogen losses in the forms of ammonia (NH_3) and NO_x that are emitted via diffusion into the surrounding air (volatilisation) or via leaching and runoff.

Nitrous oxide emissions from manure are calculated for each livestock category based on:

(1) livestock population characterisation data (consistent with section 5.1.3)

(2) the average nitrogen excretion rate per head^{-1} year^{-1}

(3) an estimation of the total quantity of faecal material produced (consistent with the calculations in the previous section for CH_4 from manure management) split into dung and urine

(4) the partitioning of this faecal material between manure management systems (based on the manure distributions in table 5.3.2)

(5) the total amount of nitrogen managed in each system multiplied by an emission factor (IPCC, 2006).

Nitrogen excretion rates for the major livestock categories

The nitrogen excretion (N_{ex}) rates for the main livestock categories in New Zealand (dairy cattle, beef cattle, sheep and deer) are calculated from the nitrogen intake less the nitrogen retained through digestion and contained within animal products, such as liveweight gain, milk, wool and velvet. Nitrogen intake is determined from the dry-matter feed intake and the nitrogen content of the feed eaten. Feed intake and animal productivity values are the same as those used in a Tier 3 model for determining DMI (Clark et al., 2003; section 5.1.3). Monthly values for the nitrogen content of feed are provided by Giltrap and McNeill (2020).

The nitrogen content of animal products is derived from industry data. For lactating dairy cows, the nitrogen content of milk is derived from the protein content of milk, which is published annually by LIC. The nitrogen content of sheep meat, milk and wool, non-dairy meat and milk, and the nitrogen retained in deer velvet, is taken from New Zealand research (Bown et al., 2013).

Table 5.3.3 shows the N_{ex} rates for the major livestock categories. These rates have increased over time, reflecting the increases in animal productivity and animal DMI in New Zealand since 1990. Nitrogen excretion rates are also affected by adverse events, which effect the amount of DMI and can cause large changes in productivity and N_{ex} rates in adjacent years (see section 5.1.1).[55]

Table 5.3.3 Nitrogen excretion rates (N_{ex}) for New Zealand's major livestock categories from 1990 to 2020

Year	Dairy cattle N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Non-dairy *(beef)* cattle N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Sheep N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Deer N_{ex} (kg/head/year)
1990	99.3	68.1	14.1	26.2
1991	102.9	70.1	14.3	26.9
1992	103.5	71.1	14.2	28.0
1993	104.7	72.4	14.7	28.5
1994	102.8	73.1	14.9	28.0

[55] For full details of how nitrogen excretion rates are derived for each livestock category, see the technical detail provided in the inventory methodology document on the MPI website (www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/13906-Detailed-methodologies-for-agricultural-greenhouse-gas-emission-calculation).

Year	Dairy cattle N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Non-dairy *(beef)* cattle N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Sheep N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Deer N_{ex} (kg/head/year)
1995	102.5	72.4	14.7	29.2
1996	105.3	75.0	15.4	29.4
1997	106.1	76.3	16.1	29.7
1998	103.8	76.2	16.1	29.9
1999	105.6	74.5	16.0	30.2
2000	107.1	77.1	16.7	30.8
2001	108.1	78.4	16.9	30.8
2002	107.4	78.0	16.9	30.9
2003	111.2	77.7	16.9	30.7
2004	109.8	79.0	17.4	31.0
2005	110.4	80.0	17.6	31.3
2006	109.6	81.5	17.3	31.5
2007	108.3	80.3	16.9	31.6
2008	106.8	79.7	17.3	31.6
2009	107.5	79.1	17.8	31.7
2010	110.0	78.9	17.2	31.6
2011	110.9	80.1	17.6	31.9
2012	110.9	81.1	17.9	32.0
2013	113.1	79.8	17.8	31.7
2014	113.1	79.5	18.1	32.0
2015	115.6	80.4	18.1	32.0
2016	113.2	81.1	18.5	32.7
2017	114.5	80.4	18.6	32.4
2018	117.1	79.2	18.9	32.5
2019	118.5	80.1	18.9	33.1
2020	119.6	81.1	19.0	32.4

Nitrogen excretion rates for the minor livestock categories

Swine: A New Zealand-specific N_{ex} rate for swine is calculated for each year (see table 5.3.4) based on the 2009 value of 10.8 kg nitrogen (N) head^{-1} year^{-1} (Hill, 2012). This 2009 value is based on the weighted average of the distribution of animal weights by swine category. Estimates of N_{ex} rates for all other years are indexed relative to 2009 for the average pig kill weights for each year. Average pig weights have increased since 1990 due to improvements in productivity. Data on swine carcass weights are collected by MPI from meat processors.

Goats: New Zealand uses country-specific N_{ex} rates for goats to estimate N_2O emissions of 10.6 kg N head^{-1} year^{-1} for 1990 and 12.1 kg N head^{-1} year^{-1} for 2009 based on the differing population characteristics for those two years (Lassey, 2011). As explained in section 5.2.2 for *Enteric fermentation*, for the intermediate years between 1990 and 2009 and for later years, the excretion rate was interpolated based on assumptions that the dairy goat population has remained in a near constant state over time while the rest of the goat population has declined (see table 5.3.4).

Poultry: New Zealand-specific and IPCC default N_{ex} rates are used for poultry (Fick et al., 2011). These are the country-specific values of 0.39 kg N head^{-1} year^{-1} for broiler birds and 0.42 kg N head^{-1} year^{-1} for layer hens. Ducks, turkeys and other unusual poultry types, such as ostriches and phoenixes, make up around 1 per cent of New Zealand's poultry population, and flock sizes are unclear because they are reported by Stats NZ under 'other poultry'. Therefore, the value of 0.60 kg N head^{-1} year^{-1} for ducks and turkeys recommended by Fick et al. (2011) is retained. These values are used for all years from 1990. The overall N_{ex} rate for poultry is affected by the change over time in the population proportions of these different categories.

Horses*, and *Mules and asses: New Zealand-specific N_{ex} rates are not available for horses, mules and asses, and the default N_{ex} rate for Oceania of 0.3 kg N per 1,000 kg of animal mass per day is used (IPCC, 2006, table 10.19).

Llamas and alpacas*:* Because there is no IPCC default N_{ex} rate for alpacas, this was calculated by assuming a default N_{ex} rate for alpacas for all years that is equal to the per-head value of the average sheep in 1990 (i.e., 14.1 kg head^{-1} year^{-1}). The alpaca emission factor is not indexed to sheep over time because no data are available to support the productivity increases that have been seen in sheep. Sheep were used, rather than the IPCC default value for 'other animals', because the literature indicates that alpacas have a nitrogen intake close to that of sheep and no significant difference in the partitioning of nitrogen (Pinares-Patino et al., 2003).

Table 5.3.4 Nitrogen excretion (N_{ex}) rates for New Zealand's swine and goats from 1990 to 2020

Year	Goat N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Swine N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Year	Goat N_{ex} (kg/head/year)	Swine N_{ex} (kg/head/year)
1990	10.6	9.0	2006	11.9	10.7
1991	10.7	9.2	2007	11.9	10.8
1992	10.8	9.3	2008	12.0	10.8
1993	10.8	9.5	2009	12.1	10.8
1994	10.9	9.5	2010	12.2	10.8
1995	11.0	9.6	2011	12.3	11.0
1996	11.1	9.8	2012	12.3	11.0
1997	11.2	9.9	2013	12.4	11.1
1998	11.2	9.9	2014	12.5	11.3
1999	11.3	9.9	2015	12.6	11.4
2000	11.4	10.2	2016	12.7	11.3
2001	11.5	10.5	2017	12.8	11.3
2002	11.5	10.2	2018	12.7	11.4
2003	11.6	10.1	2019	12.7	11.3
2004	11.7	10.5	2020	12.7	11.3
2005	11.8	10.6			

Direct nitrous oxide emissions from manure management

Major livestock categories: For the major livestock categories (*Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle*, *Sheep* and *Deer*), most manure is deposited directly onto pasture by grazing animals (see table 5.3.2). Direct and indirect N_2O emissions from the manure deposited by grazing animals are reported in the *Agricultural soils* category (*Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals* (CRF 3.D.1.3)).

The remainder of dairy manure is managed in anaerobic lagoons. The 2006 IPCC Guidelines note that the production of emissions of direct N_2O from managed manure requires aerobic conditions for the formation of oxidised forms of nitrogen but assumes that negligible direct N_2O emissions occur during storage in anaerobic lagoons (IPCC, 2006, table 10.21). Direct N_2O emissions from dairy effluent anaerobic lagoons are reported in the *Agricultural soils* category (*Organic nitrogen fertilisers* (CRF 3.D.1.2)) when the stored effluent is spread onto agricultural land.

Swine: Swine manure is managed under various types of waste management systems (see table 5.3.2). The 2006 IPCC Guidelines (table 10.21, IPCC, 2006) assume that negligible direct N_2O emissions occur in anaerobic lagoons and daily spread. Nitrous oxide emissions from manure from these systems occur once the stored effluent is spread onto agricultural land and are reported in the *Agricultural soils* category (*Organic nitrogen fertilisers* (CRF 3.D.1.2)).

Nitrous oxide emissions from manure management of swine for dry lot and other manure management systems are estimated using the IPCC (2006) default emission factors for direct N_2O emissions from manure management ($EF_{3,PRP}$) of 0.02 and 0.005 kg N_2O-N/kg N respectively.

***Poultry**:* Direct N_2O emissions from poultry manure deposited directly on pasture are reported in the *Agricultural soils* category (*Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals* (CRF 3.D.1.3)). For other manure management systems, the IPCC (2006, table 10.21) default emission factor for $EF_{3,PRP}$ of 0.001 kg N_2O-N/kg N for poultry manure with and without litter is assumed.

***Goats*, *Horses*, llamas and alpacas, and *Mules and asses*:** All faecal material from these livestock is deposited directly onto pasture, and direct N_2O emissions from grazing animals are reported in the *Agricultural soils* category (*Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals* (CRF 3.D.1.3)).

Indirect nitrous oxide emissions from manure management

Indirect N_2O emissions from manure management result from diffusion into the surrounding air (volatilisation) and from leaching and runoff. All indirect N_2O emissions for the pasture, range and paddock manure management systems are reported in the *Agricultural soils* category.

The IPCC (2006) Tier 1 methodology is used for calculating N_2O emissions resulting from volatilisation:

$$N_2O_{MM-volatilisation} = \frac{44}{28}(N_{volatilisation\ -MMS} \cdot EF_4)$$

And:

$$N_{vol-MMS} = \sum_S \left[\sum_T \left[\left(N_T \cdot Nex_T \cdot MS_{T,S} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{Frac_{GasMS}}{100} \right)_{T,S} \right] \right]$$

Where: $N_{vol-MMS}$ is the amount of manure nitrogen that is lost due to volatilisation (kg/year)

EF_4 is the emission factor for N_2O emissions from volatilisation; the IPCC (2006) default value of 0.01 kg N_2O-N/(kg NH_3-N + NO_x-N volatilised) is used

N_T is the number of livestock per category (head), detailed in section 5.1.4

Nex_T is the average nitrogen excretion for each livestock category, T, detailed above

$MS_{T,S}$ is the fraction of total nitrogen excretion per livestock category, T, per manure management system, S, derived from table 5.3.2, and

$Frac_{GasMS}$ is the per cent of managed manure nitrogen for each livestock category, T, which volatilises as NH_3 and NO_x per manure management system, S. New Zealand uses default values for $Frac_{GasMS}$ detailed in table 5.3.5.

The IPCC (2006) Tier 1 guidelines do not provide a methodology for determining indirect N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff. There have been no country-specific emission factors derived for leaching and runoff from manure management systems in New Zealand (e.g., Hill, 2012), and available data are usually extremely limited (IPCC, 2006). Leaching and runoff from dairy anaerobic lagoons is likely to be an insignificant activity in New Zealand (T Wilson, pers. comm., 2014). All indirect N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff are reported in the *Agricultural soils* category.

Table 5.3.5 IPCC default values for the fraction of managed manure nitrogen that volatilises as ammonia and oxides of nitrogen ($Frac_{GasMS}$/100) for livestock categories per manure management system in New Zealand

Manure management system	Livestock category	Value
Anaerobic lagoons	Dairy	0.35
	Swine	0.40
Daily spread	Swine (Hill, 2012)	0.07
Solid storage and dry lot	Swine	0.30
Other (deep bedding)	Swine	0.25
	Poultry – broilers	0.25
	Poultry – layers	0.25
	Poultry – other	0.25

Source: IPCC (2006) table 10.22 and Hill (2012)

5.3.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

To ensure consistency, a single enhanced livestock population characterisation and feed-intake estimate is produced by the Tier 2 model for the major livestock categories. It is used in different parts of the calculations for the inventory to estimate: CH_4 emissions for the *Enteric fermentation* category, CH_4 and N_2O emissions for the *Manure management* category and N_2O emissions for the *Agricultural soils* category.

Methane emissions

The major sources of uncertainty in CH_4 emissions from *Manure management* are the accuracy of emission factors for manure management system distribution, the activity data for the livestock population and the classification and use of the various manure management systems (IPCC, 2006). The ranges for measured emissions for the major livestock categories have been stated where available.

The IPCC (2006) states that emission factor estimates are likely to have uncertainties of ±30 per cent for Tier 1 methodologies and ±20 per cent for Tier 2 methodologies. New Zealand does not currently have country-specific uncertainty values for CH_4 from manure management, and, because around 95 per cent of CH_4 from *Manure management* is calculated using Tier 2 methodologies, an uncertainty value of ±20 per cent has been used for the *Manure management* CH_4 emissions uncertainty.

Uncertainties for the livestock characterisation are also discussed in section 5.1.4 and annex 3, section A3.1.1.

Nitrous oxide emissions

The main factors causing uncertainty in direct and indirect N_2O emissions from manure management are the N_{ex} rates, the emission factors for manure and manure management systems, activity data on the livestock populations and the classification and use of the various manure management systems (IPCC, 2006).

Uncertainty ranges for the default N_{ex} values are estimated at about ±50 per cent (IPCC, 2006), and may be substantially smaller for the values for the livestock whose N_{ex} rates were derived from in-country statistics on productivity. New Zealand uses the default values for $EF_{3,PRP}$ for direct N_2O emissions from the manure management of swine and poultry, which have uncertainties ranging from –50 per cent to +100 per cent. An uncertainty value range of ±100 per cent has been used for the *Manure management* N_2O emissions uncertainty (Giltrap et al., unpublished).

As above, uncertainties for the livestock characterisation are discussed in section 5.1.4 and annex 3, section A3.1.1.

5.3.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

Methane from *Manure management* from dairy cattle was identified as a key category for New Zealand in the 2020 level and 1990 to 2020 trend assessment. Methane from *Manure management* from sheep was also identified as a key category for the 1990 to 2020 trend assessment.

In the preparation for this inventory submission, the data for this category underwent Tier 1 and Tier 2 quality checks.

Table 5.3.6 shows a comparison of the New Zealand-specific 2020 IEF for CH_4 from *Manure management* with the IPCC (2006) Tier 1 Oceania default, the IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based value and the 2019 Australian-specific IEF for *Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle* and *Sheep*. The IPCC Tier 2 value was determined from net energy equations to determine gross energy for each of New Zealand's major livestock categories. This information is then used to determine volatile solid excretion and the annual CH_4 emission factors for each livestock category as per the equations described in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (i.e., equations 10.16, 10.23 and 10.24).

New Zealand has lower IEFs for CH_4 from *Manure management* for beef cattle and sheep than the IPCC Tier 1 Oceania default and the IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based emission factors. Additionally, New Zealand has lower dairy and non-dairy IEFs for CH_4 from *Manure management* than the Australian-specific 2019 IEFs. Differences between New Zealand's IEFs, the IPCC Tier 1 and Tier 2 and the Australian-specific IEFs are due to the reasons outlined under *Enteric fermentation* (see section 5.2.4): that is, size and productivity of the animals, the age classes of livestock included in New Zealand's modelling and the use of different algorithms to determine energy intake. The New Zealand-specific IEF from *Manure management* for dairy cattle also reflects the activity data on the use of dairy effluent management systems in New Zealand (see section 5.3.2).

Table 5.3.6 Comparison of IPCC (2006) table 10A-4 default emission factors and country-specific implied emission factors (IEFs) for methane from manure management

	kg CH_4/head/year		
	Dairy cattle	**Non-dairy *(beef)* cattle**	**Sheep**
IPCC Tier 1 Oceania default value (average temperature 15°C (cattle)/developed temperate default value (sheep))	27.00	2.00	0.28
IPCC Tier 2 net energy-based value	5.97	0.82	0.18
Australian-specific IEF 2019 value[56]	14.82	4.86 (pasture) 3.48 (feedlot)	0.34
New Zealand-specific IEF 2020 value	8.95 (all dairy cattle, including calves) 11.08 (mature milking cows only)	0.85	0.14

56 As reported in Australia's *National Inventory Report 2021*. Retrieved from https://unfccc.int/documents/273475 (14 December 2021). Note that the Australian-specific beef cattle IEF value is calculated from a population-based weighted average of pasture and feedlot IEF values from beef cattle.

5.3.5 Source-specific recalculations

No recalculations of emissions estimates in the *Manure management* category were implemented in the 2022 inventory compilation. Some emissions figures have been slightly affected by revisions of agricultural data, particularly for the most recent year. For more information, see chapter 10, section 10.1.3 *Revision of agricultural statistics*.

5.3.6 Source-specific planned improvements

The following section covers the planned improvements being undertaken for *Manure management*. These findings may be incorporated in future annual inventory submissions.

Review of the methane conversion factor for dairy cattle

A research project is under way that aims to review the methane conversion factor (MCF) for the calculation of CH_4 from manure deposited in anaerobic lagoons from dairy cattle. The current vales for the MCF is 0.74, based on the 2006 IPCC default value for uncovered anaerobic lagoons at an annual temperature of 15 degrees Celsius.

The aim of this research is to review and, if deemed necessary, revise the MCF to a suitable New Zealand-specific value or values to improve the accuracy of dairy cattle manure CH_4 emissions. The research is scheduled to be completed in 2022, with recommendations that could be implemented in the 2023 NIR.

Pork industry survey

This project will redesign and update a survey that was previously sent out to the New Zealand pork industry, with the purpose of improving the accuracy of the data used to estimate emissions from swine. A large portion of the new survey will focus on the use of manure management systems in the pork industry, and will be designed in a way that ensures the results are compatible with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories as well as the 2019 Refinement.

In the 10 years since the initial survey was taken, the swine industry has evolved and progressed to the point that the data currently used in the methodology may no longer accurately reflect that of the industry. This survey will provide more up-to-date information, leading to a more accurate estimate of emissions. It is hoped that the results of the new survey will be implemented in the 2023 or 2024 inventory submission.

5.4 Rice cultivation (CRF 3.C)

5.4.1 Description

At present, no commercial rice cultivation is carried out in New Zealand. This has been confirmed with experts from Plant and Food Research, a New Zealand Crown research institute. The ‘NO’ (not occurring) notation is reported in the CRF tables.

5.5 Agricultural soils (CRF 3.D)

5.5.1 Description

Several categories contribute to N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils* from both direct and indirect pathways; these are summarised in figure 5.5.1.

Figure 5.5.1 **Sources of nitrous oxide emissions from *Agricultural soils*, showing the contribution of each source to emissions through both direct and indirect pathways**



Direct N_2O emissions come directly from the soils to which nitrogen has been applied in either organic (animal excreta and organic fertilisers) or inorganic (synthetic nitrogen fertiliser) form. Indirect emissions come from the volatilisation (evaporation or sublimation) of nitrogen from the land. A fraction of this volatilised nitrogen returns to the ground during rainfall and is then re-emitted as N_2O. Indirect emissions also arise from leaching and runoff of nitrogen (IPCC, 2006) and from further nitrification and denitrification from waterways.

Indirect emissions from livestock waste management systems are reported in section 5.3 (*Manure management*). Carbon dioxide emissions from lime and dolomite fertilisers are reported in section 5.8 (*Liming*), and CO_2 emissions from urea fertiliser are reported in section 5.9 (*Urea application*).

Agricultural soils contributed an estimated 7,882.8 kt CO_2-e, representing 10.0 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions and 20.0 per cent of agriculture emissions in 2020. The *Agricultural soils* category was the source of 93.1 per cent of New Zealand's total 2020 N_2O emissions.

The categories that contribute the most to emissions from *Agricultural soils* and that are identified as key categories are outlined below in order of significance.

- Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals (pasture, range and paddock manure) (level and trend assessment): 49.3 per cent of emissions from *Agricultural soils*.

- Synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (level and trend assessment): 19.6 per cent of emissions from *Agricultural soils*.
- Volatilisation (level assessment): 11.7 per cent of emissions from *Agricultural soils*.
- Managed organic soils (level and trend assessment): 8.5 per cent of emissions from *Agricultural soils*.
- Leaching and runoff (level assessment): 6.6 per cent of emissions from *Agricultural soils*.
- Crop residues (level assessment): 3.3 per cent of emissions from *Agricultural soils*.

Trends

Emissions from *Agricultural soils* increased 48.7 per cent (2,581.9 kt CO_2-e) between 1990 and 2020. Increases in the use of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser and the dairy cattle population are the predominant drivers of increasing emissions from *Agricultural soils*, which have been partially offset by decreases in the sheep and beef cattle populations.

Trends from 1990 and 2020 across the key categories in *Agricultural soils* are detailed below.

- Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals (pasture, range and paddock manure) (level and trend assessment): 26.8 per cent (821.4 kt CO_2-e) increase.
- Synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (level and trend assessment): 572.2 per cent (1317.8 kt CO_2-e) increase.
- Volatilisation (level assessment): 25.9 per cent (190.1 kt CO_2-e) increase.
- Cultivation of organic soils (level and trend assessment): 1.4 per cent (8.9 kt CO_2-e) increase.
- Leaching and runoff (level assessment): 30.4 per cent (120.4 kt CO_2-e) increase.
- Crop residues (level assessment): 47.4 per cent (83.2 kt CO_2-e) increase.

Table 5.5.1 shows the trends and relative contribution of N_2O emissions from these categories between 1990 and 2020.

Table 5.5.1 Trends and relative contribution of nitrous oxide emissions from *Agricultural soils* categories between 1990 and 2020

Agricultural soils category		Emissions (kt CO_2-e) 1990	Emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2020	Change from 1990–2020 kt CO_2-e	Change from 1990–2020 %	Share of Agricultural soils category (%) 2020	Share of total Agriculture sector (%) 2020
Direct	Synthetic nitrogen fertilisers	230.3	1,548.2	1,317.8	572.2	19.6%	3.9%
	Organic fertilisers (animal manure spread on pasture)	36.3	76.2	40.0	110.2	1.0%	0.2%
	Pasture, range and paddock manure	3,068.6	3,890.0	821.4	26.8	49.3%	9.9%
	Crop residue	175.5	258.6	83.2	47.4	3.3%	0.7%
	Cropland nitrogen mineralisation from soil organic matter loss	0.0	0.1	0.1	321.7	0.0%	0.0%
	Cultivation of organic soils	658.7	667.6	8.9	1.4	8.5%	1.7%
Indirect	Volatilisation	735.1	925.2	190.1	25.9	11.7%	2.3%
	Leaching and runoff	396.5	516.9	120.4	30.4	6.6%	1.3%
Total Agricultural soils		**5,300.9**	**7,882.8**	**2,581.9**	**48.7**	**100.0%**	**20.0%**

Note: Columns may not add due to rounding. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

5.5.2 Methodological issues

New Zealand uses methodologies based on the IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006), outputs of the Tier 2 livestock population characterisation, modelling of the livestock nutrition and energy requirements, and some country-specific equations to calculate N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils*. A combination of default and country-specific emission factors and parameters is also used to calculate emissions from this category. Details on these emission factors and parameters are listed in tables 5.5.2 and 5.5.3; annex 3, tables A3.1.5, A3.1.6 and A3.1.7; and in table 5.5.5 for mitigation technologies.

The largest inputs of nitrogen to agricultural soils are manure (urine and dung) from grazing livestock and synthetic nitrogen fertilisers, which together contribute just over two thirds of emissions from the *Agricultural soils* category. The following paragraphs provide an overview of the country-specific improvements made to the *Agricultural soils* category.

Overview of research and improvements in the Agricultural soils category

Considerable research effort has gone into establishing New Zealand-specific emission factors for emissions from synthetic fertiliser application (EF_1) and emissions from manure on pasture from grazing livestock ($EF_{3,PRP}$). In New Zealand, most livestock waste is excreted directly onto pasture during grazing (see table 5.3.2).

Recently, direct N_2O emission factors for dung and urine have been disaggregated based on livestock type (for *Dairy cattle*, *Non-dairy* (beef) *cattle*, *Sheep* and *Deer*) and hill slope category based on research by Saggar et al. (2015) and van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020) (see table 5.5.3). A 'nutrient transfer model' developed by Saggar et al. (2015) is used to calculate the amount of dung and urine deposited onto different hill slope categories. Around 79 per cent of sloped land on sheep, beef and deer farms is classed as medium (12–24 degrees) or steep (greater than 24 degrees) sloped land (see annex 3, figure A3.1.3).

For minor livestock categories, such as *Horses*, llamas and alpacas, *Poultry*, *Swine*, *Goats*, and *Mules and asses*, New Zealand uses IPCC default emission factors and methodology. Research conducted in New Zealand confirmed that the IPCC default emission factors and methodology for direct N_2O emissions from manure deposited onto soil ($EF_{3,PRP\text{-}MINOR}$) are appropriate for New Zealand conditions (Carran et al., 1995; de Klein et al., 2003; Muller et al., 1995).

New Zealand uses country-specific emission factors for urea fertiliser (0.0059) and dairy cattle effluent manure (0.0025) applied to soils (van der Weerden et al., 2016a and 2016b). The IPCC default value of 0.01 is used for other nitrogen inputs including synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (excluding urea), animal manure from minor livestock species applied to soils, and crop residues. This emission factor of 0.01 has been verified as suitable for New Zealand conditions by Kelliher and de Klein (unpublished).

The emission factor for indirect N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff (EF_5) for rivers, lakes and estuaries has also been reviewed (Clough and Kelliher, unpublished). The review concluded that further research is required to develop a country-specific value, and that the IPCC (2006) default emission factor (0.0075) is appropriate for New Zealand in the meantime.

In addition to these country-specific emission factors, New Zealand has developed country-specific parameters for volatilisation, leaching, and nitrogen input from crop residue burning and pasture renewal (see table 5.5.4). New Zealand has also incorporated country-specific emission factors and country-specific parameters for calculating emissions from the use of the following mitigation technologies (see table 5.5.5):

- urease inhibitors such as N-butyl thiophosphoric triamide
- dicyandiamide (DCD), a nitrification inhibitor.

Table 5.5.2 Nitrous oxide emission factors for *Agricultural soils* in New Zealand, excluding $EF_{3,PRP-URINE}$ for cattle, sheep and deer

Category	Emission factor			Source
3.D.1 Direct N_2O emissions				
Synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (urea)	EF_{1-UREA}	0.0059	kg N_2O-N/kg N	van der Weerden et al. (2016a, 2016b)
Organic fertiliser (dairy cattle manure)	$EF_{1-DAIRY}$	0.0025	kg N_2O-N/kg N	van der Weerden et al. (2016a, 2016b)
Synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (other), organic fertiliser (swine and poultry manure) crop residue, nitrogen loss due to soil organic matter mineralisation, organic soil mineralisation due to cultivation	EF_1	0.01	kg N_2O-N/kg N	Kelliher and de Klein (unpublished), IPCC (2006, table 11.1)
Cultivation of organic soils	EF_2	8.0	kg N_2O-N/ha/kg N	IPCC (2006, table 11.1)
Manure (dung and urine) from minor grazing animals (i.e., *excluding* cattle, sheep and deer) in pasture, range and paddock systems	$EF_{3,PRP-MINOR}$	0.01	kg N_2O-N/kg N	Carran et al. (1995); Muller et al. (1995); de Klein et al. (2003)
Dung from grazing cattle, sheep and deer in pasture, range and paddock systems	$EF_{3,PRP-DUNG}$	0.0012	kg N_2O-N/kg N	van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020)
3.D.2 Indirect N_2O emissions				
Volatilisation	EF_4	0.010	kg N_2O-N/kg N	IPCC (2006, table 11.3)
Leaching and runoff	EF_5	0.0075	kg N_2O-N/kg N	IPCC (2006, table 11.3), confirmed by Clough and Kelliher (unpublished)

Table 5.5.3 Direct nitrous oxide (N_2O) emission factors for urine deposited by cattle, sheep and deer, by livestock type and slope, using values calculated by van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020)

	Emissions factor by slope	
Livestock type	Flat and low sloped land (less than 12° gradient) $EF_{3,PRP-FLAT}$	Medium and steep sloped land (greater than 12° gradient) $EF_{3,PRP-STEEP}$
All cattle (includes dairy and non-dairy)	0.0098	0.0033
Deer	0.0074	0.0020
Sheep	0.0050	0.0008

Table 5.5.4 Parameters for indirect nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from *Agricultural soils* in New Zealand

Category	Parameter			Source
3.D.2 Indirect N_2O emissions				
Fraction of volatilisation from synthetic fertiliser	$Frac_{GASF}$	0.1	kg NH_3-N + NO_x-N/kg N	IPCC (2006), verified by Sherlock et al. (2008)
Fraction of volatilisation from organic nitrogen additions including pasture manure	$Frac_{GASM}$	0.1	kg NH_3-N + NO_x-N/kg N	Sherlock et al. (2008)
Fraction of leaching and runoff from all nitrogen applied to soil	Cropland $Frac_{LEACH-(H)}$	0.1	kg N/kg N	Welten et al. (2021)
	Grassland $Frac_{LEACH-(H)}$	0.07	kg N/kg N	Thomas et al. (unpublished), Thomas et al. (2005)
Fraction of crop residue burned in the field	$Frac_{BURN}$	Crop-specific	kg N/kg crop-N	Thomas et al. (2008, table 14)
Fraction of legume crop residue burning in the field	$Frac_{BURNL}$	0 (not burned in NZ)	kg N/kg crop-N	Thomas et al. (2008)
Fraction of land undergoing pasture renewal	$Frac_{RENEW}$	Year-specific		Beare et al. (unpublished); Thomas et al. (2014)
Fraction of nitrogen in above-ground residues removed for bedding, feed or construction	$Frac_{REMOVE}$	0	kg N/kg crop-N	Thomas et al. (2014)

Table 5.5.5 Emission factors and parameter values for use of mitigation technologies

Category	Parameter and value (%)		Source
Urine from grazing dairy cattle in pasture, range and paddock systems with application of dicyandiamide (DCD)	$EF_{3(PRP\text{-}DCD)}$	0.67	Clough et al. (2008)
Fraction of nitrogen from leaching and runoff with application of DCD	$Frac_{LEACH-(H)\text{-}DCD}$	0.53	Clough et al. (2008)
Volatilisation from synthetic nitrogen fertiliser coated with urease inhibitor (nBTPT)	$Frac_{GASF\text{-}UI}$	0.055	Saggar et al. (2013)

Direct nitrous oxide emissions from managed soils (CRF 3.D.1)

Emissions from the *Direct N_2O emissions from managed soils* category arise from:

- synthetic nitrogen fertiliser use (F_{SN})
- organic fertilisers (which in New Zealand are solely the spreading of animal manure, F_{AM})
- manure deposited by grazing livestock in pasture, range and paddock (F_{PRP})
- decomposition of crop residues left on fields (F_{CR})
- nitrogen mineralisation associated with loss of soil organic matter (F_{SOM})
- cultivation of organic soils.

Many of these sources of emissions have N_2O emissions from indirect pathways as well, and these calculations are described in detail in the section on indirect N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils*.

Emissions from the non-manure components of organic fertilisers (F_{ON}) are not estimated in New Zealand's inventory because they have been found to be insignificant. New Zealand commissioned research to review sources of organic waste and found that they are not of significant volume in New Zealand (van der Weerden et al., 2014). These components include sources such as dairy processing wastewater, compost sold to the rural sector, meat processing wastewater sand sludge, grape marc from the wine industry and vegetable processing wastewater applied to land.

New Zealand's methodology for determining the values for nitrogen inputs to soils for F_{AM} and F_{PRP} is consistent with other parts of the inventory. The underlying values for N_{ex} and for the allocation of excreta to animal waste management systems are the same as in the *Manure management* category. These N_{ex} values have been calculated based on the same animal intake and animal productivity values used for calculating CH_4 emissions for the different animal categories and species in the Tier 2 model (see section 5.1.3). This ensures the same base DMI values are used for both the CH_4 and N_2O emission calculations. Further details can be found in the inventory methodology document on the MPI website (www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/13906-detailed-methodologies-for-agricultural-greenhouse-gas-emission-calculation).

Synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (CRF 3.D.1.1)

Anthropogenic N_2O emissions from synthetic nitrogen fertiliser are a relatively small proportion of total N_2O emissions, but they have grown significantly since 1990. Most synthetic nitrogen fertiliser used in New Zealand is urea fertiliser applied to dairy pasture land to increase pasture growth during spring (September to November) and autumn (March to May).

In accordance with IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006), the following equation is used to determine direct N_2O emissions from the application of nitrogen-based fertiliser:

$$N_2O\ emissions = \frac{44}{28} \cdot \left[\left(F_{SN(UREA)} \cdot EF_{1(UREA)} \right) + \left(F_{SN(OTHER)} \cdot EF_1 \right) \right]$$

Where: F_{SN} is the total annual amount of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser applied to soils (urea-based and other fertilisers)

$EF_{1(UREA)}$ is the proportion of direct N_2O emissions from nitrogen input to the soil for urea fertilisers (0.0059; table 5.5.2), and

EF_1 is the proportion of direct N_2O emissions from nitrogen input to the soil (0.01; table 5.5.2).

Data on synthetic fertiliser use are provided by the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand from sales records from the two major fertiliser companies for 1990 to 2020, with estimates from smaller companies.

The $EF_{1(UREA)}$ value was changed to 0.0059 in 2017, following a recommendation from the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel in 2016. The Panel agreed that the value of 0.0059, based on the research by van der Weerden et al. (2016a), was more representative of New Zealand farming practices and conditions, where only small (30–50 kg N/ha/application) urea dressings are applied but on several occasions during a year. The lower value of $EF_{1(UREA)}$, compared with the IPCC default of 1 per cent, is comparable with studies conducted in Australia (Chen et al., 2010; Galbally et al., 2005) and the Netherlands (Kuikman et al., 2006), which have found EF_1 urea fertiliser values of around 0.5 per cent.

Since 1990, a large increase has occurred in nitrogen applied through synthetic fertiliser, from 59,265 tonnes in 1990 to 470,000 tonnes in 2020 (see figure 5.5.2). At the same time, the proportion of urea fertiliser applied has increased from just over 41.5 per cent to 72.3 per cent of all synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (see figure 5.5.3).

Figure 5.5.2 Synthetic nitrogen fertiliser use in New Zealand from 1990 to 2020



Source: Fertiliser Association of New Zealand

Figure 5.5.3 Percentage of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser derived from urea from 1990 to 2020



Source: International Fertiliser Industry Association and Fertiliser Association of New Zealand

The increase in synthetic nitrogen fertiliser use since 1990 has resulted in an increase in emissions from this category, from 230.3 kt CO_2-e in 1990 (0.7 per cent of total agricultural emissions) to 1,548.2 kt CO_2-e in 2020 (3.9 per cent of agricultural emissions).

Organic nitrogen fertilisers (CRF 3.D.1.2)

In New Zealand, emissions from organic nitrogen fertilisers are solely from animal manure that is spread on pasture after collection in manure management systems. Most animal manure in New Zealand is excreted directly onto pasture, but some manure from dairy farms is collected in manure management systems and applied to soils as an organic fertiliser (see table 5.3.2). Some manure is also collected but not stored; rather, it is spread directly onto pasture daily (e.g., swine manure and some dairy manure). The emissions calculation in this category (organic nitrogen fertilisers) excludes manure deposited directly on pasture by grazing livestock, which is covered in the next section (*Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals* (CRF 3.D.1.3)). Animal manure is not used for fuel or construction in New Zealand.

New Zealand has developed a country-specific emission factor for dairy cattle manure applied to soils of 0.0025 (van der Weerden et al., 2016a, 2016b). This value was based on a meta-analysis of field trials carried out in New Zealand that measured emissions from dairy cattle manure on soil. This emission factor was changed to 0.0025 in 2017, following a recommendation from the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel in 2016. The Panel agreed that the new value was more representative of New Zealand farming practices and conditions. Given that dairy cattle manure is a mixture of urine and dung (combined with water), the value of 0.0025 is consistent with the EF_3 emission factor values used in New Zealand for dairy cattle urine (0.0098 and 0.0033 for flat and medium to steep sloped land respectively) and dung (0.0012) (van der Weerden et al., 2016a). Direct N_2O emissions from organic fertiliser (dairy cattle manure) in 2020 (using the EF of 0.0025) were 0.139 kt N_2O.

Manure from poultry and swine spread onto soil has an emission factor of 0.01, which is consistent with the IPCC default.

The following equation is used to determine direct N_2O emissions from the application of animal manure to soil:

$$N_2O\ emissions = \frac{44}{28} \cdot [(F_{AM} \cdot EF_1) + (F_{AM(DAIRY)} \cdot EF_{1(DAIRY)})]$$

Where: F_{AM} is the total amount of animal manure nitrogen (swine and poultry) applied to soils from manure management systems (other than pasture, range and paddock), which is derived as a fraction of the nitrogen excretion rates, N_{ex}, described in section 5.3.2

EF_1 is the proportion of direct N_2O emissions from animal manure (swine and poultry) applied to soils (0.01; table 5.5.2)

$F_{AM(DAIRY)}$ is the total amount of animal manure nitrogen (dairy) applied to soils from manure management systems (other than pasture, range and paddock), which is derived as a fraction of the nitrogen excretion rates, N_{ex}, described in section 5.3.2, and

$EF_{1(DAIRY)}$ is the proportion of direct N_2O emissions from animal manure (dairy cattle) applied to soils (0.0025; table 5.5.2).

The IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006) recommend that non-manure components of organic nitrogen applied to agricultural soils, such as compost sewage sludge and rendering waste, are included under organic fertilisers. New Zealand commissioned research on sources of organic waste and found that these activities are not significant for New Zealand (van der Weerden et al., 2014). They account for nearly 0.025 per cent of national gross greenhouse gas emissions and, therefore, this category has been reported as ‘not estimated’ (NE).

The research assessed a range of potential sources, including dairy processing wastewater, compost sold to the rural sector, blood and bone fertiliser, meat processing wastewater and sludge, grape marc from the wine industry, vegetable processing wastewater and sewage sludge applied to land. No brewery waste is applied to soils in New Zealand because spent yeast is used in the food industry to manufacture a yeast spread, or fed directly to stock.

Because most livestock manure in New Zealand is excreted directly onto pasture, emissions from the organic nitrogen fertilisers category are relatively small. In 2020, N_2O emissions from this source contributed 76.2 kt CO_2-e (1.0 per cent of emissions from *Agricultural soils*, and 0.2 per cent of total agricultural emissions). This is an increase of 40.0 kt CO_2-e (110.2 per cent) from the 1990 level of 36.3 kt CO_2-e.

Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals (CRF 3.D.1.3)

Most livestock in New Zealand are grazed outdoors on pasture, with around 92.7 per cent of dairy cattle excreta and 100 per cent of beef cattle, sheep, deer and other livestock excreta deposited on pasture (see table 5.3.2). In New Zealand, dairy cattle are kept close to the milking shed and dairy farming tends to be on flatland. Sheep, beef and deer farming predominantly occur on hill country and rarely on flatland.

The following equations are used to determine direct N_2O emissions from grazing livestock manure.

For urine deposited on flatland and low slopes by sheep, cattle and deer only:

$$\begin{aligned} N_2O\ emissions &= \frac{44}{28}(N_2O - N) \\ &= \frac{44}{28}\left(\sum_T N_T \cdot (Nex_{URINE,FLAT} + Nex_{URINE,LOW}) \cdot MS_T\right) \cdot EF_{3(PRP-FLAT)} \end{aligned}$$

For urine deposited on medium and steep slopes by sheep, cattle and deer only:

$$N_2O\ emissions = \frac{44}{28}(N_2O - N)$$
$$= \frac{44}{28}\left(\sum_T N_T \cdot \left(Nex_{URINE,MED} + Nex_{URINE,STEEP}\right) \cdot MS_T\right) \cdot EF_{3(PRP-STEEP)}$$

For all dung from sheep, cattle and deer:

$$N_2O\ emissions = \frac{44}{28}(N_2O - N) = \frac{44}{28}\left(\sum_T N_T \cdot Nex_{DUNG,T} \cdot MS_T\right) \cdot EF_{3(PRP-DUNG)}$$

For urine and dung from other livestock categories (swine, goats, horses, alpaca, mules, asses and poultry):

$$N_2O\ emissions = \frac{44}{28}(N_2O - N) = \frac{44}{28}\left(\sum_T N_T \cdot Nex_T \cdot MS_T\right) \cdot EF_{3(PRP-MINOR)}$$

Where: N_T is the population of the livestock category (sheep, cattle, deer or other); T (population as calculated in section 5.1.3)

$Nex_{URINE,FLAT}$ is the annual urinary N excretion per head deposited on flatland[57] (kg N/head/year)

$Nex_{URINE,LOW}$ is the annual urinary N excretion per head deposited on low slopes[58] (kg N/head/year)

$Nex_{URINE,MED}$ is the annual urinary N excretion per head deposited on medium slopes[59] (kg N/head/year)

$Nex_{URINE,STEEP}$ is the annual urinary N excretion per head deposited on steep slopes[60] (kg N/head/year)

$Nex_{DUNG,T}$ is the annual average excretion per head (kg N/head/year)

Nex_T is the annual average N excretion per head (kg N/head/year) (see section 5.3)

MS_T is the proportion of manure excreted directly onto pasture, range and paddock (see table 5.3.2)

$EF_{3(PRP-FLAT)}$ is the emission factor for urinary N deposited on flatland and low slopes by sheep, deer and cattle (note that emission factors vary by animal category, see table 5.5.2)

$EF_{3(PRP-STEEP)}$ is the emission factor for urinary N deposited on medium and steep slopes, for sheep, deer and cattle (note emission factors vary by animal category, see table 5.5.2)

$EF_{3(PRP-DUNG)}$ is the emission factor for dung N excreta deposited by sheep, deer and cattle on pasture, range and paddock (0.0012, see table 5.5.2)

$EF_{3(PRP-MINOR)}$ is the emission factor for dung from minor animal categories deposited on pasture, range and paddock (see table 5.5.2).

---

57 Flatland is classified as flat pastoral land or plains with a gradient lower than 12 degrees.

58 Low slopes are classified as hill country pastoral land with a gradient lower than 12 degrees.

59 Medium slopes are classified as hill country pastoral land with a gradient between 12 degrees and 24 degrees.

60 Steep slopes are classified as hill country pastoral land with a gradient greater than 24 degrees.

For cattle, sheep and deer, the estimated N_{ex} values are separated into urine and dung components using the methodology outlined by Pacheco et al. (2018).

The inventory assumes that all dairy cattle graze on flatland, due to New Zealand farming practices, therefore, all dairy urinary N is allocated to $Nex_{URINE,FLAT}$.

Urinary N from beef cattle, sheep and deer is allocated to the different slope types, $Nex_{URINE,LOW}$, $Nex_{URINE,MED}$ and $Nex_{URINE,STEEP}$, however, there is zero land allocated to flatland, $Nex_{URINE,FLAT}$, due to New Zealand farming practices (flatland is used for dairy systems).

New EF_3 emission factors for excreta deposited by cattle, sheep and deer on sloped land

New EF_3 emission factors were incorporated in the 2020 inventory and are detailed in table 5.5.2 ($EF_{3(PRP\text{-}DUNG)}$) and table 5.5.3. These EF_3 emission factors used to calculate N_2O emissions from cattle (dairy and beef), sheep and deer are based on a meta-analysis undertaken by van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020) based on field studies undertaken in the past decade (de Klein et al., 2014; Hoogendoorn et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2013, 2016, 2019; and Saggar et al., 2015). The research collectively shows (see table 5.5.6):

- a statistically significant difference in urine emission factors between cattle and sheep
- that emissions from sheep, beef cattle and dairy cattle excreta deposited on low (between 0 degrees and 12 degrees), medium (between 12 degrees and 24 degrees) and steep (greater than 24 degrees) sloped land are significantly lower than corresponding emissions on land that is flat or of a low gradient.

Evidence and meta-analysis for new EF_3 emission factors for excreta deposited on sloped land

The meta-analysis (van der Weerden et al., 2020), built on a previous study by Kelliher et al. (2014), calculated new emission factors based on animal type, season and slope. This was based on an expanded data set of 1,217 replicate-level emission factors from 236 field experiments conducted over the past decade, largely measured using the same standardised experimental methods for N_2O (see table 5.5.7).

The meta-analysis included results of studies from dung and urine deposited onto flatland and steep sloped land published in scientific journals (Hoogendoorn et al., 2008; Ledgard et al., 2014; Luo et al., 2013; van der Weerden et al., 2011) and reported to MPI's inventory reporting team (Hoogendoorn et al., 2013; Luo et al., 2016, 2019). These data were compiled to contribute to existing data on emissions from dung and urine deposited on low and medium sloped land from the Kelliher et al. (2014) study.

Additional evidence conducted overseas supporting the use of emission factors that vary by land slope has been provided in a study in the United Kingdom by Marsden et al. (2018), who found that sheep EF_3 values are lower on upland and hill areas compared with intensively managed lowlands.

Table 5.5.6 Number of replicate-level EF_3 values collated by the van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020) analysis, for each nitrogen source and topography (number of individual trials shown in brackets)

Nitrogen source	Flatland (0–12°)	Low sloped land (0–12°)	Medium sloped land (12–24°)	Steep sloped land (>24°)	Total
Dairy cattle urine	341 (57)	108 (22)	20 (4)		469 (83)
Dairy cattle dung	84 (19)	46 (9)	20 (4)		150 (32)
Beef cattle urine	8 (1)	40 (8)	60 (12)	20 (4)	128 (25)
Beef cattle dung		76 (16)	60 (12)	20 (4)	156 (32)

Nitrogen source	Flatland (0–12°)	Low sloped land (0–12°)	Medium sloped land (12–24°)	Steep sloped land (>24°)	Total
Sheep urine	40 (7)	64 (12)	60 (12)	20 (4)	184 (35)
Sheep dung	54 (13)	36 (8)	20 (4)	20 (4)	130 (29)
Total urine	**389 (65)**	**212 (42)**	**140 (28)**	**40 (8)**	**781 (143)**
Total dung	**138 (32)**	**158 (33)**	**100 (20)**	**40 (8)**	**436 (93)**
Total excreta	**527 (97)**	**370 (75)**	**240 (48)**	**80 (16)**	**1,217 (236)**

Table 5.5.7 Number of replicate-level EF_3 values collated by the van der Weerden et al. (2019, 2020) analysis, for each nitrogen source, season that trial was undertaken and topography

Nitrogen source	Topography class	Autumn	Winter	Spring	Summer	Total
Dairy cattle urine	Flatland (0°)	128	105	88	12	333
	Low slope (0–12°)	34	34	28	20	116
	Medium slope (12–24°)		20			20
	Steep slope (>24°)					
Dairy cattle dung	Flatland (0°)	14	34	36		84
	Low slope (0–12°)		26		20	46
	Medium slope (12–24°)		20			20
	Steep slope (>24°)					
Beef cattle urine	Flatland (0°)		8			8
	Low slope (0–12°)		20		20	40
	Medium slope (12–24°)	10	30		20	60
	Steep slope (>24°)	10	10			20
Beef cattle dung	Flatland (0°)					
	Low slope (0–12°)	20	28	8	20	76
	Medium slope (12–24°)	10	30		20	60
	Steep slope (>24°)	10	10			20
Sheep urine	Flatland (0°)	8	8	20		36
	Low slope (0–12°)	24		44		68
	Medium slope (12–24°)	30	10	20		60
	Steep slope (>24°)	10	10			20
Sheep dung	Flatland (0°)	10	16	28		54
	Low slope (0–12°)	20	8	8		36
	Medium slope (12–24°)	10	10			20
	Steep slope (>24°)	10	10			20
Total urine		**254**	**255**	**200**	**72**	**781**
Total dung		**104**	**192**	**80**	**60**	**436**
Total excreta		**358**	**447**	**280**	**132**	**1,217**

The meta-analysis used arithmetic means to calculate new average EF_3 values (categorised by animal, slope and excreta type). Because the differences between some of these values were not statistically significant, it was recommended that some of the arithmetic means be pooled. This resulted in the dung EF_3 averages being combined into a single value (0.0012). The urine EF_3 values were pooled into four categories:

- cattle urine on flatland/low slopes (0.0098)
- cattle urine on medium/steep slopes (0.0033)
- sheep urine on flatland/low slopes (0.005)
- sheep urine on medium/steep slopes (0.0008).

The lower emission factors observed for urine on steeper slopes are thought to be due to these soils having lower soil fertility and moisture content compared with less steep slopes (Luo et al., 2013).

The new urine emission factor values for each livestock type by slope are lower than the current IPCC default EF_3 value, which is based on common international farming systems where, on average, farmed land is on less hilly terrain than common farm land in New Zealand. In addition to the large proportion of farmed hill country, New Zealand's climate and soil characteristics contribute to differences between international default emission factors and New Zealand's country-specific emission factors. When using these emission factors, the IEF for direct N_2O from dung and urine was 0.0054 in 2020. This value is comparable with that calculated for the United Kingdom (0.0037) and Australia (0.0040) in their respective inventory submissions in 2021.

Nitrous oxide emissions have not been measured for deer excreta, therefore, deer EF_3 values were calculated using average EF_3 values from cattle and sheep. Based on animal liveweight, deer excreta characteristics (in terms of total deposition volume and weight) are assumed to be between the excreta characteristics of cattle and sheep (van der Weerden et al., 2019, 2020).

To apply these emission factors, estimates on the amount of urine and dung deposited onto separate slopes are needed. A nutrient transfer model developed by Saggar et al. (2015) is used to allocate total excreta (N_{ex}, calculated using the methods described in section 5.3) by livestock type to the different slope categories. The nutrient transfer model uses data on the area of farm land for each slope type, and accounts for animal behaviour where livestock spend relatively more time on lower slopes, and so deposit more excreta on these lower slopes. For more information on this model, please refer to annex 3, section 3.1.3.

The use of these revised EF_3 emission factors and the nutrient transfer model was recommended by the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel in late 2019.

Direct nitrous oxide emission factors for minor livestock types

Minor livestock types, including swine, goats, horses, alpaca, mules, asses and poultry, make up a small proportion of total agricultural emissions. New Zealand will therefore continue to use the previous emission factor for minor livestock types ($EF_{3(PRP\text{-}MINOR)}$) of 0.01, which is the IPCC default. Research conducted in New Zealand has confirmed this value is appropriate for New Zealand's conditions (Carran et al., 1995; de Klein et al., 2003; Muller et al., 1995).

In 2020, direct N_2O emissions from *Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals* (pasture, range and paddock manure from all livestock categories) contributed 49.3 per cent (3,890.0 kt CO_2-e) of emissions from *Agricultural soils*, or 9.9 per cent of total agricultural emissions. This is an increase of 26.8 per cent since 1990. Emissions for each livestock category are given in table 5.5.8. Emissions from *Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals* were identified as a key category (level and trend assessment).

Table 5.5.8 Trends and relative contribution of direct nitrous oxide emissions from urine and dung deposited by grazing animals per livestock category between 1990 and 2020

	Emissions ($kt\ CO_2$-e)		Change from 1990–2020		Share of Agricultural soils category (%)		Share of total Agriculture sector (%)	
Livestock category	1990	2020	$kt\ CO_2$-e	%	1990	2020	1990	2020
Dairy cattle	1,163.7	2,413.3	1,249.5	107.4	22.0	30.6	3.4	6.1
Non-dairy *(beef)* cattle	785.1	813.4	28.3	3.6	14.8	10.3	2.3	2.1
Sheep	990.5	592.6	–397.9	–40.2	18.7	7.5	2.9	1.5
Deer	48.5	50.5	2.0	4.2	0.9	0.6	0.1	0.1
Minor livestock	80.8	20.1	–60.7	–75.1	1.5	0.3	0.2	0.1

Note: Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

Nitrous oxide from crop residue returned to soil (CRF 3.D.1.4)

This emissions category includes emissions from nitrogen added to soils by above-ground and below-ground crop residue (including residue left behind by crop burning), and the nitrogen added as a result of mineralisation of forages during pasture renewal. It includes both nitrogen-fixing and non-nitrogen-fixing crop species. Crop residues are materials left in an agricultural field after the crop has been harvested. Pasture renewal is the destruction of low-quality pasture followed by the sowing of improved pasture species and/or varieties. It is promoted as a method to increase farm productivity. The direct emissions from agricultural residue burning are reported in section 5.7.

New Zealand does not include an adjustment for crop residue removed for feed and bedding, which is assumed to be minor by Thomas et al. (2008) until such a time that appropriate activity data become available.

Nitrogen from crop residue: The non-nitrogen-fixing crops grown in New Zealand are barley, wheat, oats, potatoes, maize for seed, and other seed crops. For the 2012 submission onwards, New Zealand has reported emissions from additional cropping activity not previously estimated, such as onions, squash and sweetcorn (Thomas et al., 2011). The nitrogen-fixing crops grown in New Zealand include peas grown for both processing and seed markets as well as lentil production, and forage legume seeds grown for pasture production.

A country-specific methodology is used to calculate emissions from crop residue (Thomas et al., 2008):

$$N_2O_{FCR}\ emissions = \frac{44}{28}(N_2O - N)_{FCR} = \frac{44}{28}(AG_N + BG_N) \cdot EF_1$$

Where: AG_N and BG_N are the annual nitrogen residue returned to soils from above- and below-ground crop residue, and crop-specific values are given in annex 3, table A3.1.8, and the country-specific value of EF_1 of 0.01 is used (see table 5.5.2).

$$AG_N = AG_{DM} \cdot N_{AG}$$

$$BG_N = (AG_{DM} + Crop_T) \cdot R_{BG} \cdot N_{BG}$$

Where: AG_{DM} is the mass of the above-ground residue dry matter (explained in the equation below)

$Crop_T$ is the crop yield, or mass, removed during harvest

N_{AG} and N_{BG} are the above- and below-ground crop-specific nitrogen concentration factors, and

R_{BG} is the crop-specific root:shoot ratio of below-ground dry matter against the total above-ground crop biomass (crop gathered, $Crop_T$, plus above-ground residue dry matter, AG_{DM}), 0.1 (see annex 3, table A3.1.8).

$$AG_{DM} = \left(\frac{Crop_T}{HI}\right) - Crop_T \cdot Frac_{BURN} \cdot C_f$$

Where: HI is the crop-specific harvest index or fraction of the crop that is harvested (see annex 3, table A3.1.8)

$Frac_{BURN}$ is the fraction of residue burned in the field (see table 5.5.4), and

C_f is the combustion factor; a value of 0.7 is recommended (Thomas et al., 2008).

The country-specific value for $Frac_{BURN}$, the fraction of residue burned in the field, was derived from Stats NZ data and farmer surveys (Thomas et al., 2011). The parameters used to estimate the nitrogen added by above- and below-ground crop residues were compiled from published and unpublished reports for New Zealand-grown crops (Cichota et al., 2010) and 'typical' values derived for use in the OVERSEER® nutrient budget model for New Zealand. The OVERSEER® model provides average estimates of the fate of nitrogen for a range of pastoral, arable and horticultural systems (www.overseer.org.nz).

The per-year harvested tonnage of most non-nitrogen-fixing crops in New Zealand is supplied by Stats NZ from its Agricultural Production census and survey. Additional information on potatoes is provided by Potatoes New Zealand, and updated information on seed crops is provided by AsureQuality, which provides verification and certification services for the seed industry (Thomas, unpublished; S Thomas, pers. comm., 2014). The tonnage of nitrogen-fixing crops is supplied by Stats NZ from its Agricultural Production census and survey (lentils and legumes) and Horticulture New Zealand (peas) (S Thomas, pers. comm., 2014).

Nitrogen from pasture renewal: Of the four categories of perennial forage that the IPCC (2006) lists for pasture renewal, only two categories are appropriate for New Zealand (Thomas et al., 2014): these are grass–clover pastures and lucerne, a nitrogen-fixing perennial forage. New Zealand has calculated emissions from pasture renewal per plant species type, T, separately:

$$F_{CR-RENEW} = \sum_T \left[Crop_T \times Area_T \times Frac_{RENEW\,(T)} \times \left[R_{AG\,(T)} \times N_{AG\,(T)} \times \left(1 - Frac_{REMOVE\,(T)}\right) + R_{BG\,(T)} \times N_{BG\,(T)}\right]\right]$$

Where: $Area_T$ is the total annual area harvested (hectares per year). No burning is used for pasture renewal in New Zealand

$Frac_{RENEW(T)}$ is the fraction of the area under each crop that is renewed

$R_{AG(T)}$ is the ratio of above-ground residue dry matter (DM) to harvested yield (kg N/kg DM)

$N_{AG(T)}$ is the nitrogen content of above-ground residue (kg N/kg DM)

$Frac_{REMOVE\,(T)}$ is the fraction of above-ground residue removed annually for feed, assumed zero for New Zealand

$R_{BG(T)}$ is the ratio of below-ground residue DM to harvest yield (kg N/kg DM), and

$N_{BG(T)}$ is the nitrogen content of below-ground residue (kg N/kg DM).

The areas for each perennial forage crop were obtained from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production census and survey, which include the area of grassland and annual crops from 1990 to 2020. The disaggregation of grass–clover systems has been considered, but there is insufficient activity data for pastures of different compositions in New Zealand because the proportion of clover varies widely in high nitrogen input systems. This means that disaggregated data on the nitrogen content are not presently available.

The contribution of crop residues and pasture renewal to overall agricultural emissions is small, with 175.5 kt CO_2-e (0.5 per cent of total agricultural emissions) in 1990 and 258.6 kt CO_2-e (0.7 per cent of total agricultural emissions) in 2020.

Nitrogen mineralisation from loss of soil organic matter in mineral soils (CRF 3.D.1.5)

Nitrogen mineralisation is the process by which organic nitrogen is converted to plant-available inorganic forms. Nitrogen mineralisation occurs when soil carbon is lost due to land-use or management change. Most of New Zealand's emissions from nitrogen mineralised during the loss of soil organic matter are covered under the LULUCF sector. The exception is for activities under the *Cropland remaining cropland* land-use category, which are reported under the Agriculture sector (IPCC, 2006).

The following equations are used to determine emissions from this activity:

$$N_2O_{FSOM} = \frac{44}{28}(F_{SOM} \cdot EF_1) \cdot 10^{-6}$$

Where: N_2O_{FSOM} is the N_2O emitted as a result of nitrogen mineralisation from loss of soil organic matter in mineral soils (kt), and

F_{SOM} is the nitrogen mineralisation from loss of soil organic matter in mineral soils through land management for *Cropland remaining cropland* (kg).

The emission factor EF_1 is 0.01 (Kelliher and de Klein, unpublished).

And:

$$F_{SOM} = \frac{\Delta C_{Mineral,CrC}}{R} \cdot 10^3$$

Where: $\Delta C_{Mineral,CrC}$ is the loss of soil carbon (C) in mineral soil during management of cropland (kt), and

R is the C:N ratio; the IPCC (2006) default value of 10 is used.

Activity data on the soil carbon loss associated with cropland since 1990 were provided by calculations under the LULUCF sector (refer to chapter 6, section 6.5).

The contribution of nitrogen mineralisation from loss of soil organic matter to overall agricultural emissions is small, with 0.03 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and 0.12 kt CO_2-e in 2020.

Cultivation of organic soils (CRF 3.D.1.6)

The management of organic soils is a source of N_2O emissions. The area of managed organic soils (histosols) in New Zealand includes both the area of cultivated organic soils (as reported under the LULUCF sector) and the area of mineral agricultural soils with a peaty layer that is cultivated (Dresser et al., 2011). Mineral soils with a peaty layer are included in the definition of organic soils because these soils have similar emissions behaviour to that of organic soils (Dresser et al., 2011). The full definition used in the Agriculture sector for organic soils (plus mineral soils with a peaty layer) is:

- 17 per cent organic matter content (includes slightly peaty, peaty and peat soils of 17–30 per cent, 30–50 per cent and greater than 50 per cent organic matter content)

- 0.1 metres of this depth occurring within 0.3 metres of the surface.

The total area of managed and cultivated organic soils in New Zealand in 2020 was 178,203.5 hectares, with 94.1 per cent of this area on grassland and 5.9 per cent on cropland. It is assumed that all of this area is managed. The estimate of managed cultivated organic soils on cropland and grassland is consistent with data and methodology used for the LULUCF sector. The total area of managed cultivated organic soils has increased slightly, by 1.4 per cent (2,386.6 hectares) since 1990.

In 2021, the area used to calculate emissions from the cultivation of organic soils was changed to be more consistent with the wording in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (volume 4, chapter 11, page 11.16), and to match with the data used in chapter 6.

Emissions from organic soils are calculated using the Tier 1 methodology for all years of the time series by multiplying the area of cultivated organic soils (178,204 hectares in 2020) by the default value of emission factor EF_2 of 8 kg N_2O-N/ha (IPCC, 2006).

In 2020, direct N_2O emissions from *Cultivation of organic soils* contributed 8.5 per cent (667.6 kt CO_2-e) of emissions from *Agricultural soils*, or 1.7 per cent of total agricultural emissions). This is an increase of 1.4 per cent since 1990. Emissions from *Cultivation of organic soils* were identified as a key category (level and trend assessment).

Indirect nitrous oxide emissions from managed soils (CRF 3.D.2)

In addition to direct N_2O emissions from managed soils, emissions of N_2O also occur through two indirect pathways: volatilisation, and leaching and runoff.

Volatilisation (CRF 3.D.2.1)

Some of the nitrogen deposited or spread on agricultural land is emitted into the atmosphere through volatilisation in the form of NH_3 and NO_x. A fraction of this volatilised nitrogen returns to the ground during rainfall and is then re-emitted as N_2O. The fraction of nitrogen that becomes N_2O during this process is calculated using the parameters $Frac_{GASF}$ for synthetic nitrogen fertiliser and $Frac_{GASM}$ for organic inputs from animal excreta. New Zealand uses country-specific values for both of these parameters.

In New Zealand, nitrogen added to agricultural soils from synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (F_{SN}), organic nitrogen fertiliser from the spreading of managed manure (F_{ON}), and excreta from grazing livestock on pasture (F_{PRP}) all contribute to N_2O emissions from volatilisation. The collection of activity data for F_{SN}, F_{ON} and F_{PRP} is described above (see *Direct N_2O emissions from managed soils* (CRF 3.D.1)). Volatilisation from manure stored in manure management systems (before application to land) is reported in the *Manure management* category (see section 5.3.2).

New Zealand uses a Tier 1 methodology with country-specific emission factors for $Frac_{GASF}$ and $Frac_{GASM}$ and a default value for the EF_4 emission factor to calculate emissions from volatilisation:

$$N_2O_{ATD}\ emissions = \frac{44}{28}(N_2O_{ATD} - N) = \frac{44}{28}\left[(F_{SN} \cdot Frac_{GASF}) + \left((F_{ON} + F_{PRP}) \cdot Frac_{GASM}\right)\right] \cdot EF_4$$

Where: N_2O_{ATD}–N is the annual amount of N_2O-N produced by atmospheric deposition of volatilised nitrogen from agricultural soils (kg N_2O-N/year)

F_{SN}, F_{ON} and F_{PRP} are defined above (kg N/year)

$Frac_{GASF}$ is the fraction of nitrogen from synthetic fertiliser that volatilises as NH_3 and NO_x (see table 5.5.4)

$Frac_{GASM}$ is the fraction of nitrogen from manure spreading and pasture, range and paddock manure that volatilises as NH_3 and NO_x (see table 5.5.4), and

EF_4 is the emission factor for N_2O emissions from atmospheric deposition of nitrogen on soils and water (kg N_2O-N/kg N).

New Zealand has a country-specific value of 0.1 for $Frac_{GASF}$, the fraction of volatilised nitrogen from synthetic nitrogen fertiliser. This value is based on a review by Sherlock et al. (2008) of relevant New Zealand and international research. The review determined that a value of 0.096 for $Frac_{GASF}$ was suitable for New Zealand conditions. Because this value of 0.096 is almost identical to the IPCC default value of 0.1, the value of 0.1 was adopted by New Zealand as a country-specific value for $Frac_{GASF}$.

The review by Sherlock et al. (2008) also recommended a country-specific value of 0.1 for $Frac_{GASM}$, the fraction of volatilised nitrogen from manure spreading and pasture, range and paddock manure. The review showed that the default value of 0.2 for $Frac_{GASM}$ (IPCC, 2006) was too high for New Zealand conditions and that 0.1 was more appropriate. This value was also confirmed by subsequent field experiments (Laubach et al., 2012).

In 2020, N_2O emissions from volatilisation contributed 2.3 per cent (925.2 kt CO_2-e) to total agricultural emissions, an increase of 25.9 per cent from the 1990 value of 735.1 kt CO_2-e.

Leaching and runoff (CRF 3.D.2.2)

Nitrous oxide emissions from leaching and runoff originate from the following sources: synthetic nitrogen fertiliser (F_{SN}), organic nitrogen additions from the spreading of animal manure (F_{ON}), above- and below-ground crop residues (F_{CR}), nitrogen mineralisation associated with loss of soil organic matter from cropland land management (F_{SOM}) and excreta from grazing livestock on pasture, range and paddock (F_{PRP}) (IPCC, 2006). The collection of activity data for F_{SN}, F_{ON}, F_{CR}, F_{PRP} and F_{SOM} is described above (see *Direct N_2O emissions from managed soils* (CRF 3.D.1)).

New Zealand reports all emissions from leaching under the *Agricultural soils* category. As discussed under *Manure management* (see section 5.3.2), New Zealand livestock are predominantly grazed outdoors (see table 5.3.2). New Zealand uses a Tier 1 methodology with country-specific default parameters to calculate indirect N_2O emissions from nitrogen leaching. The general equation is:

$$N_2O_L\ emissions = \frac{44}{28}(N_2O_L - N)$$

The following are specific equations used to calculate indirect N_2O emissions from nitrogen leaching for cropping systems and grassland:

$$N_2O_L\ emissions\,(cropping\,systems) = \frac{44}{28}\cdot(F_{CR} + F_{SOM})\cdot Frac_{LEACH-H(CROPPING)}\cdot EF_5$$

$$N_2O_L\ emissions\ (grassland) = \frac{44}{28}\cdot(F_{CR} + F_{SN} + F_{ON} + F_{PRP})\cdot Frac_{LEACH-H(GRASSLAND)}\cdot EF_5$$

Where: N_2O_L–N is the annual amount of N_2O-N from runoff and leaching from agricultural soils (kg N_2O-N/year)

F_{SN}, F_{ON}, F_{PRP}, F_{CR} and F_{SOM} are defined above (kg N/year)

$Frac_{LEACH-H(CROPPING)}$ is the fraction of nitrogen added to, or mineralised from, cropping systems that is lost from soil through leaching and runoff (see table 5.5.4)

$Frac_{LEACH-H(GRASSLAND)}$ is the fraction of nitrogen added to, or mineralised from, grassland that is lost from soil through leaching and runoff (see table 5.5.4), and

EF_5 is the IPCC (2006) default factor for N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff.

New Zealand uses differing $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameters that are dependent on the type of agricultural system the nitrogen has been applied to. These values were derived using measured values, as well as modelled values from OVERSEER®, a New Zealand-specific nutrient budgeting model. The $Frac_{LEACH}$ is 0.10 (Welten et al., 2021) for nitrogen applied to cropland, and 0.07 for grassland (Thomas et al., 2005).

The OVERSEER® model provides average estimates of the fate of nitrogen for a range of pastoral, arable and horticultural systems. In pastoral systems, nitrate (NO_3) leaching is determined by rainfall, soil type and the amount of nitrogen entering the farm system (from nitrogen-based fertilisers, dung and urine applied as dairy farm effluent or directly excreted by grazing animals). Dung and urine output from animals is calculated from the difference between nitrogen intake by grazing animals and nitrogen retained in animal products, such as milk, meat, wool and velvet. This is based on user inputs of stocking rates or production and an internal database with information on the nitrogen content of pasture and animal products, and is calibrated against empirical field measurements. In cropping systems, two years (previous and reporting) of monthly crop and management data is required for modelling NO_3 leaching in OVERSEER®, including nitrogen applied as fertiliser and effluent, as well as factors influencing or indicating the water content of soil, such as temperature, irrigation, rainfall, drainage and field capacity.

In 2020, N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff made up 1.3 per cent (516.9 kt CO_2-e) of total agricultural emissions, an increase of 30.4 per cent from the 1990 value of 396.5 kt CO_2-e.

Incorporation of nitrous oxide mitigation technologies into the Agriculture inventory

Urease inhibitors

The N_2O emissions reported in the *Agricultural soils* category take into account the use of urease inhibitors, a greenhouse gas mitigation technology. Urea is the main type of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser applied to pastures. Urease inhibitors restrict the action of the urease enzyme. Urease is a catalyst for the volatilisation of the nitrogen contained in urea fertiliser and urine into NH_3 gas.

Urease inhibitor mitigation is included in New Zealand's Agriculture inventory by adjusting the value of the existing country-specific N_2O parameter: $Frac_{GASF}$. Saggar et al. (2013) assessed the mitigating effect of the urease inhibitor nBTPT (sold as 'Agrotain'), the most widely used product. Saggar et al. (2013) showed that the presently recommended country-specific value of $Frac_{GASF}$ of 0.1 should be reduced to 0.055 for urease treated urea fertiliser. This finding was based on field and laboratory studies conducted both in New Zealand and worldwide.

Indirect N_2O emissions from volatilisation from all synthetic nitrogen fertilisers (including urea and other nitrogen fertilisers, with and without urease inhibitors applied to the urea component) are calculated as shown below:

$$N_2O_{ATD-FSN}\ emissions = \frac{44}{28}(N_2O_{ATD-FSN} - N) = \frac{44}{28}\sum_{S}[F_{SN} \cdot Frac_{GASF}] \cdot EF_4$$

Where: $N_2O_{ATD\text{-}FSN}$–N is the annual amount of N_2O-N produced by atmospheric deposition of volatilised nitrogen from all synthetic nitrogen fertiliser applied to agricultural soils (kg N_2O-N/year)

S is urea fertiliser (untreated), urea fertiliser (treated) or non-urea nitrogen fertiliser

F_{SN} is the total annual amount of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser applied (kg N/year) per fertiliser type, S

$Frac_{GASF}$ is the fraction of nitrogen from synthetic nitrogen fertiliser that volatilises as NH_3 and NO_x; 0.055 for treated urea fertiliser and 0.1 for untreated urea and other nitrogen fertiliser, and

EF_4 is the emission factor for N_2O emissions from atmospheric deposition of nitrogen on soils and water; 0.01 per cent.

All other emission factors and parameters relating to animal excreta and synthetic nitrogen fertiliser use ($Frac_{GASM}$, $Frac_{LEACH}$ and EF_1) do not change as a result of including urease inhibitors in the calculations. An adjustment for $Frac_{GASM}$ was not recommended because the effect of urease inhibitors on reducing NH_3 volatilisation from animal dung and urine could not be accurately assessed (Saggar et al., 2013).

Urea fertiliser coated with urease inhibitors was first used commercially in New Zealand in 2001. Activity data on urease inhibitor usage are provided by the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand from sales records.[61] These activity data record the total amount of nitrogen in urea fertiliser that has been treated with a urease inhibitor. Some urea fertiliser coated with urease inhibitors is also blended into other non-nitrogen fertiliser products.

Estimates of the mitigation impact of urease inhibitors on N_2O emissions from volatilisation for the calendar years 2001 to 2020 are shown in table 5.5.9. In 2014, 2016 and 2019, large increases occurred in the use of urease inhibitors.

Table 5.5.9 Mitigation impact of urease inhibitors on nitrous oxide emissions from volatilisation, from 2001 to 2020

Year	Percentage of urea fertiliser applied that included urease inhibitor (urea treated/total urea)	Estimated greenhouse gas mitigation from using urease inhibitor (kt CO_2-e)
2001	5.6	2.2
2002	3.8	1.9
2003	4.6	2.6
2004	8.1	4.8
2005	1.6	1.0
2006	8.4	4.7
2007	5.0	3.0
2008	5.2	3.0
2009	9.4	4.7
2010	6.9	4.1
2011	5.3	3.5
2012	7.0	4.6
2013	8.6	5.9
2014	20.2	13.6
2015	16.2	13.1
2016	26.5	20.1
2017	27.8	21.6

[61] Activity data on urease inhibitor usage before 2016 were provided by Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited.

Year	Percentage of urea fertiliser applied that included urease inhibitor (urea treated/total urea)	Estimated greenhouse gas mitigation from using urease inhibitor (kt CO_2-e)
2018	29.9	24.0
2019	35.5	26.8
2020	41.8	29.9

Source: Fertiliser Association of New Zealand and Ballance Agri-Nutrients Limited

Nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide

A methodology has been developed to incorporate the nitrification inhibitor DCD, an N_2O mitigation technology, into the inventory. The N_2O emissions reported in the *Agricultural soils* category take into account the use of nitrification inhibitors on dairy farms using the methodology described in Clough et al. (2008). Greenhouse gas mitigation estimates from DCD are reported in the inventory only up until 2012, because it was no longer used after this time. Sales were suspended due to the detection of low levels of DCD residues in milk.

Research has shown that DCD reduces N_2O emissions and nitrate (NO_3–) leaching in pastoral grassland systems grazed by ruminant animals. The inventory methodology incorporates DCD use by modifying the emission factors $EF_{3(PRP)}$ and the parameter $Frac_{LEACH}$ (see table 5.5.5). These were modified based on comprehensive field-based research that showed significant reductions in direct and indirect N_2O emissions and NO_3– leaching where the DCD was applied. It was determined that, on a national basis, reductions in $EF_{3(PRP)}$ and $Frac_{LEACH}$ of 67 per cent and 53 per cent respectively could be made (Clough et al., 2008).

Limited research has been done into the effect of DCD on dung ($EF_{3(PRP\text{-}DUNG)}$); however, this research was inconclusive and further work needs to be carried out before incorporating the impact on dung emissions into the inventory. Application of this inhibitor was found to have no effect on NH_3 volatilisation, which is supported by the results of field studies (Clough et al., 2008; Sherlock et al., 2008). Therefore, the parameter for volatilisation remains unchanged.

The DCD weighting factors are calculated based on reductions in emission factors and parameters, and the fraction of dairy land treated with the inhibitor, as follows:

$$DCD\ weighting\ factor = \left(1 - \frac{\%\ reduction\ in\ EF_x}{100} \cdot \frac{DCD\ area\ treated}{Total\ area\ of\ dairy}\right)$$

The appropriate weighting factor is then used as an additional multiplier in the current methodology for calculating indirect and direct emissions of N_2O from grazed pastures. The calculations use a modified $EF_{3(PRP)}$ of 0.0097 and $Frac_{LEACH}$ of 0.0696 for the dairy grazing area in the months that the inhibitor is applied (May to September). The modified emission factors (see table 5.5.10) are based on information from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production survey that 2.9 per cent of the effective dairying area in New Zealand received DCD in 2012.

Activity data on livestock numbers come from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production survey. The inhibitor is applied to pastures based on recommended 'good management practice' to maximise N_2O emission reductions. This is an application rate of 10 kilograms per hectare, applied twice per year in autumn (March to May) and early spring (September) within seven days of the application of animal excreta. 'Good practice' application methods of DCD can be by slurry or DCD-coated granule.

Mitigation estimates for the calendar years 2007 to 2012 are shown in table 5.5.10.

Table 5.5.10 Emission factors, parameters and mitigation for New Zealand's dicyandiamide inhibitor calculations from 2007 to 2012

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Percentage of dairy area applied with inhibitor	3.5	4.5	3.1	2.2	3.0	2.9
Final modified emission factor or parameter for dairy cattle, $EF_{3(PRP)}$ (kg N_2O-N/kg N)	0.00971	0.00968	0.00972	0.00974	0.00972	0.00972
Final modified emission factor or parameter for dairy cattle, $Frac_{LEACH}$ (kg N_2O-N/kg N)	0.0695	0.0693	0.0695	0.0697	0.0696	0.0696
Mitigation (kt CO_2-e)	18.0	24.4	17.6	13.1	18.6	18.6

Note: $EF_{3(PRP)}$ = 0.0098 and $FRAC_{LEACH}$ = 0.10 for cropland and 0.07 for grassland when inhibitor is not applied. All other emission factors and parameters relating to animal excreta and fertiliser use ($Frac_{GASM}$, $Frac_{GASF}$, EF_4 and EF_5) remain unchanged when the inhibitor is used as a nitrous oxide mitigation technology.

5.5.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

To ensure consistency in the calculations involving animal manure, a single enhanced livestock population characterisation and feed-intake estimate is produced by the Tier 2 model for the major livestock categories. This is used in different parts of the calculations for the inventory to estimate: CH_4 emissions for the *Enteric fermentation* category, CH_4 and N_2O emissions for the *Manure management* category and N_2O emissions for the *Urine and dung deposited by grazing animals (pasture, range and paddock manure)* category.

Uncertainties in N_2O emissions from *Agricultural soils* are calculated using an analytical method developed by Kelliher et al. (2017). This method estimated the uncertainty of the *Agricultural soils* category to be ±55.3 per cent for 2020.

The benefit of using the analytical method is that it can be updated annually by the Agriculture sector inventory compilers. Kelliher et al. (2017) also compared the analytical method with the Monte Carlo method used for previous years and found that both produced similar results.

Uncertainties were assessed for the 1990, 2002 and 2012 inventories using the Monte Carlo method. For the 1990 and 2002 inventories, the uncertainties were assessed using a Monte Carlo simulation of 5,000 scenarios with the @RISK software (Kelliher et al., unpublished) (see table 5.5.11). For the 2012 inventory, the uncertainty in the annual estimate was calculated using the 95 per cent confidence interval determined from the 2002 Monte Carlo simulation as a percentage of the mean value (i.e., in 2002, the uncertainty in annual emissions was +74 per cent and –42 per cent).

Table 5.5.11 New Zealand's uncertainties in nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from *Agricultural soils* for 1990, 2002, 2012 and 2016 estimated using Monte Carlo simulation (1990, 2002), the 95 per cent confidence interval (2012) and the analytical method (2020)

Year	N_2O emissions from Agricultural soils (kt/annum)	95% confidence interval minimum (kt/annum)	95% confidence interval maximum (kt/annum)
1990	25.3	14.7	44.0
2002	32.2	18.7	56.0
2012	33.4	19.3	58.0
2020	26.5	19.0	33.9

Note: The N_2O emissions listed in this table for each year were calculated based on the reporting rules and methodologies used at that time.

The overall inventory uncertainty analysis shown in annex 2 demonstrates that the uncertainty in annual emissions from *Agricultural soils* is a major contributor to uncertainty in New Zealand's total greenhouse gas emissions. The uncertainty between years was assumed to be correlated, and therefore the uncertainty is mostly associated with the emission factors. The uncertainty associated with the trend is much lower than the uncertainty for an annual estimate.

The uncertainty in emissions from *Agricultural soils* is largely due to the parameter $EF_{3(PRP)}$ and emissions from urine and dung deposited by grazing animals. This uncertainty reflects natural variance in EF_3 due to weather, climate and soil type (Kelliher et al., unpublished).

5.5.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In preparation for this inventory submission, the data underwent Tier 1 and Tier 2 quality checks.

Verification of activity data

Research has been carried out to verify the activity data for crops. In 2008 and 2011, MPI commissioned reports investigating N_2O emission factors and activity data for crops (Thomas et al., 2008, 2011). The reports compared activity data from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production survey with the Foundation for Arable Research production database. Data for wheat and maize between the two data sources were very similar, although differences were evident for some of the other crops.

The accuracy of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser data has also been assessed by comparing fertiliser sales data received from the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand with data collected from the Agricultural Production survey.

The Fertiliser Association sales data are used rather than the Agricultural Production survey data because the sales data are considered to be more accurate. Around 98 per cent of New Zealand synthetic nitrogen fertiliser is sold by two large companies that provide sales data to the Fertiliser Association. The Fertiliser Association provides an estimate of the additional synthetic nitrogen fertiliser sold by other companies (2 per cent). In contrast, the Agricultural Production survey data are collected from a sampling frame of around 49,300 individual farms. There are a large number of differently named fertilisers, and the survey respondents often have difficulty filling in the fertiliser component in the annual questionnaire. Some farmers use contract fertiliser spreading companies (including aerial spreading) and may not have an accurate estimate of the tonnes of fertiliser applied. The Agricultural Production census and survey data verified the long-term trend of the increasing use of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser.

Comparison of New Zealand emission factors and parameters with IPCC default values

Table 5.5.12 compares New Zealand's IEFs for EF_1 (synthetic nitrogen fertiliser) and $EF_{3(PRP)}$ (urine and dung deposited by grazing animals) with the 2006 IPCC default values and emission factors used by Australia. The New Zealand EF_1 value is lower than the IPCC default due to the use of a country-specific emission factor for urea fertiliser and the incorporation of effect of urease inhibitors. For EF_3, the New Zealand value is also lower than the IPCC default. This reflects research that has developed country-specific emission factors for dung and urine from trials summarised by van der Weerden et al. (2019) (see section 5.5.2).

Table 5.5.12 Comparison of New Zealand's implied emission factors (IEFs) for EF_1 (synthetic nitrogen fertiliser) and $EF_{3(PRP)}$ (pasture, range and paddock manure) with the IPCC default and the Australian-specific value

	EF_1 (kg N_2O-N/kg N)	EF_3 (kg N_2O-N/kg N excreted)
IPCC (2006) developed temperate climate/Oceania default value	0.01	0.02 (cattle, poultry and pigs) 0.01 (sheep and other animals)
Australian-specific IEF 2019 value	0.0035	0.0040
New Zealand-specific IEF 2020 value	0.0070	0.0054

Source: UNFCCC (https://unfccc.int/documents/65705)

Table 5.5.13 compares the New Zealand-specific values $Frac_{GASF}$, $Frac_{GASM}$ and $Frac_{LEACH\text{-}H}$ with the 2006 IPCC default and fractions used by Australia. New Zealand has taken a country-specific value for $Frac_{GASF}$ of 0.1, and it is the same as the 2006 IPCC default and almost identical to that of Australia (0.11). Research showed that the 0.1 value was appropriate for New Zealand conditions (Sherlock et al., 2008).

This research also showed that the previously used 2006 IPCC default value of 0.2 for $Frac_{GASM}$ was too high and a lower value of 0.1 was adopted after an extensive review of scientific literature (Sherlock et al., 2008), which was also confirmed by subsequent field experiments (Laubach et al., 2012). The reduction in $Frac_{GASM}$ is due to the proportion of the different sources that make up this value. In New Zealand, over 95 per cent of animal excreta is deposited onto pasture and only a small proportion is managed. In contrast, the 2006 IPCC default value was calculated based on systems where a much higher percentage of manure management and storage is normal. Manure management and storage results in a much higher proportion of nitrogen being volatilised and, hence, the higher $Frac_{GASM}$ for the default value compared with the country-specific New Zealand value.

New Zealand also has much lower values of $Frac_{LEACH\text{-}H}$. Research suggests that New Zealand applies a much lower rate of nitrogen fertiliser at each application than what was assumed when the IPCC default value was developed (Thomas et al., unpublished, 2005). When the OVERSEER® nutrient budget model (Wheeler et al., 2003) took this lower rate into account, the rate of leaching was much lower than when compared with farms with a high nitrogen fertiliser, which can be typical in other developed countries.

Table 5.5.13 Comparison of New Zealand's country-specific factors for volatilisation ($Frac_{GASF}$, $Frac_{GASM}$) and leaching and runoff ($Frac_{LEACH\text{-}(H)}$) with the IPCC default value and the Australian implied emission factor (IEF)

	$Frac_{GASF}$ (kg NH_3-N and NO_x-N/kg of N input)	$Frac_{GASM}$ (kg NH_3-N and NO_x-N/kg of N excreted)	$Frac_{LEACH\text{-}(H)}$ (kg N/kg fertiliser or manure N)
IPCC (2006) default value	0.1	0.2	0.3
Australian-specific 2019 value	0.11	0.21	0.24
New Zealand-specific IEF 2020 value	0.1	0.1	0.10 (Cropland) 0.07 (Grassland)

Source: UNFCCC (https://unfccc.int/documents/195780)

5.5.5 Source-specific recalculations

Emissions estimates in the *Agricultural soils* category reported in 2022 have been affected by a methodological improvement to the $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter used to calculate indirect N_2O emissions from nitrogen applied to agricultural soils.

Disaggregation of the $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter

In the 2022 inventory, the $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter has been disaggregated into two values: 0.10 for cropping systems and 0.07 for grassland. The value of 0.10 for cropping systems was derived by Welten et al. (2021), using measured and modelled values from OVERSEER® to estimate nitrogen leaching for a variety of arable and vegetable cropping systems. Variables in their analysis included cropping rotation sequences, regions, soil types and rainfall. This investigation produced a $Frac_{LEACH}$ value more representative of the range of cropping systems in New Zealand than the value of 0.07 that has been applied across all agricultural systems in previous inventory submissions. This improvement was recommended by the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel, which discussed the proposed change in November 2021.

Welten et al. (2021) also recommended the adoption of a $Frac_{LEACH}$ value of 0.08 for grassland, which was agreed to in principle by the Panel, subject to further investigation as to whether the pasture nutrition data in OVERSEER® is representative of pasture nutrition data in the Agriculture inventory. Because these investigations were ongoing at the time of writing the National Inventory Report, the $Frac_{LEACH}$ value of 0.07 for grazing systems has been retained for the 2022 inventory submission.

Previously, a uniform $Frac_{LEACH}$ value of 0.07 was used for all nitrogen applied to agricultural soil in New Zealand. This value was sourced from Thomas et al. (2005), who compared nitrogen leaching estimates for different farm systems based on IPCC methodology (a value of 0.30 kg N/kg of fertiliser or manure) with estimates from OVERSEER® (Wheeler et al., 2003). The IPCC-based estimates were found, on average, to be 50 per cent higher than those estimated using the OVERSEER® nutrient budget model (using a $Frac_{LEACH}$ value of 0.15[62]). However, the investigation by Welten et al. (2021) in 2021 found that a $Frac_{LEACH}$ of 0.07 was not representative of all agricultural system types, resulting in the disaggregation of the $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter for the 2022 inventory submission.

The implementation of this improvement caused estimated emissions from *Agricultural soils* to increase by 0.025 per cent in 1990 and 0.023 per cent in 2020 (see table 5.5.14).

Table 5.5.14 Comparison of current and previous emissions estimates before and after change to the $Frac_{LEACH}$ value used in the Agriculture inventory

Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		1990	2020	Change in emission outputs between 1990 and 2020 (kt CO_2-e)	Percentage change in emission outputs between 1990 and 2020
Total emissions from Agricultural soils (kt CO_2-e)	2022 (1990–2020) emissions estimate using previous $Frac_{LEACH}$ values	5,299.6	7,881.0	2,581.4	48.7
	2022 (1990–2020) emissions estimate using new $Frac_{LEACH}$ values	5,300.9	7,882.8	2,581.9	48.7
	Absolute difference in emission estimates compared with current inventory	1.3	1.8	0.5	
	Percentage difference in emission estimates	0.025%	0.023%		

5.5.6 Source-specific planned improvements

New Zealand is carrying out ongoing research to improve estimates of N_2O emissions from the *Agricultural soils* category. The projects described below outline research focused specifically on the *Agricultural soils* component of the Agriculture inventory, although

62 A New Zealand parameter for $Frac_{LEACH}$ of 0.15 was used in inventories submitted before 2003.

several cross-cutting, broader projects will improve the accuracy of emissions in multiple categories (including *Enteric fermentation*, *Manure management* and *Agricultural soils*) that are described in section 5.1.7.

Estimation of nitrous oxide emission factors for dairy urine using soil data and soil moisture content

This is a proof-of-concept study aiming to incorporate an N_2O emission factor regression model for dairy urine into a soil water balance model being developed by the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research. Using modelled soil volumetric water content, in association with soil bulk density, soil clay content and soil organic carbon content, dairy urine EF_3 values at a 5 km × 5 km resolution will be estimated on a daily time-step. The results of the estimated EF_3 values will then be validated against other N_2O emission factor research.

The emission factor estimates will be restricted to areas of dairy pasture where most field measurements underpinning the regression model have been made and gridded S-map physical soil properties of soil bulk density, soil clay content and soil organic carbon content are available to feed the regression model.

Review and update of the nutrient transfer model

This project, now completed, aimed to review and improve the nutrient transfer model, which is used in the Agriculture inventory to calculate the amount of N_{ex} deposited on different slope categories across the landscape for the major ruminant classes in New Zealand (dairy and beef cattle, sheep and deer). The outputs from the nutrient transfer model are needed to calculate N_2O emissions from these livestock categories and slopes. Upon completion of this project, it was determined that the evidence was insufficient to indicate that the nutrient transfer model needed to be changed.

Nitrous oxide emissions from nitrogen fertilisers

This project will investigate whether more disaggregated emission factors for different types of nitrogen fertilisers are needed. The Agriculture inventory estimates direct N_2O emissions from the application of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser, and currently has separate emission factor (EF_1) values for urea and non-urea fertiliser. Field trials are under way to determine the difference in EF_1 values for different types of non-urea nitrogen fertilisers.

Temporal and spatial trends in dairying on slopes across New Zealand

New Zealand's N_2O emission factors for urine (EF_3) are disaggregated by hill slope (as well as livestock type). These hill slope emission factors are combined with activity data on the estimated slope class within each typology to estimate N_2O emissions for sheep, beef cattle and deer.

Hill slope EF_3 values could also be applied to dairy cattle. However, due to a lack of activity data, all dairy cattle are currently assumed to be on flatland. This project aims to provide maps and regional summaries describing the distribution of dairy cattle across slope classes, which will be used to improve the accuracy of N_2O emissions from dairy cattle.

Improving organic soil emissions accounting

The current emission factor for N_2O emissions from cultivated organic soils (EF_2) used in the Agriculture inventory was derived by the IPCC for deeply drained nutrient-rich organic soils. Studies in New Zealand have shown that this value is too high to be representative of cultivated organic soils across the country, which are often nutrient-poor. In addition, several information gaps have been identified within data on cultivated organic soils; accurate data on their area across New Zealand and their characteristics are limited, such as nutrient status, land use and drainage depth.

The aims of this project are to 1) compile accurate data on the area, land use, nutrient status and potentially drainage depth of cultivated organic soils in New Zealand, and 2) provide a pathway towards the development of country-specific emission factors for CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O across different land uses and organic soil characteristics. The results of this work should allow New Zealand to incorporate the guidelines in the 2013 Wetlands Supplement (IPCC, 2014).

Quantifying nitrous oxide emissions from eutrophic lakes

Eutrophic lakes are linked to nitrogen and/or phosphorous pollution from agriculture runoffs and wastewater discharge, with recent research showing much higher N_2O emissions from eutrophic lakes than was previously calculated based on N_2O production by bacteria. It is predicted that microalgae are responsible for this unexpected result. This project will aim to quantify N_2O emissions from a eutrophic lake due to microalgal activity to determine whether this is a significant source of emissions on a national scale. If this research demonstrates that there are significant N_2O emissions from eutrophic lakes, further research will be explored.

5.6 Prescribed burning of savanna (CRF 3.E)

5.6.1 Description

Prescribed burning of savanna is reported under the LULUCF sector from the 2016 submission onwards.

5.7 Field burning of agricultural residues (CRF 3.F)

5.7.1 Description

Field burning of agricultural residues contributed an estimated 24.1 kt CO_2-e, this accounts for 0.06 per cent of Agriculture sector emissions in 2020. Emissions from *Field burning of agricultural residues* decreased 12.0 per cent (3.3 kt CO_2-e) between 1990 and 2020.

New Zealand reports emissions from burning barley, wheat and oats residue in this category. Maize, legume and other crop residues are not usually burned in New Zealand.

The area of burning of residues varies between years due to climatic conditions, fire risk restrictions and the amount of residue removed before burning straw (Thomas et al., 2011). Burning of crop residues is not considered to be a net source of CO_2, because the CO_2 released into the atmosphere was absorbed by those crops earlier in the year. However, burning is a source of emissions of CH_4, carbon monoxide (CO), N_2O and NO_x (IPCC, 2006).

Burning of agricultural residues was not identified as a key category in 2020.

5.7.2 Methodological issues

The emissions from burning agricultural residues are estimated using country-specific methodology and parameters (Thomas et al., 2008, 2011). A modification of the IPCC (1996) methodology considers differences in the available crop activity data between 1990 and 2004 and 2005 and 2016.

Following the IPCC (1996) methodology, CH_4, CO, N_2O and NO_x emissions are calculated from the carbon and nitrogen released from the burned live and dead biomass residue using the ratios in table 5.7.1; the nitrogen released is derived from the carbon released using a carbon-to-nitrogen ratio.

Table 5.7.1 Emission ratios for agricultural residue burning

Gas	Emission ratio (Revised IPCC 1996 Guidelines)	Conversion ratio from carbon or nitrogen to specified greenhouse gas (Revised IPCC 1996 Guidelines)
CH_4	0.005	16/12
CO	0.06	28/12
N_2O	0.007	44/28
NO_x	0.121	46/14

The total emissions (CH_4, CO, N_2O and NO_x) are calculated:

$$Emissions_{BURN} = AG_{BURN} \cdot Frac_{OX} \cdot ER \cdot GCR$$

Where: AG_{BURN} is the above-ground biomass burned (kt)

$Frac_{OX}$ is the fraction oxidised (see table 5.7.2)

ER is the gas-specific emission ratio, and

GCR is the gas-conversion ratio (see table 5.7.1).

The calculation for AG_{BURN} is different for 1990 to 2004 and 2005 to 2019, to account for changes in the availability of activity data over these periods. Stats NZ did not collect data on crop residue burning before 2005. Therefore, from 1990 to 2004, calculation of the amount of biomass residue burned (AG_{BURN}) was based on the total mass of crop production (from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production census and survey) and assumed fractions burned for each crop, where:

$$AG_{BURN} = AG_{DM} \cdot Frac_{AREA-BURN} \cdot Frac_{RESIDUE} \cdot Frac_{BURN} \cdot 10^{-}$$

Where: AG_{DM} is the above-ground residue (defined below)

$Frac_{AREA-BURN}$ is the proportion of crop area burned of the total production area (discussed further below)

$Frac_{RESIDUE}$ is the proportion of residue remaining after harvest (see table 5.7.2), and

$Frac_{BURN}$ is the proportion of remaining residue burned (see table 5.7.2).

The above-ground residue, AG_{DM} (tonnes), is:

$$AG_{DM} = \frac{Prod_{DM}}{HI} - Prod_{DM}$$

Where: HI is the harvest index (crop-specific, table 5.7.2), that is, the mass harvested over the total mass of above-ground biomass.

The dry matter, $Prod_{DM}$ (tonnes), available to be burned is:

$$Prod_{DM} = Crop_{PROD} \cdot Frac_{DM}$$

Where: $Crop_{PROD}$ is the annual crop production (tonnes) (Stats NZ Agricultural Production census and survey), and

$Frac_{DM}$ is the fraction of crop that is dry matter (crop specific, table 5.7.2).

Table 5.7.2 Values used to calculate New Zealand emissions from burning of agricultural residues

	Barley	Wheat	Oats
Fraction oxidised	0.9	0.9	0.9
Residue remaining in field	1	1	1
Fraction of residue actually burned	0.7	0.7	0.7
Harvest index	0.46	0.41	0.30
Dry-matter fraction	0.86	0.86	0.86
Fraction of nitrogen in biomass	0.005	0.005	0.005
Fraction of carbon in biomass	0.4567	0.4853	0.4567

Source: Thomas et al. (2011)

From 2005 to 2020, calculation of the amount of biomass residue burned was based on information about the area of crop residue burning from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production census and surveys. These are the first New Zealand-wide data for the area of crop residues burned.

Biomass burned after 2004, AG_{BURN} (as previously defined), is:

$$AG_{BURN} = AG_{DM} \cdot Frac_{RESIDUE} \cdot Frac_{BURN} \cdot 10^{-3}$$

Where: AG_{DM} is the amount of above-ground residue (tonnes)

$Frac_{RESIDUE}$ is the proportion of residue remaining after harvest (see table 5.7.2), and

$Frac_{BURN}$ is the proportion of remaining residue burned.

The above-ground residue, AG_{DM} (tonnes), is:

$$AG_{DM} = \frac{Prod_{DM}}{HI} - Prod_{DM}$$

Where: HI is the harvest index (crop specific, table 5.7.2); that is, the mass harvested over the total mass of above-ground biomass, and

$Prod_{DM}$ (measured in tonnes) is the dry-matter production of the area burned and is determined as follows:

$$Prod_{DM} = Area_{BURN} \cdot Y \cdot Frac_{DM}$$

Where: $Area_{BURN}$ is the annual area burned (hectare)

Y is the average crop yield (tonnes per hectare), and

$Frac_{DM}$ is the fraction of crop that is dry matter (crop specific, table 5.7.2).

The country-specific parameters for the proportion of residue actually burned, harvest indices, dry-matter fractions, the fraction oxidised and the carbon and nitrogen fractions of the residue (see table 5.7.2) are derived from the OVERSEER® nutrient budget model for New Zealand (Wheeler et al., 2003) and are the same as those used for estimates of emissions from crop residues (see section 5.5.2). Further detail is provided in Thomas et al. (2011).

The recommended proportion of crop area burned for 1990 to 2004 was determined by a farmer survey and assumed to be 70 per cent of wheat, 50 per cent of barley and 50 per cent of oat crops (Thomas et al., 2011). These values are in alignment with Stats NZ data for 2005 to 2007 (2005 being the first year Stats NZ gathered these data) and are, therefore, applied to the years 1990 to 2004. From 2005, data on the total area of crop residues burned in New Zealand were collected but, while the data show total residue burned at a regional and national level, they do not differentiate between cereal crop types.

For 2005 onwards, the same proportions of crop area burned for wheat (70 per cent), barley (50 per cent) and oats (50 per cent) were used. However, these areas were then multiplied by a constant factor *K* such that the total area burned is consistent with the Agricultural Production survey. This captures year-to-year variability, such as reduced burning during very dry and very wet years.

$$K = \frac{Total\ Area\ Burnt}{0.7 \times Area\ Burnt_{Wheat} + 0.5 \times Area\ Burnt_{Barley} + 0.5 \times Area\ Burnt_{Oats}}$$

Expert opinion suggests that, if crop residue is to be burned, there is generally no prior removal for feed and bedding. Therefore, 100 per cent of residue is left for burning after the harvested proportion has been removed (i.e., $Frac_{REMOVE}$ is assumed to be zero; Thomas et al., 2011). This is consistent with section 5.5.2.

5.7.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The largest contributor to uncertainty in the estimated emissions is considered to be the fraction of agricultural residue burned in the field. Expert opinion for the fraction of crops burned in fields between 1990 and 2004 was taken from farmer surveys in the Canterbury area, where 80 per cent of cereal production occurs. Between 2005 and 2009, an average of 86 per cent of total residue burning occurred in Canterbury. Estimates of crop burning for 2020 were 40.6 per cent (calculated as a percentage of total crop area) and have ranged from a high in 2006 of 61.5 per cent to a low in 2015 of 29.3 per cent, reflecting variations in annual weather patterns.

The country-specific values for these parameters are those from the OVERSEER® nutrient budget model for New Zealand (Wheeler et al., 2003) and are the same as those used for estimates of emissions from crop residues. This provides consistency between the two emissions estimates for crop residue and crop burning.

IPCC good practice guidance suggests that an estimate of 10 per cent of residue burned may be appropriate for developed countries but also notes that the IPCC default values: “are very speculative and should be used with caution. The actual percentage burned varies substantially by country and crop type. This is an area where locally developed, country-specific data are highly desirable” (IPCC, 2000). The proportion of residue burned has been estimated as 70 per cent for the years 1990 to 2004 because this takes into account required fire break areas and differences in the methods used. It is also assumed that the farmers will generally be aiming to have as close to complete combustion as possible.

Although country-specific parameters have been developed, a conservative approach to uncertainty is taken, using the IPCC (2000) value of ±20 per cent. Given that emissions from field burning are low, compared with emissions from the rest of Agriculture inventory, the uncertainties from field burning have little impact on total emission uncertainties.

5.7.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

Plant and Food Research reviewed the implementation of the methodology to estimate emissions of N_2O from crop residues, nitrogen-fixing crops and field burning of agricultural residues. This analysis is detailed in Thomas et al. (2008, 2011).

5.7.5 Source-specific recalculations

All activity data were updated with the latest available Stats NZ data.

5.7.6 Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned.

5.8 Liming (CRF 3.G)

5.8.1 Description

Emissions from the application of lime contributed an estimated 409.5 kt CO_2, representing 0.5 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions and 1.0 per cent of Agriculture emissions in 2020.

In New Zealand, lime and dolomite fertilisers are mainly applied to acidic grassland and cropland soils to reduce soil acidity and to maintain or increase production of pasture and crops. Before the 2015 submission, emissions from lime and dolomite fertilisers were reported under chapter 6, LULUCF.

Liming was identified as a key category for the Agriculture sector in 2020 (level assessment).

Emissions from *Liming* increased 38.1 per cent (113.0 kt CO_2) between 1990 and 2020.

5.8.2 Methodological issues

Data on agricultural lime (limestone and dolomite) application are collected by Stats NZ, as a part of its five-yearly Agricultural Production census and annual surveys in the intervening years. Analysis of the data indicates that, each year, around 90 per cent of agricultural lime used in New Zealand is applied to grassland, with the remaining 10 per cent applied to cropland.

New Zealand has not yet developed a country-specific methodology for calculating CO_2 emissions from the application of limestone and dolomite. As such, emissions from *Liming* are currently estimated by following the Tier 1 methodology (equation 11.12; IPCC, 2006), using default emission factors for carbon conversion of 0.12 and 0.13 for limestone and dolomite respectively.

5.8.3 Activity data

Limestone is more commonly applied than dolomite in New Zealand. Limestone occurs and is extracted widely in New Zealand whereas dolomite is only available from a smaller, localised source. Activity data sourced from the Stats NZ Agricultural Production census show that limestone application has declined since 2002, while dolomite use peaked in 2010 and has fallen since then. The quantity of lime applied as limestone and dolomite varies each year and is influenced by a number of factors, including farm profitability (see figures 5.8.1 and 5.8.2). A correction factor is applied to the gross weight (tonnes) of lime by multiplying it by 0.82. This correction factor specified using research from Thomson et al. (2021) and accounts for impurities in the agricultural lime applied, as well the moisture content, so that emissions are based on the dry weight of $CaCO_3$ actually applied (see section 5.8.6).

Figure 5.8.1 Limestone usage on agricultural land in New Zealand from 1990 to 2020



Figure 5.8.2 Dolomite usage on agricultural land in New Zealand from 1990 to 2020

5.8.4 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Using the IPCC (2006) Tier 1 methodology, default emission factors are used, which are based on the chemical formulae of lime and assume all carbon in lime is emitted as CO_2 into the atmosphere. However, the 2006 IPCC Guidelines state that the maximum available carbon is not necessarily lost and that the emissions could be up to 50 per cent lower than estimated.

The Agricultural Production census and survey data used in the inventory have gaps in the time series: no data are available for 1991 or between 1997 and 2001. In the absence of other supporting data, linear interpolation has been used to estimate the data for these years.

5.8.5 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the data for *Liming* underwent Tier 1 quality checks. Stats NZ, the agency that collects the activity data for *Liming*, also carries out a series of quality-assurance and quality-control procedures as part of the data collection carried out each year.

5.8.6 Source-specific recalculations

Emissions estimates in the *Liming* category in 2022 have been affected by a methodological update regarding the assumptions used for the purity of agricultural lime.

For the 2022 inventory submission, a new assumption has been used regarding the purity of agricultural lime applied to soils that being the actual calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$) content. Previously, the inventory assumed that agricultural lime is 100 per cent pure (i.e. 100 per cent $CaCO_3$) due to a lack of national data to the contrary. Recent research carried out by Thomson et al. (2021) used a variety of literature, existing data and new samples to determine a correction factor to apply to the agricultural lime applied to account for its impurities. The authors also accounted for the moisture in the samples, given emission calculations are carried out assuming a dry weight. The results were then weighted to give a single national correction factor of 0.821.

This inventory improvement was proposed and discussed at the 2021 Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel meeting. During this meeting, the Panel agreed that the former assumption used in the inventory was incorrect and was a conservative approach given a lack of information in this space. The Panel agreed that the use of the recommended correction factor was likely to give a better estimate of emissions from *Liming*, although suggested it be rounded to 0.82, given the level of uncertainty.

The implementation of this improvement caused estimated emissions from *Liming* to decrease by 17.7 per cent in 1990 and 17.6 per cent in 2020 (see table 5.8.1).

Table 5.8.1 Comparison of current and previous emissions estimates before and after the updated assumption of lime purity in the Agriculture inventory

Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		1990	2020	Change in emission outputs between 1990 and 2020 (kt CO_2-e)	Percentage change in emission outputs between 1990 and 2020
Total emissions from Agricultural soils (kt CO_2-e)	2022 (1990–2020) emissions estimate using previous liming values	360.1	496.7	136.7	38.0
	2022 (1990–2020) emissions estimate using new liming values	296.5	409.5	113.0	38.1
	Difference in emission estimates compared with current inventory	–63.6	–87.3	–23.7	
	Percentage difference in emission estimates	–17.7 %	–17.6 %		

5.8.7 Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are currently planned.

5.9 Urea application (CRF 3.H)

5.9.1 Description

Urea fertiliser accounts for the majority of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser used in New Zealand. It is mainly applied to dairy pasture land to boost pasture growth during the autumn and spring months.

Urea application was identified as a key category for the Agriculture sector in 2020 (level and trend assessment).

Urea application contributed an estimated 542.0 kt CO_2, representing 0.7 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions and 1.4 per cent of Agriculture emissions in 2020.

Emissions from *Urea application* increased 1,282.9 per cent between 1990 and 2020. Since 1990, the proportion of urea fertiliser applied (relative to total synthetic nitrogen fertiliser use) increased from 41.5 per cent to 72.3 per cent.

5.9.2 Methodological issues

There is no country-specific methodology on CO_2 emissions from *Urea application* for New Zealand. Emissions associated with the application of urea are estimated using a Tier 1 methodology (equation 11.13; IPCC, 2006), using the default emission factor for carbon conversion of 0.20.

Research into urease inhibitors (see section 5.5.2) has demonstrated they are effective in slowing down the activity of the urease enzyme that hydrolyses urea to ammonium (as reported in section 5.5.2), but they do not reduce the release of CO_2 (S Saggar, pers. comm., 2014).

5.9.3 Activity data

Data on the volume of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser used are provided by the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand from fertiliser company sales records from 1990 to 2020. From 1990 to 2013, data on the percentage of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser derived from urea were sourced from the International Fertilizer Industry Association online database and are used to calculate the amount of applied urea fertiliser. Since 2014, data for total nitrogen from synthetic nitrogen fertiliser derived from urea have been provided by the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand.

A large increase has occurred in nitrogen applied to agricultural land as urea fertiliser, from 24,586 tonnes in 1990 to 340,000 tonnes in 2020. This is consistent with the increase in the total amount of synthetic nitrogen fertiliser applied, which is 693.0 per cent greater than that used in 1990 (see reporting on the *Agricultural soils* category and figure 5.5.2).

5.9.4 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Under the IPCC (2006) Tier 1 methodology, default emission factors are used, which are based on the chemical formulae of urea and assume all carbon in urea is emitted as CO_2 into the atmosphere. However, the 2006 IPCC Guidelines state that the maximum available carbon is not necessarily lost and that the emissions could be up to 50 per cent lower. This gives a lower uncertainty estimate of –50 per cent and an upper uncertainty estimate of 0 per cent.

Sales data for synthetic nitrogen fertiliser have been supplied for all years by the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand, but the uncertainties in this data are not known.

5.9.5 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the data for urea fertiliser underwent Tier 1 quality checks. The Fertiliser Association of New Zealand, the organisation that collects the sales activity information for synthetic nitrogen fertiliser, also carries out a series of quality-assurance and quality-control procedures as a part of the data collection carried out each year and provides this data to the International Fertilizer Industry Association.

5.9.6 Source-specific recalculations

No recalculations have been performed for CO_2 emissions from urea in the 2022 (1990 to 2020) submission.

5.9.7 Source-specific planned improvements

New Zealand will continue to update activity data on urea as the data become available from the Fertiliser Association of New Zealand.

5.10 Other carbon-containing fertilisers (CRF 3.I)

5.10.1 Description

The IPCC (2006) Guidelines do not provide guidance for reporting on other carbon-containing fertilisers. Other carbon-containing synthetic fertilisers besides limestone, dolomite and urea (see sections 5.8 and 5.9) are not applied to agricultural land in New Zealand (T van der Weerden and C de Klein, pers. comm., 2015).

Chapter 5: References

Some references may be downloaded directly from: http://mpi.govt.nz/news-and-resources/statistics-and-forecasting/greenhouse-gas-reporting/agriculture-greenhouse-gas-inventory-reports.

MPI is progressively making reports used for the inventory available on this page, where copyright permits.

Australian Government. Unpublished. Mutual Review of Components of National Inventory Systems: A Review between Australia and New Zealand. Provided by the Australian Government in 2014.

DataGene Limited. 2018. *Australian Dairy Herd Improvement Report*. Melbourne: DataGene Limited.

Beare M, Thomas S, Clemens G, Hernandez-Ramirez G. Unpublished. Contributions of Pasture Renewal and N Mineralisation to N2O Emissions from Pastoral Farming Systems. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by Plant and Food Research in 2012.

Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd. 2021. *Mid-Season Update 2020–21*. Wellington: Beef + Lamb New Zealand Ltd.

Bown MD, Thomson BC, Muir PD. 2013. *Evaluation of the Values for Pasture ME and N Content used in the National Greenhouse Gas Inventory*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by On-Farm Research. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Burggraaf V, Rollo M, de Klein CAM, Lio, J. Unpublished. A review of greenhouse gas emissions inventory methodology for farmed goats in New Zealand. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by AgResearch in 2019.

Carran RA, Dewar D, Theobald PW. Unpublished. Methane and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Sheep Dung. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by the New Zealand Pastoral Agricultural Research Institute in 2003.

Carran RA, Theobold PW, Evans JP. 1995. Emissions of nitrous oxide from some grazed pasture soils in New Zealand. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 33: 341–352.

Chen D, Li Y, Kelly K, Eckard R. 2010. Simulation of N_2O emissions from an irrigated dairy pasture treated with urea and urine in Southeastern Australia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 136(3–4): 333–342.

Cichota R, Brown H, Snow VO, Wheeler DM, Hedderley D, Zyskowski R, Thomas S. 2010. A nitrogen balance model for environmental accountability in cropping systems. *New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science* 38: 189–207.

Clark H, Brookes I, Walcroft A. 2003. *Enteric Methane Emissions from New Zealand Ruminants 1990–2001 Calculated Using an IPCC Tier 2 Approach*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by AgResearch and Massey University. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Clark H. 2008a. *A Comparison of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from the New Zealand Dairy Sector Calculated Using either a National or a Regional Approach*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by AgResearch. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Clark H. 2008b. *Guidelines to Accompany Computerised Inventory*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by AgResearch. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Clough TJ, Kelliher FM, Clark H, van der Weerden TJ. 2008. *Incorporation of the Nitrification Inhibitor DCD into New Zealand's 2009 National Inventory*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Lincoln University and AgResearch. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Clough TJ, Kelliher FM. Unpublished. Indirect Nitrous Oxide Emissions EF5. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by Lincoln University in 2014.

Commonwealth of Australia. 2021. *National Inventory Report 2019 Australian Government Submission to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change: Volume 1*. Canberra: Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources. Retrieved from www.industry.gov.au/sites/default/files/April%202021/document/national-inventory-report-2019-volume-1.pdf (5 January 2022).

CSIRO. 1990. *Ruminants: Feeding Standards for Australian Livestock*. Victoria, Australia: Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation.

CSIRO. 2007. *Nutrient Requirements of Domesticated Ruminants*. Victoria, Australia: Australian Agricultural Council, Ruminants Sub Committee

Dairy Companies Association of New Zealand. 2021. *Latest New Zealand Milk Production Data Report*. Retrieved from www.dcanz.com (16 December 2021).

de Klein CAM, Barton L, Sherlock RR, Li Z, Littlejohn RP. 2003. Estimating a nitrous oxide emission factor for animal urine from some New Zealand pastoral soils. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* 41: 381–399.

de Klein CAM, Luo J, Woodward KB, Styles T, Wise B, Lindsey S, Cox N. 2014. The effect of nitrogen concentration in synthetic cattle urine on nitrous oxide emissions. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 188: 8–92.

Dresser M, Hewitt A, Willoughby J, Bellis S. 2011. *Area of Organic Soils*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Landcare Research. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Fick J. 2010. *Emission Estimations for the Commercial Chicken, Non-chicken and Layer Industries within New Zealand*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by the Poultry Industry Association and Egg Producers Federation of New Zealand. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Fick J, Saggar S, Hill J, Giltrap D. 2011. *Poultry Management in New Zealand: Production, Manure Management and Emissions Estimations for the Commercial Chicken, Turkey, Duck and Layer Industries within New Zealand*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Poultry Industry Association, Egg Producers Association, Landcare Research and Massey University. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Galbally I, Meyer C, Bentley S, Weeks I, Leuning R, Kelly K, Phillips F, Barker-Reid F, Gates W, Baigent R, Eckard R, Grace P. 2005. A study of environmental and management drivers of non-CO2 greenhouse gas emissions in Australian agroecosystems. *Environmental Sciences* 2(2-3): 133–142.

Geddes A, Mikaloff-Fletcher S, Schaefer H, Smale D, Brailsford G, Law R, Ausseil A. 2021. *Atmospheric methane modelling: Evaluating Regional Methane Emission Using Inverse Modelling*. MPI Technical Paper No: 2021/02. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by NIWA and Landcare Research in 2020.

Giltrap D, McNeill S, Vickers S. Unpublished. Development of infrastructure to calculate agricultural greenhouse gas inventory emissions uncertainty in-house. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by Landcare Research and RSV Consulting Ltd in 2016.

Giltrap D, McNeill S. 2020. *Revised pasture quality analysis in the agricultural greenhouse gas inventory*. MPI Technical Paper No: 2021/01. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by Manaaki Whenua – Landcare Research. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Grainger C, Clarke T, McGinn SM, Auldist MJ, Beauchemin KA, Hannah MC, Waghorn GC, Clark H, Eckard RJ. 2007. Methane emissions from dairy cows measured with sulphur hexafluoride (SF6) tracer and chamber techniques. *Journal of Dairy Science* 90(6): 2755–2766.

Henderson H, Cameron C. Unpublished. Review of the Methodology for Alpaca Population Estimations Used in the National Greenhouse Gas Inventory. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry in 2010.

Hill, J. 2012. *Recalculate Pork Industry Emissions Inventory*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Massey University and the New Zealand Pork Industry Board. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Hoogendoorn CJ, de Klein CAM, Rutherford AJ, Letica S, Devantier BP. 2008. The effect of increasing rates of nitrogen fertiliser and a nitrification inhibitor on the nitrous oxide emissions from urine patches on sheep grazed hill country pasture. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 48(2): 147–151.

Hoogendoorn CJ, Luo J, van der Weerden T, Saggar S, Wise B, Lloyd-West C, Judge A. 2013. *Evaluation of beef cattle excreta nitrous oxide emission factors*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by AgResearch in 2013. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Inland Revenue Department. 2021. *Registering for GST*. Retrieved from www.ird.govt.nz/gst/registering-for-gst (5 January 2022).

IPCC. 1996. Houghton JT, Meira Filho LG, Lim B, Treanton K, Mamaty I, Bonduki Y, Griggs DJ, Callender BA (eds). *IPCC/OECD/IEA. Revised 1996 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*. Bracknell: United Kingdom Meteorological Office.

IPCC. 2000. Penman J, Kruger D, Galbally I, Hiraishi T, Nyenzi B, Emmanuel S, Buendia L, Hoppaus R, Martinsen T, Meijer J, Miwa K, Tanabe K (eds). *Good Practice Guidance and Uncertainty Management in National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies: Japan.

IPCC. 2006. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies: Japan.

IPCC. 2014. Hiraishi T, Krug T, Tanabe K, Srivastava N, Baasansuren J, Fukuda M, Troxler TG (eds). *2013 Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands*. Switzerland: IPCC.

IPCC. 2019. Calvo Buendia E, Tanabe K, Kranjc A, Baasansuren J, Fukuda M, Ngarize S, Osako A, Pyrozhenko Y, Shermanau P, Federici S (eds). *2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories*. Switzerland: IPCC.

Kelliher FM, Clark H, Smith MH, Lassey KR, Sedcole R. 2009. *Reducing Uncertainty of the Enteric Methane Emissions Inventory*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Lincoln University, National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research and AgResearch. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Kelliher FM, Cox N, van der Weerden TJ, de Klein CAM, Luo J, Cameron KC, Di HJ, Giltrap D, Rys G. 2014. Statistical analysis of nitrous oxide emission factors from pastoral agriculture field trials conducted in New Zealand. *Environmental Pollution* 186: 63–66.

Kelliher FM, de Klein CAM. Unpublished. Review of New Zealand's Fertiliser Nitrous Oxide Emission Factor (EF_1) Data. Report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Landcare Research and AgResearch in 2006.

Kelliher FM, Henderson H and Cox N. 2017. The uncertainty of nitrous oxide emissions from grazed grasslands: A New Zealand case study. *Atmospheric Environment* 148: 329–336.

Kelliher FM, Ledgard SF, Clark H, Walcroft AS, Buchan M, Sherlock RR. Unpublished. A Revised Nitrous Oxide Emissions Inventory for New Zealand 1990–2001. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Lincoln University, Landcare Research and AgResearch in 2003.

Kuikman PJ, van der Hoek KW, Smit A, Zwart K. 2006. Update of emission factors for nitrous oxide from agricultural soils on the basis of measurements in the Netherlands. *Alterra Report 1217*. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Alterra.

Lassey K. 2011. *Methane Emissions and Nitrogen Excretion Rates for New Zealand Goats*. Report for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research. Wellington: National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research.

Lassey KR, Ulyatt MJ, Martin RJ, Walker CF, Shelton ID. 1997. Methane emissions measured directly from grazing livestock in New Zealand. *Atmospheric Environment* 31: 2905–2914.

Laubach J, Grover SPP, Taghizadeh-Toosi A, Sherlock RR, Gibbs J, Kelliher FM. 2012. *Ammonium from Animal Excreta*. MPI Technical Paper No: 2012/41. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Laubach J, Kelliher FM. 2004. Measuring methane emission rates of a dairy cow herd by two micrometeorological techniques. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 125: 279–303.

Ledgard SF, Luo J, Sprosen MS, Wyatt J, Balvert S, Lindsey S. 2014. Effects of the nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide (DCD) on pasture production, nitrous oxide emissions and nitrate leaching in Waikato, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research* 57(4): 294–315.

LIC (Livestock Improvement Corporation Limited) and DairyNZ. 2021. *New Zealand Dairy Statistics 2020–2021*. Hamilton: DairyNZ. Retrieved from https://www.dairynz.co.nz/publications/dairy-industry/new-zealand-dairy-statistics-2020-21/ (9 December 2021).

LIC. 2009. *100 Years of Herd Testing*. Hamilton: Livestock Improvement Corporation Limited. Retrieved from www.lic.co.nz/documents/275/100_years_of_Herd_Testing.pdf (5 January 2022).

Luo J, Hoogendoorn C, van der Weerden T, Saggar S, de Klein C, Giltrap D. 2016. *Nitrous oxide emission factors for animal excreta deposited on hill country steep slopes*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by AgResearch in 2016. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Luo J, Hoogendoorn C, van der Weerden TJ, Saggar S, de Klein CAM, Giltrap D, Rollo M, Rys G. 2013. Nitrous oxide emissions from grazed hill land in New Zealand. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 181: 58–68.

Luo J, Saggar S, van der Weerden T, de Klein C. 2019. Quantification of nitrous oxide emissions and emission factors from beef and dairy cattle excreta deposited on grazed pastoral hill lands. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 270–271: 103–113.

Marsden KA, Holmberg JA, Jones DL, Chadwick DR. 2018. Sheep urine patch N_2O emissions are lower from extensively-managed than intensively-managed grasslands. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 265: 264–274.

Ministry for the Environment. 2017. *New Zealand's Seventh National Communication – Fulfilling reporting requirements under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Kyoto Protocol*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment. Retrieved from www.mfe.govt.nz/sites/default/files/media/Climate%20Change/21-12-17%20Web%20FINAL%20-%20Seventh%20National%20Communication%202017.pdf (5 January 2022).

MPI (Ministry for Primary Industries). 2021. *Livestock slaughter statistics for sheep, cattle, goats, horses and pigs*. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/resources-and-forms/economic-intelligence/data (9 December 2021).

Muetzel S. 2020. *Clarification of Cattle Methane Yields*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by AgResearch.

Muir PD, Thomson BC, Askin DC. 2008. *A Review of Dressing Out Percentage in New Zealand Livestock*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by On-Farm Research and Kilu Consulting. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Muir PD, Thomson BC. 2010. *Better Estimation of National Ewe and Beef Cow Liveweights*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by On-Farm Research. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Muller C, Sherlock RR, Williams PH. 1995. Direct field measurements of nitrous oxide emissions from urine-affected and urine-unaffected pasture in Canterbury. In: *Proceedings of the Workshop on Fertilizer Requirements of Grazed Pasture and Field Crops: Macro and Micronutrients*. Currie LD, Loganathan P (eds). Occasional Report No. 8. Palmerston North: Massey University. pp 243–34.

Pacheco D, Waghorn G, Rollo M. 2018. *Methodology for Splitting Nitrogen between Livestock Dung and Urine*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by AgResearch. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Pickering A, Gibbs J, Wear S, Fick J, Tomlin H. 2020. *Methodology for Calculation of New Zealand's Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Emissions*. Version 7. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/13906-Detailed-methodologies-for-agricultural-greenhouse-gas-emission-calculation (5 January 2022).

Pinares-Patino CS, Ulyatt MJ, Waghorn GC, Lassey KR, Barry TN, Holmes CW, Johnson DE. 2003. Methane emission by alpaca and sheep fed on lucerne hay or grazed on pastures of perennial ryegrass/white clover or birdsfoot trefoil. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 140: 215–226.

Pratt C, Walcroft A, Shilton A, Guieysse B, Chung M, Craggs R, Heubeck S. 2012. *Revised Methane Emission Factors and Parameters for Dairy Effluent Ponds*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by Landcare Research, Massey University and the National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Rollo M, Ledgard S, Longhurst B. 2017. *Final Report: Trends in Dairy Effluent Management*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by AgResearch. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Saggar S, Clark H, Hedley C, Tate K, Carran A, Cosgrove G. Unpublished. Methane Emissions from Animal Dung and Waste Management Systems, and its Contribution to National Methane Budget. Landcare Research Contract Report: LC0301/02. Prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Landcare Research in 2003.

Saggar S, Giltrap DL, Davison R, Gibson R, de Klein C, Rollo M, Ettema P, Rys G. 2015. Estimating direct N_2O emissions from sheep, beef, and deer grazed pastures in New Zealand hill country: Accounting for the effect of land slope on the N_2O emission factors from urine and dung. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 205: 70–78.

Saggar S, Singh J, Giltrap DL, Zaman M, Luo J, Rollo M, Kim D-G, Rys G, van der Weerden TJ. 2013. Quantification of reductions in ammonia emissions from fertiliser urea and animal urine in grazed pastures with urease inhibitors for Agriculture inventory: New Zealand as a case study. *Science of the Total Environment* 465: 136–146.

Sherlock RR, de Klein C, Li Z. Unpublished. Determination of N_2O and CH_4 Emission Factors from Animal Excreta, following a Summer Application in Three Regions of New Zealand. A final report of an NzOnet study prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Landcare Research, AgResearch and Lincoln University in 2003.

Sherlock RR, Jewell P, Clough T. 2008. *Review of New Zealand Specific FracGASM and FracGASF Emissions Factors*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Landcare Research and AgResearch. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Statistics New Zealand (Stats NZ). 2017. *Agricultural Production Census: June 2017 (Final)*. Retrieved from www.stats.govt.nz/information-releases/agricultural-production-statistics-june-2017-final (5 January 2022).

Stats NZ. 2022. *Infoshare database*. Retrieved from www.stats.govt.nz/infoshare (5 January 2022).

Suttie J. 2012. *Report to the Deer Industry New Zealand: Estimation of Deer Population and Productivity Data 1990 to 2012*. Dunedin: Suttie Consulting Limited.

Swainson, N, Muetzel, S, Clark, H. 2016. Updated predictions of enteric methane emissions from sheep suitable for use in the New Zealand national greenhouse gas inventory. *Animal Production Science* 58: 973–979. Retrieved from www.publish.csiro.au/AN/AN15766 (5 January 2022).

Thomas S, Hume E, Fraser T Curtin D. 2011. *Factors and Activity Data to Estimate Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Cropping Systems, and Stubble and Tussock Burning*. Technical paper no. 2012/16. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Plant and Food Research (formerly Crop and Food Research). Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Thomas S, Wallace D, Beare M. 2014. *Pasture Renewal Activity Data and Factors for New Zealand*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by Plant and Food Research. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Thomas SM, Fraser T, Curtin D, Brown H, Lawrence E. 2008. *Review of Nitrous Oxide Emission Factors and Activity Data for Crops*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Plant and Food Research. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Thomas SM, Ledgard SF, Francis GS. 2005. Improving estimates of nitrate leaching for quantifying New Zealand's indirect nitrous oxide emissions. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 73: 213–226.

Thomas SM, Ledgard SF, Francis GS. Unpublished. Appropriateness of IPCC Default Values for Estimating New Zealand's Indirect Nitrous Oxide Emissions. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry in 2003.

Thomas T. Unpublished. Assessment of the Methodology of Potato Area and Yield Activity Estimations used in the NZ National GHG Inventory. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Plant and Food Research in 2010.

Thomson BC, Muir PD, Davison R, Clark H. 2010. *Review of Population Models within the National Methane Inventory (2010)*. Technical paper prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by On-Farm Research (with cooperation by Meat and Wool New Zealand and AgResearch Ltd). Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Thomson BC, Muir PD, Davison R. Unpublished. Review of Beef Population Models within the National Methane Inventory (2010). MPI Technical Report in 2012.

Thomson BC, Ward KR, Muir PD. 2021. *Purity of agricultural lime and dolomite used in New Zealand*. Final report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries.

Ulyatt MJ, Baker SK, McCrabb GJ, Lassey KR. 1999. Accuracy of the SF_6 tracer technology and alternatives for field measurements. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 50: 1329–1334.

van der Weerden A, de Klein C, Kelliher F, Rollo M. 2014. *Reporting to 2006 IPCC Guidelines for N_2O Emissions from Additional Sources of Organic N: Final Report*. MPI Technical Report. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

van der Weerden T, Cox N, Luo J, Di HJ, Podolyan A, Phillips RL, Saggar S, de Klein CAM, Ettema P, Rys G. 2016a. Refining the New Zealand nitrous oxide emission factor for urea fertiliser and farm dairy effluent. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 222: 133–137.

van der Weerden T, Luo J, Cox N, Di HJ, Podolyan A, Phillips RL, Saggar S, de Klein CA, Ettema P, Rys G. 2016b. Nitrous oxide emissions from urea fertiliser and effluent with and without inhibitors applied to pasture. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 219: 58–70.

van der Weerden T, Noble A, Giltrap D, Luo S, Saggar S. 2019. *Meta-analysis of nitrous oxide emission factors for excreta deposited onto pasture: Final report*. MPI Technical Paper No: 2021/05. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries by AgResearch in 2019. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

van der Weerden TJ, Luo J, de Klein CA, Hoogendoorn CJ, Littlejohn RP, Rys GJ. 2011. Disaggregating nitrous oxide emission factors for ruminant urine and dung deposited onto pastoral soils. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment* 141(3–4): 426–436.

van der Weerden TJ, Noble AN, Luo J, de Klein CAM, Saggar S, Giltrap D, Gibbs J, Rys G. 2020. Meta-analysis of New Zealand's nitrous oxide emission factors for ruminant excreta supports disaggregation based on excreta form, livestock type and slope class. *Science of The Total Environment* 732.

Waghorn G, Molano G, Cavanagh A. Unpublished(a). An Estimate of Whole Herd Methane Production from Cows at the Lincoln University Dairy Farm in October 2003. Final report prepared for Landcare Research NZ Ltd in 2003.

Waghorn G, Molano G, Lassey K. Unpublished(b). Estimates of Whole Herd Methane Production from Cows at the Lincoln University Dairy Farm in January and March 2002. A preliminary report prepared for Landcare Research NZ Ltd in 2002.

Welten B, Mercer G, Smith C, Sprosen M, Ledgard S. 2021. *Refining estimates of nitrogen leaching for the New Zealand agricultural greenhouse gas inventory*. Report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries.

Wheeler DM, Ledgard SF, de Klein CAM, Monaghan PL, Carey PL, McDowell RW, Johns KL. 2003. OVERSEER® Nutrient budgets – moving towards on-farm resource accounting. In: *Proceedings of the New Zealand Grassland Association 2003*. Palmerston North: New Zealand Grassland Association Inc.

Chapter 6: Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF)

6.1 Sector overview

Net emissions summary

2020

In 2020, net emissions from the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector were –23,313.3 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e) or –29.6 per cent of New Zealand's gross greenhouse gas emissions. This comprises net removals of –23,666.2 kt CO_2, emissions of 81.7 kt CO_2-e of methane (CH_4) and 271.3 kt CO_2-e of nitrous oxide (N_2O). The category contributing the most to both removals and emissions is *Forest land remaining forest land*. This is because large removals result from the growth of all forests on this land and there are also large emissions from the sustainable harvest of New Zealand's plantation forests.

1990–2020

Net emissions in 2020 have decreased by 2,084.0 kt CO_2-e (9.8 per cent) from the 1990 level of –21,229.2 kt CO_2-e (see table 6.1.1 and figure 6.1.1). This is largely due to an increase in the production of harvested wood products, which have compensated for the emissions from the increase in forest harvesting.

Table 6.1.1 New Zealand's greenhouse gas net emissions for the LULUCF sector by land use category in 1990 and 2020

	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Difference (kt CO_2-e)	Change (%)
Land use category	**1990**	**2020**	**1990–2020**	**1990–2020**
Forest land	–20,068.3	–19,704.7	363.6	1.8
Cropland	476.2	382.3	–93.9	–19.7
Grassland	724.7	2,570.2	1,845.5	254.6
Wetlands	–10.5	13.4	23.8	227.8
Settlements	75.4	124.1	48.6	64.5
Other land	13.6	118.4	104.8	770.3
Indirect emissions*	40.8	17.7	–23.1	–56.6
Harvested wood products	–2,481.2	–6,834.6	–4,353.4	–175.5
Total LULUCF	**–21,229.2**	**–23,313.3**	**–2,084.0**	**–9.8**

Note: Net removals are expressed as a negative value in the table to help the reader in clarifying that the value is a removal (of CO_2-e from the atmosphere) and not an emission. Columns may not total due to rounding. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

* Indirect emissions as a result of N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff are not disaggregated by land use category in the common reporting format (CRF) tables and are reported separately under non-CO_2 emissions in section 6.10.4.

Figure 6.1.1 **New Zealand's annual emissions from the LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2020**



Emissions in the LULUCF sector are primarily driven by the harvest of production forests, deforestation and the decomposition of organic material following these activities. Removals are primarily from the sequestration of carbon that occurs due to plant growth and increase in the size of the harvested wood products pool. Nitrous oxide can be emitted from the ecosystem as a by-product of nitrification and de-nitrification, and the burning of organic matter. Other gases released during biomass burning include CH_4, carbon monoxide (CO), other oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs).

2019–2020

Net emissions from the LULUCF sector decreased between 2019 and 2020 by 278.3 kt CO_2-e (1.2 per cent) (see table 6.1.2).

The largest change occurred in the *Harvested wood products* category, with an increase in emissions of 2,203.3 kt CO_2-e. The reason for this change was that the production of harvested wood products fell due to disruptions in processing and logistics related to COVID-19. The *Grassland* category had the second largest change, with a decrease in emissions of 1,802.9 kt CO_2-e, driven by reduced deforestation in planted forest, resulting in fewer conversions from *Forest land* to *Grassland*.

Table 6.1.2 **New Zealand's greenhouse gas net emissions for the LULUCF sector by land use category in 2019 and 2020**

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Difference	% change
Land use category	**2019**	**2020**	**2019–2020**	**2019–2020**
Forest land	–19,068.4	–19,704.7	–636.4	–3.3
Cropland	386.8	382.3	–4.4	–1.1
Grassland	4,373.1	2,570.2	–1,802.9	–41.2
Wetlands	13.4	13.4	0.0	0.1
Settlements	127.8	124.1	–3.7	–2.9
Other land	152.8	118.4	–34.4	–22.5

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Difference	% change
Indirect emissions*	17.6	17.7	0.2	1.0
Harvested wood products	–9,037.8	–6,834.6	2,203.3	24.4
Total LULUCF	**–23,034.9**	**–23,313.3**	**–278.3**	**–1.2**

Note: * Indirect emissions as a result of N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff are not disaggregated by land use category in the CRF and are reported separately under non-CO_2 emissions in section 6.10.4.

6.1.1 National circumstance

New Zealand has a land area of nearly 270,000 square kilometres with extensive coastlines (approximately 19,800 kilometres). This land mass is made up of two main islands, the North Island and South Island, as well as smaller outlying islands. New Zealand has a temperate climate, highly influenced by the surrounding ocean. Around 60 per cent of the land is hilly or mountainous, with around 425,000 kilometres of rivers and streams, and almost 4,000 lakes that are larger than a hectare (Ministry for the Environment and Stats NZ, 2017).

Since 1990, approximately 9.3 per cent of New Zealand's total land area has undergone land-use change. Before human settlement, natural forests were New Zealand's predominant land cover, estimated at 85 per cent to 90 per cent of total land area (McGlone, 2009). Demand for accessible land has also led to the modification of a large proportion of New Zealand's vegetated wetland areas to provide pastoral land cover. Just over 10 per cent of wetlands present before European settlement remain across New Zealand (McGlone, 2009). A summary of land use area in 2020 in New Zealand can be found in table 6.1.3.

New Zealand forests are either held on privately owned land or held in the publicly owned conservation estate (an area of approximately 8.5 million hectares; Ministry for the Environment and Stats NZ, 2018). Consequently, all forests in New Zealand meet the 2006 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Guidelines definition of managed land, which is "land where human interventions and practices have been applied to perform production, ecological or social functions" (IPCC, 2006a). Therefore, both forests planted for timber production and natural forests managed for conservation values are considered managed forests.

No timber is legally harvested from natural forests in the publicly owned conservation estate other than in exceptional circumstances where legislation allows. Most other harvesting of natural forests is required by law to be undertaken on a sustainable basis (Forests Act 1949).

Plantation forestry and agriculture industries form the core of New Zealand's economy and are the main determinants of New Zealand's LULUCF emissions profile. Intensive forest management, combined with a temperate climate, fertile soils and high rainfall, means New Zealand has one of the highest rates of exotic forest growth among Annex I countries.

New Zealand's exotic forest plantation estate is intensively managed for production forestry, with rapid-growing genotypes selected and enhanced for optimum growth. Rates of afforestation, reforestation, harvesting and deforestation are strongly influenced by market conditions for harvested timber, competition for land use and introduced government policies. One such policy has been the introduction of the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS) in 2008 and the inclusion of forestry within the scheme (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015). The forestry component of the NZ ETS was included to encourage new forest planting and disincentivise deforestation. An unintended consequence of this policy was that large-scale deforestation occurred in 2007 (27,364 ha) before the policy's introduction as land owners deforested to avoid potential future liabilities (see figures 6.1.1 and 6.1.2). Changes in afforestation and deforestation rates show they correlate strongly with fluctuations in the NZ ETS carbon price (figure 6.1.2). However, afforestation and deforestation rates are also impacted by other market conditions and other government initiatives, which are summarised in chapter 11, section 11.3.2.

Table 6.1.3 Land use in New Zealand in 2020

Category	Land use	Area (kha)	Proportion of total area (%)
Forest land	Pre-1990 natural forest	7,754.169	28.8
	Pre-1990 planted forest	1,437.218	5.3
	Post-1989 planted forest	689.777	2.6
	Post-1989 natural forest	90.506	0.3
	Subtotal	9,971.670	37.0
Cropland	Annual	371.232	1.4
	Perennial	105.190	0.4
	Subtotal	476.422	1.8
Grassland	High producing	6,892.607	25.6
	Low producing	6,319.589	23.5
	With woody biomass	1,372.087	5.1
	Subtotal	14,584.282	54.2
Wetlands	Open water	534.937	2.0
	Vegetated	223.674	0.8
	Subtotal	758.611	2.8
Settlements		237.512	0.9
Other land		896.671	3.3
Total		**26,925.168**	**100.0**

Note: Areas as at 31 December 2020. Columns may not total due to rounding. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

Figure 6.1.2 Comparison of afforestation and deforestation rates with the changing NZ ETS unit price from 2004 to 2020



6.1.2 Methodological tiers and coverage of pools applied in the LULUCF sector

New Zealand uses a combination of Tier 1, Tier 2 and Tier 3 methods, as described in the 2006 IPCC General Guidance and Reporting (IPCC, 2006b), for estimating net emissions for the LULUCF sector. A Tier 1 approach has been used to estimate carbon stock change in the four biomass pools (above-ground and below-ground biomass, dead wood and litter) for all land uses except *Forest land*, *Perennial cropland* and *Grassland with woody biomass*, which use Tier 2 or Tier 3 approaches.

For all land uses, Tier 1 approaches are used to estimate carbon stock changes in organic soils, and a Tier 2 modelling approach is applied to estimate soil organic carbon (SOC) changes from mineral soils. This model is described in more detail in annex A3.2.4 'Mineral soils'.

New Zealand's forests are disaggregated into four reporting categories to represent the different growth characteristics of the forest types more accurately: pre-1990 planted forest, pre-1990 natural forest, post-1989 planted forest and post-1989 natural forest. The terms 'post-1989' and 'pre-1990' distinguish between forests that existed at 31 December 1989 and those that did not. The terms 'natural' and 'planted' forest are used to identify whether the trees were established from natural regeneration or from managed planting. The term 'harvesting' refers to temporary forest loss as part of ongoing forestry land use, whereas 'deforestation' refers to permanent destocking of forest as a result of land-use change.

Similarly, the species compositions reported in the *Grassland* category are diverse, ranging from different grass types to woody trees that do not meet New Zealand's forest definition. To allow for this, the *Grassland* category is divided into four types for modelling the emissions from land-use change.

Calculation of national emission estimates

The methods used to estimate carbon (C) by pool for each land use are summarised in table 6.1.4. Biomass carbon stocks in each land use before conversion, emission factors to estimate carbon stock changes and annual growth in biomass carbon stocks after land use conversion are summarised in the relevant category sections in this chapter. Activity data are estimated using wall-to-wall mapping from satellite imagery and other ancillary data sets and are described in more detail in section 6.2 and annex A3.2.1.

Table 6.1.4 Relationships between land use, carbon pool and method of calculation used by New Zealand

Reporting category	Living biomass		Dead organic matter		Soils	
					Soil organic matter	
Land use	Above-ground biomass	Below-ground biomass	Dead wood	Litter	Mineral soils	Organic soils
Pre-1990 natural forest	Plot measurements; allometric equations	Estimated as the ratio of below-ground biomass to above-ground biomass	Modelled from plot measurements; allometric equations	Plot samples; laboratory analysis of samples collected at plots	Tier 2, country-specific data and model	Not applicable
Pre-1990 planted forest	Modelled through allometric equations, then included in national yield tables	Estimated as the ratio of below-ground biomass to above-ground biomass	Allometric model using plot measurements, included in national yield tables. Harvest residues added to dead wood pool through CRA	Allometric model and percentage of above-ground biomass	Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters

Reporting category	Living biomass		Dead organic matter		Soils	
					Soil organic matter	
Land use	Above-ground biomass	Below-ground biomass	Dead wood	Litter	Mineral soils	Organic soils
Post-1989 natural forest	Allometric model	Estimated as the ratio of below-ground biomass to above-ground biomass	Modelled from plot measurements; allometric model	Allometric model and percentage of above-ground biomass	Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters
Post-1989 planted forest	Modelled through allometric equations, then included in national yield tables	Estimated as the ratio of below-ground biomass to above-ground biomass	Allometric model using plot measurements, included in national yield tables. Harvest residues added to dead wood pool through CRA	Allometric model and percentage of above-ground biomass	Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters
Cropland – annual	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters (NE)	Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters		
Cropland – perennial	Country-specific emission factor		IPCC Tier 1 default parameters (NE)		Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters
Grassland (high and low producing)	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters		IPCC Tier 1 default parameters (NE)		Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters
Grassland with woody biomass – transitional	Country-specific emission factor				Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters
Grassland with woody biomass –permanent	Country-specific emission factor				Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters
Wetlands	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters (NE)				Tier 2, country-specific data and model	IPCC Tier 1 default parameters
Settlements	IPCC Tier 1 default parameter (NE)				Tier 2, country-specific data and model	NE
Other land	IPCC Tier 1 default parameter (NE)				Tier 2, country-specific data and model	NE

Note: NE = not estimated; CRA = Calculation and Reporting Application. See the methodology sections for an explanation of soil carbon calculations (annex A3.2.4) and forest models, C_Change and Forest Carbon Predictor (A3.2.5).

To calculate emissions for the New Zealand LULUCF sector, the following data are used:

- land use and land-use change area data from 1962 to 1989, which provide land in a transition state as at 1990 for each land use (see annex A3.2.1)
- annual land use and land-use change area data from 1990 to 2020 (see section 6.2 and annex A3.2.2)
- biomass carbon stocks per hectare before land use conversion, and annual growth in biomass carbon stocks per hectare following conversion (described in more detail under each land use category)
- estimates of planted forest harvest area and harvest age class distribution (see section 6.3, annex A3.2.5 and annex A3.2.5)

- a forest age profile for pre-1990 planted forests and post-1989 planted forests
- age-based biomass carbon yield tables for pre-1990 planted forests and post-1989 planted and natural forests (see section 6.3.2)
- growth increment for pre-1990 natural forest (see section 6.3.2)
- emission factors and country-level activity data on biomass burning (see section 6.10.8)
- IPCC default conversion factors for converting C to CO_2.

The formula used to calculate emissions from biomass changes on land use conversion is:

$$\left(\textit{Loss of biomass present in previous crop} \times \textit{Activity data (Area)} \right) + \left(\textit{Annual growth in biomass carbon stocks in new land use} \times \textit{Activity data (Area)} \right) \quad (1)$$

The formula used to calculate emissions from mineral soil changes on land use conversion is:

$$\frac{\textit{Mineral soil carbon at steady state in the new land use} - \textit{Mineral soil carbon at steady state in the previous land use}}{\textit{20 years (transition period)}} \times \left(\textit{Activity data (Area)} \right) \quad (2)$$

For example, the annual change in carbon stock in the first year of conversion of 100 hectares of low producing grassland to perennial cropland would be calculated as follows:

Biomass change = (–2.867 × 100) + (0.67 × 100) = –219.7 tonnes C *(1)*

Mineral soil change = ((88.44 – 105.98) / 20) × 100 = –87.7 tonnes C *(2)*

Total carbon stock change = –307.4 tonnes C

Total emissions = (carbon stock change / 1,000 × –1) × (44/12)

Total emissions = 1.127 kt CO_2

These calculations have been performed to produce estimates of annual carbon stock and carbon stock changes since 1990.

Note: New Zealand applies the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) default transition period of 20 years. The area of all land use categories is reported in the conversion status for 20 years, after which it is reported in the land remaining land categories.

New Zealand Land Use and Carbon Analysis System

New Zealand's LULUCF estimates are calculated using a program of data collection and modelling called the Land Use and Carbon Analysis System (LUCAS). This data management system stores, manages and retrieves data for international greenhouse gas reporting for the LULUCF sector. Further details on LUCAS, as well as the databases and applications it draws on, are provided in annex 3.2.9.

6.1.3 Uncertainties in LULUCF

Uncertainty for the LULUCF sector has been calculated as 56.7 per cent using the Approach 1 – propagation of error method as specified in volume 1, chapter 3 of the 2006 IPCC General Guidance and Reporting (IPCC, 2006b). Given this uncertainty, net emissions from the LULUCF sector could range from –10,171.1 kt CO_2-e to –36,774.1 kt CO_2-e. Table 6.1.5 shows the three land use categories within the LULUCF sector that make the greatest contribution to uncertainty in the net carbon emissions for the sector. These are given in descending order.

Table 6.1.5 Land use categories making the greatest contribution to uncertainty in the LULUCF sector

Land use category	Uncertainty introduced into emissions for LULUCF (%)
Pre-1990 planted forest remaining pre-1990 planted forest	48.3
Harvested wood products	20.0
Pre-1990 natural forest remaining pre-1990 natural forest	15.5

The greatest contribution of uncertainty to the LULUCF sector arises from pre-1990 planted forest remaining pre-1990 planted forest in the *Forest land* category. The age structure of the pre-1990 planted forest estate results in large removals from growth and large emissions from harvesting. The uncertainty is calculated by combining the uncertainty of both the emissions from harvest and those from forest growth. This results in a high uncertainty relative to the net emissions estimate from both the carbon gains and losses.

Harvested wood products provide the second-greatest contribution to uncertainty in the LULUCF sector. This is driven by large removals in the category and relatively high uncertainty associated with the end-use and discard rates of New Zealand wood (±67.4 per cent).

The third-greatest contribution of uncertainty to the LULUCF sector comes from the pre-1990 natural forest remaining pre-1990 natural forest in the *Forest land* category. This is due to the large uncertainty relative to the small changes in biomass in this forest type.

Further information on uncertainties and the reasons for their relative contributions to the LULUCF sector, as well as details on emission factor and activity data uncertainties for specific land uses and non-carbon emissions are given within the relevant category sections of this chapter.

6.1.4 Recalculations in LULUCF

For the 2022 submission, New Zealand has recalculated its emission estimates for the LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2019. The recalculations incorporate new activity data from deforestation mapping, updated emission factors, updates to the *Harvested wood products* model and significant improvements to the planted forest activity data calculations on harvesting, deforestation and the forest age profile. These recalculations have improved the accuracy, consistency and completeness of the LULUCF estimates.

As a result of the recalculations, estimates of net emissions in 1990 have increased by 11.6 per cent, and net emissions in 2019 have increased by 16.0 per cent (see table 6.1.6). The impact of these recalculations on net CO_2-e emissions in each land use category is provided in table 6.1.7.

Table 6.1.6 Recalculations to New Zealand's total net LULUCF emissions for 1990 and 2019

	Reported net emissions		Change in estimate	
Year	2021 submission (kt CO_2-e)	2022 submission (kt CO_2-e)	(kt CO_2-e)	(%)
1990	–24,014.5	–21,229.2	2,785.2	11.6
2019	–27,425.1	–23,034.9	4,390.2	16.0

Table 6.1.7 **Recalculations to New Zealand's net LULUCF emissions for 1990 and 2019 by category**

	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e)					
Land use category	**2021 submission: 1990 estimate**	**2022 submission: 1990 estimate**	**2021 submission: 2019 estimate**	**2022 submission: 2019 estimate**	**Change in 1990 estimate (%)**	**Change in 2019 estimate (%)**
Forest land	−23,174.2	−20,068.3	−22,176.8	−19,068.4	13.4	14.0
Cropland	476.0	476.2	386.8	386.8	0.0	−0.0
Grassland	680.2	724.7	4,253.6	4,373.1	6.5	2.8
Wetlands	−10.7	−10.5	13.4	13.4	2.2	−0.3
Settlements	74.4	75.4	112.7	127.8	1.3	13.4
Other land	12.8	13.6	52.5	152.8	6.6	191.1
Indirect emissions	NA	40.8	NA	17.6	NA	NA
Harvested wood products	−2,072.9	−2,481.2	−10,067.3	−9,037.8	−19.7	10.2
Total	**−24,014.5**	**−21,229.2**	**−27,425.1**	**−23,034.9**	**11.6**	**16.0**

Note: Net removals are expressed as a negative value in the table to help clarify that the value is a removal (of CO_2-e from the atmosphere) and not an emission. Columns may not total due to rounding. Indirect emissions as a result of N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff are not disaggregated by land use category in the CRF and are reported separately under non-CO_2 emissions in section 6.10.4. Indirect N_2O emissions were not reported in the previous submission and are therefore estimated as NA (not applicable).

The main differences between this submission and previous estimates of New Zealand's LULUCF emissions reported in the 2021 submission are the result of the changes made to the *Forest land* category. Note that *Forest land* contributes the greatest net emissions to the LULUCF sector and contributes the greatest uncertainty; therefore, inventory improvements to *Forest land* are generally prioritised.

The following three improvements have resulted in the biggest changes in the recalculations.

3. The method used to estimate the planted forest average harvest age, harvest age profile (harvest area by age) and forest age profile has been updated. These changes have been made to improve the accuracy of the ages at which pre-1990 or post-1989 planted forest is reported as being harvested or deforested. These updates result in an increase in emissions of approximately 1,700 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and 100 kt CO_2-e in 2019.
4. The yield tables used for pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest have been updated to provide more accurate and up-to-date estimates of carbon stock change. All planted forest yield tables have been updated to include plots measured in the 2020 national forest inventory. The period-specific yield tables used for pre-1990 planted forest have also been updated to reflect different planting periods. In the previous submission, three yield tables were applied to the pre-1990 planted forest estate, representing stands planted before 1990, between 1990 and 2009, and from 2010 onwards. For this submission, two period-specific yield tables are used to represent forest planted before 1990 and forest planted from 1990 onwards. This change was made following draft recommendations from the expert review team (ERT) during the review of the 2021 submission, as the reliability of the yield table used to represent stands planted from 2010 onwards was questioned. Changes to the planted forest yield tables have resulted in a decrease in emissions of approximately 115 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and an increase in emissions of approximately 1,460 kt CO_2-e in 2019.
5. Improvements have been made to the net emissions estimate from pre-1990 natural forest. In previous submissions, areas of tall and regenerating pre-1990 natural forest, as defined in section 6.3.2, table 6.3.5, were classified using land cover based on version 3 (v3) of the Land Cover Database (LCDB). However, carbon stock change was calculated using a species composition approach to classify plots (Wiser, 2016), creating a mismatch

in assigning carbon stock change per hectare to forest area. In this submission the LCDB version 5 (LCDBv5) was applied for both classifications to amend this inconsistency. Additionally, the assumption that tall forests were in steady state has been revised so that a carbon stock change per hectare is now also included for the tall forest component (following a recommendation from the ERT, L.18, 2020). Collectively, this has resulted in an increase in annual emissions of approximately 1,300 kt CO_2-e across the time series.

Detailed information on the recalculations is provided in the relevant source-specific recalculations sections below and in chapter 10.

6.1.5 LULUCF planned improvements

The LULUCF sector has a plan of continuous improvement and has introduced several improvements in this submission. Once a year, potential category-specific improvements are ranked in order of priority according to ERT recommendations, key category analysis and contribution to uncertainty in the sector. The improvement priority list is then compared with available resources and capability before a final improvements plan, for the current National Inventory Report and – in the case of the long-term improvements – for future national inventory reports, is agreed on.

Category-specific planned improvements for the 2023 and future submissions are reported separately under each of the relevant category sections of this chapter. The major themes are to:

- continue with method development to implement the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a)
- continue to re-measure the pre-1990 natural forest ground plot inventory on a continuous basis (on a 10-year cycle). The data collected to date from the third measurement cycle will be analysed and integrated into future submissions
- continue to re-measure the complete planted forest plot network (pre-1990 and post-1989) on a continuous basis (on a five-year cycle). The data will be incorporated into the National Inventory Report as they become available
- continue to improve land use mapping by using information collected through the NZ ETS. The NZ ETS provides an ongoing source of mapping information on forest extent and age, along with information on deforestation activity and carbon equivalent forest activities. This will be used as part of a continuous improvement programme to update the 1990, 2008, 2012 and 2016 land use maps
- complete the 2020 land use map based on Sentinel-2 satellite imagery captured during the summer of 2020/21
- undertake research to identify nutrient status, drainage depth and suitable emission factors for drained organic soils to enable the application of the 2013 Wetlands supplement
- undertake a literature review of carbon stocks and estimated stock change through time in inland wetland vegetation. This will enable carbon stock gains and losses from land-use changes to and from vegetated wetlands to be reported
- improve the SOC reference stock values for *Cropland* and *Grassland* through data being collected as part of a 12-year longitudinal study to measure the impact of management practices on agricultural soils
- produce New Zealand-specific half-lives and their associated uncertainty values for exported harvested wood products and those used domestically.

6.2 Representation of land areas

6.2.1 Description

New Zealand uses reporting Methods 1 and 2 and Approaches 2 and 3 to determine land-use changes occurring between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2020 (section 2.2.4, IPCC, 2014). Areas of land use and land-use change between 1990 and 2020 are based on four wall-to-wall land use maps derived from satellite imagery at nominal mapping dates of 31 December 1989, 31 December 2007, 31 December 2012 and 31 December 2016 (see figure 6.2.1). Area information from these maps is interpolated and extrapolated to obtain a complete time series of land-use change occurring between 1990 and 2020 (see annex A3.2.2). Ancillary data – including aerial photography, additional satellite imagery, data from the NZ ETS and other national survey data – are used to support data interpolation and map production. Further information on the mapping methodology and imagery used, as well as the interpolation and extrapolation process to obtain a complete time series of land-use change occurring between 1990 and 2020 can be found in annex A3.2.2. Gross land use transitions before 1990 are estimated using a variety of different sources and following the methodology described in Watts (unpublished) and reviewed in Hunter and McNeill (unpublished), which is further described in annex A3.2.2. Applying this methodology allows for the land use transition matrix for the period 1962 to 1989 to be obtained (see table 6.2.1).

Figure 6.2.1 Land use map of New Zealand as at 31 December 2016



Note: The inset map is of the Chatham Islands, which lie approximately 660 kilometres south-east of the south-eastern corner of the North Island.

Table 6.2.1 New Zealand's land-use change matrix from 1962 to 1989

		Forest land				Cropland		Grassland			Wetland		Settlements	Other land	Net area 31 Dec 1989 (kha)
1989	1962	Pre-1990 natural	Pre-1990 planted	Post-1989 planted	Post-1989 natural	Annual	Perennial	High producing	Low producing	With woody biomass	Open water	Vegetated	Settlements	Other land	
Forest land	Pre-1990 natural	7,780.2								42.6					**7,822.8**
	Pre-1990 planted	298.1	456.4						389.9	402.0					**1,546.4**
	Post-1989 planted														**0.0**
	Post-1989 natural														**0.0**
Cropland	Annual					325.0		21.0	9.0						**354.9**
	Perennial					0.9	58.7	5.0	4.5						**69.0**
Grassland	High producing	82.6				22.0	19.5	4,808.8	470.1	348.7		88.8			**5,840.4**
	Low producing	428.6							7,447.3	35.9					**7,911.8**
	With woody biomass	73.4							482.5	958.2					**1,514.1**
Wetland	Open water	14.4									513.0				**527.4**
	Vegetated											234.6			**234.6**
Settlements	Settlements	5.3				7.1	0.1	6.6	3.9	0.3			184.6		**207.9**
Other land	Other land													895.8	**895.8**
Area as at 1 Jan 1962 (kha)		**8,682.5**	**456.4**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**354.9**	**78.2**	**4,841.4**	**8,807.2**	**1,787.7**	**513.0**	**323.4**	**184.6**	**895.8**	**26,925.2**
Net change 1 Jan 1962–31 Dec 1989		**–859.7**	**1,090.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**–9.2**	**999.0**	**–895.4**	**–273.6**	**14.4**	**–88.8**	**23.3**	**0.0**	**0.0**
Net change 1962–1989 (%)		**–9.9**	**238.8**	**NA**	**NA**	**0.0**	**–11.7**	**20.6**	**–10.2**	**–15.3**	**2.8**	**–27.4**	**12.6**	**0.0**	**NA**

6.2.2 Land use category definitions

The land use categories and matching land uses New Zealand reports for are shown in table 6.2.2.

Table 6.2.2 New Zealand's land use categories and land uses

IPCC category	New Zealand land use
Forest land	Pre-1990 natural forest
	Pre-1990 planted forest
	Post-1989 natural forest(1)
	Post-1989 planted forest(1)
Cropland	Annual cropland
	Perennial cropland
Grassland	High producing grassland
	Low producing grassland
	Grassland with woody biomass
Wetlands	Open water
	Vegetated wetland
Settlements	Settlements
Other land	Other land

Note: (1) Mapped as a single land use but stratified into 'post-1989 natural forest' and 'post-1989 planted forest' for calculating carbon stock and stock change using data from the plot network.

The land uses were chosen for their conformance with the dominant types in New Zealand, while still enabling reporting under the land use categories specified in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a).

The national thresholds used by New Zealand to define *Forest land* are:

- a minimum area of 1 hectare
- a crown cover of at least 30 per cent
- a minimum height of 5 metres at maturity *in situ* (Ministry for the Environment, 2006)
- a minimum forest width of 30 metres from canopy edge to canopy edge.

The definitions of New Zealand's land uses, as they have been mapped, are provided in table 6.2.3. Further details are included in *Land Use and Carbon Analysis System: Satellite imagery interpretation guide for land use classes* (2nd edition) (Ministry for the Environment, 2012).

Table 6.2.3 New Zealand's mapping definitions for each land use

Land use	Definition
Pre-1990 natural forest	Areas that, on 1 January 1990, were and presently include: • tall indigenous forest • self-sown exotic trees, such as wilding pines and grey willows (where managed as forest) • broadleaved hardwood shrubland, mānuka–kānuka (*Leptospermum scoparium–Kunzea* spp.) shrubland and other woody shrubland (≥30 per cent cover, with potential to reach ≥5 metres at maturity *in situ* under current land management within 30–40 years) • areas of bare ground of any size that were previously forested but, due to natural disturbances (e.g., erosion, storms, fire), have temporarily lost vegetation cover • areas that were planted forest at 1990 but are subsequently managed to regenerate with natural species that will meet the forest definition • roads and tracks less than 30 metres in width and other temporarily unstocked areas associated with a forest land use.

Land use	Definition
Pre-1990 planted forest	Areas that, on 1 January 1990, were and presently include: • radiata pine (*Pinus radiata*), Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), eucalypts (*Eucalyptus* spp.) or other planted species (with potential to reach ≥5 metre height at maturity *in situ*) established before 1 January 1990 or replanted on land that was forest land as at 31 December 1989 • exotic forest species that were planted after 31 December 1989 on land that was natural forest • riparian or erosion control plantings that meet the forest definition and that were planted before 1 January 1990 • harvested areas within pre-1990 planted forest (assuming these will be replanted, unless deforestation is later detected) • roads, tracks, skid sites and other temporarily unstocked areas less than 30 metres in width associated with a forest land use • areas of bare ground of any size that were previously forested at 31 December 1989 but, due to natural disturbances (e.g., erosion, storms, fire), have lost vegetation cover.
Post-1989 forest	Includes post-1989 planted forest, which consists of: • exotic forest (with the potential to reach ≥5 metre height at maturity *in situ*) planted or established on land that was non-forest land as at 31 December 1989 (e.g., radiata pine, Douglas fir, eucalypts or other planted species) • riparian or erosion control plantings that meet the forest definition and that were planted after 31 December 1989 • harvested areas within post-1989 forest land (assuming these will be replanted, unless deforestation is later detected). Includes post-1989 natural forest, which consists of: • forests arising from natural regeneration of indigenous tree species as a result of management change after 31 December 1989 • self-sown exotic trees, such as wilding conifers or grey willows, established after 31 December 1989 (where managed as forest). Includes areas within post-1989 natural forest or post-1989 planted forest that are: • roads, tracks, skid sites and other temporarily unstocked areas associated with a forest land use • areas of bare ground of any size that were previously forested (established after 31 December 1989) but, due to natural disturbances (e.g., erosion, storms, fire), have lost vegetation cover.
Annual cropland	Includes: • all annual crops • all cultivated bare ground • linear shelterbelts associated with annual cropland.
Perennial cropland	Includes: • all orchards and vineyards • linear shelterbelts associated with perennial cropland.
High producing grassland	Includes: • grassland with high-quality pasture species • linear shelterbelts that are <1 hectare in area or <30 metres in mean width (larger shelterbelts are mapped separately as grassland with woody biomass) • areas of bare ground of any size that were previously grassland but, due to natural disturbances (e.g., erosion), have lost vegetation cover.
Low producing grassland	Includes: • low-fertility grassland and tussock grasslands (e.g., *Chionochloa* and *Festuca* spp.) • mostly hill country • montane herbfields either at an altitude higher than above-timberline vegetation or where the herbfields are not mixed up with woody vegetation • linear shelterbelts that are <1 hectare in area or <30 metres in mean width (larger shelterbelts are mapped separately as grassland with woody biomass) • other areas of limited vegetation cover and significant bare soil, including erosion and coastal herbaceous sand-dune vegetation.
Grassland with woody biomass	Includes: • grassland with matagouri (*Discaria toumatou*) and sweet briar (*Rosa rubiginosa*), broadleaved hardwood shrubland (e.g., māhoe – *Melicytus ramiflorus*), wineberry (*Aristotelia serrata*), *Pseudopanax* spp., *Pittosporum* spp.), mānuka–kānuka (*Leptospermum scoparium–Kunzea* spp.) shrubland, coastal and other woody shrubland (<5 metres tall and any percentage of cover) where, under current management or environmental conditions (climate and/or soil), it is expected that the forest criteria will not be met over a 30- to 40-year period

Land use	Definition
	• above-timberline shrubland vegetation intermixed with montane herbfields (does not have the potential to reach >5 metres in height *in situ*) • grassland with tall tree species (<30 per cent cover), such as golf courses in rural areas (except where the Land Cover Database has classified these as settlements) • grassland with riparian or erosion control plantings (<30 per cent cover) • linear shelterbelts that are >1 hectare in area and <30 metres in mean width • areas of bare ground of any size that previously contained grassland with woody biomass but, due to natural disturbances (e.g., erosion, fire), have lost vegetation cover.
Open water	Includes: • lakes, rivers, dams and reservoirs • estuarine–tidal areas including mangroves.
Vegetated wetland	Includes: • herbaceous and/or non-forest woody vegetation, including trees of any stature, in a wetland context (periodically or permanently flooded) • areas under peat extraction • estuarine–tidal areas including mangroves.
Settlements	Includes: • built-up areas and impervious surfaces • grassland within 'settlements' including recreational areas, urban parklands and open spaces that do not meet the forest definition • major roading infrastructure • airports and runways • dam infrastructure • urban subdivisions under construction.
Other land	Includes: • montane rock and/or scree • river gravels, rocky outcrops, sand dunes and beaches, coastal cliffs, mines (including spoil), quarries • permanent ice and/or snow and glaciers • any other remaining land that does not fall into any of the other land use categories as described in volume 4, section 3.2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a).

6.2.3 Methodological change

For this submission, improvements have been made to the 1990, 2008, 2012 and 2016 land use maps. This year, improvements have focused on:

- updates to the extent of forest areas based on information from the NZ ETS and other forestry schemes, the latest version of the Land Cover Database and deforestation mapping.

6.2.4 Quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) and verification

Quality-control and quality-assurance procedures have been adopted for all data collection and data analyses, consistent with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) and New Zealand's inventory quality-control and quality-assurance plan. Data quality and data assurance plans are established for each type of data used to determine carbon stock and stock changes, as well as for the mapping of the areal extent and spatial location of land-use changes. Further information on QA/QC procedures specific to mapping can be found in annex A3.2.1.

6.2.5 Planned improvements

The following mapping improvements will be undertaken.

- During the first half of 2020, an aerial deforestation survey was undertaken to complete mapping of deforestation for forest loss events occurring in 2017 and 2018. This mapped area will replace the deforestation estimates for those years that are included in this inventory. The results of this survey were not available at the time of the preparation for this submission but will be included in the next Inventory submission.
- The land use map schema will be modernised to permit mapping land-use change beyond the last nominal mapping date.
- In late 2021, planning started for the production of the next wall-to-wall map to be added to the time series. This map will be based on Sentinel-2 imagery acquired over the summer of 2020/21. Following the production of this map, the plan is to complete an accuracy assessment of the map series with a focus on the accuracy of land-use change mapping.
- In early 2022, a deforestation survey will be conducted on all areas where forest was lost during 2019 and 2020. This will provide mapped deforestation areas for 2019 and 2020 to be incorporated into the 2020 land use map.

6.3 Forest land (CRF 4A)

6.3.1 Description

In 2020, *Forest land* contributed –19,704.7 kt CO_2-e of net emissions. Net emissions from *Forest land* have increased by 363.6 kt CO_2-e (1.8 per cent) from the 1990 level of –20,068.3 kt CO_2-e (see table 6.3.1). Between 1990 and 2020, *Forest land* is the most significant contributor to carbon stock changes in the LULUCF sector. In 2020, forests covered 37.0 per cent (10.0 million hectares) of New Zealand's total land area. Note that emissions for the *Harvested wood products* category are reported separately within the CRF Reporter and are described further in section 6.9.

In 2020, *Forest land remaining forest land* and *Land converted to forest land* were key categories (based on a trend and level assessment).

Table 6.3.1 New Zealand's land-use change for the *Forest land* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, in 1990 and 2020

Land use category	Net area as at 1990 (ha)	Net area as at 2020 (ha)	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Change from 1990 (%)
			1990	2020	
Forest land remaining forest land	8,548,151	9,675,142	–1,858.7	–15,142.5	–714.7
Land converted to forest land	821,024	296,528	–18,209.6	–4,562.2	+74.9
Total	**9,369,176**	**9,971,670**	**–20,068.3**	**–19,704.7**	**+1.8**

Note: Net area in 1990 is as at 1 January 1990; net area in 2020 is as at 31 December. The area of *Land converted to forest land* includes land converted up to 20 years earlier, and net area values include land in a state of conversion (due to land-use change before 1990) and afforestation since 1990. Net emission estimates are for the whole year indicated. Columns may not total due to rounding, and percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

New Zealand has applied the following parameters for land to be classified as *Forest land*:

- minimum area of 1 hectare
- potential to reach a minimum height of 5 metres
- potential to reach a minimum crown cover of 30 per cent
- a minimum forest width of 30 metres from canopy edge to canopy edge.

Where the height and canopy cover parameters are not met at the time of mapping, the land has been classified as *Forest land* if the land-management practice(s) and local site conditions (including climate) are such that the forest parameters will be met over a 30- to 40-year timeframe. Note that New Zealand does not report linear shelterbelts under the *Forest land* category because they are not on land managed as forest. They form part of non-forest land uses, namely *Cropland* and *Grassland* (as shelter to crops and/or animals).

New Zealand uses four *Forest land* types: pre-1990 natural forest (predominantly native forest), pre-1990 planted forest (predominantly *Pinus radiata*), post-1989 planted forest and post-1989 natural forest (where post-1989 forests are those established after 31 December 1989). The definitions used for mapping these land uses are given in table 6.2.3.

Table 6.3.2 shows land-use change by forest land type since 1990 and the associated CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change (note: non-CO_2 emissions are reported elsewhere).

Table 6.3.2 Change in land area and associated CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change between 1990 and 2020 for New Zealand's *Forest land*

Land use	Net area (ha) 1990	Net area (ha) 2020	Change from 1990 (%)	Net emissions (kt CO_2 only) 1990	Net emissions (kt CO_2 only) 2020	Change from 1990 (%)
Pre-1990 natural forest	7,822,761	7,754,169	–0.9	–1,375.1	–1,372.3	0.2
Pre-1990 planted forest	1,546,415	1,437,218	–7.1	–19,077.0	–7,713.8	59.6
Post-1989 planted forest	0	689,777	NA	148.5	–10,210.2	–6,974.5
Post-1989 natural forest	0	90,506	NA	3.8	–687.0	–18,411.8
Total	**9,369,176**	**9,971,670**	**6.4**	**–20,299.8**	**–19,983.3**	**1.6**

Note: NA = not applicable. Net area in 1990 is as at 1 January 1990; net area in 2020 is as at 31 December. Net area values include land in a state of conversion to forest (due to land-use change before 1990) and afforestation since 1990. Net emissions estimates are for the whole year indicated. Columns may not total due to rounding. Emissions associated with the conversion of forest to other land uses are reported in the land use category the land is converted to.

Table 6.3.3 shows New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool within the *Forest land* category from 1990 to 2020. Over this period, the total carbon stock stored in *Forest land* has increased by 214,548.4 kt C, equivalent to emissions of –786,677.5 tonnes CO_2 since 1990.

Table 6.3.3 New Zealand's net carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Forest land* category from 1990 to 2020

Land use	Net carbon stock change 1990–2020 (kt C) Living biomass	Dead organic matter	Soils	Total	Emissions 1990–2020 (kt CO_2)
Pre-1990 natural forest	10,382.5	1,014.3	–135.1	11,261.8	–41,293.2
Pre-1990 planted forest	101,093.0	15,695.9	–4,238.7	112,550.2	–412,684.1
Post-1989 planted forest	83,721.7	12,115.5	–7,423.4	88,413.8	–324,184.1
Post-1989 natural forest	2,917.5	32.3	–627.2	2,322.6	–8,516.0
Total	**198,114.7**	**28,858.0**	**–12,424.3**	**214,548.4**	**–786,677.5**

Note: Emissions associated with the conversion of forest are reported in the land use category the land is converted to. Columns may not total due to rounding.

New Zealand's emissions profile in the LULUCF sector is predominantly influenced by plantation forestry. The rapid growth rates of plantation forest relative to natural forest, the timing of afforestation and harvesting cycles drive the emissions profile and will continue to do so in the future.

6.3.2 Methodological issues

Afforestation/reforestation

The area of afforestation and reforestation of planted and natural forests is derived from a combination of land use mapping, national statistics and forestry scheme data. Annual rates of afforestation/reforestation are shown in figure 6.3.1 and are influenced greatly by market and policy conditions at the time, as described in section 6.1.1 and chapter 11, section 11.3.2. Further details on how the area of afforestation is calculated are provided in annex A3.2.2.

Figure 6.3.1 Annual areas of afforestation/reforestation in New Zealand from 1990 to 2020



Note: Details on how the area of new forest establishment is calculated are provided in A3.2.2.

Post-1989 planted forests did not become a net sink until 1996 (see figure 6.3.2), when net removals from forest growth surpassed net emissions. These emissions were due to the loss of biomass in carbon stocks associated with the previous land use before conversion, in addition to the loss of soil carbon associated with a land-use change to forestry.

Figure 6.3.2 New Zealand's net carbon dioxide removals by post-1989 planted forests from 1990 to 2020



Harvesting

The annual area harvested in both pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest from 1990 to 2020 is shown in figure 6.3.3. The method used to calculate the harvest area is outlined in annex A3.2.5 'Calculation of harvest area'. Emissions from harvesting are dependent on the age of the forest being harvested. To accurately reflect the actual harvest ages that occur, and therefore the associated emissions, a harvest age profile is applied to the harvest area to determine the harvest area by age. Detailed methodology on how the harvest age profile is calculated for post-1989 planted forest and pre-1990 planted forest can be found in annex A3.2.5 'Calculation of harvest area by age and forest age profile' and addresses ERT recommendation L.17/FCCC/ARR/2019/NZL.

Only a minimal proportion of timber harvested is from the natural forest estate. In 2020, an estimated 0.03 per cent of New Zealand's total forest timber production was from the harvesting of natural forests (calculated from Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021a).

Figure 6.3.3 New Zealand's area of planted forest harvest (inclusive of deforestation) from 1990 to 2020



Deforestation

In 2020, an estimated 2,506 hectares of *Forest land* were converted to other land uses, primarily *Grassland*. Table 6.3.4 and figure 6.3.4 show the areas of *Forest land* subject to deforestation in 2020 and since 1990. Figure 6.3.4 also illustrates how planted forest deforestation increased leading up to 2008 and decreased after the introduction of the NZ ETS in 2008.

Table 6.3.4 New Zealand's *Forest land* subject to deforestation, 1990 and 2020

Land use	Area of forest in 1990 (ha)	Deforestation since 1990		Deforestation in 2020	
		Deforestation since 1990 Area (ha)	Proportion of 1990 area (%)	Area (ha)	Proportion of 1990 area (%)
Pre-1990 natural forest	7,822,761	47,210	0.60	781	0.01
Pre-1990 planted forest	1,546,415	131,859	8.53	984	0.06
Post-1989 planted forest	0	37,739	NA	617	NA
Post-1989 natural forest	0	1,230	NA	124	NA
Total	**9,369,176**	**218,038**	**2.33**	**2,506**	**0.03**

Note: NA = not applicable. The 2020 areas are as at 31 December 2020; 1990 areas are as at 1 January 1990 and, therefore, differ from 1990 area values in the CRF tables, which are as at 31 December 1990. Columns may not total due to rounding.

Figure 6.3.4 New Zealand's area of deforestation since 1990, by *Forest land* category



New Zealand assumes instant emissions of all biomass carbon at the time of deforestation, based on the following.

- The majority of deforestation since 2000 has resulted from land conversion to *Grassland*, leading to the rapid removal of all biomass as the land is prepared for farming.
- It is not practical to estimate emissions from residues following deforestation activity given the rapid conversion from one land use to another and the multiple methods of removing residues. Furthermore, estimating biomass residue and decay rates for multiple disposal methods is difficult and costly.

Estimates of deforestation emissions for pre-1990 natural forest are based on the type of vegetation deforested (tall forest or regenerating). The area of pre-1990 natural forest deforestation is sub-classified as tall forest or regenerating using spatial data on land cover, sourced from LCDBv5 using the 2008 map year, and these subcategories are defined in table 6.3.5. Tall forest deforestation emissions are determined from the average carbon stock per hectare in biomass for tall forests. Regenerating forest deforestation emissions are determined from the average carbon stock per hectare in biomass for regenerating forests. All carbon in biomass, for both tall and regenerating forest, is assumed in the calculations to be an instantaneous emission at the time of deforestation, as no information on the time lag of emissions from dead organic matter or below-ground biomass is currently available. Table 6.3.6 shows the areas of these two forest sub-classifications and table 6.3.7 shows the areas of pre-1990 natural forest deforestation split by these two forest types.

Table 6.3.5 Pre-1990 natural forest subcategories and description

Pre-1990 forest subcategories	Description
Tall	Made up of two LCDB classes: 1. Indigenous forest; tall forest dominated by indigenous conifer, broadleaved or beech species. 2. Broadleaved indigenous hardwoods; lowland scrub communities dominated by indigenous mixed broadleaved shrubs.
Regenerating	All other areas mapped as pre-1990 natural forest that fall outside the two LCDB classes above. Represents areas recovering from previous disturbances.

Table 6.3.6 Areas of tall and regenerating pre-1990 natural forest

Pre-1990 forest sub-classification	Area of forest in 1990 (ha)	Area of forest in 2020 (ha)
Tall forest	6,690,049.1	6,670,151.4
Regenerating forest	1,132,711.9	1,084,017.9
Total	**7,822,761.0**	**7,754,169.3**

Table 6.3.7 New Zealand's areas of pre-1990 natural forest deforestation estimated by type from 1990 to 2020

	Area of natural forest deforestation (ha)								
Natural forest type	1990–2007	2008–2013	2014	2015	2016	2017P	2018P	2019P	2020P
Tall forest	8,636	2,824	323	466	440	410	410	410	410
Regenerating	24,563	5,721	278	506	329	371	371	371	371
Total	**33,199**	**8,545**	**601**	**972**	**769**	**781**	**781**	**781**	**781**

Note: P = provisional figure (figures for 2017–2020 are provisional). Columns may not total due to rounding.

Estimates of biomass burning emissions associated with deforestation are provided in section 6.10.8.

Deforestation emissions are reported in the relevant *Land converted to* category, as are all emissions from land-use change.

National Forest Inventory

New Zealand has established a sampling framework for forest inventory purposes based on an 8-kilometre national grid system (8 kilometres north–south by 8 kilometres east–west). The grid has a randomly selected origin and provides an unbiased framework for establishing plots for field and/or Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) measurements. The network is subdivided into a 4-kilometre grid for measurement of post-1989 forest. Forest monitoring plots are established and measured where a grid point falls in the land use to be sampled. Figures 6.3.5 and 6.3.6 show the distribution of the pre-1990 natural and planted forest, post-1989 natural and planted forest, and the carbon monitoring plots throughout New Zealand. A further description of the plot network can be found in annex A3.2.5 'National forest inventory'.

Figure 6.3.5 Location of New Zealand's pre-1990 forest carbon monitoring plots



Figure 6.3.6 Location of New Zealand's post-1989 forest plots



Forest land remaining forest land (CRF 4.A.1)

Living biomass and dead organic matter

Emissions and removals for the living biomass and dead organic matter pools have been calculated using Tier 2 and 3 methods. At each forest plot, data are collected to calculate the volumes of trees, shrubs and dead organic matter present. These measurements are then used to estimate the carbon stocks for the biomass pools of:

- living biomass (comprising above-ground biomass and below-ground biomass)
- dead organic matter (comprising dead wood and litter).

The method used to calculate the carbon stock in each biomass pool from the information collected at each plot, for each forest classification, is summarised in table 6.3.8.

Carbon stocks in natural forest are estimated directly from the inventory plots. Further detail on methods can be found in annex A3.2.5 'National forest inventory'.

The carbon stocks for pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest are calculated from yield tables derived from the inventory plots, which are then applied to an estimated age class distribution. The yield tables are based on plots measured in 2020 combined with all other plots measured since 2007 which are created using interpolation for multiple measurements.

A single yield table is applied to the post-1989 forest estate and two period-specific yield tables are applied to the pre-1990 forest estate based on plant date: pre-1990 forest planted before 1990 and pre-1990 forest planted from 1990 onwards.

The use of period-specific yield tables allows for a more accurate estimate of carbon stock gains and losses from planted forests through time. As increased yields are detected in more recently established plots due to improved management or genetic improvements, these will be reflected in the more recent yield table. In contrast, when using a single-period yield table for all years, the 1990 base year estimate can keep shifting due to the influence of more recently established plots.

Where there has been a land-use change between natural forest and planted forest, the associated carbon changes are reported under *Forest land remaining forest land*, provided the forest has already been established for 20 years.

Where pre-1990 forest has undergone a deforestation event, changed to a different land use, such as *Grasslands*, and then subsequently undergone another land-use change back to *Forest land*, the land use will be classified as pre-1990 forest. This is in line with the category definitions outlined in table 6.2.3.

Detailed methodology on the plot network, sampling methods and yield table derivation, as well as validation of the yield tables with the measured plot data, can be found in annexes A3.2.5 'National forest inventory' and A3.2.5 'Forest land model validations'.

Table 6.3.8 Summary of methods used to calculate New Zealand's forest biomass carbon stock from plot data

	Pool		Method	Source
Pre-1990 natural forest	**Living biomass**	Above-ground biomass	Plot measurements; allometric equations	Paul et al. (2021)
		Below-ground biomass	Estimated as the ratio of below-ground biomass to above-ground biomass	Paul et al. (2021); Easdale et al. (2019)
	Dead organic matter	Dead wood	Modelled from plot measurements; allometric equations	Garrett et al. (2019) ; Paul et al. (2021); Kimberley et al. (2019)
		Litter	Plot samples; laboratory analysis of samples collected at plots	Paul et al. (2021); Garrett (unpublished)
Post-1989 natural forest	**Living biomass**	Above-ground biomass	Plot measurements; allometric equations	Paul et al. (2021)
		Below-ground biomass	Estimated as the ratio of below-ground biomass to above-ground biomass	Paul et al. (unpublished(a)); Easdale et al. (2019)

	Pool		Method	Source
	Dead organic matter	Dead wood	Modelled from plot measurements; allometric model	Garrett et al. (2019); Paul et al. (unpublished(a)); Kimberley et al. (2019)
		Litter	Allometric model and percentage of above-ground biomass	Paul et al. (unpublished(a)); Garrett (unpublished)
Pre-1990 planted forest	**Living biomass**	Above-ground biomass	Modelled through allometric equations, then included in national yield tables	Paul et al. (unpublished(b))
		Below-ground biomass	Estimated as the ratio of below-ground biomass to above-ground biomass	Paul et al. (unpublished(b))
	Dead organic matter	Dead wood	Allometric model using plot measurements, included in national yield tables. Harvest residues added to dead wood pool through CRA	Paul et al. (unpublished(b))
		Litter	Allometric model and percentage of above-ground biomass	Paul et al. (unpublished(b))
Post-1989 planted forest	**Living biomass**	Above-ground biomass	Modelled through allometric equations, then included in national yield tables	Paul et al. (unpublished(b))
		Below-ground biomass	Estimated as the ratio of below-ground biomass to above-ground biomass	Paul et al. (unpublished(b))
	Dead organic matter	Dead wood	Allometric model using plot measurements, included in national yield tables. Harvest residues added to dead wood pool through CRA	Paul et al. (unpublished(b))
		Litter	Allometric model and percentage of above-ground biomass	Paul et al. (unpublished(b))

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in *Forest land remaining forest land* are estimated using a Tier 2 method for mineral soils, as described in annex A3.2.4 'Mineral soils'.

For organic soils, IPCC good practice guidance is limited to the estimation of carbon emissions associated with the drainage of organic soils in managed forests (IPCC, 2006a, section 4.2.3.1). In New Zealand, natural forests are not drained and, therefore, oxidation processes associated with drainage are not occurring. It is therefore assumed that there are no carbon emissions from organic soils in pre-1990 natural forest land remaining pre-1990 natural forest land. A Tier 1 approach for pre-1990 planted, post-1989 planted and post-1989 natural *Forest land remaining forest land* is applied and is described further in annex A3.2.4 'Organic soils'.

Non-CO_2 emissions for forest land

Direct and indirect nitrous oxide emissions from fertilisation of forest land and disturbance associated with land use management conversion are described in section 6.10. Note that the calculations of indirect N_2O are not disaggregated by subcategory and are therefore not included in the emissions subtotals for *Forest land*.

Land converted to forest land (CRF 4.A.2)

All *Land converted to forest land* since 1 January 1990, either by planting or as a result of human-induced changes in land-management practice (e.g., removing grazing stock and actively facilitating the regeneration of tree species), is included as post-1989 forest. Post-1989 forest is split into two divisions for calculating emissions and removals: post-1989 natural forest and post-1989 planted forest.

The area of land converted to natural and planted forests is derived from a combination of land use mapping, national statistics and forestry scheme data. Further details on how the area of new forest establishment is calculated are provided in annex A3.2.2.

When non-forest land is converted to forest land, all carbon in living biomass that was present at the time of forest establishment is assumed to be instantly emitted as a result of forest establishment preparation, with the exception of *Grassland with woody biomass*. Conversions from *Grassland with woody biomass* to post-1989 natural forest represent ecological succession and do not involve the clearance of vegetation. A special case yield table (see annex 3.2.5.5, table A3.2.16) is used in these instances. This yield table has the same starting carbon stock as *Grassland with woody biomass*, therefore resulting in no net emissions occurring from biomass in the first year after conversion. This does not impact net carbon dioxide removals by the time the forest reaches the age of 30 years, but impacts the year in which reported emissions and removals occur.

Between 1990 and 2020, of the non-forest land converted to post-1989 forest, approximately 60 per cent has been converted from low producing grassland, 22 per cent from *Grassland with woody biomass* and a further 17 per cent from high producing grassland. Note that the grassland type allocated to afforestation in non-mapped years is proportionally based on previously mapped years. *Grassland with woody biomass* provides the largest source of emissions associated with land-use change to planted forest due to the amount of biomass present before land use conversion.

Details on the methods, plot network, sampling framework and biomass pools for both post-1989 planted and post-1989 natural forest are provided in annex A3.2.5 'National forest inventory'.

6.3.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Forest land* was 61.6 per cent in 2020. The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Forest land* accounted for 52.8 per cent of the total uncertainty in emissions from the LULUCF sector. The uncertainty associated with the emissions from each forest class is shown in table 6.3.9. The methods used to calculate the uncertainty are further described in annex A3.2.8.

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

Table 6.3.9 Uncertainty in carbon stock change emissions in 2020 from *Forest land*

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)	Uncertainty in emissions (%)	Contribution to LULUCF uncertainty (%)
Pre-1990 planted forest	–7,713.8	146.1	48.3
Post-1989 planted forest	–10,210.2	33.0	14.5
Pre-1990 natural forest	–1,372.3	263.1	15.5
Post-1989 natural forest	–687.0	35.4	1.0
Total	**–19,983.3**	**61.6**	**52.8**

6.3.4 Category-specific QA/QC and verification

Carbon dioxide emissions from both *Forest land remaining forest land* and *Land converted to forest land* are key categories for both level and trend assessments. In the preparation of this inventory, the data for these emissions underwent Tier 1 QA and QC checks as well as Tier 2, category-specific QA and QC checks. Details of these checks are provided in annex A3.2.5 'National forest inventory'.

6.3.5 Category-specific recalculations

In this submission, New Zealand has recalculated its emission estimates for the whole LULUCF sector from 1990, including the *Forest land* category. These recalculations have involved improved country-specific methods, activity data and emission factors. The impact of the recalculations on net CO_2-e emission estimates for the *Forest land* category is provided in table 6.3.10. The differences shown are a result of recalculations for all carbon pools used in reporting under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) for the whole time series for the LULUCF sector.

Table 6.3.10 Recalculations of New Zealand's estimates for the *Forest land* category in 1990 and 2019

	2021 submission	2022 submission	Change from the 2021 submission	% change
Net emissions (kt CO_2-e)				
1990	–23,174.2	–20,068.3	3,105.9	13.4
2019	–22,176.8	–19,068.4	3,108.5	14.0
Area (hectares)				
1990	9,381,848.2	9,381,842.9	–5.3	–0.0
2019	9,938,487.1	9,933,064.7	–5,422.4	–0.1

Note: Areas are as at the end of the year indicated.

For *Forest land*, a number of improvements made to both the planted and natural forest have led to the recalculations across the time series. These are described in more detail below.

Activity data

The area estimates of afforestation and deforestation have been updated from the previous submission due to updates in forestry scheme data figures (see annex 3.2.2 for further information on scheme data) and method changes described below.

The area of deforestation in 2017 for planted forest has been estimated based on provisional deforestation mapping for that year. The method for estimating planted forest deforestation areas for 2018 and 2019 remains unchanged and is still based on the Deforestation Intentions Survey for 2018 (Manley, 2019).

The area of deforestation of pre-1990 (tall), pre-1990 (regenerating) and post-1989 natural forest for 2017 to 2020 has been estimated as occurring at the same annual rate as the annual average of the most recently mapped three-year period (2014 to 2016). A five-year period (2013 to 2016) was used in previous submissions; however, due to the declining rate of deforestation from 2010 to 2016, it was decided that a three-year-period was more appropriate to estimate the rate of deforestation over 2017 to 2020.

In previous submissions, all deforestation events that were not based on mapped data (i.e., they were based on extrapolations or deforestation intentions surveys) were assumed to be converted to low producing grassland or high producing grassland. For this submission, the proportion of *Land converted to* categories on deforestation events have been updated to include *Settlements*, *Other land* and *Grassland with woody biomass*. This is based on the average proportion of land conversions on deforestation that occur from 2013 to 2016. More information about this estimation process can be found in annex A3.2.2.

These areas and the associated emissions are reported in the relevant *Land converted to* category.

Planted forest – updates to yield tables

Yield tables for both pre-1990 planted forest and post-1989 planted forest have been updated for the 2022 submission to include plots measured in the 2020 forest inventory.

The period-specific yield tables used for pre-1990 planted forest have been updated to improve accuracy. In the previous submission, three yield tables were applied to the pre-1990 planted forest estate, representing stands planted before 1990, between 1990 and 2009, and from 2010 onwards. However, the yield table for stands planted from 2010 onwards was found to have high uncertainty and tended to overestimate carbon stock per hectare relative to the field measurements. During the review of the 2021 submission, it was suggested that submissions revert back to two yield tables until further data are available for the yield table representing stands from 2010 onwards. Therefore, in this submission two yield tables have been applied: one for stands planted before 1990 and one for stands planted from 1990 onwards.

The post-1989 planted forest yield table has been revised for the 2022 submission to include plots measured in the 2020 forest inventory. The revised yield table is based on two full measurement inventories of permanent sample plots in post-1989 planted forest carried out in 2008/09 and 2011/12, and a partial re-measure annually between 2016 and 2020 (due to the transition to the continuous five-year inventory cycle). The analysis of the data collected has provided a plot-based estimate of carbon stock and mean carbon density within this forest type and is further described in annex A3.2.5 'National forest inventory'.

The improvements to the planted forest yield tables results in a decrease of removals of approximately 1,700 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and a decrease of removals of approximately 1,460 kt CO_2-e in 2019.

Planted forest – updates to forest age and harvest age profile modelling

In this submission, several method changes have been made to improve the estimates of the harvest area by age and the forest age profile. These improvements are summarised below.

1. In the previous submission, an assumed average harvest age in the planted forest estate of 28 years was applied from 1990 to 2006. For the years 2007 to 2017 an average harvest age sourced from National Exotic Forest Description (NEFD) publications was used. In this submission the data have been updated to include NEFD average harvest age from 1995 to 2019 (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020). To smooth out any year-to-year fluctuations, a three-year moving average has also been applied to the average harvest age and to project the 2020 estimate. An average assumed harvest age of 28 years is still applied to 1990 to 1995.
2. In previous submissions, the harvest age profiles (the proportion of harvest area by age) for the post-1989 and pre-1990 planted forest estates were considered independently of each other. However, this approach led to inconsistencies in the average harvest age of the whole forest estate. The pre-1990 average harvest age was assumed to be

represented by the NEFD estimate. However, it is likely more appropriate to consider that the NEFD average harvest age should represent the entire planted forest estate (both pre-1990 and post-1989 forest). As the rate of harvest increases in the post-1989 estate, with more harvest of younger trees due to the forest age profile, this results in a reduction in the total forest average harvest age. To correct for this, an average harvest age and harvest age profile are applied to all planted forest destocking (harvesting and deforestation). As more harvesting happens in younger post-1989 forest stands, the proportion of harvesting of pre-1990 forest in these ages is reduced. The total forest average harvest age now remains consistent with the input NEFD estimate.

3. To create a harvest age profile that represents the whole planted forest estate, the harvest age profile was expanded to range between the ages of 15 and 45 years (previously a harvest age profile of 21 to 40 years was used for pre-1990 planted forest). This better captures the harvest that is expected to occur in younger post-1989 planted forest, and in older Douglas fir stands which have an estimated harvest age of between 40 and 45 years.
4. Updating the harvest age profile to represent the whole planted forest estate improves the estimated proportion of harvest area by age in each forest type. From 2017 onwards, the area of post-1989 and pre-1990 planted forests is based on the proportion of standing forest available in each stratum, fitted to the estimate of total destocking area and the harvest age profile (the proportion of total harvest occurring in each age group). For this submission, a total forest harvest age profile is now used (as described above). This has resulted in an improved and more consistent estimate of the proportion of total destocking occurring in pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest from 2017 onwards. A greater proportion of harvesting is now estimated to occur in pre-1990 planted forest, and less harvesting to occur in post-1989 planted forest over this period.
5. The modelling approach to determine the planting required to achieve the desired forest age profile in the final reporting year has been improved. The forest age profile in the final reporting year is now more consistent with the NEFD forest age profile.

Planted forest – update to harvest area calculations

For this submission, several improvements have been made to the methods used to calculate planted forest harvest area.

1. In the previous submission, the total destocking area of planted forests from 2011 onwards was calculated from the annual roundwood volume produced (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021a) divided by the average ratio of roundwood volume to NEFD harvest area (over the previous four years). Following revisions to the time series of NEFD harvest area, NEFD harvest volume and MPI roundwood volume in 2021, this approach no longer provided a reliable total destocking estimate over this period. For this submission, the total destocking area from 2013 onwards is now calculated from the planted forest yield tables, harvest age profile and estimated planted forest roundwood volume removed (MPI roundwood volume). This approach estimates the total destocking area required to achieve the MPI roundwood volume estimate, based on the average volume per hectare removed on harvest (harvest age profile combined with LUCAS yield tables). This approach provides greater consistency with roundwood volume estimates and estimates of carbon inputs in the *Harvested wood product* category from 2013 to 2020. The total destocking estimate from 1990 to 2012 is still estimated from NEFD harvest area plus any additional post-1989 planted forest deforestation estimates.
2. The harvest area of post-1989 forest from 2008 to 2016 is based on mapped data (this is calculated as a subset of the total destocking area). In previous submissions there was no

gross stocked to net stocked area adjustment to this post-1989 planted forest harvest estimate. A net stocked adjustment has been applied for this submission, resulting in a lower post-1989 harvest area over 2008 to 2016 (this does not impact the total destocking estimate).

3. In the previous submission, the modelling approach resulted in double counting of harvest and deforestation events that were classified as carbon equivalent forests or where multiple land-use change events occurred. These events are modelled separately from regular harvesting and deforestation. This has been corrected for this submission to remove the double counting.

Natural forest – updates to post-1989 yield tables

An additional post-1989 natural forest yield table has been included for the 2022 submission (see annex 3.2.5.5, table A3.2.16). This yield table is used when *Grassland with woody biomass* is converted to post-1989 natural forest. The new yield table starts at the same carbon stock as *Grassland with woody biomass* resulting in no emissions from biomass in the first year of conversion. In previous submissions, all the biomass was reported as being lost in the first year after conversion. This reported emission does not reflect reality given the ecological succession this conversion represents. This change does not impact net carbon dioxide removals by the time the forest reaches the age of 30 years, but impacts the year in which the reported emissions and removals occur.

Natural forest – update to pre-1990 sub-classification

Pre-1990 tall and regenerating forest plots were previously classified using species composition (Wiser, 2016) to estimate the annual carbon stock change of each forest type. However, the tall and regenerating forest areas were estimated from mapped land cover (LCDBv3). This created a mismatch where carbon stock change estimates were not consistent with the forest area they were intended to represent. This was corrected for the 2022 submission, by using the land cover approach (LCDBv5) to classify both the plots and the mapped areas of tall and regenerating forest.

The estimated rate of removals from regenerating forest is lower when using the land cover (LCDB) classification compared with the rate based on the previously used species composition classification (Wiser, 2016). This change results in an increase in emissions in 2019 of approximately 1,000 kt CO_2-e in the pre-1990 natural regenerating forest category.

Natural forest – update to pre-1990 emissions calculations

Carbon stocks in tall pre-1990 natural forest have previously been reported as being in steady state because the annual net change is not statistically significant (Paul et al., 2021). New Zealand received a recommendation from the ERT (L.18, 2020) to review this position and to report the losses and associated uncertainty occurring in this forest class regardless of the statistical significance. Therefore, the pre-1990 natural forest carbon stock change per hectare estimate has been revised for the 2022 submission to report carbon stock changes occurring in the tall forest category. The rate of change in tall pre-1990 natural forest is (–0.01 ± 0.19 tonnes C ha^{-1} yr^{-1}). Because the area of the pre-1990 natural forest estate is large, this results in an increase in annual emissions of approximately 300 kt CO_2-e across the time series.

6.3.6 Category-specific planned improvements

New Zealand will continue to measure the pre-1990 natural forest plot network on a 10-year cycle and analyse the data collected as they become available. An updated analysis is anticipated to be ready for the 2023 submission. The post-1989 natural forest plot network will be re-measured in 2023/24.

Mapping of forest areas will be improved through changes to the 2016 land use map and the addition of a 2020 land use map. Planned forest-specific mapping improvements include:

- mapping of newly planted forests through data supplied from Government-funded forestry scheme mapping, including the NZ ETS, and identified in Sentinel-2 satellite imagery
- review of the consistency of image classification and mapping of all *Forest land remaining forest land* that has not been subject to earlier land-use change, using deep learning techniques to identify mapping errors and areas of uncertainty
- tracking of carbon equivalent forests within the land use maps.

The complete planted forest plot network (pre-1990 and post-1989) is being re-measured on a continuous basis (at five-year intervals). These data will be incorporated into the National Inventory Report as they become available. New Zealand will update the pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest yield tables in the 2023 submission.

QA/QC processes for checking and improving consistency between different data sets are planned for a future submission. Currently a number of inconsistencies require further investigation and remediation. These inconsistencies include:

- the estimated annual volume removed from planted forests, based on the CRA model, is not entirely consistent with estimated annual roundwood volume statistics produced by MPI (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021a) for part of the time series (1990 to 2012). Note that a comparison between the two data sources across the time series was carried out and is further described in annex A3.2.5 'Forest land model validations'
- the pre-1990 average carbon stock per hectare estimated from the CRA model is greater than the average carbon stock per hectare estimated from plots in the forest inventory (further described in annex A3.2.5 'Forest land model validations')
- the pre-1990 planted forest yield tables have higher carbon stock per hectare estimates on average than plots measured in the planted forest inventory (further described in annex A3.2.5 'Forest land model validations').

6.4 Cropland (CRF 4B)

6.4.1 Description

In 2020, the net emissions from *Cropland* were 382.3 kt CO_2-e, comprising 375.6 kt CO_2 from carbon stock change and 0.02 kt N_2O (6.8 kt CO_2-e) from the nitrogen mineralisation on *Land converted to cropland*. Net emissions from *Cropland* have decreased by 93.9 kt CO_2-e (19.7 per cent) from the 1990 level when net emissions were 476.2 kt CO_2-e (see table 6.4.1).

Table 6.4.1 New Zealand's land-use change by *Cropland* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, 1990 and 2020

Cropland land use category	Net area as at 1990 (ha)	Net area as at 2020 (ha)	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 1990	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2020	Change from 1990 (%)
Cropland remaining cropland	395,784	448,612	351.1	318.2	–9.4
Land converted to cropland	28,146	27,811	125.0	64.1	–48.7
Total	**423,930**	**476,422**	**476.2**	**382.3**	**–19.7**

Note: Net area in 1990 is as at 1 January 1990; net area in 2020 is as at 31 December. *Land converted to cropland* includes land converted up to 20 years earlier. Net emission values are for the whole year indicated. Values include CO_2-e emissions from N_2O from cultivation of land.

The *Cropland remaining cropland* category is responsible for the majority of *Cropland* emissions. This category comprised 94.2 per cent of all *Cropland* area in 2020.

Most emissions due to carbon stock change that have occurred in the *Cropland* category since 1990 are in the SOC pool (4,251.0 kt C) (see table 6.4.2). Within the SOC pool, the majority of emissions result from drained organic soils (11,553.2 kt CO_2). This is because organic soils continue to lose carbon even after the 20-year transition period (IPCC, 2006a).

Table 6.4.2 New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Cropland* category from 1990 to 2020

Land use	Net carbon stock change 1990–2020 (kt C) Living biomass	Dead organic matter	Soils	Total	Emissions 1990–2020 (kt CO_2)
Annual cropland	–174.5	–11.7	–2,859.1	–3,045.3	11,166.1
Perennial cropland	367.9	–7.8	–1,392.0	–1,031.9	3,783.6
Total	**193.4**	**–19.6**	**–4,251.0**	**–4,077.2**	**14,949.7**

Note: This table includes CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change only (so it does not include emissions from N_2O disturbance). The reported dead organic matter losses result from the loss of dead organic matter of woody land use categories on conversion to cropland. Columns may not total due to rounding.

Table 6.4.3 shows land-use change by *Cropland* land use since 1990, and the associated CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change. The *Cropland* category in New Zealand is separated into two land use types: annual and perennial. In 2020, annual cropland accounted for 1.4 per cent of total land area, and perennial cropland accounted for 0.4 per cent of total land area in New Zealand.

Annual crops include cereals, grains, oil seeds, vegetables, root crops and forages. Perennial crops include orchards, vineyards and their associated shelterbelts except where these shelterbelts meet the criteria for the *Forest land* category.

The amount of carbon stored in, emitted by or removed from *Cropland* depends on crop type, management practices, soil properties and climate variables. Annual crops are harvested each year, with little long-term storage of carbon in biomass. Woody vegetation in orchards store more carbon in biomass, with the amount largely determined by the crop species and presence of shelterbelts.

Table 6.4.3 New Zealand's land-use change by *Cropland* land use, and associated CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change, from 1990 to 2020

Land use	Net area in 1990 (ha)	Net area in 2020 (ha)	Change from 1990 (%)	Net emissions (kt CO_2 only) 1990	Net emissions (kt CO_2 only) 2020	Change from 1990 (%)
Annual cropland	354,896	371,232	4.6	342.8	310.1	–9.5
Perennial cropland	69,034	105,190	52.4	125.9	65.5	–48.0
Total	**423,930**	**476,422**	**12.4**	**468.7**	**375.6**	**–19.9**

Note: Net area in 1990 is as at 1 January 1990; net area in 2020 is as at 31 December. This table includes CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change only. Columns may not total due to rounding.

A summary of land-use change within the *Cropland* category, by land use type and land conversion status, is provided in table 6.4.4. This shows that land-use change within the *Cropland* category has been dominated by conversions to perennial cropland, both from within the *Cropland* category and from other land use categories. This conversion has predominantly been for the establishment of vineyards (Davis and Wakelin, unpublished).

Table 6.4.4 New Zealand's land-use change for the *Cropland* category from 1990 to 2020

Cropland category		Net area in 1990 (ha)	Net area in 2020 (ha)	Change from 1990 (%)
Cropland remaining cropland	Annual remaining annual	333,515	359,684	7.8
	Perennial remaining perennial	61,625	84,551	37.2
	Annual to perennial	643	2,907	352.0
	Perennial to annual	0	1,470	NA
	Subtotal	*395,784*	*448,612*	*13.3*
Land converted to cropland	Annual cropland	21,381	10,078.2	–52.9
	Perennial cropland	6,766	17,733	162.1
	Subtotal	*28,146*	*27,811*	*–1.2*
Total		**423,930**	**476,422**	**+12.4**

Note: NA = not applicable. This table shows the change between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2020. Columns may not total due to rounding.

In 2020, *Cropland remaining cropland* (level and trend assessment) was a key category.

6.4.2 Methodological issues

Emissions and removals for the living biomass and dead organic matter pools have been calculated using IPCC Tier 1 emission factors for annual cropland, Tier 2 emission factors for perennial cropland (Davis and Wakelin, unpublished) and activity data as described in section 6.2. Emissions and removals by the SOC pool are estimated using a Tier 2 method for mineral soils and IPCC Tier 1 defaults for organic soils as described in annex A3.2.4.

A summary of the New Zealand emission factors and other parameters used to estimate greenhouse gas emissions for the *Cropland* category is provided in table 6.4.5.

Table 6.4.5 **Summary of New Zealand's carbon stock change emission factors for the *Cropland* category**

Land use	Carbon pool	Steady state carbon stock (t C ha^{-1})	Annual carbon stock change (t C ha^{-1})	Years to reach steady state	Source
Annual	Biomass				
	Living biomass	5.0	NA	1	IPCC default (table 5.9, IPCC, 2006a)
	Dead organic matter	NE	NE	NA	No IPCC guidelines
	Soils				
	Mineral	89.77	*	20	NZ-specific EF (McNeill and Barringer, unpublished)
	Organic	NE	–5.0 or –10.0**		IPCC Tier 1 default (table 5.6, IPCC, 2006a)
Perennial	Biomass				
	Living biomass	18.76	0.67	28	NZ-specific EF (Davis and Wakelin, unpublished)
	Dead organic matter	NE	NE	NA	No IPCC guidelines
	Soils				
	Mineral	88.44	*	20	NZ-specific EF (McNeill and Barringer, unpublished)
	Organic	NE	–5.0 or –10.0**		IPCC Tier 1 default (table 5.6, IPCC, 2006a)

Note: EF = emission factor; NA = not applicable; NE = not estimated. * Annual carbon stock change in mineral soils on land undergoing land-use change will depend on the land use category the land has been converted to or from; see annex A3.2.4 'Mineral soils'. ** The emission factor for organic soils is –5.0 t Cha^{-1} yr^{-1} for cold temperate regions and –10.0 t Cha^{-1} yr^{-1} for warm temperate regions.

Cropland remaining cropland (CRF 4.B.1)

For *Cropland remaining cropland*, the Tier 1 assumption is that for annual cropland there is no change in biomass carbon stocks after the first year (section 5.2.1, IPCC, 2006a). The rationale is that the increase in biomass stocks in a single year is equal to the biomass losses from harvest and mortality in that same year. For perennial cropland, there is a change in carbon stocks associated with a land-use change. Where land-use change has occurred between the *Cropland* land use categories, carbon stock changes are reported in *Cropland remaining cropland*.

Living biomass

To estimate carbon stock change in living biomass for annual cropland converted to perennial cropland, New Zealand is using Tier 1 defaults for biomass carbon stocks at harvest (table 5.9, IPCC, 2006a). The Tier 1 method for estimating carbon change assumes carbon stocks in biomass immediately after conversion are zero; that is, the land is cleared of all vegetation before planting crops (5.0 tonnes C ha^{-1} is instantly oxidised in the year of conversion).

To estimate growth after conversion of annual cropland to perennial cropland, New Zealand uses the biomass accumulation rate of 0.67 tonnes C ha^{-1} yr^{-1}. This value is based on the New Zealand-specific value of 18.76 tonnes C ha^{-1} (Davis and Wakelin, unpublished), sequestered over 28 years. It is assumed any biomass gains after this 28-year period are compensated for by biomass loss from pruning and other management practices, resulting in a net zero change in biomass stock of perennial cropland remaining perennial cropland.

The assumption of net zero change in biomass stock after 28 years may be overly simplistic, as outlined by the ERT recommendation L.21, 2019 (FCCC/ARR/2019/NZL, UNFCCC, 2020). However, activity data for biomass stock changes beyond 28 years, or temporary destocking, are not available annually. It is unlikely funding for further collection of data will be available for this in the short to medium term because research and method development funding will be prioritised for the *Forest land* category.

The available activity data do not provide information on areas of perennial cropland temporarily destocked; therefore, no losses in carbon stock due to temporary destocking are reported. Consequently, no gains in these areas are reported either when they are restocked.

Dead organic matter

New Zealand does not report estimates of dead organic matter in this category. The notation key NE (not estimated) is used in the CRF tables in accordance with paragraph 37(b) of Decision 24/CP.19 (UNFCCC, 2014). There is insufficient information to provide a basic approach with default parameters to estimate carbon stock change in dead organic matter pools in *Cropland remaining cropland* and, consequently, no Tier 1 method is provided (IPCC, 2006a).

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in mineral soil for *Cropland remaining cropland* are estimated using a Tier 2 method (see annex A3.2.4 'Mineral soils'). For organic soils, loss of soil carbon is estimated using the Tier 1 method as described in annex A3.2.4 'Organic soils'.

Mineral soil carbon change for annual cropland converted to perennial cropland and vice versa is estimated using the IPCC default method of applying a linear rate of change over 20 years (equation 2.25, IPCC, 2006a).

Non-CO_2 emissions

All direct and indirect N_2O emissions occurring from management activities in *Cropland remaining cropland* are reported under the Agriculture sector.

Land converted to cropland (CRF 4.B.2)

Living biomass

New Zealand uses a Tier 1 method, and a combination of IPCC default and New Zealand-specific emission factors, to calculate emissions for *Land converted to cropland*. The Tier 1 method multiplies the area of *Land converted to cropland* annually by the carbon stock change per area for that type of conversion.

The Tier 1 method assumes carbon in living biomass and dead organic matter immediately after conversion is zero; that is, the land is cleared of all vegetation before planting crops. The amount of biomass cleared when land at steady state is converted is dependent on the land use category undergoing the conversion and is described further under each category-specific section in this chapter.

The Tier 1 method also includes changes in carbon stocks from one year of growth in the year conversion takes place, as outlined in equation 2.5 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a).

To estimate growth after conversion to annual cropland, New Zealand uses the IPCC default biomass accumulation rate of 5.0 tonnes C ha^{-1} for the first year following conversion (table 5.9, IPCC, 2006a). After the first year, any increase in biomass stocks in annual cropland is assumed equal to biomass losses from harvest and mortality in that same year and, therefore, after the first year there is no net accumulation of biomass carbon stocks in annual cropland remaining annual cropland (IPCC, 2006a, section 5.2.1).

To estimate growth after conversion to perennial cropland, New Zealand uses the biomass accumulation rate of 0.67 tonnes C $ha^{-1} yr^{-1}$ until 18.76 tonnes C ha^{-1} is reached, as described in the *Cropland remaining cropland* section above. The final eight years of biomass gain are reported in *Cropland remaining cropland* because the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) default transition period of 20 years has been applied across the entire LULUCF sector.

Dead organic matter

New Zealand reports only losses in dead organic matter associated with the previous land use for this category. The losses are calculated based on the carbon in dead organic matter at the site before conversion to *Cropland*. It is assumed that, immediately after conversion, dead organic matter is zero (all carbon in dead organic matter before conversion is instantly oxidised in the year of conversion). There is insufficient information to estimate gain in carbon stock in dead organic matter pools after land is converted to *Cropland* (IPCC, 2006a). Consequently, where there are no dead organic matter losses associated with the previous land use, the notation key NA (not applicable) is used in the CRF tables in accordance with Decision 24/CP.19 (UNFCCC, 2014) as a given source/sink category does not result in emissions or removals of a specific gas.

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in *Land converted to cropland* are estimated using a Tier 2 method for mineral soils and a Tier 1 method for organic soils (see annex A3.2.4 for further information).

Non-CO_2 emissions

Nitrous oxide emissions from disturbance associated with land use conversion to *Cropland* and land-use management are described in section 6.10.

6.4.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Cropland* was 70.5 per cent in 2020. The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Cropland* accounted for 1.1 per cent of the total uncertainty in emissions from the LULUCF sector. The uncertainty associated with the emissions from each *Cropland* class is shown in table 6.4.6. The methods used to calculate the uncertainty are further described in annex A3.2.8.

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

Table 6.4.6 Uncertainty in net carbon stock change emissions in 2020 for the *Cropland* category

Land use	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)	Uncertainty in emissions (%)	Contribution to LULUCF uncertainty (%)
Annual cropland	310.1	79.9	1.1
Perennial cropland	65.5	142.4	0.4
Total	**375.6**	**70.5**	**1.1**

6.4.4 Category-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the data for CO_2 emissions from the *Land converted to cropland* category underwent Tier 1 quality checks. *Cropland remaining cropland* (level and trend assessment) and *Land converted to cropland* (trend assessment) were key categories in 2020.

As part of verification of the New Zealand-specific above-ground biomass emission factor for perennial cropland, this factor has been compared with the IPCC default for temperate perennial cropland (table 5.1, IPCC, 2006a). The New Zealand value for above-ground biomass of 18.76 tonnes C ha^{-1} is much lower than the default value of 63 tonnes C ha^{-1} provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a). Further research into the differences between the values has shown the IPCC default value is based on just four studies of agroforestry systems where crops are grown in rotation with trees and none of these studies is New Zealand specific. The country-specific emission factor used is based on a New Zealand study, taking into account that New Zealand's main perennial crops are not grown in rotation with trees (i.e., are not part of an agroforestry system) and that a significant proportion of New Zealand's main perennial crops are vine fruit, such as kiwifruit and grapes (Davis and Wakelin, unpublished). This means the country-specific value has lower carbon stocks per hectare in living biomass at maturity than the *Cropland* types included in the study on which the IPCC default value is based.

6.4.5 Category-specific recalculations

Recalculations of the entire time series were carried out for this category as a result of updated activity data. Updates to activity data included improvements made to *Forest land* to *Cropland* area estimates, for each forest type. Updates to emission factors applied on conversion from *Forest land* to *Cropland* also occur due to changes to the planted forest yield tables and average deforestation age, and changes to the pre-1990 natural forest carbon stock estimates. The impact of recalculations on net CO_2-e emission estimates for the *Cropland* category is shown in table 6.4.7.

Table 6.4.7 Recalculations of New Zealand's net emissions from the *Cropland* category in 1990 and 2019

	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Change from the 2021 submission	
Year	2021 submission	2022 submission	(kt CO_2-e)	(%)
1990	476.0	476.2	0.2	0.0
2019	386.8	386.8	–0.1	–0.0

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

6.4.6 Category-specific planned improvements

A longitudinal study on the impact of management practices on *Grasslands* and *Croplands* soils is under way (Manaaki Whenua Landcare Research, 2020). This study will collect time-series data on a network of 500 soil sample plots over 12 years. This is likely to improve the SOC reference values for *Cropland*. These data are not expected to be available for several years.

While the above-mentioned study may help improve SOC reference values, it will not address review recommendation L.18, 2020 raised in the ERT review report of the 2020 submission (FCCC/ARR/2019/NZL, UNFCCC, 2020). The report questioned whether the estimated SOC reference values were systematically overestimated or underestimated given that land-use change is most likely to occur on a subset of each land use category. The current soils model also assumes land use management practices are static and cannot be updated to include activity data on improvements in soil management practices. To create and validate a new model requires significant resourcing. Funding to investigate updating the soils model is currently being sought. However, even if this funding is received, updating or replacing the Soil Carbon Monitoring System (Soil CMS) model itself is likely to take a number of years.

6.5 Grassland (CRF 4C)

6.5.1 Description

In 2020, the net emissions from *Grassland* were 2,570.2 kt CO_2-e (see table 6.5.1). These emissions comprise 2,524.5 kt CO_2 emissions from carbon stock change, 0.08 kt N_2O (23.2 kt CO_2-e) and 0.9 kt CH_4 (22.5 kt CO_2-e) emissions from *Biomass burning* and nitrogen mineralisation on *Land converted to grassland*.

Net emissions from *Grassland* have increased by 1,845.5 kt CO_2-e (254.6 per cent) from the 1990 level of 724.7 kt CO_2-e (see table 6.5.1). The majority of this change occurred in pre-1990 planted forest converted to high producing grassland and is the effect of deforestation that involves large losses in the living biomass pool.

The *Grassland remaining grassland* and *Land converted to grassland* categories were identified as key categories for the level and trend assessment in 2020.

Table 6.5.1 New Zealand's land-use change for the *Grassland* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, from 1990 to 2020

Grassland land use category	Area as at 1990 (ha)	Area as at 2020 (ha)	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 1990	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2020	Change from 1990 (%)
Grassland remaining grassland	14,922,325	14,388,920	303.1	1,260.7	315.9
Land converted to grassland	343,988	195,362	421.6	1,309.5	210.6
Total	**15,266,313**	**14,584,282**	**724.7**	**2,570.2**	**254.6**

Note: Net area in 1990 is as at 1 January 1990; net area in 2020 is as at 31 December. *Land converted to grassland* includes land converted up to 20 years earlier. Net emission estimates are for the whole year indicated. Columns may not total due to rounding.

In New Zealand, the *Grassland* category is used to describe a range of land cover types. In this submission, three types of *Grassland* are used: high producing, low producing and with woody biomass. Detailed descriptions of each *Grassland* type can be found in table 6.2.3 and are briefly summarised below:

- *High producing grassland* – intensively managed pasture land
- *Low producing grassland* – low-fertility grasses on hill country, areas of native tussock and areas composed of low, shrubby vegetation, both above and below the timberline
- *Grassland with woody biomass* – grassland areas where the cover of woody species is less than 30 per cent and/or does not meet, nor has the potential to meet, the New Zealand forest definition due to the current management regime (e.g., periodically cleared for grazing), or due to characteristics of the vegetation or environmental constraints (e.g., alpine shrubland).

Grassland with woody biomass is a diverse land use and has therefore been disaggregated into two types: permanent and transitional, described in table 6.5.2. Separate emission factors for each type of *Grassland with woody biomass* are derived from the LUCAS plot network (Wakelin and Beets, unpublished). Within the CRF Reporter, reporting on *Grassland with woody biomass* is at the aggregate level.

Table 6.5.2 Grassland with woody biomass sub-classification and description

Grassland with woody biomass sub-classification	Description	Expected occurrence
Transitional	Areas of woody shrublands within farmland that do not become forest over a 30- to 40-year timeframe (Trotter and MacKay, unpublished)	Where livestock grazes areas of woody shrubland or where woody shrubland is managed in other ways (e.g., spraying) to prevent it from becoming forest.
Permanent	Land covered by woody vegetation that does not meet the forest definition and is not expected to do so under current ecological, management or environmental conditions.	Where abiotic conditions at a site are conducive to low-stature vegetation; for example, vegetation growing at high altitudes, on low-fertility soil or on frost flats.

In 2020, there were 6,892,607 hectares of high producing grassland (25.6 per cent of total land area), 6,319,589 hectares of low producing grassland (23.5 per cent of total land area) and 1,372,087 hectares of *Grassland with woody biomass* (5.1 per cent of total land area). The area *of Grassland with woody biomass* is comprised of 487,423 hectares of permanent and 884,664 hectares of transitional *Grassland with woody biomass*.

From 1990 to 2020, the net carbon stock change attributed to *Grassland* was a loss of 40,622.6 kt C, equivalent to 148,949.4 kt CO_2 emissions (see table 6.5.3). The majority of these emissions are due to the loss of living biomass carbon stock associated with *Forest land* conversion to *Grassland* (deforestation).

Table 6.5.3 New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Grassland* category from 1990 to 2020

	Net carbon stock change 1990–2020 (kt C)				
Grassland category	Living biomass	Dead organic matter	Soils	Total	Emissions 1990–2020 (kt CO_2)
Grassland – high producing	−15,764.2	−2,093.0	−9,126.7	−26,983.9	98,940.8
Grassland – low producing	−8,909.2	−1,290.9	615.1	−9,585.1	35,145.2
Grassland – with woody biomass	−2,556.0	−553.1	−944.5	−4,053.6	14,863.4
Total	**−27,229.4**	**−3,937.0**	**−9,456.2**	**−40,622.6**	**148,949.4**

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

Grassland remaining grassland

There were 14,352,198 hectares of *Grassland remaining grassland* as at 2020, equivalent to 53.3 per cent of New Zealand's total land area.

Land converted to grassland

Between 2019 and 2020, an estimated 2,719 hectares of land was converted to *Grassland*, while 42,411 hectares of *Grassland* was converted to other land use categories.

The majority (91.3 per cent) of *Land converted to grassland* since 1 January 1990 is land that was previously *Forest land*. The 211,835 hectares of *Forest land* converted to *Grassland* since 1 January 1990 is comprised of an estimated 128,616 hectares of pre-1990 planted forest, 45,117 hectares of pre-1990 natural forest, 36,890 hectares of post-1989 planted forest and 1,211 hectares of post-1989 natural forest. For more information on deforestation, see annex A3.2.2. Land-use change of *Forest land* to *Grassland* resulted in net emissions of 1,290.5 kt CO_2 in 2020.

6.5.2 Methodological issues

Emissions and removals from living biomass and dead organic matter have been calculated using a combination of IPCC Tier 1 emission factors and country-specific factors (see table 6.5.4). Emissions and removals from mineral soils are estimated using a Tier 2 method, whereas organic soils are estimated using a Tier 1 method (see annex A3.2.4).

Table 6.5.4 Summary of New Zealand's biomass emission factors for *Grassland*

Land use	Carbon pool	Steady state carbon stock (t C ha^{-1})	Annual carbon accumulation (t C ha^{-1})	Years to reach steady state	Source
High producing	**Total biomass**	**6.345**	**6.345**	**1**	IPCC (2006a), table 6.4
	Living biomass				
	AGB	1.269	1.269	1	
	BGB	5.076	5.076	1	
	Dead organic matter	NE	NA	NA	No IPCC guidelines
Low producing	**Total biomass**	**2.867**	**2.867**	**1**	IPCC (2006a), table 6.4
	Living biomass				
	AGB	0.752	0.752	1	
	BGB	2.115	2.115	1	
	Dead organic matter	NE	NA	NA	No IPCC guidelines
With woody biomass – transitional	**Total biomass**	**13.05**	**0.48**	**20**	Wakelin and Beets (unpublished)
	Living biomass				
	AGB	9.35	0.36	20	
	BGB	3.05	0.08	20	
	Dead organic matter				
	Dead wood	0.10	0.004	20	
	Litter	0.55	0.02	20	
With woody biomass – permanent	**Total biomass**	**60.57**	**NO**	**NO**	Wakelin and Beets (unpublished)
	Living biomass				
	AGB	45.18	NO	**NO**	
	BGB	11.71	NO	**NO**	
	Dead organic matter			**NO**	
	Dead wood	3.68	NO	**NO**	
	Litter	0.00	NO	**NO**	

Note: AGB = above-ground biomass; BGB = below-ground biomass; NA = not applicable; NE = not estimated; NO = not occurring. Columns may not total due to rounding. The high producing grassland figure is based on the Warm temperate – wet figure from table 6.4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, and the low producing grassland figure is based on the Warm temperate – dry figure from the same table (IPCC, 2006a), with a carbon fraction of 0.47 applied to both. Note that the annual accumulation rates for *Grassland with woody biomass* – transitional do not equal the total biomass steady state carbon stock value over 20 years because the starting stock value at year 1 is 3.54 t C ha^{-1}.

Grassland remaining grassland (CRF 4.C.1)

For *Grassland remaining grassland*, the Tier 1 assumption is there is no change in carbon stocks for *Grassland* remaining in the same subcategory (section 6.2.1.1, IPCC, 2006a). The rationale is that, where management practices are static, carbon stocks will be in an approximately steady state; that is, carbon gain through plant growth is roughly balanced by losses. New Zealand has reported NA in the CRF tables where there is no land-use change at the category level because no emissions or removals are assumed to have occurred. However, a significant area (1,310,800 hectares) is currently in a state of conversion from one *Grassland* type to another. The carbon stock changes for these land-use changes are reported under *Grassland remaining grassland*.

Living biomass

To calculate carbon stock change in living biomass on land converted from one category to another (e.g., low producing grassland converted to high producing grassland), it is assumed the carbon in living biomass immediately after conversion is zero; that is, the land is cleared of all vegetation. In the same year, carbon stocks in living biomass increase by the amount given in table 6.5.4, representing the annual growth in biomass for land converted to another land use.

Dead organic matter

New Zealand does not report estimates of dead organic matter for high producing grassland or low producing grassland because there are no Tier 1 defaults provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a). There is insufficient information to develop default coefficients for estimating the dead organic matter pool for these two categories (IPCC, 2006a). The notation key NE is used in the CRF tables in accordance with paragraph 37(b) of Decision 24/CP.19 (UNFCCC, 2014).

For *Grassland with woody biomass*, an estimate of dead organic matter is derived from the LUCAS national forest plot network (Wakelin and Beets, unpublished), and estimates of changes in dead organic matter stocks with conversion to and from this land use are reported.

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in *Grassland remaining grassland* are estimated using a Tier 2 method for mineral soils and a Tier 1 method for organic soils (see annex A3.2.4).

Non-CO_2 emissions

Direct and indirect N_2O emissions occurring as a result of nitrogen inputs, as well as drainage of managed soils, in *Grassland remaining grassland* are reported under the Agriculture sector.

Land converted to grassland (CRF 4.C.2)

Living biomass

New Zealand applies a Tier 1 method to calculate emissions for *Land converted to grassland*. The Tier 1 method multiplies the area of *Land converted to grassland* annually by the carbon stock change per area for that type of conversion.

The Tier 1 method assumes carbon in living biomass immediately after conversion is zero; that is, the land is cleared of all vegetation at conversion and is instantly oxidised. The Tier 1 method also includes changes in carbon stocks from one year of growth from the *Grassland* category that land was converted to in the year conversion takes place, as outlined in equation 2.9 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a).

Dead organic matter

For land conversion to high and low producing grassland, New Zealand reports only losses in dead organic matter. The losses are calculated based on the carbon in dead organic matter at the site before conversion to *Grassland*. It is assumed that, immediately after conversion, the carbon in the dead organic matter pool is zero (all carbon in dead organic matter before conversion is instantly oxidised in the year of conversion). New Zealand applies the Tier 1 default method to high and low producing grassland land uses, which assumes there is no dead wood or litter accumulating in *Land converted to grassland* (IPCC, 2006a). Therefore, where there are no dead organic matter losses associated with the previous land use, the notation key NE is used in the CRF tables in accordance with Decision 24/CP.19 (UNFCCC, 2014).

Where land is converted to *Grassland with woody biomass*, dead organic matter accumulates to 0.65 tonnes C ha^{-1} (see table 6.5.4) over 20 years (the IPCC default period for land to reach steady state (IPCC, 2006a).

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in *Land converted to grassland* are estimated using a Tier 2 method for mineral soils and a Tier 1 method for organic soils (see annex A3.2.4).

Non-CO_2 emissions

Nitrous oxide emissions from disturbance associated with land use conversion to *Grassland* and land use management are described in section 6.10.

6.5.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Grassland* was 44.9 per cent in 2020. The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Grassland* accounted for 4.9 per cent of the total uncertainty in emissions from the LULUCF sector. The uncertainty associated with the emissions from each *Grassland* class is shown in table 6.5.5. The methods used to calculate the uncertainty are further described in annex A3.2.8.

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

Table 6.5.5 Uncertainty in carbon stock change emissions in 2020 for *Grassland*

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)	Uncertainty in emissions (%)	Contribution to LULUCF uncertainty (%)
Grassland – low producing	1,720.2	65.0	4.8
Grassland – high producing	517.4	22.0	0.5
Grassland – with woody biomass	286.9	54.4	0.7
Total	**2,524.5**	**44.9**	**4.9**

6.5.4 Category-specific QA/QC and verification

Emissions from the *Grassland remaining grassland* and *Land converted to grassland* categories are key categories (level and trend). In the preparation of this inventory, the data for these emissions underwent Tier 1 quality checks.

6.5.5 Category-specific recalculations

The impact of recalculations on net CO_2-e emission estimates for the *Grassland* category is shown in table 6.5.6.

Table 6.5.6 Recalculations of New Zealand's net emissions from the *Grassland* category in 1990 and 2019

Year	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2021 submission	2022 submission	Change from the 2021 submission (kt CO_2-e)	(%)
1990	680.2	724.7	44.5	6.5
2019	4,253.6	4,373.1	119.4	2.8

Recalculations of the entire time series were carried out for this category as a result of the following updates.

- An update to the pre-1990 natural forest carbon stock per hectare estimates for tall and regenerating forest has resulted in changes to the amount of biomass loss when land is deforested and converted to *Grassland.* This has resulted in a small increase in emissions to the *Grassland* category in 1990.
- Updates to both the pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest yield tables have resulted in changes to the amount of biomass loss when land is deforested and converted to *Grassland*. This has resulted in an increase in emissions to the *Grassland* category through the time series. Changes to the average deforestation age for both post-1989 and pre-1990 planted age has resulted in a small increase in emissions in 2019. However, this is largely cancelled out by the reduction in emissions caused by a change in the proportion of deforestation area occurring from each forest type on conversion to *Grasslands*. More deforestation area is now allocated to post-1989 planted forest, while less deforestation area is allocated to pre-1990 planted forest.

6.5.6 Category-specific planned improvements

A longitudinal study on the impact of management practices on carbon stocks in *Grassland* and *Cropland* soils is under way. This is further described in section 6.4.6.

6.6 Wetlands (CRF 4D)

6.6.1 Description

In 2020, there were 13.4 kt CO_2-e emissions from *Wetlands*, compared with emissions of –10.5 kt CO_2-e from *Wetlands* in 1990 (see table 6.6.1). This changing trend, from net remover in 1990 to net emitter in 2020, is due to the shift in land-use change patterns that have been observed since 1990, when compared with the changes that had occurred before 1990.

As of 2020, there were 5,688 hectares in a state of conversion to *Wetlands* (see table 6.6.1). These lands have been converted to *Wetlands* during the previous 20 years but have not yet reached steady state.

Removals from wetlands are driven by increases to mineral soil carbon stocks following conversion to wetland (9.0 kt CO_2-e of removals in 2020 from mineral soils), while emissions are driven by the extraction of peat each year.

Table 6.6.1 New Zealand's land-use change for the *Wetlands* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, in 1990 and 2020

Wetlands land use category	Net area (ha) as at 1990	Net area (ha) as at 2020	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 1990	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2020	Change from 1990 (%)
Wetlands remaining wetlands	751,766	752,923	9.5	18.7	97.5
Land converted to wetlands	10,276	5,688	–19.9	–5.3	73.4
Total	**762,042**	**758,611**	**–10.5**	**13.4**	**227.8**

Note: Net area in 1990 is as at 1 January 1990; net area in 2020 is as at 31 December. *Land converted to wetlands* includes land converted up to 20 years earlier. *Land converted to wetlands* consists of land converted to hydro lakes before 1990. Net emission values are for the whole year indicated. Columns may not total due to rounding.

New Zealand's *Wetlands* are currently mapped into two types: open water, which includes artificially flooded lands, lakes and rivers; and vegetated wetland, which includes herbaceous vegetation that is periodically flooded, and estuarine and tidal areas. Flooded lands, a subcategory of *Wetlands*, are defined in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a, p 7.19) as:

> … water bodies where human activities have caused changes in the amount of surface area covered by water, typically through water level regulation. … Regulated lakes and rivers that do not have substantial changes in water area in comparison with the pre-flooded ecosystem are not considered as Flooded Lands.

The majority of New Zealand's hydroelectric schemes are based on rivers and lakes where the main pre-flooded ecosystem was a natural lake or river; therefore, they are not defined as flooded lands.[63]

In 2020, there were 534,937 hectares of open water and 223,674 hectares of vegetated wetlands. Together these two land use types make up 2.8 per cent of the total New Zealand land area.

In 2016, a study was commissioned to identify and map current and historical (from 1990) horticultural peat mining areas, peat type and quantity, and post-mining activities (Clarkson, unpublished). The results of this study have been incorporated into New Zealand's 2016 land use map. In 2020, there were 273 hectares under peat extraction.

From 1990 to 2020, the net carbon stocks in *Wetlands* decreased by 3.1 kt C, equivalent to emissions of 11.4 kt CO_2 in total since 1990 (see table 6.6.2).

Table 6.6.2 New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Wetlands* category from 1990 to 2020

Land use	Net carbon stock change 1990–2020 (kt C): Living biomass	Dead organic matter	Soils	Total	Emissions 1990–2020 (kt CO_2)
Wetlands – vegetated	–27.9	–2.3	11.5	–18.7	68.6
Wetlands – open water	–77.1	–6.2	98.9	15.6	–57.2
Total	**–105.0**	**–8.5**	**110.4**	**–3.1**	**11.4**

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

[63] An exception occurred in the creation of the Clyde Dam. The Clutha River in the South Island was dammed, creating Lake Dunstan. The area flooded was mostly low producing grassland.

6.6.2 Methodological issues

Wetlands remaining wetlands (CRF 4.D.1)

Living biomass and dead organic matter

New Zealand applies Tier 1 methods for estimating CO_2 emissions in *Wetlands remaining wetlands* (following the guidance provided in section 7.1 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a)).[64] Chapter 7 (IPCC, 2006a) provides guidance for estimating emissions from flooded land and extraction from peat land. Recultivation of peat land is included under the Agriculture sector.

Due to the current lack of data on biomass carbon stock changes in *Wetlands remaining wetlands*, New Zealand has not prepared estimates for change in living biomass or dead organic matter for this category. New Zealand reports the notation key NE in the CRF table for this category.

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in *Wetlands remaining wetlands* are estimated using a Tier 2 method for mineral soils and Tier 1 methods for organic soils (see annex A3.2.4). For open water, the SOC stock at equilibrium is assumed to be the same value as that of low producing grassland.

For mineral soils, as with living biomass and dead organic matter, there are no emissions for Wetlands in steady state so the notation key NE is used in accordance with Decision 24/CP.19 (UNFCCC, 2014).

For organic soils, IPCC good practice guidance is limited to the estimation of carbon emissions associated with peat extraction. In New Zealand, oligotrophic Sphagnum peat is mined for horticultural use (Clarkson, unpublished). Carbon dioxide emissions from the extraction of horticultural peat are estimated from two sources: on-site emissions from peat production and off-site emissions from its subsequent use. Tier 1 default emission factors are applied. Non-CO_2 emissions are not estimated because there is no method for estimating N_2O emissions from the extraction of nutrient-poor peat, and no CH4 emissions occur from this activity. As such, the ERT recommendation L.7, 2017 (FCCC/ARR/2017/NZL) cannot be addressed. During the review of the 2021 submission, the ERT considered that this issue had been resolved given there is no method for estimating these emissions in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a). New Zealand is following the methodology for reporting N2O emissions from Wetlands remaining w*etlands*, in line with volume 4, section 7.2.1.2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a).

Activity data

The vegetated wetland subcategory includes areas of forest that are part of the wetland ecosystem. Where the forest area has been judged to be part of the wetland ecosystem, it has been classed as vegetated wetland.

[64] New Zealand has elected not to apply the 2013 *Wetlands* supplement. This decision will be revised at a later date.

Land converted to wetlands (CRF 4.D.2)

Between 1990 and 2020, 8,395 hectares of land were converted to *Wetlands*, while 11,827 hectares of *Wetlands* were converted to other land uses (mainly *Grassland*, at 9,921 hectares). This resulted in a net decrease in total area reported under *Wetlands* of 3,431 hectares. The wetland losses were mainly related to the conversion of vegetated wetland to grassland (9,397 hectares). Increases in area of wetland open water (8,223 hectares) are mainly due to the development of irrigation ponds in the Canterbury and Otago regions. However, approximately 760 hectares of new open water has resulted from new lakes forming within the Southern Alps, often at the foot of glaciers.

Land converted to peat extraction emissions are reported in the CRF tables as NE because the areas under peat extraction have remained static since 1990. For *Land converted to flooded land*, the area is included in the area mapped as *Land converted to open water* (this category includes naturally occurring open water (natural lakes) as well as intentionally flooded land). This means emissions for *Land converted to flooded land* are reported as IE (included elsewhere), and these emissions are captured under *Land converted to open water* instead.

Living biomass and dead organic matter

New Zealand uses a Tier 1 method to calculate emissions from *Land converted to wetlands* (equation 7.10, IPCC, 2006a). The Tier 1 method assumes the carbon in living biomass and dead organic matter present before conversion is lost in the same year as the conversion takes place. All emissions from land-use change to *Wetlands* from the removal of the previous vegetation are instantly emitted.

For open water wetlands, the carbon stocks in living biomass and dead organic matter following conversions are equal to zero. For vegetated wetlands, the carbon stocks in living biomass and dead organic matter are not estimated because there is no guidance in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) for estimating carbon stock following land-use change to *Wetlands*.

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in *Land converted to wetlands* are estimated using a Tier 2 method, as described in annex A3.2.4.

Non-CO_2 emissions

Non-CO_2 emissions from drainage of soils and wetlands

New Zealand has not prepared estimates for this category. The notation key NE is used in the CRF tables where either no activity data are available to report on this activity or no Tier 1 methodology exists within the accepted guidelines for providing estimates. Use of this notation key is in accordance with Decision 24/CP.19 (UNFCCC, 2014).

6.6.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Wetlands* was 108.9 per cent in 2020. The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Wetlands* accounted for 0.1 per cent of the total uncertainty in emissions from the LULUCF sector. The uncertainty associated with the emissions from each *Wetland* class is shown in table 6.6.3. The methods used to calculate the uncertainty are further described in annex A3.2.8.

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

Table 6.6.3 Uncertainty in carbon stock change emissions in 2020 for *Wetlands*

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)	Uncertainty in emissions (%)	Contribution to LULUCF uncertainty (%)
Wetlands – open water	–2.8	169.4	0.0
Wetlands – vegetative	–1.7	74.4	0.0
Peat extraction	17.9	18.9	0.0
Total	**13.3**	**108.9**	**0.1**

6.6.4 Category-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the activity data and emission factor for carbon change underwent Tier 1 quality checks.

6.6.5 Category-specific recalculations

The impact of recalculations on net CO_2-e emission estimates for *Wetlands* is shown in table 6.6.4. Recalculations were carried out for this category as a result of updating activity data because of mapping improvements, as described in section 6.2.

Table 6.6.4 Recalculations for New Zealand's net emissions from the *Wetlands* category in 1990 and 2019

	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Change from the 2021 submission	
Year	2021 submission	2022 submission	(kt CO_2-e)	(%)
1990	–10.7	–10.5	0.2	2.2
2019	13.4	13.4	–0.0	–0.3

6.6.6 Category-specific planned improvements

The following improvements are planned for the *Wetlands* category.

- Research is under way to identify nutrient status, drainage depth and suitable emission factors for drained organic soils to enable the application of the 2013 *Wetlands* supplement in future submissions. It is unlikely this work will be complete before the 2023 submission.
- A literature review of carbon stocks and estimated stock change through time in inland wetland vegetation is under way. This will enable carbon stock gains and losses from land-use changes to and from vegetated wetlands to be reported. It is likely that this will be implemented in the 2023 submission.

6.7 Settlements (CRF 4E)

6.7.1 Description

In 2020, the net emissions from *Settlements* were 124.1 kt CO_2-e, an increase of 64.5 per cent from net emissions in 1990 (see table 6.7.1). This change in emissions is mainly from the category of *Land converted to settlements* and results from the drainage of organic soils. *Settlements* was not a key category in 2020.

Table 6.7.1 New Zealand's land-use change for the *Settlements* category, and associated CO_2-e emissions, from 1990 to 2020

Settlements land use category	Net area (ha) as at 1990	Net area (ha) as at 2020	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 1990	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2020	Change from 1990 (%)
Settlements remaining settlements	191,278	218,219	67.2	76.5	13.9
Land converted to settlements	16,624	19,293	8.3	47.5	475.1
Total	**207,902**	**237,512**	**75.4**	**124.1**	**64.5**

Note: Net area at 1990 is as of 1 January 1990; net area at 2020 is as of 31 December 2020. *Land converted to settlements* includes land converted up to 20 years earlier. Net emission values are for the whole year indicated. Columns may not total due to rounding.

From 1990 to 2020, the net carbon stock change for *Settlements* decreased by 1,015.7 kt C, equivalent to emissions of 3,724.1 kt CO_2 in total since 1990 (see table 6.7.2). These carbon stock losses are predominantly due to the loss of carbon from organic soils associated with drainage when land is converted to *Settlements*.

Table 6.7.2 New Zealand's carbon stock change by carbon pool for the *Settlements* category from 1990 to 2020

Land use category	Net carbon stock change 1990–2020 (kt C) Living biomass	Dead organic matter	Soils	Total	Emissions 1990–2020 (kt CO_2)
Settlements	−413.1	−31.9	−570.7	−1,015.7	3,724.1

The *Settlements* land use category, as described in chapter 3.2 of 2006 IPCC Guidelines, includes "all developed land, including transportation infrastructure and human settlements of any size, unless they are already included under other categories" (IPCC, 2006a, p 3.7). *Settlements* include trees grown along streets, in public and private gardens, and in parks associated with urban areas.

In 2020, there were 237,512 hectares of *Settlements* in New Zealand, an increase of 29,610 hectares since 1990. This category comprised 0.9 per cent of New Zealand's total land area in 2020. The largest area of change to *Settlements* between 1990 and 2020 came from high producing grassland, with 23,261 hectares between 1990 and 2020.

The emissions in the *Settlements remaining settlements* category are all from anthropogenic drainage of organic soils for establishment of settlements. Carbon in living biomass and dead organic matter for this land use category is estimated as zero but, because zero is not a valid entry for biomass gains in the CRF Reporter, the notation key NA is reported for biomass gains instead. The carbon stock in mineral soil for this land use is assumed to be in steady state, so this is also reported as zero.

6.7.2 Methodological issues

Settlements remaining settlements (CRF 4.E.1)

New Zealand applies Tier 1 methods for estimating emissions from the *Settlements remaining settlements* category. The assumptions are that there is no change in carbon stocks for living biomass, dead organic matter or mineral soils. The Tier 1 method for organic soils conversely assumes emissions are constant if they are drained. Where organic soils occur in this category, they are assumed to be drained. See annex A3.2.4 'Organic soils' for further information on the methods applied to organic soils.

Because this is not a key category, New Zealand is not investigating methods to move to a higher tier of reporting for this category.

Land converted to settlements (CRF 4.E.2)

Living biomass and dead organic matter

New Zealand has applied a Tier 1 method for estimating carbon stock change with land conversion to *Settlements* (equation 2.16, IPCC, 2006a). This is the same as that used for other areas of land use conversion (e.g., *Land converted to cropland*). The default assumptions for a Tier 1 estimate are that all living biomass and dead organic matter present before conversion are lost in the same year as the conversion took place. Furthermore, carbon stocks in living biomass and dead organic matter following conversion are equal to zero (sections 8.3.1 and 8.3.2, IPCC, 2006a).

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in mineral soil for *Land converted to settlements* are estimated using a Tier 2 method (see annex A3.2.4 'Mineral soils'). For organic soils, loss of soil carbon is estimated using the Tier 1 method applied to *Settlements remaining settlements*.

6.7.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Settlements* was 61.6 per cent in 2020. The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Settlements* accounted for 0.3 per cent of the total uncertainty in emissions from the LULUCF sector. The methods used to calculate the uncertainty are further described in annex A3.2.8.

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

6.7.4 Category-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the activity data for these emissions underwent Tier 1 quality checks.

6.7.5 Category-specific recalculations

Recalculations were carried out for this category (see table 6.7.3). Recalculations in the *Settlements* category are largely due to emissions associated with deforestation. For years where mapped deforestation data are not available (2018 to 2020), deforestation area is based on a projected estimate. Previously, projected deforestation area was assumed to only occur from *Forest land* to high producing grassland or low producing grassland. As a result, no *Forest land* to *Settlements* land use conversions were estimated for 2019 in the 2021 submission.

In this submission, this assumption was changed so that projected deforestation estimates also included land use conversions to *Grassland with woody biomass*, *Settlements* and *Other land* (based on the proportion of mapped land use conversions from *Forest land* from 2013 to 2016). Emissions from settlements have increased in 2019 as result.

Table 6.7.3 Recalculations for New Zealand's net emissions from the Settlements category in 1990 and 2019

Year	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2021 submission	2022 submission	Change from the 2021 submission (kt CO_2-e)	(%)
1990	74.4	75.4	1.0	1.3
2019	112.7	127.8	15.1	13.4

6.7.6 Category-specific planned improvements

During the review of the 2021 submission, the ERT recommended that New Zealand calculate N_2O emissions associated with the drainage of organic soils in settlements. Although there is no default method in the IPCC 2006 Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) for N_2O emissions associated with drainage for this land use category, New Zealand considers that most of its *Settlements* area can be assimilated to *Grassland* when it comes to soil carbon. Therefore, the default methods applied to *Grassland* should also be applied to *Settlements*. Given there is no reporting category for these emissions in the CRF, assistance was sought on how these emissions could be reported. Unfortunately, the response to this request was not received in time to incorporate into this submission. Therefore, the 23.2 kt CO_2-e of emissions resulting from drained organic soils in *Settlements* has not been included in the total emissions for LULUCF. It is planned that this will be included in the 2023 submission.

6.8 Other land (CRF 4F)

6.8.1 Description

In 2020, the net emissions from *Other land* were 118.4 kt CO_2-e (see table 6.8.1). This is 104.8 kt CO_2-e (770.3 per cent) higher than the 1990 level of 13.6 kt CO_2-e. The majority of these emissions occur in the *Land converted to other land* category. This is primarily because the area of land estimated as having been converted to *Other land* has been steadily increasing since 1990.

Other land is defined in section 3.2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) as including bare soil, rock, ice and all land areas that do not fall into any of the other five land use categories. This means that this category includes any land which has not been actively classified into one of the other categories. It consists mostly of steep, rocky terrain at high elevation, often covered in snow or ice. This category is 3.3 per cent of New Zealand's total land area.

An analysis of change in area between 1990 and 2020 shows that, of the 7,646 hectares converted from *Other land* to different land use categories, 3,101 hectares were converted to post-1989 planted forest, 710 hectares of post-1989 natural forest and 1,493 hectares were converted to *Grassland with woody biomass*. *Land converted to other land* is dominated by quarries, with the largest individual site in the region of Otago measuring approximately 1,400 hectares. Also included in this change are coastal areas where sand dunes have advanced into previously vegetated areas, some areas of new rural roading not associated with settlements, and areas that have been cleared of vegetation but where the future land use is uncertain. In some cases these uncertain areas undergo a subsequent land-use change to *Settlements*.

Between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2020, there were 8,512 hectares of *Land converted to other land*; most (5,099 hectares) of this was from the *Grassland* categories. This is likely to be mainly due to conversion of *Grassland* to roads, mines and quarries.

In 2020, *Land converted to Other land* was not a key category.

Table 6.8.1 New Zealand's land-use change for the land use category *Other land* from 1990 to 2020

Land use category – Other land	Net area as at 1990 (ha)	Net area as at 2020 (ha)	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 1990	2020	Change from 1990 (%)
Other land remaining other land	895,805	890,303	0.1	4.1	3,821.8
Land converted to other land	0	6,368	13.5	114.3	746.7
Total	**895,805**	**896,671**	**13.6**	**118.4**	**770.3**

Note: Net area at 1990 is as of 1 January 1990; net area at 2020 is as of 31 December. *Land converted to other land* includes land converted up to 20 years earlier. The net emission values for *Other land remaining other land* are due to N_2O emissions from N mineralisation as a result of land-use change. Columns may not total due to rounding.

6.8.2 Methodological issues

Other land remaining other land (CRF 4.F.1)

A summary of the New Zealand emission factors and other parameters used to estimate greenhouse gas emissions for *Other land* is provided in table 6.8.2.

Table 6.8.2 Summary of New Zealand emission factors for the land use category *Other land*

Other land greenhouse gas source category	Steady state carbon stock (t C ha^{-1})	Years to reach steady state	Carbon stock change on conversion to Other land (t C ha^{-1})	Reference
Biomass	NE	NA	Instantaneous loss of previous land use carbon stock	IPCC Tier 1 default assumption (section 9.3.1, IPCC, 2006a)
Soils (mineral)	58.37	20	Linear change over the conversion period between new and previous stock values	Section 6.3 of this submission

Note: NA = not applicable; NE = not estimated.

Living biomass and dead organic matter

All of New Zealand's land area in the *Other land* category is classified as 'managed'. New Zealand considers all land to be managed, because all land is under some form of management plan, regardless of the intensity and/or type of land-management practices. Reporting for the category *Other land remaining other land* is not mandatory. New Zealand applies the Tier 1 approach to this category, which assumes carbon accumulation and loss for the biomass pool is zero in all years subsequent to the year of conversion (section 9.3.1, IPCC, 2006a).

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in *Other land remaining other land* are estimated using a Tier 2 method for mineral soils (see annex A3.2.4 'Mineral soils'). The steady state mineral SOC stock in *Other land* is estimated to be 58.37 tonnes C ha^{-1}. This is based on only three samples so has an associated uncertainty of ±70.7 per cent (McNeill and Barringer, unpublished). The 2006 IPCC Guidelines provides a default value for soil carbon in *Other land* of 0 tonnes C ha^{-1} (section 9.3.3.2, IPCC, 2006a). However, due to the hierarchical structure of defining land use classes, *Other land* is defined as "any other remaining land that does not fall into any of the other land use categories", which can result in the SOC measured potentially being greater than zero.

Land converted to other land (CRF 4.F.2)

Living biomass and dead organic matter

New Zealand uses a Tier 1 method to calculate emissions for *Land converted to other land* (equation 2.16, IPCC, 2006a). This is the same as the method used for other areas of land use conversion (e.g., *Land converted to cropland*). The Tier 1 method assumes the carbon in living biomass and dead organic matter present before conversion is lost and instantly oxidised in the same year as the conversion takes place and that carbon stocks in living biomass and dead organic matter following conversion are equal to zero. There is no Tier 1 method for calculating carbon accumulation in living biomass or dead organic matter for *Land converted to other land*.

Soil organic carbon

Soil organic carbon stocks in *Land converted to other land* before conversion are estimated using a Tier 2 method (see annex A3.2.4 'Mineral soils'). The IPCC default method of a linear change over a 20-year period is used to estimate the change in SOC stocks between the original land use and other land for any given period.

6.8.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Other land* was 86.4 per cent in 2020. Emissions from *Other land* accounted for 0.4 per cent of the net emissions from the LULUCF sector. The methods used to calculate the uncertainty are further described in annex A3.2.8.

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

6.8.4 Category-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation of this inventory, the data for these emissions underwent Tier 1 quality checks.

6.8.5 Category-specific recalculations

The impact of recalculations on net CO_2-e emission estimates for the *Other land* category is shown in table 6.8.3. Recalculations were carried out for this category as a result of mapping data as described section 6.2 and annex A3.2.2. The change in emissions largely result from changes in deforestation mapping, as described in section 6.7.5, where the assumption for projected deforestation estimates also now includes conversions to *Other land*.

Table 6.8.3 Recalculations for New Zealand's net emissions from the Other land category in 1990 and 2019

Year	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2021 submission	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2022 submission	Change from the 2021 submission (kt CO_2-e)	Change from the 2021 submission (%)
1990	12.8	13.6	0.8	6.7
2019	52.5	152.8	100.3	191.1

6.8.6 Category-specific planned improvements

The mapping of *Other land* at 1990 was based on lower 30-metre resolution Landsat satellite imagery meant that some areas of lower productive grassland and bare ground, which did not have a typical grassland spectral signature, could have been incorrectly classed as *Other land*. Subsequent land-use change has highlighted that the 1990 classification should be reviewed and updated. This is a scheduled improvement activity.

The reference SOC value is based on only three estimates, is high compared with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) default value and has a relatively high uncertainty. Further soil sampling in land classified as *Other land* is required to improve soil carbon estimates in this land use category. However, while this action is listed as a planned improvement, it has not yet received funding and is unlikely to be implemented in the next inventory submission.

6.9 Harvested wood products (CRF 4G)

6.9.1 Description

In 2020, the net emissions from *Harvested wood products* were –6,834.6 kt CO_2-e. This is –4,353.4 kt CO_2-e (175.5 per cent) lower than the 1990 level of –2,481.2 kt CO_2-e. The decrease in emissions in the *Harvested wood products* category is driven by the increase in harvesting and production of roundwood that has occurred since 1990, as shown in figure 6.9.1.

Net emissions in the *Harvested wood products* category are driven by the harvesting of planted forests to produce roundwood, resulting in changes to the carbon stock of this pool. The *Harvested wood products* pool gains carbon as new *Harvested wood products* are created. Losses from the *Harvested wood products* pool occur due to products being discarded. To account for these losses through time, a decay profile, or half-life, is applied for each product type.

Between 2019 and 2020 removals in the *Harvested wood products* category have reduced by 2,203.3 kt CO_2-e. The reason for this change was that the production of harvested wood products fell due to disruptions in processing and logistics related to COVID-19.

Figure 6.9.1 Volume of roundwood produced and exported between 1990 to 2020



New Zealand has a large planted forest estate that provides the majority of wood products consumed domestically and exported in either product or raw material form. New Zealand currently processes around 40 per cent of its annual harvest (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021a, 2021b). The remaining harvest is exported in raw material form. New Zealand is currently the largest exporter of industrial roundwood followed by the Russian Federation and Czechia (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2019). New Zealand's planted forests are dominated by radiata pine, which is used in a wide range of applications including timber-frame construction, packaging, plywood, medium density fibreboard (MDF), posts and poles and mechanical and chemical pulping.

In 2020, *Harvested wood products* was a key category (trend and level assessment).

6.9.2 Methodological issues

New Zealand has selected the production approach to report *Harvested wood products* in the National Inventory Report. To do this, New Zealand has adapted the default *Harvested wood products* model and uses a Tier 2 method (section 12.2.1.2, IPCC, 2006a), which involves using country-specific activity data and parameters (Wakelin et al., 2020).

Activity data

Activity data on roundwood production volume, roundwood export volume and the production of New Zealand processed wood products are sourced from the Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021a, 2021b). Additional data on New Zealand wood product production and export, which are not available from the published MPI statistics, are sourced from the Food and Agriculture Organization statistical database (the FAOSTAT database) which contains data that MPI provides to the Food and Agriculture Organization. The FAOSTAT database provides more granular breakdowns of semi-finished wood products produced in New Zealand, which specific carbon fractions can then be applied to.

Activity data for the period 1900 to 1960 are populated using the IPCC model method, which assumes that consumption is correlated with population growth. New Zealand used the default value for Oceania for the annual rate of increase for the period 1900 to 1960. This information is used to initialise *Harvested wood products* stocks as at 1 January 1990.

A large proportion (over 60 per cent) of New Zealand's harvest was exported as raw materials in the form of logs or wood chips in 2020, as shown in figure 6.9.1 (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021a, 2021b). Note that the exported volume of *Harvested wood products* described in CRF table 4.Gs2 does not sum to 60 per cent of all exported products. This is because this table includes only products produced in New Zealand and does not include the volume of raw logs exported that are then processed offshore.

MPI provides data on the export quantity of raw materials but provides no information on the conversion of these materials to products or their expected half-lives. Research was completed in 2016 to provide information on *Harvested wood products* from exported logs in New Zealand's three main export markets (China, South Korea and India). This research provides activity data for the conversion of New Zealand-produced raw materials to harvested wood products in export markets (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2016).

Further research was commissioned in 2017 to update the model assumptions that are used for calculating harvested wood product volume and associated emissions to include the activity data and half-lives of the 2016 report (Wakelin and Kimberley, unpublished). Note that the model also includes export variables to Japan, which was historically a large export market but has reduced and is projected to continue to reduce over time. It also includes “Other countries”, which account for a small volume of exports that are sold to other markets. Details of product volume and half-lives for these export markets can be found in annex A3.2.6.

Activity data for exported log volumes and *Harvested wood products* to individual countries are periodically published by MPI (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021b). The proportion of exported roundwood to product type in these export markets is obtained by applying the ratios of product type produced (sawnwood, wood panels or paper) as identified in the 2016 report (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2016) to the volume of timber exported to each of the export markets.

Emission factors

The default wood carbon content value of 50 per cent, from table 12.4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a), is used in the *Harvested wood products* model (IPCC, 2006a). This value is consistent with the planted forest model that uses a country-specific value of 50 per cent. A country-specific wood density value of 420 kilograms per square metre is used for sawnwood produced from coniferous species (Jones, 2005). This value is used to reflect coniferous species’ contribution to New Zealand roundwood, which makes up around 98 per cent of annual harvest. A country-specific wood density value of 500 kilograms per square metre is used for sawnwood produced from non-coniferous species (Jones, 2005). Non-coniferous species (mainly *Eucalyptus* species) make up around 2 per cent of annual harvest. The default IPCC bark factor (11 per cent; annex 4A.1, IPCC, 2006a) is used for conifers and is considered appropriate for New Zealand. Wood-based panels and paper products all use IPCC defaults because no country-specific value is available (see table 6.9.1).

Exported raw logs are first converted to tonnes of carbon, based on the carbon fractions for coniferous species and for non-coniferous species as outlined above. This carbon is then apportioned into each export market and wood product combination (sawnwood, panels, and paper).

Table 6.9.1 Conversion factors for *Harvested wood products* produced from New Zealand wood in New Zealand

Category	Factor (t C/m^3 or t C/t*)	Source
Sawnwood, other industrial roundwood (coniferous)	0.210	Country specific (Jones, 2005)
Sawnwood, other industrial roundwood (non-coniferous)	0.250	Country specific (Jones, 2005)
Veneer sheets	0.210	Country specific (Jones, 2005)
Plywood	0.267	IPCC default (IPCC, 2014)
Particle board	0.269	IPCC default (IPCC, 2014)
Fibreboard (compressed)	0.315	IPCC default (IPCC, 2014)
Insulating board/other fibreboard	0.075	IPCC default (IPCC, 2014)
Paper products	0.450*	IPCC default (IPCC, 2014)

Note: * Indicates where factors are given in tonnes of carbon per tonne of product.

Half-lives

Half-lives determine the discard rate of products from service in the *Harvested wood products* category. New Zealand uses a half-life of 35 years for *Sawnwood*, 25 years for *Panels* and two years for *Paper and paperboard* from the Kyoto Protocol Supplement (IPCC, 2014) for domestic production. These half-lives are used to increase the accuracy of the estimates over the default categories (*Solid wood* and *Paper and paperboard*) (table 12.2, IPCC, 2006a).

Most of New Zealand's exported logs are converted into construction and packaging material (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2016). The weighted half-lives for China and India are significantly lower than the IPCC default half-lives for *Sawnwood* and *Panels* at 35 and 25 years respectively (IPCC, 2014), as demonstrated in table 6.9.2 below. These findings are included in New Zealand's *Harvested wood products* estimates and provide an improvement on the default assumption where exported raw materials were discarded at the same rate as domestic production. Further information on the half-lives for conversions of exported logs is provided in annex A3.2.6.

Table 6.9.2 Comparison of weighted half-lives for harvested wood products produced in export markets and the defaults applied in the IPCC, 2014 guidance

	Weighted half-lives		
Country	**Sawnwood**	**Wood panels**	**Paper**
China	8.3	8.3	2
India	1.4	10.4	2
South Korea	15.5	23.9	2
Japan	3.1	2.8	2
Other	8.3	8.3	2
IPCC default	35	25	2

6.9.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net carbon emissions from *Harvested wood products* was 68.2 per cent in 2020. The uncertainty in net emissions from *Harvested wood products* accounted for 20.0 per cent of the total uncertainty in emissions from the LULUCF sector. Uncertainty in the *Harvested wood products* estimates is introduced by activity data, conversion factors and decay parameters and is driven by large removals in this pool and high uncertainty associated with the end-use and discard rates of New Zealand wood. The methods used to calculate the uncertainty are further described in annex A3.2.8.

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

6.9.4 Category-specific QA/QC and verification

Activity data for roundwood are sourced from annual statistics produced by MPI. However, as section 6.3 describes, the methodology for estimating above-ground biomass losses on harvest uses the LUCAS model, which combines harvest area by age with yield table carbon values. To ensure consistency between MPI roundwood volume statistics and LUCAS harvest estimates, a comparison between the two data sources across the time series was carried out and is further described in annex A3.2.5 'Forest land model validations'.

The results show a relatively good match between the two data sources between 2013 and 2020. This is because roundwood volume is now used to determine the total destocking area over this period. However, the two data sources deviate in earlier years. LUCAS above-ground biomass losses from harvest are greater than those estimated from roundwood volume statistics from 1996 to 2012 and are slightly lower from 1990 to 1994.

The implications of these earlier differences are that New Zealand may be reporting more carbon losses from harvesting and deforestation than carbon gains from roundwood creation and inputs into the *Harvested wood products* pool across most of the time series.

Work is under way to establish how best to fix this inconsistency through the time series.

6.9.5 Category-specific recalculations

All data on total roundwood production, roundwood exports and the production of New Zealand processed wood products were previously sourced from the FAOSTAT database. During the review of the 2021 submission, and in line with review recommendation L.11/FCCC/ARR/2019/NZL, concerns were raised around the reliability and timeliness of this data set. These data are now sourced directly from MPI published statistics (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021a, 2021b). This means that up-to-date data on roundwood production and trade, and wood production are now available for the current reporting year.

The FAOSTAT database is still used to supplement some data that are not published by MPI. MPI does not provide the same level of disaggregation on semi-finished wood products classified as wood panels as the FAOSTAT database. Therefore, the data on wood panels as sourced from MPI are distributed into further subcategories, using the FAOSTAT database production data.

In the previous submission, an actual value for 2019 roundwood produced was not available from the FAOSTAT database and a projected value was used instead. In the 2022 submission, this projected value has been replaced with an actual value as reported by MPI (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021a). These updates have resulted in an increase in net emissions by 1,029 kt CO_2-e in 2019, compared with the previous submission (see table 6.9.3).

Table 6.9.3 Recalculations for New Zealand's net emissions from the *Harvested wood products* category in 1990 and 2019

Year	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2021 submission	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2022 submission	Change from the 2021 submission (kt CO_2-e)	Change from the 2021 submission (%)
1990	–2,072.9	–2,481.2	–408.3	19.7
2019	–10,067.3	–9,037.8	1,029.4	10.2

6.9.6 Category-specific planned improvements

Research is under way to determine country-specific half-lives and their associated uncertainty for wood products processed in New Zealand. The research report is due in June 2022 and the results should be included in the 2023 inventory submission.

6.10 Non-CO_2 emissions (CRF 4(I–V))

In 2020, net N_2O emissions from soils associated with land-use change reported in the LULUCF sector were 220.3 kt CO_2-e (see table 6.10.1). This is 79.9 kt CO_2-e (26.6 per cent) lower than the 1990 level of 300.3 kt CO_2-e.

Table 6.10.1 N_2O emissions from soils associated with land-use change reported in the LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2020

Emissions source	Net emissions (kt N_2O) 1990	Net emissions (kt N_2O) 2020	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 1990	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2020	Change from 1990 (%)
Direct emissions from nitrogen mineralisation/ immobilisation	0.6	0.3	181.5	78.8	–56.6
Direct emissions from drainage of organic and mineral soils	0.3	0.4	78.0	123.8	58.8
Indirect emissions from leaching and runoff	0.1	0.1	40.8	17.7	–56.6
Total	**1.0**	**0.7**	**300.3**	**220.3**	**–26.6**

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

6.10.1 Direct N_2O emissions from nitrogen fertilisation of forest land and other land (CRF 4(I))

New Zealand's activity data on nitrogen fertilisation are not currently disaggregated by land use and, therefore, all direct N_2O emissions from nitrogen fertilisation of *Forest land* and *Other land* are reported in the Agriculture sector under the category *Direct N_2O emissions from managed soils* (CRF 3.D.a). The notation key IE is reported in the CRF tables for the LULUCF sector.

6.10.2 Emissions from drainage and rewetting of organic and mineral soils (CRF 4(II))

Description

New Zealand reports on N_2O emissions, as a result of oxidation of organic matter, from the drainage of organic soils. N_2O emissions on *Croplands* and *Grasslands* are reported under the Agriculture sector. Direct N_2O emissions from drained organic soils in *Forest land* are estimated to be 0.4 kt N_2O in 2020 compared with 0.3 kt N_2O in 1990.

Methodological issues

To estimate N_2O emissions associated with the drainage of organic soils on forest land, New Zealand uses the Tier 1 method outlined in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (equation 11.1, IPCC, 2006a). The Tier 1 default value for temperate, nutrient-poor forest soils of 0.1 kg N_2O-N ha^{-1} is applied. Note that the area of pre-1990 natural forest remaining pre-1990 natural forest on organic soils is assumed to be in its natural, undisturbed state, and therefore is presumed not to be drained.

6.10.3 Direct N_2O emissions from nitrogen mineralisation/immobilisation (CRF 4(III))

Description

Direct N_2O emissions from nitrogen mineralisation/immobilisation are minor in New Zealand, estimated at 0.3 kt N_2O in 2020 compared with 0.6 kt N_2O in 1990. Note that N_2O emissions on *Cropland remaining cropland* from nitrogen mineralisation/immobilisation are reported under the Agriculture sector. Direct N_2O emissions from nitrogen mineralisation/immobilisation associated with land-use change for all other land use categories are reported under the LULUCF sector.

Nitrous oxide emissions result from the mineralisation of soil organic matter with land-use change. This mineralisation results in an associated conversion of nitrogen previously in the soil organic matter to ammonium and nitrate. Microbial activity in the soil converts some of the ammonium and nitrate present to N_2O. An increase in this microbial substrate caused by a net decrease in soil organic matter can therefore be expected to give an increase in net N_2O emissions (section 11, IPCC, 2006a).

Methodological issues

To estimate N_2O emissions from disturbance associated with land-use change, New Zealand uses the method outlined in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (equations 11.2 and 11.8, IPCC, 2006a). The inputs to these equations are:

- loss of carbon in mineral soils
- EF1 – the emission factor for calculating emissions of N_2O from nitrogen in the soil. New Zealand uses a country-specific value of 0.01 kg N_2O – N/kg N (Kelliher and de Klein, unpublished)
- C:N ratio – the IPCC default ratio of carbon to nitrogen in soil organic matter (15:1) is used (IPCC, 2006a, p 11.16).

Where an area of land is converted to a land use with a higher original mineral SOC stock than the category it is converted from, no N_2O emissions have been estimated as occurring because there is no associated loss of SOC. For instance, *Cropland* converted to *Forest land* is estimated not to result in net N_2O emissions because this land use conversion is associated with a net gain in SOC in New Zealand (see annex A3.2.4, table A3.2.6). In these situations, the notation key NO (not occurring) is reported in the CRF tables.

6.10.4 Indirect N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff (CRF 4(IV))

Indirect N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff is associated with mineralisation of N from loss of soil carbon in mineral and drained/managed organic soils through land-use change or management practices. Emissions on *Cropland remaining cropland* from leaching and runoff are reported under the Agriculture sector. Indirect N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff for all other land use categories are reported under the LULUCF sector.

Indirect emissions from leaching and runoff in all land use categories excluding *Cropland remaining cropland* were estimated as 0.1 kt N_2O in 2020, which is the same as the value reported in 1990.

Methodological issues

New Zealand applies the Tier 1 method outlined in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (equation 11.10, IPCC, 2006a) for estimating N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff. The following are the inputs to this equation.

- F_{SOM} – annual amount of N mineralised in mineral soils associated with loss of soil C from soil organic matter as a result of changes to land use or management in regions where leaching/runoff occurs, kg N yr^{-1}, is calculated using the method described in section 6.10.3 above.
- EF_5 – emission factor for N_2O emissions from N leaching and runoff, kg N2O–N (kg N leached and runoff)$^{-1}$ uses the default emission factor of 0.0075 provided in table 11.3 (IPCC, 2006a).
- $Frac_{LEACH-(H)}$ – fraction of all N added to/mineralised in managed soils in regions where leaching/runoff occurs that is lost through leaching and runoff, kg N (kg of N additions)$^{-1}$ uses the default value of 0.030 provided in table 11.3 (IPCC, 2006a).

6.10.5 Indirect N_2O emissions from atmospheric deposition of N volatilised from managed soil (CRF 4(IV))

Description

New Zealand cannot separate the sources of nitrogen between *Cropland*, *Grassland* and *Other land* uses. For this reason, it reports all *Indirect N_2O emissions from atmospheric deposition of N volatilised from managed soil* within CRF table 3.D.b in the Agriculture sector and uses the notation key IE within CRF table 4(IV) of the LULUCF sector.

6.10.6 Uncertainties and time-series consistency for N_2O emissions in soils associated with land-use change

The uncertainty in net N_2O emissions from nitrogen in soils associated with land-use change in 2020 is outlined in table 6.10.2. The methods used to calculate the uncertainty are further described in annex A3.2.8.

Table 6.10.2 Net N_2O emissions from nitrogen in soils associated with land-use change in 2020

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)	Uncertainty in emissions (%)	Contribution to LULUCF uncertainty (%)
Direct N_2O emissions from N mineralisation/ immobilisation	78.8	86.4	0.3
Direct N_2O emissions from drainage and rewetting	123.8	86.5	0.5
Indirect emissions from leaching and runoff	17.7	127.5	0.1

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

6.10.7 Source-specific planned improvements for N_2O emissions in soils associated with land-use change

Nitrous oxide emissions associated with the drainage of organic soils in *Settlements* will be included in the 2023 submission as New Zealand considers that most of its *Settlements* area can be assimilated to *Grassland* when it comes to soil carbon.

6.10.8 Biomass burning (CRF 4(V))

Description

Non-CO_2 emissions from *Biomass burning* in 2020 were 3.3 kt CH_4 (81.7 kt CO_2-e) and 0.2 kt N_2O (51.0 kt CO_2-e) (see table 6.10.3).

Table 6.10.3 Non-CO_2 emissions from *Biomass burning*

Emissions	1990	2020	Change since 1990 (%)
CH_4 emissions (kt CH_4)	2.7	3.3	18.8
N_2O emissions (kt N_2O)	0.1	0.2	97.3

Biomass burning can occur as a result of wildfires or controlled burning, and results in emissions of CO_2, CH_4, N_2O, CO and NO_x. *Biomass burning* is not a significant source of emissions for New Zealand because the practice of controlled burning is limited, and wildfires are not common due to New Zealand's temperate climate and vegetation.

The two types of biomass burning (wildfire and controlled burning) that occur in New Zealand are reported in two main land use categories: *Forest land* and *Grassland*. Emissions reported in *Forest land* are further separated by forest type, and emissions from *Grassland* are reported from the controlled burning of tussock land (in ecosystems dominated by *Chionochloa* spp.) and from wildfires in exotic pasture grassland.

Methodological issues

New Zealand employs Tier 2 methodologies to estimate emissions from *Biomass burning*. A combination of country-specific carbon fractions (Beets, unpublished), emission factors (Thomas et al., 2011) and combustion factors (Wakelin, unpublished(a), (e); Payton and Pearce, 2009) is employed along with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines default carbon fractions, emission factors, combustion factors and equations to derive emissions (sections 2.4, 4.2.4 and 6.2.4, IPCC, 2006a). These variables are summarised in tables 6.10.4 and 6.10.5 and further information on their application is provided in annex A3.2.7.

Table 6.10.4 Summary of *Biomass burning* carbon fractions and emission factors

	Biomass burning implied emission factors derived from 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) and New Zealand-specific carbon fractions							
	Grassland/savannah		**GWB/shrubland**		**Forest land**			
	Controlled burning	Wildfire	Controlled burning	Wildfire	Controlled burning		Wildfire	
					Planted forest	Natural forest	Planted forest	Natural forest
Carbon fraction	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44	0.51*	0.47	0.51*	0.47
C:N ratio	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
CO emission factor	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.10
CH_4 emission factor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
N_2O emission factor	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04
NO_x emission factor	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.19

Note: Values are rounded to two decimal places. *0.51 New Zealand-specific carbon fraction for planted forests (Beets, unpublished).

Table 6.10.5 Summary of *Biomass burning* combustion factor values

Combustion factor values (proportion of pre-fire biomass consumed) for fires (table 2.6, IPCC, 2006a)			
Vegetation Type			Value
Forest	Other temperate forests	Post logging slash burn	0.62
		Felled and burned (land clearing fire)	0.51
	All "other" temperate forests		0.45
GWB & Shrubland	Shrubland (general)		0.95
	All Shrubland		0.72
	GWB NZ specific		0.7*
Grassland	All savannah/grasslands (early dry season burns)		0.74
	All savannah/grasslands (mid/late dry season burns)		0.77
	Average of IPCC savannah/grasslands		0.755
	Controlled grassland burn NZ specific		0.555**

Note: *Wakelin (unpublished(a), (e)) **Payton and Pearce (2009).

For all land uses, CO_2 emissions are captured in the general stock change calculation following the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a). Carbon dioxide emissions resulting from *Biomass burning* are reported as IE, where emissions are captured in the stock change calculation within the land use category.

In *Grassland*, CO_2 emissions from biomass burning are assumed to be equal to subsequent regrowth. The assumption of equivalence is accepted as reasonable in this scenario as per the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, sections 2.4 and 6.2.4 (IPCC, 2006a).

In *Cropland*, CH_4 and N_2O emissions from controlled burning are reported as IE. This is because emissions from the burning of crop stubble associated with controlled burning in *Cropland* are reported under the Agriculture sector and reported within CRF table 3.F.

Both CO and NO_x are also released from biomass burning and are reported in relevant aggregate land use categories. Carbon monoxide and NO_x emissions from biomass burning are captured as part of the biomass burning model and reported in the CRF.

Controlled burning emissions are reported within:

- *Grassland* converted to *Forest land* (due to site preparation for conversion)
- *Forest land remaining forest land* (from the clearing of vegetation (natural forest) before the establishment of exotic planted forest and the burning of post-harvest slash before restocking)
- *Forest land* converted to *Grassland* (from controlled burning associated with deforestation)
- *Grassland remaining grassland* (due to savanna (tussock) and pastureland management practices).

Emissions of CO_2 from wildfires in *Forest land remaining forest land* are included in the general stock change calculation. In *Forest land remaining forest land*, burned stands are either harvested (so emissions are included with the harvesting emissions) or left to grow on at reduced stocking. Carbon dioxide emissions are reported when the stand is harvested or deforested (with no reduction in stock when compared with an unburned stand). For both natural and planted forests, emissions from areas burned are captured within the forest plot networks that New Zealand uses to estimate carbon stock change. In these cases, to avoid double counting of CO_2 emissions, the notation key IE is used.

Wildfire activity data are sourced from Fire and Emergency New Zealand (FENZ). Historically, burned areas were estimated and allocated by field staff to vegetation types: grass, tussock, gorse, scrub, wetland, plantation forest and indigenous forest. The process (since 2017) now involves mapping the burn area and overlaying LCDB categories, and all fires are entered into the FENZ database.

Activity data for controlled burning for *Forest land* are estimated based on a 2011 survey of forest owners (Wakelin, unpublished(d)). Activity data (area of land-use change) for *Grassland with woody biomass* converted to forest are based on annual land-use changes, as estimated in section 6.2, and an estimate of area burned from a survey of forest owners. Earlier estimates of controlled burning in planted forest (Forest Industry Training and Education Council, 2005; Robertson, 1998) were used in addition to the 2011 survey to provide activity data throughout the time series. Further details of the survey findings and extrapolation can be found in annex A3.2.7.

Activity data are combined with emission factors derived from the national forest plot network (see table 6.3.8) to estimate non-CO_2 emissions from burning associated with the clearing of vegetation before the establishment of exotic planted forest. Below-ground biomass is assumed not to burn. Further detailed information on the methodology applied can be found in annex A3.2.7.

Biomass burning is not a key category for New Zealand.

Uncertainties and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net emissions from CH_4 and N_2O emissions from *Biomass burning* was 37.2 per cent in 2020. Emissions from *Biomass burning* accounted for 0.2 per cent of the net emissions from the LULUCF sector.

Time-series consistency is ensured by applying consistent methods and full recalculations in the event of any refinement or improvement to methodology.

Source-specific QA/QC and verification

Quality-control and quality-assurance measures are applied to the *Biomass burning* activity data and emission factors. The biomass burning data set is verified whenever new data are supplied. The *Biomass burning* parameters (burning and emission factors), assumptions and data set have been reviewed (Payton and Pearce, 2009; Thomas et al., 2011; Wakelin, unpublished(b), (c), (d), (e); Wakelin et al., unpublished).

Source-specific recalculations

The post-1989 planted forest yield table used in the controlled burning calculations following harvest and deforestation has been updated in this submission (see section 6.3.5 for further details). Activity data have also been updated between the 2018 and 2019 submissions. New estimates for controlled burning in *Grassland remaining grassland* have required recalculations across the time series.

Source-specific planned improvements

The assumption that controlled burning of post-harvest residues on afforested land does not occur will be revisited in a future submission, due to the increasing harvest rate in these forests as they reach maturity.

Chapter 6: References

Beets PN. Unpublished. Carbon Fraction of Radiata Pine Biomass Components. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2017.

Clarkson, B. Unpublished. Peat Extraction in New Zealand Wetlands. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Landcare Research New Zealand Ltd Contract Report: LC2710 in 2016.

Davis MR, Wakelin SJ. Unpublished. Perennial Cropland Biomass: Sampling Requirements. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Limited (trading as Scion).

Easdale TA, Richardson SJ, Marden M, England JR, Gayoso-Aguilar J, Guerra-Carcamo JE, Brandon AM. 2019. Root biomass allocation in southern temperate forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 453: 117542.

Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2019. *FAO Yearbook of Forest Products 2019*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Retrieved from www.fao.org/3/cb3795m/cb3795m.pdf (16 November 2021).

Forest Industry Training and Education Council. 2005. *Best Practice Guidelines for Land Preparation*. Revised edn. Rotorua: Forest Industry Training and Education Council.

Garrett LG. Unpublished. Natural Forests Soils: Data Checking and Carbon Content of the Mineral Soil. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion).

Garrett LG, Kimberley MO, Oliver GR, Parks M, Pearce SH, Beets PN, Paul TSH. 2019. Decay rates of above- and below-ground coarse woody debris of common tree species in New Zealand's natural forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 438: 96–102.

Hunter G, McNeill S. Unpublished. Review of LUCAS Land Use Backcasting 1962–1989. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Landcare Research New Zealand Ltd.

IPCC. 2006a. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use.* IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2006b. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *General Guidance and Reporting*. *Volume 1.* Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2014. Hiraishi T, Krug T, Tanabe K, Srivastava N, Baasansuren J, Fukuda M, Troxler TG (eds). *2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol*. Switzerland: IPCC.

Jones T. 2005. Wood properties of commercial tree species. In: M Colley (ed.) *NZIF 2005 Forestry Handbook*. Wellington: New Zealand Institute of Forestry. pp 204–207.

Kelliher FM, de Klein CA. Unpublished. Review of New Zealand's Fertiliser Nitrous Oxide Emission Factor (EF1) Data. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment in 2006.

Kimberley MO, Beets PN, Paul TSH. 2019. Comparison of measured and modelled change in coarse woody debris carbon stocks in New Zealand's natural forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 434 (2019): 18–28.

Land Cover Database v5.0 _ Land Cover Database version 5.0, Mainland New Zealand. Retrieved from https://lris.scinfo.org.nz/layer/104400-lcdb-v50-land-cover-database-version-50-mainland-new-zealand (11 November 2021).

Manaaki Whenua Landcare Research. 2020. *A new national soil carbon monitoring system for agricultural land*. Retrieved from www.landcareresearch.co.nz/news/a-new-national-soil-carbon-monitoring-system-for-agricultural-land (4 December 2020).

Manley B. 2019. *Deforestation Intentions Survey 2018*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

McGlone MS. 2009. Postglacial history of New Zealand wetlands and implications for their conservation. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* 33: 1–23.

McNeill SJ, Barringer JRF. Unpublished. Respecification and Reclassification of the 2013 MfE Soil CMS Model. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Landcare Research New Zealand Ltd.

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2015. An overview of forestry in the emissions trading scheme. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/6991-An-Overview-of-Forestry-in-the-ETS (12 February 2022).

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2016. *Material Flow and End-use of Harvested Wood Products Produced from New Zealand Log Exports*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2020. *National Exotic Forest Description as at 1 April 2020*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2021a. *Wood processing: Quarterly production, stock and roundwood removals, June 2021 quarter production*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/forestry/new-zealand-forests-forest-industry/forestry/wood-processing (27 September 2021).

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2021b. Wood product markets: Quarterly trade, June 2021 quarterly exports Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/forestry/new-zealand-forests-forest-industry/forestry/wood-product-markets (13 October 2021).

Ministry for the Environment. 2006. *New Zealand's Initial Report under the Kyoto Protocol*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment. Retrieved from www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/climate/new-zealands-initial-report-under-the-kyoto-protocol/index.html (14 July 2011).

Ministry for the Environment. 2012. *Land Use and Carbon Analysis System: Satellite imagery interpretation guide for land use classes*. 2nd edn. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry for the Environment and Stats NZ. 2017. *New Zealand's Environmental Reporting Series: Our fresh water 2017*. Retrieved from www.mfe.govt.nz and www.stats.govt.nz.

Ministry for the Environment and Stats NZ. 2018. *New Zealand's Environmental Reporting Series: Our land 2018*. Retrieved from https://environment.govt.nz/assets/Publications/Files/Our-land-201-final.pdf.

Paul TSH, Beets PN, Kimberley MO. Unpublished(a). Carbon Stocks in New Zealand's Post-1989 Natural Forest: Analysis of the 2018/2019 forest inventory data. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by the New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2020.

Paul TSH, Kimberley MO, Beets PN. 2021. Natural forests in New Zealand: A large terrestrial carbon pool in a national state of equilibrium. *Forest Ecosystems* 8(1): 1–21.

Paul THS, Wakelin SJ, Dodunski, C. Unpublished(b). The NFI 2016-2020 analysis: Yield tables and carbon stocks in planted forests in New Zealand based on a five-year inventory cycle. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2021.

Payton IJ, Pearce HG. 2009. *Fire-induced Changes to the Vegetation of Tall-tussock (Chionochloa rigida) Grassland Ecosystems.* Science for Conservation 290. Wellington: Department of Conservation.

Robertson KA. 1998. Loss of organic matter and carbon during slash burns in New Zealand exotic forests. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science* 28(2): 221–241.

Thomas S, Hume E, Fraser T, Curtin D. 2011. *Factors and Activity Data to Estimate Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Cropping Systems, and Stubble and Tussock Burning*. Report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by Plant and Food Research (formerly Crop and Food Research) technical paper no. 2012/16. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Trotter C, MacKay A. Unpublished. Potential Forest Land. Landcare Research New Zealand Ltd Contract Report: 04/05-0410-L.

UNFCCC. 2014. *Report of the Conference of the Parties on its nineteenth session, held in Warsaw from 11 to 23 November 2013: Addendum – Part 2: Action taken by the Conference of the Parties at its nineteenth session*. FCCC/CP/2013/10/Add.3.

UNFCCC. 2020. FCCC/ARR/2019/NZL. Report of the individual review of the annual submission of New Zealand submitted in 2019. In-country Review.

Wakelin SJ. Unpublished(a). Scientific Research Underpinning New Zealand's Carbon Inventory in Planted Forests. Objective 9 – UNFCCC planted forest carbon inventory methodology. Milestone 2 – Review of shrubland clearance assumptions in the national carbon inventory. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2004.

Wakelin SJ. Unpublished(b). Review of LULUCF Biomass Burning Assumptions in New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Ensis in 2006.

Wakelin SJ. Unpublished(c). Apportioning Wildfire Emissions to Forest Sub-categories in the National Greenhouse Gas Inventory. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2011.

Wakelin SJ. Unpublished(d). Controlled Biomass Burning Emissions for the 2011 Greenhouse Gas Inventory. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2012.

Wakelin SJ. Unpublished(e). Review of Emissions from Prescribed Burning of Standing Vegetation in New Zealand. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2018.

Wakelin SJ, Beets PN. Unpublished. Emission Factors for Managed and Unmanaged Grassland with Woody Biomass. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2013.

Wakelin SJ, Clifford VR, Anderson SAJ, Pearce HG. Unpublished. Review of LULUCF Non-carbon Emissions in New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory. Contract report 17342 prepared for Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2009.

Wakelin SJ, Kimberley M. Unpublished. Harvested Wood Products Model for UNFCCC and Kyoto Protocol Reporting. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd (trading as Scion) in 2017.

Wakelin SJ, Searles N, Lawrence D, Paul TSH. 2020. Estimating New Zealand's harvested wood products carbon stocks and stock changes. *Carbon Balance and Management* 15(10).

Watts D. Unpublished. Land Use Backcasting: Data and methodology. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Wiser S. 2016. Vegetation Classification of All Measurements of the LUCAS Natural Forest Plots. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Manaaki Whenua Landcare Research.

Chapter 7: Waste

7.1 Sector overview

7.1.1 The waste sector in New Zealand

In New Zealand, most solid waste is disposed to land. The majority of the country's household and commercial waste is placed in managed municipal landfills. Before 2010, some municipal waste was also disposed to unmanaged or uncategorised sites. Unmanaged[65] sites consist of many small landfills, such as those on farms and in industry, which are still in operation. One major exception to disposal to land is that about half of all farm waste is disposed by open burning. Figure 7.1.1 shows the sources of and disposal practices for solid waste in New Zealand.

Most wastewater treatment in New Zealand is aerobic, including domestic, commercial and industrial wastewater, that releases methane (CH_4) emissions. Methane emissions from domestic wastewater are mainly from rural septic tank usage. Wastewater emissions also occur from some municipal treatment plants, which use semi-aerobic processes, and from industries in New Zealand, in particular, the meat and the pulp and paper industries.

Municipal waste is generally not incinerated in New Zealand. Incineration is used only on a very small scale, mainly for hazardous waste, clinical waste and sewage sludge, and has declined over time due to environmental regulation and the availability of other disposal options.

Emissions from composting activities are included. No other emission sources for direct greenhouse gases are applicable to New Zealand and no other activity data are available.

The impact of COVID-19 on emissions from the waste sector is negligible, as annual variations are within usual ranges. In addition, variations to solid waste disposal activity have a reduced effect on annual emissions. This is due to the dampening effect of the first-order decay models used to estimate emissions from landfills.

[65] This chapter uses classifications according to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reporting guidelines. The sites categorised as 'unmanaged' may actually be managed by requirements such as council consent conditions; however, they do not meet the definition of a managed landfill under the greenhouse gas inventory (IPCC, 2006a).

Figure 7.1.1 Flows of solid waste generation and disposal in New Zealand



New Zealand reports emissions from Tokelau, which is a dependent territory of New Zealand. Emissions from Tokelau for all activities are reported in annex 7 of the National Inventory Report and within the 'Other' sector in the common reporting format (CRF) tables. This is due to the significantly different methods applied and the prohibitive complexity of integrating emissions within the main sectors. Therefore, all emissions reported in this sector are from New Zealand excluding Tokelau. Please refer to chapter 8 and annex 7 for details of methods applied and the emissions for Tokelau.

7.1.2 Emissions summary

The Waste sector in New Zealand produces mainly CH_4 emissions (92.1 per cent) followed by nitrous oxide (N_2O) (5.1 per cent) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions (2.7 per cent). The Waste sector produces 8.8 per cent of gross CH_4 emissions in New Zealand. There are also emissions of CO_2 from the disposal of solid waste, but these are of biogenic origin and are not reported.

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Waste sector contributed 3,268.9 kt CO_2-e or 4.1 per cent of New Zealand's gross greenhouse gas emissions. The largest source category is *Solid waste disposal*, as shown in table 7.1.1.

1990–2020

In 2020, emissions from the Waste sector decreased by 17.1 per cent (674.2 kt CO_2-e), from 3,943.1 kt CO_2-e in 1990.

Annual emissions increased between 1990 and 2002, peaking at 4,468.9 kt CO_2-e in 2002, and have generally decreased since that time. Growth in population and economic activity since 1990 has resulted in increasing volumes of solid waste and wastewater for the whole of the time series. Ongoing improvements in the management of solid waste disposal at municipal landfills have meant total Waste sector emissions have been trending down since 2005, in spite of increasing volumes of solid waste and wastewater. The reduction in emissions is primarily the result of increased CH_4 recovery driven by the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality introduced in 2004 and also by the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS) since 2013. The trends are shown in chapter 2, figure 2.2.11 and in figures 7.1.2 and 7.1.3.

2019–2020

Between 2019 and 2020, emissions from the Waste sector decreased by 43.8 kt CO_2-e (1.3 per cent). This decrease is largely the result of decreases in CH_4 emissions in the *Solid waste disposal* category, due to changes in the composition of waste disposed to municipal landfills.

Table 7.1.1 New Zealand's greenhouse gas emissions for the Waste sector by source category in 1990 and 2020

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Difference (kt CO_2-e)	Change (%)	Share (%)	
Source category	**1990**	**2020**	**1990–2020**	**1990–2020**	**1990**	**2020**
Solid waste disposal (5.A)	3,318.2	2,637.7	–680.5	–20.5	84.2	80.7
Biological treatment of solid waste (5.B)	4.7	68.5	63.8	1,358.0	0.1	2.1
Incineration and open burning of waste (5.C)	315.7	185.4	–130.3	–41.3	8.0	5.7
Wastewater treatment and discharge (5.D)	304.5	377.4	72.9	23.9	7.7	11.5
Waste sector total	**3,943.1**	**3,268.9**	**–674.2**	**–17.1**	**–**	**–**

Note: Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values. Columns may not total due to rounding.

Figure 7.1.2 Profile of emissions from New Zealand's Waste sector by source category from 1990 to 2020



Figure 7.1.3 Change in New Zealand's emissions from the Waste sector by source category from 1990 to 2020



7.1.3 Key categories for Waste sector emissions

Details of New Zealand's key category analysis are in chapter 1, section 1.5. The key categories in the Waste sector are listed in table 7.1.2.

Table 7.1.2 Key categories in the Waste sector

CRF category code	IPCC category	Gas	Criteria for identification
5.A	Solid waste disposal	CH_4	L1, T1
5.C	Incineration and open burning of waste	CO_2	T1
5.D	Wastewater treatment and discharge	CH_4	L1

Note: L1 means a key category is identified under the level analysis – approach 1, and T1 is trend analysis – approach 1. See chapter 1 for more information.

7.1.4 Methodological issues for the Waste sector

Activity data have come from a variety of sources. Municipal solid waste disposal data, from mandatory reporting under the Waste Minimisation Act 2008 and from the NZ ETS, were used for the years for which they are available (2010 onwards). Activity data for all other sources were based on specific surveys. Interpolation based on gross domestic product (GDP) or population is used for other years.

New Zealand uses Tier 2 methodologies for estimating emissions from the *Solid waste disposal* source category, which is a key category, and for some wastewater emissions. Tier 1 methods are used to estimate other emissions from the Waste sector.

Country-specific emission factors have been used where available, including parameters for municipal waste (Eunomia, unpublished(a)) and for treatment of some types of industrial wastewater (Cardno, 2015).

Methodological issues are discussed under each source category in this chapter.

7.1.5 Uncertainties

The uncertainties for emission estimates are discussed under each category in this chapter. For most sources, they conform to default uncertainties in the 2006 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a). Much higher uncertainties are reported for unmanaged waste due to uncertainty in the activity data, and for indirect N_2O emissions from wastewater going into rivers and seawater due to uncertainty in the emission factors.

7.1.6 Verification

Where available, data from different sources were used for verification. All of the municipal landfills report their activity data either monthly or annually under the requirements of the Waste Minimisation Act 2008. In addition, most of these landfills also report activity data and estimated emissions (by mass balance) as part of the NZ ETS. These data sources are used as primary sources or for verification, as appropriate.

Data on wastewater treatment have been obtained from surveys.

7.1.7 Recalculations and improvements

Improvements and recalculations made to estimates in the Waste sector have resulted in a 0.5 per cent (18.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in emissions in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (4.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in emissions in 2019.

Minor changes have been made for three categories in the 2022 submission. First, there are minor updates to activity data for *Managed waste disposal sites*. Since revisions have been made to historical waste disposal levy data, these are now included in the inventory. Overall, the data has changed by less than 1%, and these changes are because of amendments submitted by the landfill operators due to operational circumstances. The levy data will continue to be fully updated in each future submission. Second, there are two issues addressed in the activity data for non-municipal landfills, part of the *Unmanaged waste disposal sites* category.

- Activity data for 1950 to 2015 had previously been calculated using data based on Tonkin and Taylor Ltd (unpublished(b)); however, the link between the source data and the calculated activity data used in the inventory was not clear. With further analysis, the calculated activity data was re-created using scripts and this method is fully repeatable. There is about 5 per cent discrepancy between the original and the new method, which is acceptable due to the increase in transparency.
- Methods to generate activity data for 2016 onward are revised to keep activity data constant at 2015 levels. Before the 2022 submission, there was a significant step-change in activity data that did not appear to be justified, and it did not reflect an accurate assumed composition of waste data. Keeping activity data constant at 2015 levels is considered to be a suitable alternative, before activity data based on actual rather than assumed waste data are made available.

Third, a correction is made to total carbon content in paper/card in the *Open burning of waste* category. The percentage of total carbon content for paper/card was previously reported as 43 per cent and this has been adjusted to 46 per cent in accordance with table 2.4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) and is also reflected in table 7.4.3.

Further details can be found under methodological issues for each source category and also in chapter 10.

7.1.8 Quality-assurance/quality-control (QA/QC) processes

Figure 7.1.4 shows a flow diagram for data in the Waste sector, including quality-assurance and quality-control processes. Tier 1 quality checks were carried out on all data for key categories in this sector.

Figure 7.1.4 Tier 1 quality checks for the Waste sector



7.2 Solid waste disposal (5.A)

7.2.1 Description

Household and industrial solid waste in New Zealand is disposed of almost exclusively to landfills, except about half of all farm waste that is disposed by open burning. The three broad types of landfill sites in New Zealand are:

1. municipal landfills, which are used for disposal of household waste but also accept industrial waste or other types of solid waste
2. non-municipal landfills, including cleanfills (sites disposing of largely inert waste), industrial fills and sites that dispose of construction and demolition waste
3. farm fills, which are used for disposal of household and other on-farm waste to land. Disposal of waste to land (in addition to open burning) is prevalent on farms in New Zealand.

These types of landfill sites map on to the CRF tables, as shown in table 7.2.1. All currently operational municipal landfill sites are managed sites (IPCC, 2006a) but due to first-order decay, some emissions continue to come from uncategorised municipal landfill sites, which were in operation before 2010.

Table 7.2.1 Landfill emissions in the common reporting format table

CRF category code	Landfill type using New Zealand terminology	Comment
5.A.1.a (Anaerobic)	Managed municipal landfills (Class 1)	Includes all currently operational municipal landfill sites and all sites with gas recovery
5.A.1.b (Semi-aerobic)	–	No semi-aerobic landfill sites identified in New Zealand
5.A.2 (Unmanaged)	Non-municipal landfills (Classes 2–5)	Includes industrial landfills
5.A.2 (Unmanaged)	Farm fills	Disposal of about half of farm waste
5.A.3 (Uncategorised)	Other municipal landfills (now closed)	While disposal is prior to 2010 only, emissions continue to be reported due to decay over time

Since 1990, there have been a number of initiatives to improve solid waste management practices in New Zealand. These include:

- requirements for all municipal landfills to meet resource consent conditions set under the Resource Management Act 1991
- the National Environmental Standards for Air Quality (2004) set under the Resource Management Act 1991, which require large landfills to capture landfill gas (LFG). This has significantly increased the use of LFG collection technology
- guidance and direction to local government and the Waste sector through *The New Zealand Waste Strategy* (Ministry for the Environment, 2002a) and its revision in 2010 (Ministry for the Environment, 2010)
- development of the *Solid Waste Analysis Protocol*, which provides a consistent classification system, sampling regimes and survey procedures to estimate the composition of solid waste (Ministry for the Environment, 2002b)
- the Waste Minimisation Act 2008, which imposes a levy of NZ$10 per tonne of municipal solid waste and enables regulations to establish product stewardship requirements and for information reporting. While not affecting this submission, the levy has been revised to NZ$20 per tonne from 1 July 2021 onwards and will expand to some non-municipal landfills (construction and demolition fills) as of 1 July 2022.

In addition, most municipal landfills are now mandatory participants in the NZ ETS with obligations to report and surrender emission units for their CH_4 emissions estimated by mass balance.

These initiatives have contributed to substantial improvements in waste management since 1990. A large number of small, often poorly located and substandard municipal landfills have been closed, and most communities are now using larger, more modern regional facilities for disposal of their waste. In 2020, 39 significant municipal landfill sites were active, in comparison with 327 in 1995 and 563 in 1971. These changes have occurred because the policy initiatives outlined above lead to a consolidation of solid waste into fewer, better-managed landfills. The overall volume of waste disposal to these landfills has increased over time, especially to non-municipal fills (see tables 7.2.3 and 7.2.4). The increased disposal activity and reduction in the number of landfills means the operating facilities are larger.

Non-municipal landfills and farm fills are required to comply with regional policies and plans made under the Resource Management Act 1991. However, these facilities are not currently required to monitor and report the waste they accept, to pay the waste levy or to participate in the NZ ETS.

In 2020, the *Solid waste disposal* source category contributed 2,637.7 kt CO_2-e (80.7 per cent) of total emissions from the Waste sector. Emissions from *Solid waste disposal* in 2020 were 680.5 kt CO_2-e (20.5 per cent) below the 1990 level of 3,318.2 kt CO_2-e. While there is year-to-year variation, this net decrease is the result of two contrary trends. First, population and economic growth have driven ongoing increases in the total and per capita amount of municipal waste generated but, secondly, changing composition over time and improved landfill management practices, particularly LFG recovery, have offset this increase, resulting in emissions peaking in 2002.

Methane emissions from *Solid waste disposal* were identified as a key category in the 2020 level assessment and trend assessment.

7.2.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Activity data for *Solid waste disposal* varies significantly in quality and quantity. Most data are based on estimates and infrequent surveys rather than annual data, except for municipal sites since 2010.

Municipal landfills (5.A.1.a and 5.A.3)

Annual total waste placement to all municipal landfills has been estimated based on:

- back-casting from a 1982 national survey, using real (inflation-adjusted) GDP, for the years before 1982
- national surveys carried out for the years 1982, 1995, 1998, 2002 and 2006
- linear interpolation for the years between these surveys
- linear interpolation for the years 2007 to 2009
- data collected annually under the requirements of the Waste Minimisation Act 2008 for the years since 2010.

A regression analysis established that there was a correlation between real GDP and the amount of waste landfilled up to 2002. The transition from national surveys to using Waste Minimisation Act 2008 information involves a linear interpolation. Other methods were explored, but this approach gave the most robust estimates (Eunomia and Waste Not Consulting, unpublished).

Activity data are also available from individual landfill sites. This information was collected from landfill operators by a survey in 2009 (SKM, unpublished(b)). The 25 landfills that were operating at that time and that either had LFG recovery systems or were planning to install LFG recovery systems by 2012 all provided data.

The data included annual waste placement history and intentions. Some of these sites have since closed and are no longer accepting waste, but they all still generate CH_4 emissions. One new, large landfill site has opened in New Zealand since 2009, and an existing landfill installed an LFG system in 2018. Both have been included. From 2010 onwards, all municipal landfills report volumes of waste placement under the Waste Minimisation Act 2008. Table 7.2.2 shows the number of managed landfills.

Table 7.2.2 Landfill categories in 2020

Landfill type	Sites with LFG recovery	Sites without LFG recovery	Total
Landfills under the NZ ETS and waste levy	18	21	39
Closed landfills (still emitting)	8	Not reported	Not reported
Total	26	Not reported	Not reported

Note: LFG = landfill gas; NZ ETS = New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme.

For 1950 to 1995, the waste placement for the uncategorised category (5.A.3) is estimated as a fixed fraction (10 per cent) of the difference between the national total and sites with LFG recovery as shown in the equation:

$$\textit{disposal for } 5.A.3 = 10\% \times (\textit{national total} - \textit{disposal for LFG sites})$$

Between 1995 and 2010, the 10 per cent fraction declines to zero, and activity data for uncategorised sites is reported as not occurring (NO) from 2010 onwards.

Table 7.2.3 shows waste placement from the beginning of the model in 1950 to 2020. Landfill sites that had LFG recovery at any time since 1950 are included in the 'sites with LFG recovery' category even though no sites had LFG recovery before 1985.

Table 7.2.3 Solid waste deposited to municipal and uncategorised landfills from 1950 to 2020

Year	Sites with LFG recovery (kt)	Sites without LFG recovery (kt)	Uncategorised sites (kt)	Total (kt)
1950	33.7	74.7	8.3	116.6
1951	77.5	164.7	18.3	260.6
1952	77.5	118.7	13.2	209.4
1953	77.5	127.3	14.1	219.0
1954	77.5	195.3	21.7	294.5
1955	181.7	180.3	20.0	382.1
1956	181.7	202.7	22.5	407.0
1957	181.7	241.8	26.9	450.4
1958	181.7	267.1	29.7	478.5
1959	181.7	281.5	31.3	494.5
1960	181.7	349.3	38.8	569.9
1961	181.7	438.5	48.7	668.9
1962	181.7	447.2	49.7	678.6
1963	447.9	297.3	33.0	778.2
1964	447.9	369.1	41.0	858.0
1965	447.9	477.2	53.0	978.1
1966	447.9	566.8	63.0	1,077.6
1967	495.8	486.0	54.0	1,035.8
1968	495.8	485.2	53.9	1,034.9
1969	495.8	489.1	54.3	1,039.2
1970	654.1	497.4	55.3	1,206.9

Year	Sites with LFG recovery (kt)	Sites without LFG recovery (kt)	Uncategorised sites (kt)	Total (kt)
1971	654.1	554.4	61.6	1,270.1
1972	672.5	746.9	83.0	1,502.5
1973	672.5	889.1	98.8	1,660.4
1974	844.5	839.4	93.3	1,777.1
1975	844.5	736.6	81.8	1,662.9
1976	984.9	578.2	64.2	1,627.4
1977	984.9	713.0	79.2	1,777.2
1978	984.9	588.6	65.4	1,639.0
1979	984.9	581.8	64.6	1,631.4
1980	984.9	572.1	63.6	1,620.6
1981	984.9	581.8	64.6	1,631.4
1982	984.9	937.7	104.2	2,026.8
1983	984.9	1,017.6	113.1	2,115.7
1984	1,156.5	943.2	104.8	2,204.5
1985	1,259.1	930.9	103.4	2,293.4
1986	1,259.1	1,010.9	112.3	2,382.3
1987	1,342.7	1,015.6	112.8	2,471.1
1988	1,432.6	1,014.7	112.7	2,560.0
1989	1,432.6	1,094.7	121.6	2,648.9
1990	1,432.6	1,174.7	130.5	2,737.8
1991	1,432.6	1,254.7	139.4	2,826.6
1992	1,432.6	1,334.7	148.3	2,915.5
1993	1,650.5	1,218.5	135.4	3,004.4
1994	1,687.5	1,265.2	140.6	3,093.2
1995	1,687.5	1,345.2	149.5	3,182.1
1996	1,735.0	1,186.0	122.1	3,043.1
1997	1,671.2	1,126.0	106.8	2,904.1
1998	1,575.0	1,094.8	95.2	2,765.0
1999	1,575.0	1,162.3	92.0	2,829.3
2000	1,575.0	1,230.6	87.9	2,893.5
2001	1,718.3	1,165.1	74.4	2,957.8
2002	1,714.2	1,238.0	69.7	3,022.0
2003	1,626.8	1,362.0	66.7	3,055.5
2004	1,676.5	1,356.0	56.5	3,089.0
2005	1,881.2	1,199.9	41.4	3,122.5
2006	2,305.4	827.9	22.7	3,156.0
2007	2,158.8	824.2	16.8	2,999.8
2008	2,097.4	736.2	9.9	2,843.5
2009	2,051.7	631.4	4.2	2,687.3
2010	2,303.1	229.4	NO	2,532.5
2011	2,299.5	213.0	NO	2,512.5
2012	2,306.0	209.0	NO	2,515.0
2013	2,493.6	191.8	NO	2,685.4
2014	2,744.5	188.6	NO	2,933.1

Year	Sites with LFG recovery (kt)	Sites without LFG recovery (kt)	Uncategorised sites (kt)	Total (kt)
2015	3,017.8	204.6	NO	3,222.4
2016	3,189.0	216.0	NO	3,404.9
2017	3,281.3	213.0	NO	3,494.3
2018	3,550.1	155.6	NO	3,705.7
2019	3,348.4	151.4	NO	3,499.8
2020	3,279.8	103.1	NO	3,382.9

Note: LFG = landfill gas; NO = not occurring. Columns may not total due to rounding.

Non-municipal landfills and farm fills (5.A.2)

Non-municipal landfills are significant landfill sites that predominantly accept commercial and industrial waste. They are not intended to accept household waste; however, they do account for a small amount of municipal waste. Non-municipal landfills include cleanfills (sites disposing of largely inert waste), industrial fills and sites that dispose of construction and demolition waste. The available information on historical and current disposal rates to non-municipal landfills is derived from direct contact with landfill operators and from regional councils, which regulate these activities under the Resource Management Act 1991. There are substantial gaps, which were filled by correlating waste quantities with regional GDP (Tonkin and Taylor Ltd, unpublished(b)). Waste quantities are determined for each region and then combined to provide a national total (Tonkin and Taylor Ltd, unpublished(b)).

Farm fills are used to dispose of various types of farming waste, such as scrap metal, timber used for fencing, plastic wraps and ties, batteries and demolition waste. Farmers also use them to dispose of organic and general household waste.

The information used to estimate activity data and emissions from farm fills has come from surveys carried out in the Canterbury region in 2012 and 2013, and the Waikato and Bay of Plenty regions in 2014 (GHD, 2013, 2014; Tonkin and Taylor Ltd, unpublished(b)). The results from these surveys are extrapolated to the rest of the country based on the number of farms of each type in each region for each year. Farming practices regarding farm fill sites are similar around the country, so the extrapolation is unlikely to introduce a systematic bias. However, the sample size is small and limited in relation to the number and type of farms in New Zealand. Further, the overall volume of farm waste is based strictly on the number of farms and does not account for changing farm sizes over time, noting that the number of farms has decreased since the 1990s.

Waste quantities were averaged for the farm types surveyed: dairy, livestock, arable, viticulture and other horticulture. These survey results have been applied regionally and then combined to provide a national total, with adjustments to account for the differences in the prevalence of these five farm types across all regions and across time (Tonkin and Taylor Ltd, unpublished(b)).

Data obtained from the Agriculture Production Survey has been used to estimate the number and type of farms throughout the time series. The Agriculture Production Survey also provides the livestock numbers used in the Agriculture sector, which ensures consistency between the sectors.

A change introduced in the 2021 submission is that the methods of disposal of farm waste are evenly split between disposal to land in farm fills and disposal by open burning, at 47 per cent each (noting that 6 per cent is disposed in other ways). Previous submissions assumed that open burning was only about 2 per cent of the farm waste, because Tonkin and Taylor Ltd (unpublished(b)) reported that “the majority of wastes were buried”. However, open burning is a common practice in New Zealand, in part evidenced by the dozens of complaints received by regional councils each year about potential issues with open burning. Further, GHD (2014) states “the surveys team felt that burning was the most prevalent practice, with virtually every farm having a burn pile, or some form of brazier” and GHD (2013) states “other wood waste was invariably burnt”. Wood wastes make up a large proportion of methane emissions from farm fills, so it is appropriate to account for farm waste, including wood that is burned instead of buried. Taking a balanced approach, this submission has assumed an even split in the absence of better information. Further research will be required to improve the understanding of the disposal methods for farm waste.

Table 7.2.4 shows waste placement for farm fills only (not including open burning or other disposal methods) and non-municipal landfills from the beginning of the model in 1950 to 2020.

Table 7.2.4 Solid waste deposited to unmanaged landfills from 1950 to 2020

Year	Farm fills (kt)	Non-municipal landfills (kt)	Total waste disposed (kt)
1950	866.3	763.8	1,630.1
1951	865.7	791.9	1,657.6
1952	866.2	820.9	1,687.2
1953	868.6	851.0	1,719.6
1954	879.7	882.2	1,761.9
1955	886.5	914.5	1,801.0
1956	812.7	948.0	1,760.7
1957	811.7	982.7	1,794.4
1958	796.6	1,018.6	1,815.2
1959	799.7	1,055.8	1,855.5
1960	738.1	1,094.4	1,832.5
1961	702.0	1,134.3	1,836.3
1962	698.0	1,175.7	1,873.8
1963	693.6	1,218.6	1,912.2
1964	687.9	1,263.0	1,950.9
1965	676.1	1,309.1	1,985.2
1966	670.6	1,356.7	2,027.3
1967	654.1	1,406.1	2,060.2
1968	641.5	1,457.3	2,098.8
1969	636.9	1,510.2	2,147.1
1970	626.8	1,565.1	2,191.9
1971	622.5	1,622.0	2,244.5
1972	602.4	1,680.9	2,283.3
1973	606.3	1,741.8	2,348.2
1974	608.8	1,805.0	2,413.8
1975	643.4	1,870.4	2,513.9
1976	650.2	1,938.2	2,588.4
1977	657.9	2,008.4	2,666.3
1978	665.8	2,081.7	2,747.6
1979	675.9	2,088.3	2,764.3
1980	686.0	2,134.1	2,820.2

Year	Farm fills (kt)	Non-municipal landfills (kt)	Total waste disposed (kt)
1981	695.7	2,161.5	2,857.3
1982	709.3	2,262.1	2,971.4
1983	726.7	2,283.2	3,009.9
1984	735.2	2,362.9	3,098.1
1985	756.1	2,476.2	3,232.3
1986	765.8	2,516.2	3,282.0
1987	775.2	2,584.2	3,359.4
1988	787.3	2,609.3	3,396.7
1989	793.3	2,600.1	3,393.4
1990	783.7	2,604.3	3,387.9
1991	777.9	2,608.3	3,386.2
1992	768.9	2,579.8	3,348.7
1993	784.4	2,608.1	3,392.4
1994	669.9	2,774.7	3,444.7
1995	663.5	2,916.8	3,580.2
1996	637.3	3,054.5	3,691.9
1997	684.9	3,165.0	3,849.9
1998	732.4	3,229.9	3,962.3
1999	779.9	3,255.6	4,035.6
2000	745.8	3,433.1	4,178.9
2001	711.7	3,532.8	4,244.6
2002	677.6	3,655.2	4,332.9
2003	636.6	3,826.1	4,462.7
2004	641.4	4,000.2	4,641.6
2005	621.4	4,161.3	4,782.7
2006	622.9	4,299.5	4,922.4
2007	611.2	4,423.4	5,034.6
2008	584.5	4,557.3	5,141.9
2009	572.4	4,506.5	5,078.9
2010	577.8	4,500.4	5,078.2
2011	559.8	4,569.2	5,128.9
2012	561.2	4,672.3	5,233.4
2013	546.9	4,777.0	5,323.8
2014	547.3	4,905.8	5,453.0
2015	533.0	5,092.8	5,625.9
2016	535.4	5,092.8	5,628.3
2017	505.5	5,092.8	5,598.3
2018	490.5	5,092.8	5,583.3
2019	478.9	5,092.8	5,571.7
2020	476.4	5,092.8	5,569.2

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding. It is assumed that an equal and additional amount of farm waste that is buried in farm fills is burned (see section 7.4).

Choice of methods

Estimations of CH_4 emissions from *Solid waste disposal* to land were calculated using the first order decay (FOD) model. This is the Tier 2 method from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a).

Municipal landfills (5.A.1.a)

Municipal landfills use a multi-phase FOD model consistent with the IPCC (2006a) model using country-specific parameters.

For each of the 26 landfill sites that had LFG recovery at any time, the FOD multi-phase model (IPCC, 2006a) has been applied to develop estimates of CH_4 emissions, with site-specific data on waste placement, k-values dependent on local climate, and LFG recovery efficiency rate that reflects the landfill's operational status as either open or closed. These sites that are still operational account for approximately 90 per cent of waste disposed to municipal landfills, as per the data in table 7.2.3.

Municipal waste outside of these 26 sites is disposed to smaller landfills that have never had gas recovery. In 1990, there were more than 300 of these sites and in 2020 approximately 21 were still in operation. This number includes very small sites serving small and remote communities. The FOD model has also been applied to estimate the total CH_4 emissions from these landfills as a whole, using the same approach as the sites with LFG recovery, except that all of these sites are assumed to have a wet climate and zero LFG recovery.

Non-municipal landfills and farm fills (5.A.2)

Non-municipal landfills include privately owned industrial landfills and a large number of landfill sites (cleanfills and construction and demolition fills) that are consented for largely inert waste. These sites in some cases are allowed to receive 5–10 per cent putrescible waste. Limited information is available on these sites and their management practices, with a lack of historical information in particular. The FOD model has been applied to estimate total CH_4 emissions from non-municipal landfills.

For farm fills, the FOD model has been applied to estimate total CH_4 emissions from the proportion of total farm waste that is landfilled. Farm waste comprises a mix of household and other wastes. Survey data on waste composition are used to determine weighted average values for degradable organic carbon (DOC) content of waste from dairy farms, livestock farms, arable farms and viticulture farms (GHD, 2013, 2014). Survey data on waste composition from viticulture and arable farms are used to determine a weighted average DOC value for other remaining horticultural farms.

Choice of emission factors and parameters

Municipal landfills (5.A.1.a)

Waste composition

Many municipal landfills in New Zealand accept industrial waste as well as municipal waste. New Zealand has insufficient data to determine how much of the waste disposed to municipal landfills comes from industrial sources. Where surveys of composition data have occurred at sites that take industrial waste, this is included as part of the overall composition estimates.

Waste composition has been estimated from national surveys carried out in 1995 and 2004 (Ministry for the Environment, 1997; Waste Not Consulting, unpublished(a)). In addition, estimates have been made for 2008, 2012 and 2018 based on individual landfill surveys (Eunomia, unpublished(a); Waste Not Consulting, unpublished(b), unpublished(c)). The wastesurveys have been based on the *Solid Waste Analysis Protocol* (SWAP) (Ministry for the Environment, 2002b) to ensure a consistent methodology for sampling and analysis. While the more recent SWAP surveys do not sample every site, the 2018 SWAP surveys assessed were conducted by territorial authorities from 18 disposal facilities and transfer stations, which collectively represent 66 per cent of all waste disposed of at municipal landfills in 2018.

No usable waste composition data are available for the period before 1995. For the years 1950 to 1994, data from the 1995 survey have been used, with an adjustment to account for the fact that disposable nappies came into use in the 1960s (Eunomia and Waste Not Consulting, unpublished). Linear interpolation was used for years between the survey years, and the years since 2018 are assumed to be the same as 2018. This will be revised when more survey data are collected in the future.

Table 7.2.5 shows the resulting estimated composition data from 1950 to 2020. These have been used for the waste disposed to all municipal landfills.

Table 7.2.5 Estimated composition of waste to municipal landfills from 1950 to 2020

Year	Food (%)	Garden (%)	Paper (%)	Wood (%)	Textile (%)	Nappies (%)	Sludge (%)	Inert (%)	Notes
1950–60	17.2	11	16.3	7.1	0.5	0	2.91	44.99	No nappies
1961–69	17.2	11	16.3	7.1	0.5	1	2.91	43.99	Interpolation
1970–79	17.2	11	16.3	7.1	0.5	2	2.91	42.99	Interpolation
1980–94	17.2	11	16.3	7.1	0.5	2.7	2.91	42.29	As for 1995
1995	17.2	11	16.3	7.1	0.5	2.7	2.91	42.29	National survey
1996	16.87	10.79	16.14	7.86	0.88	2.7	2.91	41.86	Interpolation
1997	16.54	10.57	15.99	8.61	1.26	2.7	2.91	41.43	Interpolation
1998	16.21	10.36	15.83	9.37	1.63	2.7	2.91	40.99	Interpolation
1999	15.87	10.15	15.68	10.12	2.01	2.7	2.91	40.56	Interpolation
2000	15.54	9.94	15.52	10.88	2.39	2.7	2.91	40.13	Interpolation
2001	15.21	9.72	15.37	11.63	2.77	2.7	2.91	39.69	Interpolation
2002	14.88	9.51	15.21	12.39	3.14	2.7	2.91	39.26	Interpolation
2003	14.55	9.3	15.06	13.14	3.52	2.7	2.91	38.83	Interpolation
2004	14.21	9.09	14.9	13.9	3.9	2.7	2.91	38.39	National survey
2005	14.94	9.16	13.43	13.43	3.88	2.86	2.91	39.4	Interpolation
2006	15.67	9.23	11.95	12.95	3.87	3.02	2.91	40.4	Interpolation
2007	16.4	9.31	10.48	12.48	3.85	3.18	2.91	41.41	Interpolation
2008	17.13	9.38	9	12	3.84	3.34	2.9	42.41	Survey
2009	17.04	9.12	9.42	11.97	4.28	3.25	3.15	41.78	Interpolation
2010	16.95	8.86	9.84	11.94	4.73	3.15	3.4	41.14	Interpolation
2011	16.86	8.59	10.26	11.9	5.17	3.06	3.65	40.5	Interpolation
2012	16.77	8.33	10.68	11.87	5.62	2.97	3.9	39.87	Survey
2013	15.47	7.9	9.88	12	5.52	2.89	3.57	42.77	Interpolation
2014	14.18	7.47	9.08	12.12	5.42	2.8	3.24	45.68	Interpolation
2015	12.89	7.04	8.29	12.25	5.32	2.72	2.91	48.59	Interpolation
2016	11.6	6.6	7.49	12.37	5.22	2.64	2.58	51.5	Interpolation
2017	10.3	6.17	6.69	12.5	5.12	2.55	2.25	54.41	Interpolation
2018	9.01	5.74	5.89	12.62	5.02	2.47	1.92	57.32	Survey
2019	9.01	5.74	5.89	12.62	5.02	2.47	1.92	57.32	Assumed same as 2018
2020	9.01	5.74	5.89	12.62	5.02	2.47	1.92	57.32	Assumed same as 2018

The changes in composition over time lead to varying amounts of decomposable degradable organic carbon (DDOC) being entered into the landfill over time. Refer to table 7.2.6 for the values used for DDOC and other variables.

Methane correction factor, oxidation factor and fraction of methane in landfill gas (F)

The CH_4 correction factor used is 1.0 for all managed landfill sites, both landfills with LFG recovery and those without. An oxidation factor of 10 per cent is used for waste disposed to these sites.

For all sites other than managed landfills, there was an unknown mix of shallow and deep disposal areas. A survey carried out in 1971 revealed that larger sites in operation were assessed at that time to be roughly half deep (more than 5 metres) and half shallow. The use of cover material was variable. Therefore, for uncategorised sites, a CH_4 correction factor of 0.6 and an oxidation factor of zero have been used.

The fraction of methane in landfill gas (F) is 57 per cent for municipal landfills (Eunomia, unpublished(a)). This figure is based on research in the United Kingdom and the general similarities in landfill management practices between the United Kingdom and New Zealand. Uncategorised sites use the IPCC (2006a) default of 50 per cent.

Methane generation rates

The study by Eunomia (unpublished(a)) provides the parameters used to determine the quantity and rate of methane generation. Table 7.2.6 details the parameters used.

Table 7.2.6 Parameters by waste type that determine the quantity and rate of methane generation

Parameter	Food	Garden	Paper	Wood	Textile	Nappies	Sludge[b]
DDOC	0.11[a]	0.090	0.160	0.060	0.20 (1950s) to 0.08 (2020 onwards)	0.040	0.025
DOCf[c]	0.7	0.560	0.500	0.140	0.5	0.500	0.500
DOC (=DDOC/DOCf)	0.16	0.160	0.320	0.430	0.40 (1950s) to 0.16 (2020 onwards)	0.080	0.050
k-value wet sites (>700 mm rain/yr)	0.694	0.116	0.076	0.076	0.076	0.116	0.185
k-value dry sites	0.116	0.076	0.046	0.046	0.046	0.076	0.185

Note: DDOC = decomposable degradable organic carbon; DOC = degradable organic carbon; DOCf = DOC fraction. All parameters are from Eunomia (unpublished(a)) except: (a) DDOC for food is from IPCC (2019); (b) all values for sludge are from IPCC (2006a) default wet temperate; and (c) all DOCf values are from IPCC (2019) except for garden waste (Eunomia, unpublished(a)).

Eunomia (unpublished(a)) recommended DOC fraction (DOCf) values consistent with the 2019 IPCC refinement to the 2006 Guidelines, except for garden waste, which is adjusted for a proportion of woody branches. DOC values are calculated based on the ratio of the DDOC to DOCf instead of being given explicitly. The DDOC values for all materials differ from IPCC (2006a) defaults, which is largely a reflection of global trends in the characteristics of materials. Accounting for numerous considerations, the most suitable DDOC for food is IPCC (2019). Paper is lower than the IPCC (2006a) default to account for the types of paper such as magazine and newsprint paper that do not degrade as easily as plain paper due to their high lignin content. The wood DDOC is specific to New Zealand, much lower than IPCC (2006a) defaults but closer to IPCC (2019) values, as it accounts for the ratio of treated and untreated wood disposed in New Zealand landfills, as well as the degradability of these types of wood wastes. DDOC for textiles varies to account for an increasing quantity of synthetic fibres over time. Nappies have a lower DDOC than the IPCC default, which is based on the Swedish anaerobic digestion model, noting that there is no source or justification for the IPCC default. The rates of decay (k-values) are differentiated by climate and composition as determined by Eunomia (unpublished(a)).

Eunomia (unpublished(a)) has carefully assessed international data, particularly from the United Kingdom, for its applicability to New Zealand landfills. It considered factors that could influence parameters at national and regional levels. For example, New Zealand is an island nation with a maritime climate, as is the United Kingdom, and some studies based in the United Kingdom take these factors into account when the IPCC default parameters (i.e., k-values) do not. Further, landfill site management practices, such as landfill cell capping, gas capture methods, daily cover, intermediate cover and leachate management in the United Kingdom and New Zealand are reasonably well aligned. Therefore, these represent the best data available for New Zealand landfills, until more New Zealand studies are available.

Gas recovery

Beginning in the 2021 submission, based on studies of similar landfills in the United Kingdom (Eunomia, unpublished(a)) gas recovery rates use one of two values applied to all years, with the choice of value depending only on whether the site is currently open or not. This is because the values are representative of average recovery rates over the lifetime of the landfill as follows.

- Sites that are open in the latest reporting year use a 68 per cent gas recovery rate.
- Sites that are closed in the latest reporting year use a 52 per cent gas recovery rate.

Using a higher recovery rate for open sites reflects that most of them are modern, large, well-managed facilities that have more efficient systems than older sites that are less well-managed. This approach is chosen due to the limited data available in New Zealand. This will be revised if and when more capture data become available to the Ministry for the Environment.

Noting that most parameters used in the landfill models are similar to those in the United Kingdom, we can compare observed methane recovery rates in the United Kingdom with the parameters used in the New Zealand model. Recovery rates were found to be between 45 per cent and 70 per cent or more (Eunomia, unpublished(a)). The values chosen for New Zealand are within this range.

Summary of parameters used

Table 7.2.7 gives a summary of the parameter values that have been applied for estimating CH_4 emissions for solid waste disposed to municipal landfills.

Table 7.2.7 Summary of parameters for municipal landfills

Parameter	Values	Source	Reference
Managed landfills			
k-value (by waste type and rainfall)	0.046–0.694	Country specific	Eunomia (unpublished(a)), IPCC (2006a)
Methane correction factor	1	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Oxidation factor	10 per cent	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Recovery efficiency	52 per cent (closed) 68 per cent (open) 0 per cent (no recovery)	Site specific	Eunomia (unpublished(a))
DDOC (kt C/kt waste)	0.025–0.20	Country specific	Eunomia (unpublished(a)), IPCC (2006a)

Parameter	Values	Source	Reference
DOCf that decomposes	0.14–0.7	Country specific	Eunomia (unpublished(a)), IPCC (2006a)
Fraction of methane in landfill gas (F)	0.57	Country specific	Eunomia (unpublished(a))
Uncategorised landfills			
k-value (multi-phase by waste type)	0.030–0.185	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Methane correction factor	0.6	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Oxidation factor	0	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
DOC (kt C/kt waste) (by waste type)	0.15–0.43	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
DOCf that decomposes	0.5	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Fraction ofmethane in landfill gas (F)	0.5	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
All landfill sites			
Starting year	1950	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Delay time	6 months	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)

Note: DDOC = decomposable degradable organic carbon; DOC =degradable organic carbon; DOCf = DOC fraction; IPCC = Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Non-municipal landfills and farm fills (5.A.2)

Waste composition

The main waste types disposed to non-municipal landfills are described in survey data as cleanfill, construction and demolition waste, green waste and wood. Most sites provided data on which types of waste are accepted, but only a few could quantify the amounts. To fill this data gap, an assumption is made that the quantities of each waste type produced in each region could be determined from the general proportion of waste types reported for each region (Tonkin and Taylor Ltd, unpublished(b)). Updated site data on waste composition from non-municipal landfills have been included from the 2016 year (MWH, 2017). These were mapped to the IPCC waste types (IPCC, 2006a) and the IPCC default DOC values were applied, except for wood waste which uses a custom DDOC value. Wood waste contains a significant proportion of wood processing waste, which studies show has a higher lignin content that breaks down more slowly and less completely (Eunomia, unpublished(a)) and therefore generates less methane.

For farm fills, the DOC for bulk municipal solid waste is adopted for some farm waste based on results from the non-natural rural wastes survey (GHD, 2013). This is because it is expected to comprise a mixture of domestic refuse, inert wastes (scrap metal and glass) and wastes associated with the particular farming activity. This is similar to the kinds of waste in municipal solid waste; therefore, applying the DOC for bulk municipal solid waste is appropriate (Tonkin and Taylor Ltd, unpublished(b)).

Other parameters

The majority of non-municipal landfills and farm fills are shallow, with less than 5 metres depth of waste. These are estimated to account for 90 per cent of the waste disposed with a CH_4 correction factor value of 0.4. The other 10 per cent (approximately) goes to fills that are assumed to be:

- for non-municipal landfills, an unknown mix that would have an average CH_4 correction factor value of 0.6; this gives an overall average for these sites of 0.42
- for farm fills, deeper pits with an average depth greater than 5 metres, so the CH_4 correction factor value is 0.8 and the average for all farm fills is 0.44.

Default k-values for a wet temperate climate are used. No oxidation is assumed to occur in the cover for these unmanaged sites.

Summary of parameters used

Table 7.2.8 gives a summary of the parameter values that have been applied for estimating CH_4 emissions for solid waste disposed to non-municipal landfills and farm fills.

Table 7.2.8 Summary of parameters for non-municipal landfills and farm fills

Parameter	Values	Source	Reference
Non-municipal landfills			
k-value	0.030–0.185	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Methane correction factor	0.42	Country specific	Tonkin and Taylor Ltd (unpublished(b))
DOC (kt C/kt waste)	0.040–0.34	Country specific	Waste Not Consulting (unpublished(b)), Eunomia (unpublished(a))
Farm fills			
k-value	0.09	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Methane correction factor	0.44	Country specific	Tonkin and Taylor Ltd (unpublished(b))
DOC (kt C/kt waste)	0.184-0.331	Country specific	GHD (2013, 2014)
All sites			
Oxidation factor	0	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Starting year	1950	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Delay time	6 months	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Fraction of DOC that decomposes	0.5	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Fraction of CH_4 in gas	0.5	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)

Note: DOC = degradable organic carbon.

7.2.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

For emission factors and activity data used for most of the *Solid waste disposal* category, the uncertainty estimate is ±40 per cent (see table 7.2.9). This is consistent with the estimates provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a).

For managed municipal landfills, the emission factor uncertainty is set at this level because, while better-quality parameters are used in this category, most of the parameters are based on international data and are not site-specific.

For non-municipal landfills and farm fills, the uncertainty in activity data is estimated to be ±140 per cent. Information on the amount of waste placed in these sites is very limited, given the nature of the management of such fills.

The overall uncertainty in activity data for solid waste disposal is calculated using Approach 1 for adding uncertainties together. The overall uncertainty in emission factor is set as the same as the uncertainty for the underlying categories because they are consistent.

Table 7.2.9 Uncertainty in emissions from *Solid waste disposal*

Emissions category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Managed landfills	±40	±40
Unmanaged landfills	±140	±40
Uncategorised landfills	±40	±40
Overall uncertainty in CH_4 emissions	**±88**	**±40**

Time-series consistency

As a result of substantial changes in waste disposal practices over time, the basis for calculating emissions has changed significantly. Notable changes include closure of the majority of landfill sites that were operating in 1990, the move to waste levy and NZ ETS reporting, and the ongoing improvement in the quality and completeness of activity data for *Solid waste disposal*. These changes have occurred gradually and affect CH_4 emissions over a long period of time. Therefore, there is little effect on the apparent consistency of data or the implied emission factors.

7.2.4 Source-specific QA/QC verification

Solid waste disposal is a key category. In the preparation of this submission, the data for this category underwent Tier 1 quality checks.

7.2.5 Source-specific recalculations

Minor recalculations are included for the 2022 submission. There are minor updates to activity data for *Managed waste disposal sites*. Since revisions have been made to historical levy data, these are now included in the inventory. Further, methods for estimating activity data have been revised for non-municipal landfills, part of the *Unmanaged waste disposal sites* category. Combined, these changes have reduced emissions in 1990 by 19.6 kt CO_2-e and reduced emissions by 6.8 kt CO_2-e in 2019. Recalculations are described in greater detail in chapter 10.

7.2.6 Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are planned in this category, which is the largest source of emissions in the Waste sector.

Several areas in the *Solid waste disposal* category are being considered for future improvements, particularly for *Unmanaged waste disposal sites* (non-municipal landfills and farm fills), depending on the availability of budget and resourcing. As and when better activity data become available, they will be used to improve the estimates of waste disposal on farms.

Emissions of carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen and non-methane volatile organic compounds for landfills have not been estimated for this submission. These emissions are considered likely to be immaterial, but the inventory agency will consider estimating them for future submissions.

7.3 Biological treatment of solid waste (5.B)

7.3.1 Description

New Zealand has seen an increase in the use of commercial-scale composting of solid waste in recent years, in addition to ongoing household-scale composting of solid waste. Emissions from composting were reported for the first time in the 2019 submission in 5.B.1. No other biological treatment of solid waste occurs in New Zealand.

In 2020, *Biological treatment of solid waste* accounted for 68.5 kt CO_2-e (2.1 per cent) of Waste sector emissions. This was an increase of 63.8 kt CO_2-e (1,358.0 per cent) above the 1990 level of 4.7 kt CO_2-e, and an increase of 3.3 kt CO_2-e (5.0 per cent) from 2019.

7.3.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Activity data have been estimated based on expert judgement, in part using evidence of large-scale commercial composting operating around New Zealand. In 1990, it is estimated that an equivalent of 1 per cent of total municipal solid waste was composted (see the total solid waste reported in table 7.2.3). Between 1991 and 2008, this amount was assumed to grow by 2 per cent per annum. Between 2009 and 2018, this amount is estimated to have grown much faster (between 10 and 40 per cent per annum), to align to reported volumes for 2019 (Eunomia, unpublished(b)). This reflects the increase in commercial-scale composting estimated since 2009. Activity data for composting can be derived from table 7.2.3 using this description.

Note that the proportion of food and garden waste disposed to managed landfills has decreased between 2012 and 2018 (see table 7.2.5). While this has not been demonstrated to be a direct result, it is consistent with the trend towards composting.

Choice of methods

Estimates of direct emissions from the composting of solid waste are made using the default Tier 1 methodology (IPCC, 2006a).

Choice of emission factors

IPCC default parameters are used, as detailed in table 7.3.1.

Table 7.3.1 Emission factors applied to estimate emissions from composting

Emission factor for composting	Emission factor (g/kg)	Source
Methane	4	IPCC (2006a)
Nitrous oxide	0.24	IPCC (2006a)

The emission factors are sourced from table 4.1 of volume 5, chapter 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a).

7.3.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

As per the IPCC recommendation for uncertainties relating to activity data (IPCC, 2006a), when data quality is poor it can vary by more than a factor of two, or ±>100 per cent. In this case, ±100 per cent is applied because, while data quality is poor, some data are available.

Uncertainties in emission factors are based on the range of the emission factors relative to the default (IPCC, 2006a), and the uncertainty for the default CH_4 emission factor is about ±100 per cent. The range for the N_2O emission factor is +150 per cent and –75 per cent, so the uncertainty is given as ±150 per cent. Table 7.3.2 presents uncertainties for composting.

Table 7.3.2 Uncertainty in emissions from composting

Emissions category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Compost (CH_4)	±100	±100
Compost (N_2O)	±100	±150

Time-series consistency

Time-series consistency is ensured by the use of consistent models and parameters across the period.

7.3.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

These emissions are very small, and basic quality-assurance and quality-control checks are carried out where possible. Detailed quality-assurance and quality-control efforts for the Waste sector focus on the *Solid waste disposal* to land and *Wastewater treatment and discharge* categories.

7.3.5 Source-specific recalculations

Emissions from *Composting* (5.B.1.a) have not changed compared with the 2021 submission.

7.3.6 Source-specific planned improvements

No specific improvements are planned for this category. Over time, better activity data will be applied to the inventory if and when they become available.

7.4 Incineration and open burning of waste (5.C)

7.4.1 Description

There is no incineration of municipal waste in New Zealand for energy production or otherwise. Incineration is used on a small scale for disposal of clinical wastes, hazardous wastes and sewage sludge. The practice of incinerating clinical wastes has declined through the time series, due to more stringent environmental regulation and the use of alternative technologies such as sterilisation. In the context of New Zealand's greenhouse gas inventory, the term "clinical wastes" refers to a combination of clinical, medical and quarantine wastes.

Waste incineration is regulated under the Resource Management Act 1991. In addition, in 2004, a national environmental standard was introduced that required consents for all existing low-temperature incinerators, such as those historically used in schools and sometimes in hospitals.

There is no open burning of waste at municipal or non-municipal landfill facilities in New Zealand. It is common for farms to practise open burning of rural waste (GHD, 2014) and, while limited information is available on the extent of the practice, emissions from open burning are reported. It is assumed that an equal amount of farm waste that is buried (see table 7.2.4) is burned, which is additional to the amount buried.

On its website, the Ministry of Education indicates that waste incineration is still practised in a small number of primary schools located in remote rural areas. Although information is not available on the exact number of schools practising waste incineration, it is estimated that around 10 per cent of the total number of schools in New Zealand still incinerate their waste production (P Guiney, Ministry of Education, pers. comm., 4 December 2019). Emissions from this source are not estimated for this submission and are reported as not estimated (NE). See annex 6.2 for more information.

Where data are available, the burning of waste materials including waste oil and wood chips for fuelling boilers and a cement kiln is reported under the Energy sector in the *Manufacturing industries and construction* category. Tyres began to be incinerated in the cement kiln in 2021, which will be reported on in the 2023 submission. In 2020, *Incineration and open burning of waste* accounted for 185.4 kt CO_2-e (5.7 per cent) of Waste sector emissions (see table 7.4.1). This was a decrease of 130.3 kt CO_2-e below the 1990 level of 315.7 kt CO_2-e, and a decrease of 1.0 kt CO_2-e (0.5 per cent) from 2019.

Table 7.4.1 Emissions from *Incineration and open burning of waste* (5.C)

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Difference (kt CO_2-e)	Change (%)
Source category	**1990**	**2020**	**1990–2020**	**1990–2020**
Incineration (5.C.1)	14.8	2.4	–12.3	–83.5
Open burning (5.C.2)	301.0	183.0	–118.0	–39.2
Total (5.C)	**315.7**	**185.4**	**–130.3**	**–41.3**

Note: Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

Carbon dioxide emissions from the *Incineration and open burning of waste* source category was identified as a key category in the 2020 trend assessment.

7.4.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Incineration (5.C.1)

Limited information was available from individual site operators on the amount of waste burned between 1990 and 2007. For most sites, these activity data needed to be inferred because the only evidence available was the capacity of equipment and the amounts allowed by consent conditions. For the years after 2007, it has generally been assumed that facilities are continuing in operation at the same rates, in the absence of better information.

Table 7.4.2 presents activity data for incineration.

Table 7.4.2 Amounts of waste incinerated from 1990 to 2020

Year	Clinical wastes (kt)	Hazardous wastes (kt)	Sewage sludge (kt)	Total waste incinerated (kt)
1990	21.52	0.29	4.38	26.19
1991	21.52	0.29	4.38	26.19
1992	21.50	0.29	4.38	26.16
1993	21.30	0.29	4.38	25.96
1994	21.30	0.29	4.38	25.96
1995	21.00	0.29	4.38	25.66
1996	20.35	0.29	4.38	25.01
1997	20.35	0.29	4.38	25.01
1998	20.01	0.29	4.38	24.67
1999	18.90	0.29	4.38	23.56
2000	17.76	0.29	4.38	22.43
2001	9.26	0.29	4.38	13.93
2002	8.24	0.29	4.38	12.91
2003	7.28	0.29	4.38	11.95
2004	7.18	0.29	4.38	11.85
2005	5.31	0.29	4.38	9.98
2006	3.19	0.29	4.38	7.85
2007	0.59	0.29	4.38	5.26
2008	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2009	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2010	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2011	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2012	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2013	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2014	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2015	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2016	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2017	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2018	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2019	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35
2020	0.55	0.30	4.50	5.35

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

Open burning (5.C.2)

Little information is available on the quantities of farm wastes burned. A change introduced in the 2021 submission is that the methods of disposal of farm waste are evenly split between disposal to land in farm fills and disposal by open burning, at 47 per cent each (noting that 6 per cent is disposed in other ways).

Refer to section 7.2.2 for a discussion on farm waste landfilling and open burning. In summary, because open burning is a significant and common practice (GHD, 2013, 2014) it is being considered to be equal in volume. The amount of waste disposed to farm fills reported in table 7.2.4 is additional and equal to the amount that is disposed by open burning.

Choice of methods

Incineration (5.C.1)

Estimates of direct emissions from the incineration of waste are made using the default Tier 1 methodology (IPCC, 2006a). The data used were collected and collated in 2007, and the sources used included information previously collected for purposes of air quality regulation and consent data from regional councils and site operators (SKM, unpublished(a)).

Open burning (5.C.2)

Estimates of direct emissions from the open burning of rural waste are made using the default Tier 1 methodology (IPCC, 2006a). Farm waste comprises a mix of household and other wastes, which have a composition and diversity similar to general municipal solid waste (Tonkin and Taylor Ltd, unpublished(b)). Therefore, emissions from CH_4 and N_2O were estimated using default emission factors for bulk municipal solid waste.

Emissions of CO_2 were calculated using the same composition of farm waste as is landfilled, for consistency (as reported in table 7.2.4). Table 7.4.3 shows the parameters that determine dry-matter content, total carbon content and fossil carbon content (IPCC defaults), which are then weighted against composition.

Table 7.4.3 Values applied to estimate carbon dioxide emissions from open burning of rural waste

Waste type	Composition (%)	Dry matter content (%)	Total carbon content (%)	Fossil carbon content of total carbon (%)
Paper/card	1.1	90	46	1
Textiles	19.5	80	50	20
Food waste	15.6	40	38	0
Wood	40.2	85	50	0
Garden and park waste	NA	40	49	0
Nappies	NA	40	70	10
Rubber and leather	0.3	84	67	20
Plastics	10.9	100	75	100
Metal	2.5	100	–	–
Glass	0.4	100	–	–
Other, inert	9.6	90	3	100
Weighted average	**–**	**79.6**	**44.9**	**24.4**

Source: Dry-matter content, total carbon content and fossil carbon content values are from table 2.4 (IPCC, 2006a). **Note:** NA = not applicable.

Choice of emission factors

Incineration (5.C.1)

The parameters used to calculate emissions from incineration are detailed in table 7.4.4.

Table 7.4.4 Parameter values applied to estimate emissions from incineration

Parameter	Hazardous waste	Clinical wastes	Sewage sludge	Source
Dry-matter content in waste (%)	50 (table 2.6)	65 (table 2.6)	10 (section 2.3.2)	IPCC (2006a)
Fraction of carbon	0.275 (wet) (table 2.6)	0.6 (dry) (table 5.2)	0.45 (dry) (table 5.2)	IPCC (2006a)

Parameter	Hazardous waste	Clinical wastes	Sewage sludge	Source
Fraction of fossil carbon in total carbon	1 (table 2.6)	0.4 (table 5.2)	0 (table 5.2)	IPCC (2006a)
Oxidation factor	1	1	1	IPCC (2006a), table 5.2
Molar ratio to convert from carbon to carbon dioxide	44/12	44/12	44/12	
Overall carbon dioxide emission factor (kg/kt)	0.5	0.57	0.16	
Methane emission factor (kg/kt) as directly referenced	NA	NA	9.7 (section 5.4.2)	IPCC (2006a)
Methane energy factor (kg gas/TJ)	30 (table 2.3, Industrial wastes)	300 (table 2.4, Municipal/ Industrial wastes)	NA	IPCC (2006b)
Methane (MJ/kg waste)	12.8	16.8	NA	Ministry of Commerce (1993)
Methane emission factor (kg/kt) calculated as a quotient of the above parameters	2.34	17.86	NA	
Nitrous oxide emission factor (kg/kt)	100	60	900	IPCC (2006b), table 5.6

Note: NA = Not applicable.

These parameters are as given in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a, 2006b), noting that:

- some parameters have been chosen as the closest available to the specific type of waste
- where a range is given, the mid-point is used
- methane emission factors for hazardous and clinical waste (IPCC, 2006b) have been converted from a terajoule (TJ) basis to a kt basis using factors from the *New Zealand Energy Information Handbook* (Ministry of Commerce, 1993), which only had gross calorific values.

Clinical wastes are a significant proportion of the material incinerated in New Zealand. There is no IPCC default category that specifies medical or quarantine waste. The composition of medical and quarantine wastes is closest to clinical waste, so the emission factors for clinical waste have been used and the activity data for these waste types are combined into the category for clinical wastes.

Open burning (5.C.2)

Parameters are used as detailed in table 7.4.5.

Table 7.4.5 Parameters used to estimate emissions from open burning

Parameter	Value	Source
Carbon dioxide		
Dry-matter content (%)	79.6	Calculated (see table 7.4.3)
Total carbon content (%)	44.9	Calculated (see table 7.4.3)
Fossil carbon content (%)	24.4	Calculated (see table 7.4.3)
Oxidation factor (%)	58	IPCC default
Conversion factor	44/12	
Other gases		
Methane emission factor (kg/kt wet waste)	6,500	IPCC default
Nitrous oxide emission factor (kg/kt dry waste)	150	IPCC default

To calculate N_2O emissions, the activity data are converted using the weighted average dry-matter content in table 7.4.3 because the default emission factor is presented in terms of dry waste.

7.4.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

Consistent with the IPCC recommendation for uncertainties relating to activity data (IPCC, 2006a), estimated uncertainty for the amount of wet waste incinerated ranges from ±10 per cent to ±50 per cent, and uncertainty of ±50 per cent is applied (see table 7.4.6).

The data collected for the composition of waste are not detailed. Therefore, following the recommendation for uncertainties relating to emission factors (IPCC, 2006a), the estimated uncertainty for default CO_2 factors is ±40 per cent. Default factors used in the calculation of CH_4 and N_2O emissions have a much higher uncertainty (IPCC, 2006a); for this reason, the estimated uncertainty for default CH_4 and N_2O factors is ±100 per cent.

Table 7.4.6 Uncertainty in emissions from *Incineration and open burning of waste*

Emissions category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Waste incineration and open burning (CO_2)	±50	±40
Waste incineration and open burning (CH_4)	±50	±100
Waste incineration and open burning (N_2O)	±50	±100

Time-series consistency

Time-series consistency is ensured by the use of consistent models and parameters across the period. Where changes to methodologies or emission factors have occurred, a full time-series recalculation is conducted.

7.4.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

Quality-assurance and quality-control checks are carried out where possible. Detailed quality-assurance and quality-control efforts for the Waste sector focus on the *Solid waste disposal* to land and *Wastewater treatment and discharge* categories. Activity data for open burning are derived from the landfill data.

7.4.5 Source-specific recalculations

No recalculations have been made for incineration (5.C.1). A minor change for *Open burning of waste* (5.C.2) due to the correction to total carbon content in paper/card. This increased emissions in 1990 by 0.2 kt CO_2-e and increased emissions by 0.1 kt CO_2-e in 2019. Recalculations are described in greater detail in chapter 10.

7.4.6 Source-specific planned improvements

No specific improvements are planned for this category. Over time, surveys by local authorities on disposal of waste in the farm sector may provide a better understanding of open burning in the farm sector. Further work is needed to understand the ratio of farm waste disposed to open burning or landfills (also see section 7.2.6). Anecdotal evidence suggests that incineration may be occurring at lower volumes than is assumed. Changes will be made when evidence becomes available to confirm this, noting that emissions from incineration are well under 1 per cent of the Waste sector, and that there are other incineration sources (rural schools) currently not estimated.

7.5 Wastewater treatment and discharge (5.D)

7.5.1 Description

In 2020, *Wastewater treatment and discharge* contributed 377.4 kt CO_2-e (11.5 per cent) of emissions from the Waste sector. This was an increase of 72.9 kt CO_2-e (23.9 per cent) from the 1990 level of 304.5 kt CO_2-e and is due to increases in the volume of industrial and domestic wastewater handled over this period.

Small amounts of industrial wastewater are applied as organic amendments to agricultural soils, as well as an extremely small amount of sewage sludge (van der Weerden et al., 2014). Any emissions from this practice are likely to be insignificant and are reported as 'not estimated' under the Agriculture sector (see chapter 5, section 5.5.2). Table 7.5.1 presents emissions from *Wastewater treatment and discharge*.

Sludge amounts are reported as included elsewhere (IE) for domestic and industrial wastewater because most of the sludge is sent to landfills, and activity data and emissions from its disposal are reported in the *Solid waste disposal* source category (Tonkin and Taylor Ltd, unpublished(a)).

Table 7.5.1 Emissions from Wastewater treatment and discharge (5.D)

	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)		Difference (kt CO_2-e)	Change (%)
Source category	**1990**	**2020**	**1990–2020**	**1990–2020**
Domestic wastewater (5.D.1)	212.6	273.6	60.9	28.7
Industrial wastewater (5.D.2)	91.9	103.8	11.9	13.0
Total (5.D)	**304.5**	**377.4**	**72.9**	**23.9**

Note: Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

Methane emissions from the *Wastewater treatment and discharge* source category were identified as a key category in the 2020 level assessment.

Domestic wastewater (5.D.1)

Wastewater from almost every town in New Zealand with a population over 1,000 is collected and treated in community wastewater treatment plants. There are approximately 317 municipal wastewater treatment plants in New Zealand and around a further 50 government or privately owned treatment plants serving populations of more than 100 people (SCS Wetherill Environmental, unpublished).

Although most of the wastewater treatment processes are aerobic, a significant number of wastewater treatment plants use partially anaerobic processes, such as oxidation ponds or septic tanks. Small communities and individual rural dwellings are served mainly by simple septic tanks. While the part of the population using septic tanks is small compared with the national population, this treatment type produces the most CH_4 emissions from domestic wastewater. This is because emissions from other treatment types are small or the CH_4 is destroyed.

Industrial wastewater (5.D.2)

The major sources of industrial wastewater in New Zealand are the meat and the pulp and paper industries. Most of the industrial wastewater treatment is aerobic, and most of the CH_4 generated from anaerobic treatment is flared.

In June 2015, the methodologies and input data used to calculate the industrial wastewater emissions were reviewed, to capture any changes in industry activity and ensure current best practice and knowledge were reflected (Cardno, 2015). This is discussed further under section 7.5.2.

7.5.2 Methodological issues

Choice of activity data

Domestic wastewater (5.D.1)

Estimates for CH_4 emissions are derived from combining the population connected to each treatment plant in New Zealand with the treatment methods for each plant (Beca Infrastructure Ltd, unpublished).

The population using each municipal treatment plant and an estimation of the population using septic tanks were determined (Beca Infrastructure Ltd, unpublished; SCS Wetherill Environmental, unpublished). Emissions from the wastewater treatment plants are calculated for 1997, 2001, 2006 and every year from 2013 onwards. Emissions from the years before 1997 are calculated based on a fixed aggregate *methane correction factor* from 1997. Emissions from the remaining years are interpolated.

Emissions are proportional to the population treated by each plant, and population data are updated based on the population growth rate of the district in which the plant is located, using the latest population data. This information is obtained from Stats NZ. For intermediate years, data are interpolated. Years before 1997 are driven by national population growth using the *methane correction factor* from 1997.

In 2020, the total population connected to treatment plants was estimated to be about 4 million. The connected population excludes people connected to rural septic tanks, estimated at 498,000 people in 2020, and approximately 55,000 people using other aerobic plants. A remaining population of 456,000 people is not accounted for, which is a result of incomplete data on the wastewater treatment plants in New Zealand and the populations connected to each of these plants being estimated. To account for emissions from the remaining population, CH_4 emissions for the *Domestic wastewater* source category were scaled up proportionately based on the population for which emissions are known. An assumption is made to apply the average wastewater treatment method for this otherwise unaccounted-for population.

Indirect N_2O emissions from the disposal of treated domestic wastewater are estimated using per capita protein consumption and national population estimates, less the population using septic tanks because there is no liquid effluent from septic tanks. Activity data for domestic wastewater are reported in table 7.5.2. Also included in table 7.5.2 is an aggregate CH_4 correction factor that is determined by the sum of the CH_4 correction factor for various treatment types, weighted by the population served by each type.

Table 7.5.2 Activity data and key factors for domestic wastewater from 1990 to 2020

Year	National population	Aggregate methane correction factor	Domestic wastewater total organic product (kt)
1990	3,410,400	Same as 1997	137.5
1991	3,516,000	Same as 1997	142.0
1992	3,552,200	Same as 1997	143.9
1993	3,597,800	Same as 1997	146.1
1994	3,648,300	Same as 1997	148.5
1995	3,706,700	Same as 1997	151.2
1996	3,762,300	Same as 1997	153.7
1997	3,802,700	0.043	155.8
1998	3,829,200	Interpolated	154.8
1999	3,851,100	Interpolated	153.9
2000	3,873,100	Interpolated	152.9
2001	3,916,200	0.038	151.9
2002	3,989,500	Interpolated	155.2
2003	4,061,600	Interpolated	158.5
2004	4,114,300	Interpolated	161.9
2005	4,161,000	Interpolated	165.3
2006	4,209,100	0.032	168.0
2007	4,245,700	Interpolated	170.6
2008	4,280,300	Interpolated	172.4
2009	4,332,100	Interpolated	174.2
2010	4,373,900	Interpolated	176.0
2011	4,399,400	Interpolated	177.8
2012	4,425,900	Interpolated	179.7
2013	4,477,400	0.032	181.7
2014	4,564,400	0.016	185.2
2015	4,663,700	0.016	189.2
2016	4,767,600	0.016	193.3
2017	4,859,500	0.016	196.8
2018	4,941,200	0.016	200.0
2019	5,040,400	0.016	203.9
2020	5,106,400	0.016	206.8

Industrial wastewater (5.D.2)

The following industries are identified as having organic-rich wastewaters that are treated anaerobically (in order of significance): meat processing, pulp and paper, and other industries described below. Table 7.5.3 reports the activity data for the amount of total organic product in wastewater (TOW) across the main industries.

Table 7.5.3 Total organic product producing methane from industrial wastewater from 1990 to 2020

Year	Meat industries TOW (kt)	Pulp and paper industry TOW (kt)	All other industries TOW (kt)	Total industrial TOW (kt)
1990	55.65	71.68	20.20	147.53
1991	59.17	78.16	18.75	156.08
1992	64.13	76.44	17.23	157.80
1993	59.25	72.98	15.68	147.92

Year	Meat industries TOW (kt)	Pulp and paper industry TOW (kt)	All other industries TOW (kt)	Total industrial TOW (kt)
1994	62.09	79.45	14.43	155.97
1995	64.47	80.52	13.30	158.28
1996	65.73	82.74	11.92	160.39
1997	66.63	81.16	10.33	158.12
1998	68.70	82.50	9.17	160.37
1999	61.35	79.74	7.80	148.89
2000	65.85	84.87	6.41	157.13
2001	67.70	87.98	4.91	160.58
2002	64.98	85.34	5.52	155.83
2003	70.11	85.11	5.01	160.24
2004	73.82	83.09	6.14	163.05
2005	72.63	90.29	5.87	168.79
2006	71.34	90.06	6.42	167.82
2007	72.55	86.43	6.69	165.67
2008	72.78	87.03	7.70	167.52
2009	68.28	85.72	7.72	161.72
2010	66.62	87.64	7.51	161.77
2011	65.63	90.74	4.07	160.44
2012	65.67	86.91	3.34	155.92
2013	67.88	83.50	4.28	155.66
2014	68.71	79.24	5.53	153.48
2015	71.71	77.68	4.05	153.43
2016	69.18	79.48	5.42	154.08
2017	71.25	77.93	4.92	154.10
2018	72.73	77.74	5.20	155.67
2019	73.03	77.62	5.68	156.33
2020	73.79	77.33	4.92	156.04

Note: TOW = total organic product in wastewater. Columns may not total due to rounding.

Table 7.5.4 reports the activity data for the total nitrogen in effluent from industrial wastewater.

Table 7.5.4 Nitrogen in effluent from industrial wastewater from 1990 to 2020

Year	Meat industries (excl poultry) N in effluent (kt)	Poultry N in effluent (kt)	Dairy processing N in effluent (kt)	Leather & skins N in effluent (kt)	Total industrial N in effluent (kt)
1990	1.37	0.07	0.16	1.22	2.82
1991	1.45	0.07	0.17	1.22	2.92
1992	1.58	0.08	0.17	1.22	3.05
1993	1.44	0.09	0.19	1.22	2.95
1994	1.50	0.10	0.19	1.22	3.02
1995	1.56	0.11	0.21	1.22	3.10
1996	1.59	0.11	0.23	1.22	3.15
1997	1.61	0.11	0.24	1.22	3.18
1998	1.65	0.12	0.24	1.22	3.23
1999	1.46	0.13	0.26	1.22	3.07

Year	Meat industries (excl poultry) N in effluent (kt)	Poultry N in effluent (kt)	Dairy processing N in effluent (kt)	Leather & skins N in effluent (kt)	Total industrial N in effluent (kt)
2000	1.56	0.14	0.29	1.22	3.21
2001	1.60	0.14	0.31	1.22	3.28
2002	1.51	0.16	0.31	0.61	2.60
2003	1.63	0.17	0.33	0.61	2.75
2004	1.71	0.19	0.32	0.61	2.83
2005	1.67	0.20	0.33	0.61	2.81
2006	1.65	0.18	0.34	0.61	2.79
2007	1.68	0.19	0.33	0.61	2.81
2008	1.69	0.18	0.36	0.61	2.85
2009	1.59	0.17	0.37	0.61	2.74
2010	1.53	0.18	0.39	0.61	2.72
2011	1.48	0.20	0.43	0.61	2.73
2012	1.47	0.21	0.42	0.61	2.72
2013	1.53	0.22	0.46	0.61	2.82
2014	1.54	0.23	0.48	0.61	2.86
2015	1.59	0.25	0.47	0.61	2.92
2016	1.51	0.27	0.47	0.61	2.85
2017	1.55	0.28	0.47	0.61	2.91
2018	1.56	0.30	0.48	0.61	2.95
2019	1.59	0.29	0.48	0.61	2.96
2020	1.62	0.27	0.49	0.61	2.99

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

Meat industry

Methane emissions from the meat industry are calculated from an estimate of the wastewater output from meat processing. This estimate is based on the total production (kills) from the different producers in the meat industry and uses data that are as consistent as possible with the data for kills used in the Agriculture sector.

Poultry processing is calculated separately from other meat processing because its fraction of waste treated in anaerobic ponds, and the unit chemical oxygen demand (COD) load, are higher than these measures are for other meat processing (Cardno, 2015).

Rendering loads are not separated out to simplify the inventory calculations because there are only a few standalone rendering plants in New Zealand, and the rest are combined with meat processing plants. Therefore, the unit COD load only includes rendering operations (Cardno, 2015).

Nitrous oxide emissions from the meat industry are calculated using the same activity data as for CH_4 emissions.

Pulp and paper industry

Estimated pulp and paper wastewater output is based on paper, paperboard and pulp production. This information is obtained from the Ministry for Primary Industries.

Wine industry

Methane emissions from wastewater for the wine industry are based on the outputs obtained from the national organisation for New Zealand's grape and wine sector. For the purpose of this assessment, an average industry wastewater discharge metric of 2.7 cubic metres of water per tonne of grapes processed is assumed. This value is derived from national data. Note that this value is significantly lower than IPCC default values (Beca Ltd, unpublished).

Wool scouring industry

Methane emissions from wastewater for the wool scouring industry are based on the outputs obtained by SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished) for the years up to 2000. From 2001 to 2012, the SCS estimates have been prorated against the industry's output data and applied to the output data for these years. After 2012, the wool scouring industry used only aerobic treatment of wastewater and consequently, no emissions are reported for 2013 onwards (Beca Ltd, unpublished).

Dairy processing industry

The dairy processing industry predominantly uses aerobic treatment. Only one factory uses anaerobic treatment. The emissions from the wastewater treatment process are recovered and most of the captured biogas (consisting of 55 per cent CH_4) is used in boilers. The remainder is flared (Beca Infrastructure Ltd, unpublished). Emissions from the biogas recovered from the Tirau dairy processing plant (*Industrial wastewater*) for energy recovery are reported as 'IE' under the Waste sector, and actual emissions are reported in *1.A.2.e – Biomass* under the Energy sector.

Nitrous oxide emissions from dairy industry wastewater are included, based on the review of methods for industrial wastewater by Cardno (2015). Emission estimates are based on the total litres of milk processed, consistent with data reported under the Agriculture sector. The production data are then converted from litres to kilograms by multiplying by 1.031 (the weight of 1 litre of milk) for the activity data used in the emissions calculations.

Leather and skins industry

Methane emissions from wastewater for the leather and skins industry, also known as tanneries and fellmongers, are based on the outputs obtained by SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished) for the years up to 2001. From 2002, all wastewater from the tanneries is accounted for in domestic wastewater because all tanneries now discharge to the municipal wastewater system; however, some fellmongers still use aerobic treatment (Cardno, 2015).

Nitrous oxide emissions from wastewater for the leather and skins industry are based on the outputs obtained by SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished). Emissions reduced in 2002 to account for the tanneries that discharge entirely to the domestic system.

Choice of methods

Methods used to calculate emissions from wastewater handling are summarised in table 7.5.5. For domestic wastewater, the TOW is estimated for each individual treatment plant based on the population in the district served by the plant.

Table 7.5.5 Methods used for calculating emissions from wastewater treatment

Emissions category	Gas	Comment	Method	Source
Domestic wastewater (5.D.1)	CH_4		Tier 2	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished), Beca Infrastructure Ltd (unpublished)
Domestic wastewater (5.D.1)	N_2O	Based on average per-capita protein intake	Tier 1	IPCC (2006a)
Industrial wastewater (5.D.2) – Meat industry	CH_4		Tier 1	IPCC (2006a)
Industrial wastewater (5.D.2) – Pulp and paper industry	CH_4		Tier 1	IPCC (2006a)
Industrial wastewater (5.D.2) – Wine industry	CH_4		Tier 2	Beca Ltd (unpublished)
Industrial wastewater (5.D.2) – Wool scouring industry	CH_4		Tier 1	IPCC (2006a)
Industrial wastewater (5.D.2)	N_2O	Based on chemical oxygen demand from CH_4 emissions	Tier 2	Cardno (2015)

Wine industry

A Tier 2 approach is used to estimate emissions from the wine industry. Information on the wastewater treatment practices of the industry was obtained from a survey (Beca Ltd, unpublished). Default values from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) are used where New Zealand-specific information is not available.

Nitrous oxide emissions

Direct emissions of N_2O from domestic wastewater plants are typically minor and only occur in advanced centralised treatment plants. Good practice guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) advise that the estimation of direct N_2O emissions is only necessary where advanced centralised treatment plants account for a major proportion of wastewater treatment. Although one wastewater treatment plant in Auckland serves about a million people, direct N_2O emissions are not estimated because they are likely to be small.

However, indirect emissions of N_2O may occur after disposal of effluent into waterways, lakes or the ocean. New Zealand reports indirect emissions of N_2O from domestic wastewater.

The 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) indicate that, compared with domestic wastewater, the N_2O emissions from industrial wastewater are believed to be insignificant. Yet in New Zealand these emissions have greater significance, because the meat and dairy processing industries produce nitrogen-rich wastewaters.

The IPCC does not provide a method for calculating N_2O emissions from industrial wastewater and, consequently, a New Zealand-derived method has been applied. The total nitrogen load is calculated by adopting the COD load as determined in calculating CH_4 emissions from the same wastewater, and using an estimated ratio of COD to nitrogen in the wastewater for each of the different producers in the meat, dairy processing and leather and skins industries.

Choice of emission factors

Domestic wastewater (5.D.1)

Methane emissions from domestic wastewater treatment

Table 7.5.6 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating CH_4 emissions from domestic wastewater treatment.

Table 7.5.6 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for domestic wastewater treatment

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Methane correction factors			
Handling systems methane correction factor	Range of 0–0.65	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Aggregated methane correction factor	Range of 0.032–0.043	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
BOD (kg BOD/person/year)	26	New Zealand specific	Beca Infrastructure Ltd (unpublished)
Correction factor for BOD	Range of 1.0–14.9	New Zealand specific	Beca Infrastructure Ltd (unpublished)
Maximum methane-producing capacity (kg CH_4/kg BOD)	0.625	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)

Note: BOD = biochemical oxygen demand.

Methane correction factors for handling systems

Methane correction factors for the different handling systems in New Zealand were estimated by SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished). These factors range from zero up to 0.65 for the different types of anaerobic treatment. The different treatment types are added together, weighted by the population for each type of treatment, to give an aggregated CH_4 correction factor ranging between 0.032 and 0.043. Table 7.5.2 shows the aggregate CH_4 correction factor applied across the time series.

Adjustments to biochemical oxygen demand

New Zealand uses a value of 26 kilograms biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) per person per year. This is equivalent to the IPCC high-range default value for the Oceania region of about 70 grams per person per day (IPCC, 2006a). This value has been determined as a typical value for wastewater treatment methods adopted in New Zealand (Beca Infrastructure Ltd, unpublished).

This value has been increased by 25 per cent for most treatment plants, to allow for the additional wastewater that they take from commercial and industrial activity within the municipal area. Ten of the treatment plants have been identified as accepting much larger amounts of industrial and/or commercial wastewater. The correction factor for BOD for these plants ranges from 77 per cent to 1,390 per cent above the amount of domestic wastewater (Beca Infrastructure Ltd, unpublished). No adjustment to the BOD is made for septic tanks.

Recovery

Methane removal via flaring or for energy production is known to occur at eight plants in New Zealand. All CH_4 generated at these plants is flared or used for energy production and, consequently, there are no reported CH_4 emissions for those plants (Beca Infrastructure Ltd, unpublished).

Nitrous oxide emissions from domestic wastewater

Table 7.5.7 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating N_2O emissions from domestic and commercial wastewater treatment.

Table 7.5.7 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating nitrous oxide emissions from domestic and commercial wastewater treatment

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Per capita protein consumption (kg/person/year)	36.135	New Zealand specific	Beca Infrastructure Ltd (unpublished)
Fraction of nitrogen in protein	0.160	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Fraction of non-consumed protein	1.400	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Fraction of industrial and commercial co-discharged protein	1.250	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Nitrogen removed with sludge (kg)	0	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Emission factor	0.005	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Emissions from wastewater treatment plants	0	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)

A value of 36.135 kilograms of protein per person per year is used. This figure was the maximum value reported by New Zealand to the Food and Agriculture Organization.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

Industrial wastewater (5.D.2)

Methane emissions from industrial wastewater treatment – Meat industry

Table 7.5.8 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating CH_4 emissions from wastewater treatment by the meat industry.

Table 7.5.8 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions from wastewater treatment by the meat industry

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Degradable organic component (kg COD/tonne of product)	50	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Methane correction factor	Range of 0–0.55	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Maximum methane-producing capacity (kg CH_4/kg COD)	0.25	IPCC default	IPCC (2006)
Overall emission factor	0.036 (meat excluding poultry) 0.0344 (poultry)	New Zealand specific	Cardno (2015)

Note: COD = chemical oxygen demand.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

Methane emissions from industrial wastewater treatment – Pulp and paper industry

Table 7.5.9 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating CH_4 emissions from wastewater treatment by the pulp and paper industry.

Table 7.5.9 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for wastewater treatment by the pulp and paper industry

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Degradable organic component (kg COD/tonne of product)	36	New Zealand specific	Beca Infrastructure Ltd (unpublished)
Methane correction factor	Range of 0–0.8	New Zealand specific	Beca Infrastructure Ltd (unpublished)
Maximum methane-producing capacity (kg CH_4/kg COD)	0.25	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Overall emission factor	0.0117	New Zealand specific	Cardno (2015)

Note: COD = chemical oxygen demand.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

Methane emissions from industrial wastewater treatment – Wine industry

Table 7.5.10 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating CH_4 emissions from wastewater treatment by the wine industry.

Table 7.5.10 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for wastewater treatment by the wine industry

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Degradable organic component (kg COD/tonne of product)	12.42	New Zealand specific	Beca Infrastructure Ltd (unpublished)
Methane correction factor	Range of 0–0.5	New Zealand specific	Beca Infrastructure Ltd (unpublished)
Maximum methane-producing capacity (kg CH_4/kg COD)	0.25	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Overall emission factor	0.0167	New Zealand specific	Cardno (2015)

Note: COD = chemical oxygen demand.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

Methane emissions from industrial wastewater treatment – Wool scouring industry

Table 7.5.11 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating CH_4 emissions from wastewater treatment by the wool scouring industry.

Table 7.5.11 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for wastewater treatment by the wool scouring industry

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Degradable organic component (kg COD/tonne of product)	22.0000	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Methane correction factor	0.2900	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Maximum methane-producing capacity (kg CH_4/kg COD)	0.2500	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Overall emission factor	0.0065	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)

Note: COD = chemical oxygen demand.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

Methane emissions from industrial wastewater treatment – Leather and skins industry

Table 7.5.12 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating CH_4 emissions from wastewater treatment by the leather and skins industry.

Table 7.5.12 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating methane emissions for wastewater treatment by the leather and skins industry

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Degradable organic component (kg COD/tonne of product)	180	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Methane correction factor	Range of 0–0.55	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Maximum methane-producing capacity (kg CH_4/kg COD)	0.25	IPCC default	IPCC (2006a)
Overall emission factor	0.0124	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)

Note: COD = chemical oxygen demand.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

Nitrous oxide emissions from industrial wastewater treatment – Meat industry

Table 7.5.13 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating N_2O emissions from wastewater treatment by the meat industry.

Table 7.5.13 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating nitrous oxide emissions for wastewater treatment for the meat industry

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Degradable organic component (kg COD/tonne of product)	50	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Ratio of total nitrogen to biodegradable COD (TN:COD_b)	0.09	New Zealand specific	Cardno (2015)
Overall emission factor	Range of 0.0013–0.0019	New Zealand specific	Cardno (2015)

Note: COD = chemical oxygen demand; TN = total nitrogen.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

Nitrous oxide emissions from industrial wastewater treatment – Dairy processing industry

Table 7.5.14 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating N_2O emissions from wastewater treatment by the dairy processing industry.

Table 7.5.14 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating nitrous oxide emissions for wastewater treatment for the dairy processing industry

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Degradable organic component (kg COD/tonne of product)	2.0000	New Zealand specific	Cardno (2015)
Ratio of total nitrogen to biodegradable COD ($TN:COD_b$)	0.0440	New Zealand specific	Cardno (2015)
Overall emission factor	0.0028	New Zealand specific	Cardno (2015)

Note: COD = chemical oxygen demand; TN = total nitrogen.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

Nitrous oxide emissions from industrial wastewater treatment – Leather and skins industry

Table 7.5.15 summarises the parameter values applied for estimating N_2O emissions from wastewater treatment by the leather and skins industry.

Table 7.5.15 Parameter values applied by New Zealand for estimating nitrous oxide emissions for wastewater treatment for the leather and skins industry

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Degradable organic component (kg COD/tonne of product)	180	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Ratio of total nitrogen to biodegradable COD ($TN:COD_b$)	0.08	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)
Overall emission factor	0.02	New Zealand specific	SCS Wetherill Environmental (unpublished)

Note: COD = chemical oxygen demand; TN = total nitrogen.

Recovery

There is no recovery of emissions reported for this source.

7.5.3 Uncertainties and time-series consistency

Uncertainties

Table 7.5.16 Uncertainty in emissions from wastewater

Emissions category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Domestic and industrial wastewater (CH_4)	±10	±40
Domestic and industrial wastewater (N_2O)	±10	±90

Methane emissions

The parameters used to estimate CH_4 emissions from domestic and industrial wastewater (see table 7.5.16) have an estimated uncertainty of ±40 per cent (SCS Wetherill Environmental, unpublished). This uncertainty stems from uncertainties in:

- the factors used to calculate emissions from the different wastewater treatment processes
- the quantities of wastewater handled by the different wastewater treatment plants
- the accuracy and completeness of the data relating to each plant

- the factors used to calculate the degradable organic content in the wastewater
- the wastewater treatment methods.

Nitrous oxide emissions

Large uncertainties are associated with the IPCC default emission factors for N_2O emissions from wastewater treatment effluent (IPCC, 2006a). The uncertainty is estimated to be ±90 per cent based on the ranges experienced in collecting and applying similar data internationally, and expert judgement on the application of this experience to New Zealand (Law et al., 2012).

Time-series consistency

Time-series consistency is ensured by the use of consistent models and parameters across the period. Where changes to methodologies or emission factors have occurred, the entire time series has been recalculated.

7.5.4 Source-specific QA/QC and verification

In the preparation for this inventory submission, the data for the *Wastewater treatment and discharge* category underwent Tier 1 quality checks.

7.5.5 Source-specific recalculations

Emissions from domestic and industrial wastewater treatment

Emissions from *Domestic wastewater* (5.D.1) have increased by 1.2 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and increased by 0.2 kt CO_2-e in 2019. This is the result of revising population data using the latest estimates available and applying these consistently across the time series where possible. A minor change to activity data for meat in *Industrial wastewater* led to no change to emissions in 1990 and a decrease of 0.4 kt CO_2-e in 2019. More details on recalculations are provided in chapter 10.

7.5.6 Source-specific planned improvements

No specific improvements are planned for this source category.

Chapter 7: References

Beca Infrastructure Ltd. Unpublished. National Greenhouse Gas Inventory from Wastewater Treatment and Discharge. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2007.

Beca Ltd. Unpublished. Industrial Wastewater Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Estimates from the Pulp and Paper, Wool Scouring and Wine Industries for New Zealand's GHG Inventory. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2013.

Cardno. 2015. *Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Industrial Wastewater Treatment.* Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2015. Retrieved from https://environment.govt.nz/publications/greenhouse-gas-emissions-from-industrial-wastewater-treatment-inventory-basis-review/ (accessed 15 January 2016).

Eunomia Research and Consulting and Waste Not Consulting. Unpublished. Incorporating Waste Minimisation Act Data into New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Estimates. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2014.

Eunomia. Unpublished(a). Improvements to Estimates of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Landfills. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2020.

Eunomia. Unpublished(b). Data updated from National Resource Recovery Project situational analysis report (2019). Commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2020.

GHD. 2013. *Non-natural Rural Wastes: Site survey analysis*. GHD Ltd for the Canterbury Regional Council, published as Canterbury Regional Council Report No R13/52. Retrieved from https://ecan.govt.nz/document/download?uri=1851763 (accessed 9 November 2017).

GHD. 2014. *Rural Waste Surveys Data Analysis: Waikato and Bay of Plenty*. GHD Ltd for the Waikato Regional Council, published as Waikato Regional Council Report No 3114554. Retrieved from www.waikatoregion.govt.nz/assets/PageFiles/30542/TR201455.pdf (accessed 9 November 2017).

IPCC. 2006a. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 5. Waste*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2006b. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 2. Energy*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2019. Calvo Buendia E, Tanabe K, Kranjc A, Baasansuren J, Fukuda M, Ngarize S, Osako A, Pyrozhenko Y, Shermanau P, Federici S (eds). *2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 5. Waste*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Switzerland: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Law Y, Ye L, Pan Y, Yuan Z. 2012. Nitrous oxide emissions from wastewater treatment processes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society 'B'* 367(1593): 1265–1277.

Ministry for the Environment. 1997. *National Waste Data Report*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry for the Environment. 2002a. *The New Zealand Waste Strategy*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry for the Environment. 2002b. *Solid Waste Analysis Protocol*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry for the Environment. 2010. *The New Zealand Waste Strategy: Reducing Harm, Improving Efficiency*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry of Commerce. 1993. *New Zealand Energy Information Handbook: Energy Data, Conversion Factors, Definitions.* Taylor Baines and Associates.

MWH. 2017. *National Waste Disposal Survey. Final Report.* MWH for the Ministry for the Environment, published as National waste disposal survey final report 2017 No CR275. Retrieved from https://environment.govt.nz/publications/new-zealand-non-municipal-landfill-database-report/ (accessed 9 November 2017).

SCS Wetherill Environmental. Unpublished. National Greenhouse Gas Inventory from the Waste Sector 1990–2020. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2002.

SKM. Unpublished(a). Greenhouse Gases from the Waste Incineration Sector. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2007.

SKM. Unpublished(b). Estimates of Landfill Methane Recovered in New Zealand 1990–2012. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2009.

Tonkin and Taylor Ltd. Unpublished(a). National Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Wastewater Sludge. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2011.

Tonkin and Taylor Ltd. Unpublished(b). GHG Estimates from Non-municipal Landfills New Zealand. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2014.

van der Weerden A, de Klein C, Kelliher R, Rollo M. 2014. *Reporting to 2006 IPCC Guidelines for N_2O Emissions from Additional Sources of Organic N: Final report*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Waste Not Consulting. Unpublished(a). Waste Composition and Construction Waste Data. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2006.

Waste Not Consulting. Unpublished(b). Reviewing the 2008 National Waste Composition Estimate and Producing a 2012 Estimate. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2013.

Waste Not Consulting. Unpublished(c). Update of National Average Waste Composition for Class 1 Landfills. Report commissioned by the Ministry for the Environment in 2020.

Chapter 8: Tokelau (other) sector

New Zealand ratified the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (the Convention) on 16 September 1993 and the Paris Agreement on 4 October 2016. The extension to Tokelau (as of 13 November 2017) of New Zealand's ratification of the Convention and of the Paris Agreement requires New Zealand to include Tokelau in the obligatory climate change reporting managed by the Ministry for the Environment. Delivering on this obligation, among other things, means that New Zealand's national greenhouse gas (GHG) inventory shall include the GHG estimates from Tokelau.

Roles and responsibilities in relation to GHG inventory reporting from Tokelau are outlined in section 8.1.3. The information on quality assurance and quality control planning for Tokelau is included in annex 6.

To maintain transparency of the inventory and visibility of the GHG data from Tokelau, common reporting format (CRF) sector 6 (Other) is used to present emissions from Tokelau by sector in the CRF. This chapter provides an overview of Tokelau's economy and industry. It includes information on emissions trends and methodological notes in regard to the GHG emissions from Tokelau.

8.1 Tokelau overview

8.1.1 Geography

Tokelau is a non-self-governing territory[66] of New Zealand. Tokelau is made up of three small coral atolls: Atafu, Nukunonu and Fakaofo. The total land area is only 12 square kilometres within an Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) covering 318,990 square kilometres. Atafu, the northern atoll, has a surface area of 3.5 square kilometres; Nukunonu, the central atoll, is 4.7 square kilometres and Fakaofo, the southern atoll, is 4 square kilometres (figure 8.1.1).

Figure 8.1.1 Map of Tokelau



66 In the United Nations Charter (United Nations, 1945), a non-self-governing territory is defined as a territory "whose people have not yet attained a full measure of self-government". Tokelau has been on the United Nations list of non-self-governing territories since 1946, following the declaration of the intention by New Zealand to transmit information on the Tokelau Islands under Article 73e of the United Nations Charter.

From Atafu in the north to Fakaofo in the south, Tokelau extends for less than 200 kilometres. The atolls are about 3 to 5 metres above sea level. The maximum width of any island (motu) on the atolls' rims is 200 metres. Tokelau is therefore particularly vulnerable to natural hazards.

8.1.2 Censuses of dwellings and population

Tokelauans have New Zealand citizenship.

Tokelau has carried out independent censuses of population and dwellings five yearly; detailed data are available on the number of inhabitants, livestock, housing, and some appliances. Only the past four censuses in Tokelau (2006, 2011, 2016 and the 2019 mini census) have used a precise definition of who is a '*de jure* Tokelauan'[67] and the people who actually lived in Tokelau during the census night (*de facto* population). Tokelau's *de jure* population on 12 December 2019 was 1,647 people. The *de facto* population has been used for the purposes of estimating emissions, which was 1,295 people in 2019. From 1990 to 2019, the population was fluctuating but generally declined for both *de facto* and *de jure* measures. For reference, about 15,000 people identify as Tokelauan who live overseas, mainly in New Zealand.

Tokelau has a subsistence economy in which sharing (inati) plays an important and significant role. The inhabitants are dependent on local natural resources, particularly fishing in the lagoon and deep sea, growing coconuts and breadfruit, and keeping domesticated pigs and chickens.

The coral atolls provide a subsistence lifestyle within a fragile environment. Tokelau imports most of its foodstuffs from Samoa. The Tokelau economy is dependent on two major financial resources: economic and administrative assistance from New Zealand, and income from fisheries. New Zealand provides general budget support to help the delivery of essential services, consistent with its constitutional and United Nations Charter obligations.

8.1.3 Emissions reporting

Due to the small land size area, small population and absence of industry, Tokelau has a very low impact on the environment and emits very small amounts of GHGs. The total amount of all GHGs from all sources in Tokelau in 2020 was 4.18 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e), contributing around 0.005 per cent to New Zealand's gross emissions. This is below the significance threshold as defined in paragraph 37(b) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) reporting guidelines for GHG inventories (UNFCCC, 2014). The emissions in Tokelau are limited to:

- carbon dioxide (CO_2) from boat engines and vehicles
- CO_2 from back-up power generators
- fluorinated gases from the use of refrigerants
- methane (CH_4) and nitrous oxide (N_2O) from livestock (pigs and poultry)
- CH_4, CO_2 and N_2O from waste.

[67] A 'de jure' census tallies people according to their regular or legal residence.

2020

In 2020, emissions from the Tokelau sector contributed 4.18 kt CO_2-e (0.005 per cent) of New Zealand's gross GHG emissions. The largest source category is *Domestic navigation*, which contributed 2.08 kt CO_2-e (86.3 per cent of all energy emissions and 49.7 per cent of gross emissions from Tokelau).

Carbon dioxide dominated emissions from Tokelau, contributing 57.9 per cent (2.42 kt CO_2-e) of its total emissions in 2020. At 2.38 kt CO_2, the Energy sector contributed 98.4 per cent of total CO_2 emissions, mostly from *Domestic navigation*; with the remaining 1.6 per cent (0.04 kt) coming from *Open burning of waste* in the Waste sector.

Methane emissions contributed 35.3 per cent (1.48 kt CO_2-e) to the total emissions from Tokelau. The Agriculture sector in Tokelau contributed 55.8 per cent of CH_4 emissions (0.82 kt CO_2-e), which mostly came from *Manure management*. A significant portion of CH_4 emissions, 43.7 per cent (0.65 kt CO_2-e), came from the Waste sector, largely from *Solid waste disposal*. The Energy sector contributed the remaining 0.5 per cent of CH_4 emissions (0.01 kt CO_2-e), which mostly came from *Domestic navigation*.

Nitrous oxide emissions contributed 1.2 per cent (0.05 kt CO_2-e) to the total emissions from Tokelau. The Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU) sector contributed the largest amount of N_2O, 43.4 per cent (0.02 kt CO_2-e) of the total N_2O, from *Medical applications.* The Energy sector contributed a further 34.3 per cent (0.02 kt CO_2-e), which comes largely from *Domestic navigation*. The Waste sector contributed the remaining 22.4 per cent of N_2O (0.01 kt CO_2-e) from *Open burning*.

Emissions of fluorinated gases from Tokelau consisted of hydrofluorocarbon (HFC) emissions only, contributing 5.6 per cent (0.23 kt CO_2-e) to the total emissions from Tokelau. These emissions largely came from the use of *Air conditioning*. Emissions of perfluorocarbons (PFCs), nitrogen trifluoride and sulphur hexafluoride are not occurring in Tokelau.

Figures 8.1.2 and 8.1.3 show emissions from Tokelau by gas and by sector.

Figure 8.1.2 Tokelau's emissions by gas in 2020



Figure 8.1.3 Tokelau's emissions by sector in 2020



1990–2020

In 1990, the total emissions from Tokelau were 3.17 kt CO_2-e. Between 1990 and 2020, the total emissions increased by 31.9 per cent (1.01 kt CO_2-e) to 4.18 kt CO_2-e (table 8.1.1). From 1990 to 2020, the average annual increase in gross emissions was 1.10 per cent.

The emission categories that contributed the most to this change were *Domestic navigation* and *Electricity generation*.

The changes in *Domestic navigation* are a result of Tokelau gaining ownership and use of the ferry *Mataliki* in 2016, cargo vessel *Kalopaga* in 2018 and *Fetu o te Moana* in 2019 leading to an increasing number of sea voyages between the atolls, which increased transport emissions. Emissions from Tokelau's IPPU sector have also increased mainly due to the introduction of air conditioning after 2006. Further changes in Tokelau's Energy sector emissions are a significant rise and then drop (by nearly 400 per cent and 82.5 per cent respectively) in consumption of imported petroleum products used for electricity production in Tokelau. Emissions from Tokelau's Agriculture sector decreased slightly as a result of a reduced population of pigs.

Table 8.1.1 Gross emissions from Tokelau by gas in 1990 and 2020

Direct greenhouse gas emissions	kt CO_2-e 1990	kt CO_2-e 2020	Change from 1990 (kt CO_2-e)	Change from 1990 (%)
CO_2	1.30	2.42	1.12	86.4
CH_4	1.78	1.48	–0.31	–17.2
N_2O	0.09	0.05	–0.04	–41.9
HFCs	NO	0.23	0.23	NA
PFCs	NO	NO	NA	NA
SF_6	NO	NO	NA	NA
NF_3	NO	NO	NA	NA
Gross, all gases	**3.17**	**4.18**	**1.01**	**31.9**

Note: Emissions from the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry sector are not estimated for Tokelau. The percentage change for hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) is not applicable (NA) because HFC production or use was not occurring (NO) in 1990. Columns may not total due to rounding. Presented percentages are calculated from unrounded values.

Figure 8.1.4 shows emission trends by sector for Tokelau.

Figure 8.1.4 Emissions by sector for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020



2019–2020

Total Tokelau emissions in 2020 were 0.12 kt CO_2-e (2.8 per cent) lower than emissions in 2019. The lower emissions are largely the result of decreases in CO_2 emissions in the *Domestic navigation* category, due to decreased shipping within Tokelau. This decrease is largely the result of lockdowns occurring due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Key categories

Emission categories from Tokelau have been included in the key category analysis, along with all categories reported in New Zealand's inventory. None of the emission categories from Tokelau are key categories (either level or trend) in the 2022 submission.

Reporting arrangements

Including Tokelau in New Zealand's inventory reporting is a gradual process. This requires building the expert capacity and establishing connections with the various organisations and businesses in Tokelau that participate in data collection and processing. Estimates include emissions from the largest Tokelau contributors, which are the Energy, IPPU, Agriculture and Waste sectors, using Tier 1 methodologies with Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) default emission factors for all reported categories (IPCC, 2006a). The Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector is not estimated because Tokelau has no planted or managed forests, and any emissions are expected to be negligible.

New Zealand and Tokelau signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) on 18 January 2018 to establish the relationship between Tokelau and New Zealand regarding the governance of international climate change reporting relating to the inclusion of Tokelau in New Zealand's national inventory system. According to the MoU, both New Zealand's central inventory agency (the Ministry for the Environment) and Tokelau's Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience, formerly known as the Climate Change Division within the Office of the Council for the Ongoing Government of Tokelau, have roles in inventory reporting.

The Ministry for the Environment will take responsibility for the following:

- coordination of communications between New Zealand and Tokelau officials, as well as communications with project consultants in New Zealand and overseas
- coordination with other New Zealand government agencies participating in the inventory production, should their consulting or advice be required for the project
- initial consultation on developing a national GHG inventory system for Tokelau, together with the relevant instructive materials, principles, protocols and procedures of Tier 1 statistics, and methodological guidance for the inventory
- technical advice on various aspects of the project regarding the subject matter, the legal background (the Convention reporting guidance), software issues, and the quality-assurance and quality-control issues associated with changes in the national inventory system
- production of the complete set of the data tables in agreed formats
- final integration of the Tokelau GHG inventory component into the joint inventory submission to the Convention
- submitting the joint inventory to the Convention and coordinating communication with the Convention associated with the inventory submission and review
- publication of the joint inventory report and the CRF tables online, as well as all supplementary materials.

The Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience will take responsibility for the following:

- coordinating the project implementation in Tokelau by communicating with the relevant agencies, organisations and individuals involved in Tokelau's GHG inventory; coordinating their efforts and delegating responsibilities to ensure sufficient information and support are provided to those agencies, organisations and individuals to enable the GHG inventory production
- providing timely advice on all cultural aspects of the project and helping to resolve any matters associated with potential cultural issues
- ensuring that the agreed project schedule is fully complied with, and the relevant timeframes are met, which includes submission of Tokelau's GHG data and information to New Zealand's national inventory compiler within the agreed timeframe
- coordinating Tokelau's efforts in activity data collection for the inventory reporting and data processing
- producing a peer-reviewed draft of the Tokelau chapter based on the 2006 IPCC Guidelines and the Convention reporting guidelines, and in compliance with the Convention inventory quality principles and good practices.

Both the Ministry for the Environment and Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience are responsible for adhering to the principles and protocols for producers of Tier 1 statistics.

Methodological issues

Methods and emission factors

Tokelau is making its second steps in GHG inventory reporting. Consequently, Tier 1 methodological approaches with default emission factors were used for estimating emissions from all Tokelau source categories.

Tokelau is in a different climate zone from New Zealand and has a different lifestyle and different technologies. There are also differences in the scale of operations, especially in the Agriculture sector, that do not allow applying New Zealand's definition of a farm to Tokelau. For estimating emissions from Tokelau, the 2006 IPCC default emission factors for Oceania with a warm climate are used, whereas New Zealand uses default emission factors associated with a temperate climate.

The calorific values for fuels used for Tokelau are also different from those used for New Zealand because those fuels are coming from different sources. Relevant emission factors used for estimating emissions and references to the methods are included in sections 8.2 to 8.5 dedicated to the inventory sectors reported by Tokelau.

Activity data

The Tokelau National Statistics Office collects and processes activity data from Tokelau for inventory preparation. Table 8.1.2 contains the key sources of the activity data from Tokelau used in Tokelau's GHG inventory.

Table 8.1.2 Key sources for activity data in Tokelau

Item	Name/abbreviation	Explanation	Used where
1	Census	Tokelau Census of Population and Dwellings 2006, 2011, 2016, 2019 www.tinyurl.com/TokelauCensus	Census data; interpolations for populations of people and livestock; solid and water waste disposal (flush toilets), number of private aluminium boats/outboard motors, home appliances
2	Archives NZ	Archives New Zealand, Wellington	Historic Census records going back to 1951, at five-year intervals (Tokelau National Statistics Office collation and analysis)
3	HIES	Tokelau Household Income and Expenditure Survey 2015/16 www.tinyurl.com/TokelauHIES	Population and dwellings data supplementary to Census, in partnership with Pacific Community (SPC)
4	SNZ, Stats NZ	Stats NZ, Wellington www.stats.govt.nz	Major partner in collection, analysis and publication of Tokelau Census data
5	TNSO	Tokelau National Statistics Office, Apia www.tokelau.org.nz/Stats.html	Joint collection, analysis and publication of Tokelau Census data
6	DoE	Tokelau Department of Energy	Estimate of diesel use for 24/7 power generation in 2004, plus before and after installation of solar in July–September 2012 (personal communication Mr Robin Pene, DoE director)
7	PPS	Petroleum Product Supplies Ltd Apia	Fuel prices and volumes supplied for shipping and on-atoll use of diesel, petrol, kerosene and lubricant oil
8	DoF	Tokelau Department of Finance	Paid invoices and payment records to PPS, Origin, and on-atoll stores
9	2018 vehicle survey	Photo survey of Tokelau motorised vehicles on-atoll, August–December 2018	Personal communication JA Jasperse, TNSO
10	Origin	Origin Energy Samoa Ltd, Apia	Prices and volumes supplied for on-atoll use of propane for cooking
11	PCTrade-Green	Excel version of PCTrade package developed by Stats NZ, Christchurch	Used for analysing cargo shipping manifests, providing number of return voyages Apia–Tokelau over time, imports of goods, and exports of recyclables to date (2014 – June 2019 data available)
12	DoH	Tokelau Department of Health	Anecdotal information on inhalers, laser gas, fire extinguishers
13	TSS	Tokelau Department of Transport and Support Services, Apia	Cargo shipping manifests for analysis of imports of all goods, and export of recyclables

Item	Name/abbreviation	Explanation	Used where
14	2014 Imports study	Jasperse JA. 2016. *Analysis of 2014 imports into Tokelau from Samoa, Part 2: Stores' invoices reconciled with cargo manifests, and quality of life implications*, Tokelau National Statistics Office	Various Energy and Waste sector data, for example, calculation of per capita protein consumption www.tokelau.org.nz/Bulletin/September+2016/2014+imports+final.html
15	EDNRE	Tokelau Department of Economic Development, Natural Resources and Environment	Anecdotal information on waste disposal and export
16	PCRAFI	Koroisamanunu, Iva; Joy Papao; Mereoni Ketewai; and Arieta Sokota: *Mission Preliminary Report (Fieldwork undertaken from 8 August – 2 September 2013). SOPAC technical note (PR193)*, May 2014. Water and Sanitation Programme and Disaster Reduction Programme. Applied Geoscience and Technology Division (SOPAC), Suva, Fiji Islands	Information on drinking water, wastewater and sanitation
17	MiCORE	Tokelau Ministry of Climate, Oceans and Resilience	Partner to Memorandum of Understanding with New Zealand Ministry for the Environment leading to the present inventory

Tokelau's data and information in the Common Reporting Format Reporter

Because methodologies for estimating emissions in Tokelau and New Zealand differ, adding Tokelau and New Zealand's activity data at a category level and estimating combined emissions within each category is currently not possible. Due to limitations of the CRF software, including specific categories for Tokelau consistently across all inventory sectors is also not possible.

Tokelau requested New Zealand's inventory team to maintain visibility of the data from Tokelau in the CRF, so that Tokelau officials could use them for other reporting and policy purposes. Reporting Tokelau as a different inventory sector provides this visibility.

To maintain transparency of the inventory and visibility of the GHG data from Tokelau, CRF sector 6 (Other) was used to present emissions from Tokelau by sector in the CRF. Currently, the CRF Reporter does not allow creating subcategory levels in sector 6. To avoid double counting in the CRF, the data and information are aggregated for each of the Energy, IPPU, Agriculture and Waste sectors. In addition, annex 7 includes detailed tables with time series from 1990 to 2020 for each category reported for Tokelau. For comparability reasons, these tables are in the same format as the CRF entry tables, and the table names follow the CRF naming convention for emission categories. The executive summary and chapter 2 of the National Inventory Report include comparisons between Tokelau and New Zealand's emissions.

8.1.4 Recalculation and improvements

One minor recalculation has been made in the Tokelau emission estimates since the 2020 submission. The recalculation made for the Tokelau sector has resulted in no change in emissions in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (0.0023 kt CO_2-e) increase in emissions in 2019.

8.2 Energy emissions from Tokelau (CRF 6. Tokelau_1)

The total amount of all energy emissions in Tokelau in 2020 was 2.40 kt CO_2-e. This contributed 57.5 per cent to the total emissions from Tokelau and 0.0031 per cent to New Zealand's gross emissions including Tokelau. The categories that contributed to the energy emissions were *Domestic navigation*, *Public electricity and heat production* and *Other – Residential*.

For all energy categories, emissions were estimated using the Tier 1 methodological approach with default emission factors (2006 IPCC Guidelines). Default uncertainty values from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were used for all estimates (IPCC, 2006a).

Tokelau predominately uses diesel oil and petrol (for back-up generators and transport) and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) (for cooking purposes). Solid fuels are not used in Tokelau, other than on a small scale, and are not estimated, for instance the husks of locally grown coconuts.

Miniscule amounts of other fossil fuels are imported by Tokelau, which are assumed to be combusted. These include gasoline, other kerosene and lubricants: their combustion is accounted for under *Gas/diesel oil*. Around 40 drums (205-litre capacity per drum) of oil are imported annually, the bulk of which is presumably mixed with petrol and used for 'outboard' engines and combusted, with only a few drums used to lubricate cars and other engines. Because none of those are recycled, combustion is the most likely outcome. Oaccil changes carried out in Apia during servicing of the ferries *Mataliki* and *Kalopaga*, after every five roundtrips, has the more significant amount of waste oil remaining in Samoa not Tokelau.

For consistency with New Zealand's Energy sector, gross calorific values were used for Energy sector estimates from Tokelau. The relevant default IPCC emission factors were adjusted accordingly by multiplying them by 0.95 and 0.90 for liquid and gaseous fuels respectively.

8.2.1 Reference approach

The reference approach calculations were performed according to the methods described in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. Equations 6.1 to 6.4 from chapter 6 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were used for calculating apparent consumption and estimating emissions (IPCC, 2006a). Gross calorific values were used for all calculations.

In 2020, total CO_2 emissions from the reference approach in Tokelau were 2.33 kt, which differs from the sectoral approach by 2.1 per cent. The average variation of differences between the sectoral and reference approach across the time series was 2.2 per cent.

8.2.2 International bunker fuels

No fuel is used for international navigation in Tokelau, because only domestic voyages are made by Tokelau's vessels. All international voyages use the fuel loaded in Samoa and no refuelling is done in Tokelau for the international routes.

Tokelau has no aviation transportation (domestic or international).

8.2.3 Stationary combustion: Public electricity and heat production

Description

The main source of emissions from this category in Tokelau includes electricity production from back-up generators. Tokelau uses liquid fossil fuels for these purposes; therefore, only liquid fossil fuels are reported under the *Energy industries* category.

Like most small Pacific Island nations and territories, Tokelau has been heavily reliant on the importation of fossil fuels for energy generation. Imports increased significantly in 2004, when electric power became available for households 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Before that, electricity was generated between 6 pm and 10 pm daily, and annual diesel consumption was about 20 per cent of the value in 2011.

In 2012, the installation of 4,000 solar photovoltaics (PV) systems across the three atolls was completed (figure 8.2.1). Each of the three Tokelau atolls now has a significant array of solar PV systems that cater for almost all local electric power requirements.

Figure 8.2.1 Cluster block diagram for Tokelau's solar project



Source: SMA

Tokelau received wide media coverage for its installation of solar PV units.[68] The change resulted in a significant drop in liquid fossil fuels consumption for electricity production in Tokelau (by around 82.5 per cent) and a decrease in the total energy emissions by 36.4 per cent between 2011 and 2013. However, some power generation using diesel remains necessary as back up, during the failure of solar PV units, prolonged cloudy spells, and to meet the steadily increasing demand from households and the public sector.

68 See page 46 on www.mfat.govt.nz/assets/Aid-Prog-docs/Evaluations/2015/Dec-2015/MFAT-Tokelau-Country-Programme-Eval-Final-v5-09122015.pdf.

Energy emission trends

For Tokelau, the *Public electricity and heat production* category accounted for 100 per cent of the emissions from the *Energy industries* category for the entire time series. In 2020, emissions from the *Energy industries* category totalled 0.23 kt CO_2-e (9.4 per cent of all energy emissions from Tokelau). Emissions from energy industries have decreased by 0.004 kt CO_2-e (1.9 per cent) since the 1990 level of 0.23 kt CO_2-e.

Effectively, the increase in emissions due to continuously generating electricity from fossil fuels in 2004 was offset by the decrease due to installing the solar PV units in 2012 and solar energy dominating the electricity production sources in Tokelau since then. Figure 8.2.2 shows emission trends in the Energy sector by category for Tokelau.

Figure 8.2.2 Energy emissions by category for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020



Methodological issues

Activity data

The sources of activity data for the *Energy industries* category are included in table 8.1.2. Key sources for the energy supply and consumption data are the Tokelau Department of Energy and Petroleum Product Supplies Ltd (Apia, Samoa). The Tokelau Department of Energy provided background data for estimates of diesel use for power generation around the time that electricity changed to 24 hours a day, 7 days a week in Tokelau in 2004; as well as before and after installation of solar PV powered plants in July to September 2012 and participated in making those estimates (item 6 in table 8.1.2). Based on purchase information, the Department of Finance provided the data on fuel prices and volumes supplied by Petroleum Product Supplies Ltd for shipping and on-atoll use of diesel, petrol, kerosene and lubricant oil (item 7 in table 8.1.2). Only liquid fossil fuels (gas and diesel oil) are used in the *Energy industries* category. Because all fossil fuels in Tokelau are imported, activity data are mostly obtained from analysis of invoices from main suppliers and shipping manifests.

In the course of the analysis of available data, big discrepancies were discovered between the fuel imports shown on shipping manifests and the more reliable financial fuel purchase data that were audited. Detailed data were not available for each year from 1990 to 2020 (or data reliability was not high), so a trade-off was made between data granularity and data quality. The biggest and most reliably recorded data variations are reflected in the time series.

For electricity generation, there were two important events: first, the changeover to 24 hour, 7 days a week electricity in 2004 (from 6 pm to 10 pm before that, at an estimated 20 per cent of the 24 hour, 7 days a week value in 2011); and second the introduction of solar PV-powered plants in 2012. The change during 2012, due to the installation of solar PV-powered plants, was reasonably well documented and, therefore, reflected in the time series. For the years 1990 to 2003, 2005 to 2011 and 2013 to 2015, the activity data (and corresponding emissions) are shown as constant.

The diesel data for 2013 to 2015 were entirely based on analysis during 2018 of fuel purchases, when, for the first time, Tokelau's analysts could clearly separate out the diesel used on-atoll and for shipping. The methodology for such analyses that was put in place for the 2019 inventory submission was refined in each subsequent submission.

Diesel is delivered on 'dangerous goods sailings' to Tokelau in the ships' fuel bunkers. Onarrival, it is pumped into drums on a barge, for shipping to shore and transport to the generator sites.

Methods and emission factors

A Tier 1 method was applied for estimating emissions from the *Public electricity and heat production* category. The method required the data on the amount of LPG combusted in the source category and a default emission factor from table 2.2, section 2.3.2.1, volume 2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. Default emission factors from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were converted from net calorific values to gross calorific values using the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and International Energy Agency (IEA) assumptions to make these conversions:

$$\text{Gross Emission Factor (liquid fuels)} = 0.95 \text{ x Net Emission Factor}$$

Equations 2.1 and 2.2 from section 2.3.1.1 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were used for estimating emissions (IPCC, 2006a).

Uncertainties

For this submission, it was not possible to develop Tokelau-specific uncertainty values, so, for emission factors, default uncertainty values provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were used for CO_2 and CH_4 (for public power, co-generation and district heating) (IPCC, 2006a). Because no quantified default emission factor is provided for N_2O, New Zealand's emission factor uncertainty across the Energy sector for N_2O was used for this category.

For activity data, due to the lack of detailed pre-2018 fuel data, an upper level of the default uncertainty range for the main activity electricity and heat production associated with data extrapolation from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines was applied (IPCC, 2006a). Table 8.2.1 shows the use of uncertainties for the *Energy industries* category.

Table 8.2.1 Uncertainties for the *Energy industries* category

Gas	Fuel type	Activity data (AD) uncertainty (%)	Emission factor (EF) uncertainty (%)	Source
CO_2	Liquid fuels	10	±7	AD: page 2.41, IPCC, 2006a (table 2.15) EF: page 2.38, IPCC, 2006a
CH_4	Liquid fuels	10	±50.0	AD: page 2.41, IPCC, 2006a (table 2.15) EF: page 2.38, IPCC, 2006a (table 2.12)
N_2O	Liquid fuels	10	±50.0	AD: page 2.41, IPCC, 2006a (table 2.15) EF: New Zealand's value is used (table 3.3.1, chapter 3)

Source-specific recalculations

One minor recalculation has been made in the Tokelau emission estimates since the 2021 submission. The recalculation made for the Tokelau sector has resulted in no change in emissions in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (0.0023 kt CO_2-e) increase in emissions in 2019.

Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are planned for energy industries. Some areas identified for possible improvements are better monitoring of diesel fuel actually landing on-atoll at the power sites, and clearly separating fuel used for power generation from heavy machinery and diesel-powered vehicles. Data on gross electricity production from oil were acquired in late 2019 from the International Renewable Energy Agency; these could provide additional detail and verification of energy use back to their base year 2000. Future imports of coolants (ethylene glycol) for the solar PV-powered plants may also be considered in future.

8.2.4 Stationary combustion: Other sectors – residential

Description

Tokelau has no significant industry. All energy is used by domestic and fishing activities and community–government activities (for example, meeting halls and offices, village freezers, building projects, stevedoring). Therefore, emissions associated with energy consumption in Tokelau (except fishing) are included in the category *Other sectors – Residential*. The small amount of emissions associated with communal activities are not easily distinguishable from those coming from residential activities and are therefore included under the *Other sectors – Residential* category. Emissions from fishing are included under *Domestic navigation*. This is because it is difficult to distinguish fuel use for fishing from fuel use for domestic navigation in Tokelau, because families use the same boats for both purposes.

According to the 2016 Tokelau Census, every household has a fridge and a freezer, and some households now have air conditioning. Most households (over 60 per cent) also own a washing machine, a computer and a television. The United Nations Development Programme, under the Tokelau Energy Sector Support Project, has in the past funded a programme of replacing old inefficient fridges and freezers with new ones. Home appliances in Tokelau mainly use the power provided by solar PV-powered plants, supplemented as needed by back-up diesel-powered generators. Emissions associated with the use of diesel-powered back-up generators are included under the *Energy industries* category.

Gas cooking using imported natural gas (LPG) is the preferred method used by about 72.0 per cent of households, replacing kerosene stoves. For the past decade, the use of kerosene stoves dropped from 56.6 per cent of households in 2006 to 23.6 per cent in 2016 (2016 Tokelau Census). Associated activity data and emissions are included under *Other sectors – Residential* category.

In 2020, emissions from the *Other sectors – Residential* category were 0.10 kt CO_2-e (4.3 per cent of all energy emissions from Tokelau).

Methodological issues

Activity data

The sources of activity data for the *Other sectors – Residential* category are included in table 8.1.2. The key data source for the category is paid invoices from Origin Energy Samoa Ltd (Apia, Samoa) providing prices and volumes of propane supplied on-atoll for cooking.

Methods and emission factors

A Tier 1 method was applied for estimating emissions from the *Other sectors – Residential* category. The method required the data on the amount of LPG combusted in the source category and a default emission factor from table 2.2, section 2.3.2.1, volume 2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. Default emission factors from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were converted from net calorific values to gross calorific values using the OECD and IEA assumptions to make these conversions:

$$\text{Gross Emission Factor (gaseous fuels)} = 0.90 \text{ x Net Emission Factor}$$

Equations 2.1 and 2.2 from section 2.3.1.1 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were used for estimating emissions (IPCC, 2006a).

Uncertainties

For this submission, it was not possible to develop Tokelau-specific uncertainty values, so for emission factors, default uncertainty values provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were used for CO_2 and CH_4 (for commercial, institutional and residential combustion) (IPCC, 2006a). Because no quantified default emission factor is provided for N_2O, New Zealand's emission factor uncertainty across the Energy sector for N_2O was used for this category.

For activity data, a mid-range level of default uncertainty range associated with data extrapolation from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines was applied (IPCC, 2006a). Table 8.2.2 shows the use of uncertainties for the *Other sectors – Residential* category.

Table 8.2.2 Uncertainties for the *Other sectors – Residential* category

Gas	Fuel type	Activity data (AD) uncertainty (%)	Emission factor (EF) uncertainty (%)	Source
CO_2	Liquid fuels	20	±7	AD: page 2.41, IPCC, 2006a (table 2.15) EF: page 2.38, IPCC, 2006a
CH_4	Liquid fuels	20	±50.0	AD: page 2.41, IPCC, 2006a (table 2.15) EF: page 2.38, IPCC, 2006a (table 2.12)
N_2O	Liquid fuels	20	±50.0	AD: page 2.41, IPCC, 2006a (table 2.15) EF: New Zealand's value (table 3.3.1, chapter 3)

Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are planned for the *Other sectors – Residential* category. One area identified for possible future improvement is to consider additional LPG imports purchased from Aute Gas (Apia, Samoa), for Nukunonu. However, the difference is likely to be small, compared with the current approach (where Nukunonu is taken as the average between the fuel purchases from Origin, by Atafu and Fakaofo). For future submissions, further analysis of activity data from Tokelau, to reflect year-to-year variations, will be considered as far as resources will allow.

8.2.5 Mobile combustion: Domestic navigation

Description

The only means of transport to and from Tokelau is by sea; there is no air transportation. All travel and supplies to Tokelau originate and terminate in Samoa, Tokelau's closest neighbour. A direct trip from any of the three atolls to the nearest port, Apia, usually takes between 26 hours and 40 hours. There are no ports and terminals in Tokelau, and no offshore anchorage is available: barges that can enter the fringe reef are used for loading and offloading ships.

The passenger ferries and cargo ships arriving from Apia (distance around 500 kilometres) generally visit the three atolls in succession: they are 60 kilometres and 90 kilometres apart, respectively. A round trip is about 1,300 kilometres using diesel from Apia. Up until 2018, the fraction (300/1300) is used to estimate *Domestic navigation* within Tokelau. For 2020, actual data on the number of inter-atoll trips was used.

Until recently, the main forms of road transport on the atolls were trucks, pick-ups, motorbikes and a range of golf carts. Some vehicles are electric, fuelled by solar PV energy. Solar-powered streetlights ensure safety on the roadways. The private importation of other vehicles has increased recently.

The number of petrol cars has been very small in Tokelau, in 2020 there were only about 40 cars (in addition to the vehicles above) and 30 motorbikes, with the entire network of unsealed roads being about 10 kilometres. Census 2001 and prior record only four registered cars. Aluminium boats with an outboard motor are widely used by families. Emissions from fuels used for road transport are orders of magnitude lower than from boats, and it was not possible to distinguish the small amounts of fuels used by cars from the total amount used by boats and cars. That is why emissions from road transport are included under the *Domestic navigation* category.

According to its 2016 census, Tokelau has 176 aluminium boats with 160 outboard motors, which use most of the imported petrol to travel within and outside the large lagoons. Most of the diesel use is by the ferries travelling to and from Samoa. *Fetu o te Moana* is a new search and rescue vessel delivered in 2019 that also provides general inter-atoll transport.

For Tokelau, the category *Domestic navigation* accounted for 100 per cent of the emissions from the *Transport* category for the entire time series. In 2020, emissions from the *Domestic navigation* category totalled 2.08 kt CO_2-e (86.3 per cent of all energy emissions from Tokelau).

Methodological issues

Activity data

The sources of activity data for the *Transport* category are included in table 8.1.2. Activity data sources for the category are paid invoices from Petroleum Product Supplies Ltd (Apia, Samoa) with data on fuel prices and volumes supplied for shipping and on-atoll use: diesel, petrol, kerosene and lubricant oil (item 7 in table 8.1.2); the Tokelau Department of Finance's invoices and payment records to Petroleum Product Supplies Ltd, Origin and on-atoll stores; and a photo survey of Tokelau motorised vehicles on-atoll, August–December 2018. Additional energy data related to cargo manifests were obtained from an *Analysis of 2014 Imports into Tokelau from Samoa, Part 2: Stores' invoices reconciled with cargo manifests, and quality of life implications* (Jasperse, 2016). Only liquid fossil fuels (gas and diesel oil) are used for fuelling Tokelau's transport.

Due to large discrepancies between different sources of raw data, reliable statistics on fuel consumption across the period 1990 to 2020 are not available. Some anecdotal transport data exist for the number of roundtrips Apia–Tokelau during the years 1990 to 2014; after this period actual records are available.

Methods and emission factors

A Tier 1 method was applied for estimating emissions from the *Domestic navigation* category. The method required the data on the amount of fuel combusted in the source category and a default emission factor from tables 3.5.2 (for CO_2) and 3.5.3 (for non-CO_2 gases), section 3.5.1.2, volume 2 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines. Default emission factors from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were converted from net calorific values to gross calorific values using the OECD and IEA assumptions to make these conversions:

$$\text{Gross Emission Factor (liquid fuels)} = 0.95 \text{ x Net Emission Factor}$$

Equation 3.5.1 in section 3.5.1.1 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines was used for estimating emissions (IPCC, 2006a).

Uncertainties

For this submission, it was not possible to develop Tokelau-specific uncertainty values, so foremission factors, default uncertainty provided in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines were used for CO_2 (for diesel) and CH_4 (upper value) (IPCC, 2006a). For N_2O, New Zealand's emission factor uncertainty across the Energy sector for N_2O was used for this category, which is within the default uncertainty range.

For activity data, due to discrepancies between different data sources, an upper level of default uncertainty range associated with incomplete surveys from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines was applied (IPCC, 2006a). Table 8.2.3 shows the use of uncertainties for *Domestic navigation* (diesel).

Table 8.2.3 Uncertainties for the *Mobile combustion* category

Gas	Fuel type	Activity data (AD) uncertainty (%)	Emission factor (EF) uncertainty (%)	Source
CO_2	Liquid fuels	±50	±1.5	Section 3.5.1.7, IPCC, 2006a
CH_4	Liquid fuels	±50	±50.0	Section 3.5.1.7, IPCC, 2006a
N_2O	Liquid fuels	±50	±50.0	New Zealand's value is used (table 3.3.1, chapter 3), section 3.5.1.7, IPCC, 2006a

Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are planned for *Transport*. For future submissions, further analysis of activity data to reflect year-to-year variations will be considered as far as resources allow.

8.3 Emissions from Industrial Processes and Product Use in Tokelau (CRF 6. Tokelau_2)

Tokelau has no significant industry. The emissions associated with the IPPU sector are coming from the following activities:

- use of refrigeration and air conditioning – HFCs
- use of metered dose inhalers – HFC-134a and HFC-227ea
- medical applications of N_2O.

Thus, the source categories included in this report are *Refrigeration and air conditioning* (2.F.1), *Metered dose inhalers* (2.F.4) and *Medical applications* (2.G.3).

The total amount of all IPPU emissions in Tokelau in 2020 was 0.26 kt CO_2-e. They contributed 6.1 per cent to the total emissions from Tokelau and 0.0003 per cent to New Zealand's gross emissions including Tokelau. The biggest contributor to the total IPPU HFC emissions is *Stationary air conditioning* followed by *Domestic refrigeration*, with 66.8 per cent and 17.5 per cent of IPPU emissions from Tokelau, respectively. Figure 8.3.1 shows emission trends in the IPPU sector by category for Tokelau.

Figure 8.3.1 IPPU emissions by category for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020



8.3.1 Emissions from Refrigeration and air conditioning in Tokelau

Due to a very small number of air-conditioned vehicles in Tokelau, all emissions from this category are reported under *Stationary air conditioning* (2.F.1.f).

Because most fridge and freezer appliances are installed in households, the emissions associated with refrigeration are reported under *Domestic refrigeration* (2.F.1.b). Emissions from fridge and freezer appliances are based on the number of those appliances, however, no HFCs were used before 1994. To account for the phase-in of HFCs, it has been assumed that the proportion of appliances using HFCs increased 10 per cent per year, starting at 10 per cent of appliances in 1994 and reaching 100 per cent of appliances in 2003. This phase-in is reflected in figure 8.3.1. Emissions continue to change after 2003 due to changes in the overall number of appliances.

Source-specific planned improvements

Due to resource constraints, improvements were prioritised according to the amount of emissions contributed by each sector to the total emissions from Tokelau. Because the IPPU sector contributes only a very small amount of emissions, no improvements, except ongoing data refinement as far as resources allow, are planned for the next inventory submission.

Methodological issues

Activity data

The data for the number of appliances are sourced from:

- Tokelau Census of Population and Dwellings (2006, 2011, 2016) (item 1 in table 8.1.2) for 2006 to 2016 data points
- Archives New Zealand (Wellington, New Zealand) for historic Census records going back to 1950, mostly at five-year intervals
- Tokelau Household Income and Expenditure Survey 2015/16 for population and dwellings data supplementary to the Census
- Tokelau Department of Health for anecdotal information on inhalers, the laser gas, and fire extinguishers
- Tokelau Department of Transport and Support Services (Apia, Samoa) for cargo shipping manifests for analysis of imports of all goods
- Stats NZ (Wellington, New Zealand), which helped in the collection, analysis and publication of Tokelau Census data
- Tokelau National Statistics Office, which performed collection, analysis and publication of Tokelau Census data with subsequent data collation and analyses.

The raw data on the number of appliances used in Tokelau obtained from the Census have been further analysed and cross-referenced through other sources (for example, see those listed in table 8.1.2); available data points are increased by equal increments between the data collection years.

Method and emission factors

For both air conditioning and domestic refrigeration, the following assumptions were made:

- no chemicals are imported or exported, except as a component of each sort of equipment; emissions are assumed to be derived from current equipment only
- HFCs and PFCs are neither produced nor exported or disposed of in Tokelau. Therefore, the net consumption is essentially equal to imports
- a composite default emission factor of 15 per cent can be used for estimating emissions for both domestic refrigeration and stationary air conditioning
- assumed percentage of new equipment exported (0 per cent for Tokelau)
- assumed percentage of new equipment imported (100 per cent for Tokelau)
- the HFC emissions from stationary air conditioning did not occur until 2006
- the HFC emissions from domestic refrigeration did not occur until 1994 (the same as for New Zealand)
- the average charge for fridges and freezers has the upper limit of the mass of gas of 0.5 kilograms (from table 7.9 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b))
- the average charge for an air conditioning unit is 10 kilograms (default value from 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a))
- for air conditioning units and fridges and freezers, Tokelau reports the same set of HFCs as New Zealand. These are HFC-32, HFC-125 and HFC-134a for air conditioning units, and HFC-134a for refrigeration.

The Tier 1a method from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines is used for estimating emissions from category 2.F.1 in Tokelau (IPCC, 2006b). In this method, activity data are represented by a net consumption value (equation 7.1 from volume 3 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines), while the emission factor is a value that represents a weighted average of several parameters, as shown below in table 7.9 from volume 3 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b).

The calculation formula for net consumption within the Tier 1a method is as follows.

EQUATION 7.1

CALCULATION OF NET CONSUMPTION OF A CHEMICAL IN A SPECIFIC APPLICATION

$$\textit{Net Consumption} = \textit{Production} + \textit{Imports} - \textit{Exports} - \textit{Destruction}$$

Net consumption values for each HFC are then used to calculate annual emissions for applications exhibiting prompt emissions as follows.

EQUATION 7.2A

CALCULATION OF EMISSIONS OF A CHEMICAL FROM A SPECIFIC APPLICATION

$$\textit{Annual Emissions} = \textit{Net Consumption} \bullet \textit{Composite EF}$$

Uncertainties

Because a composite emission factor was used for both 2.F.1.b and 2.F.1.f categories, the uncertainty level for activity data is assumed at a level of ±32 per cent (see table 8.3.1). The 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b) do not provide a default value for the composite factors, so New Zealand’s value for uncertainties to describe refrigerant leakages was used for both categories.

Table 8.3.1 Uncertainties for *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category

Gas	Subcategory	Activity data uncertainty (%)	Emission factor uncertainty (%)	Source
HFCs	2.F.1	±44	NA	New Zealand’s value is used (table 4.7.3, chapter 4)

8.3.2 Emissions from Metered dose inhalers and Medical applications in Tokelau

The *Metered dose inhalers* (2.F.4.a) and *Medical applications* categories (2.G.3.a) contribute negligible amounts of HFC (HFC-134a and HFC-227ea) and N_2O emissions respectively to the total emissions from the IPPU sector in Tokelau. They are reported by scaling New Zealand’s emissions from the same category by Tokelau’s *de facto* population.

In 2020, the *Metered dose inhalers* category contributed 7.2 per cent to total IPPU emissions from Tokelau, and the category *Medical applications* contributed 8.5 per cent to the sector, which amounted to 0.04 kt CO_2-e from both categories.

Methodological issues

Activity data

Only anecdotal evidence associated with the activity data for both categories is available from Tokelau's Department of Health (see table 8.1.2). They are reported by scaling New Zealand's emissions from the same category by Tokelau's *de facto* population for the entire time series to each gas.

Method and emission factors

For both categories, effectively a Tier 1a methodology was applied because New Zealand applied this methodology for estimating emissions from 2.F.4 and Tier 1 methodology for 2.G.3 (IPCC, 2006b). In addition, population ratios from population statistics of Tokelau and New Zealand have been calculated for each year of the time series except the years when the emissions were not occurring (until 1995 for HFC-134a and 2011 for HFC-227ea).

For 2.F.4.a, a product life factor of 100 per cent was used. For 2.G.3a, it was assumed that N_2O is used as a propellant in pressurised and aerosol food products, none of the N_2O is reacted during the process and all of the N_2O is emitted to the atmosphere. Therefore, a default emission factor of 1.0 was used for this category.

Uncertainty

For consistency of reporting, the same uncertainty values for categories 2.G.3.a were applied for Tokelau and New Zealand (see section 4.8.3 in chapter 4 and table 8.3.2). The same uncertainty for 2.F.1 has also been applied to 2.F.4 in the absence of further information.

Table 8.3.2 Uncertainty in emissions from *Other product manufacture and use* category

Category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors
N_2O from other product uses	±30 (2002–12) ±5 (2013–18) For simplicity, an average of 15% has been used	NA

8.4 Emissions from the Agriculture sector in Tokelau (CRF 6. Tokelau_3)

Fish, rather than locally produced plants or animals, are the most important food source in Tokelau. The low fertility of the coral soil means few crops are supported. Food needs are not met by locally grown produce and are heavily supplemented by imports.

Cultivated food crops are limited to breadfruit (*Artocarpus altilis*), giant swamp taro 'pulaka' (*Cyrtosperma chamissonis*), taro palagi (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium*), giant taro (*Alocasia macrorrhizos*), banana (*Mus* sp. [2 varieties]), papaya (*Carica papaya*), pumpkin (*Cucurbita* sp.) and coconut (*Cocos nucifera*).

A small amount of subsistence agriculture occurs in Tokelau. Coconuts are used for human and livestock consumption. Fakaofo grows small amounts of swamp taro. The villages have breadfruit trees and some banana patches; the women's committees run community gardens and grow pandanus 'fala' (*Pandanus odoratissimus*) for traditional crafts (mats, hats, fans).

A previous United Nations Development Programme-sponsored project for the establishment of keyhole gardens[69] by Tokelau youth has been abandoned.

No industrial-scale farming occurs in Tokelau. Tokelau atolls do not have any large agricultural and horticultural development that would fall under New Zealand's definition of a farm. There are no cows, sheep or deer, with agricultural livestock represented by small numbers of pen-kept pigs and free-range chickens only.

Tokelau also has no pasture and no managed agricultural soils, therefore, emissions from the *Direct N_2O emissions from managed soils* and *Indirect N_2O emissions from managed soils* categories are discounted and reported as NO (not occurring).

This submission includes agricultural emissions from Tokelau associated with enteric fermentation and manure management from swine and poultry.

Total emissions from the Agriculture sector in Tokelau amounted to 0.82 kt CO_2-e in 2020, making this sector the second biggest emitter in Tokelau: 19.72 per cent of the total emissions from Tokelau and 0.001 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions. Figure 8.3.1 shows emission trends in the Agriculture sector by category for Tokelau.

Figure 8.3.2 Agriculture emissions by category for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020



Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are planned for agriculture. For future submissions, further analysis of historical activity data, to reflect year-to-year variations, will be considered as far as resources allow.

69 A keyhole garden is a small (around 2.5 metre diameter) circular raised garden with a keyhole-shaped indentation on one side. Moisture and nutrients flow from an active compost pile placed in the centre of a round plant bed.

8.4.1 Emissions from Enteric fermentation in Tokelau

The only domestic farm animals kept are pigs (in community pens) and chickens (free range) (table 8.4.1). There is potential to generate energy from the piggery waste and reduce the effluent pollution of the lagoon.

Table 8.4.1 Number of livestock in Tokelau (2016)

Atoll	Households	Pigs	Chickens
Atafu	88	742	270
Fakaofo	85	419	50
Nukunonu	83	536	305
Tokelau	256	1,697	625

Because the 2006 IPCC Guidelines do not provide a default emission factor for enteric fermentation for poultry, this category is reported as NE (not estimated) (paragraph 37(b), footnote 6 of the UNFCCC reporting guidelines) (UNFCCC, 2014). Therefore, only swine (pigs) are included under the *Enteric fermentation* (3.A.3) category.

The *Enteric fermentation – Swine* category contributed 7.5 per cent to the total Agriculture emissions in Tokelau in 2020, and amounted to 0.06 kt CO_2-e.

Methodological issues

Activity data

Animal population figures were obtained from the Tokelau Census data (see table 8.1.2). The animal population between the census years is calculated by equal increments between the data collection points, to obtain the average animal population (AAP) per year.

An average pig weight of 80 kilograms is used.

Methods and emission factors

Tier 1 methodology with a default emission factor of 1.5 kg CH_4/head/year from table 10.10 in volume 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines was used for calculating emissions from this category (IPCC, 2006c). Tokelau's allocation by climate zone is 100 per cent to a warm climate.

The following equation was used for calculating emissions from swine.

$$\text{Emissions (kt CH}_4\text{)} = \text{AAP (Swine) } 1.5\ [\text{kg CH}_4\ \text{head}^{-}1\ \text{year}^{-1}]/10^6[\text{kg/kt}]$$

Uncertainty

Section 10.2.3 (volume 4) of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines states that the uncertainty associated with animal populations will vary widely, depending on the source, but should be known within $\pm$20 per cent (IPCC, 2006c). The default emission factor uncertainty is $\pm$30 per cent to 50 per cent (default mid-range is $\pm$40 per cent).

For this category, the default uncertainty value of $\pm$20 per cent for activity data and an upper range default emission factor uncertainty of $\pm$50 per cent were used.

8.4.2 Emissions from Manure management in Tokelau

The 2006 IPCC Guidelines provide default emission factors for *Manure management* (3.B.1) for both swine and poultry, therefore, both animal types are included in reporting from this category (IPCC, 2006c).

Manure management – Swine contributed 92.4 per cent to the total agriculture emissions in Tokelau in 2020 and amounted to 0.76 kt CO_2-e. *Manure management – poultry* was negligible (0.0005 kt CO_2-e).

Methodological issues

Activity data

The activity data entries for *Manure management* are exactly the same as for *Enteric fermentation* (see section 8.4.1).

The assumption is that all poultry are dry layers.

Methods and emission factors

A Tier 1 methodology with default emission factors provided in table 10.15 in volume 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines was used for estimating emissions from the *Manure management* category. The Tier 1 method is based on animal population data and does not require distinguishing between different manure management systems. Equation 10.22 from volume 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines was applied in conjunction with the default emission factors from table 10.14 in volume 4 of 2006 IPCC Guidelines for Oceania/warm climate. These are 18.5 kg CH_4/head/year for swine and 0.03 kg CH_4/head/year for poultry (IPCC, 2006c).

Uncertainty

Default uncertainty values for activity data and emission factors were used for this category. Section 10.2.3 in volume 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines states that the uncertainty associated with populations will vary widely, depending on the source, but should be known within ±20 per cent (IPCC, 2006c). The default emission factor uncertainty for *Manure management* is ±30 per cent.

8.5 Emissions from the Waste sector in Tokelau (CRF 6 Tokelau_5)

The total amount of all Waste sector emissions in Tokelau in 2020 was 0.70 kt CO_2-e, making the Waste sector the third-biggest emitter in Tokelau. The Waste sector contributed 16.6 per cent to the total emissions from Tokelau and 0.0009 per cent to New Zealand's gross emissions including Tokelau. The sources of emissions in the Waste sector in Tokelau are from the categories *Solid waste disposal*, *Wastewater treatment and discharge* and *Incineration and open burning of waste*, which contributed 44.1 per cent, 38.2 per cent and 17.6 per cent, respectively, to the total emissions from the Waste sector in Tokelau.

The raw data related to the Waste sector were obtained from multiple sources (see items 1 to 5, 11 and 13 to 16 in table 8.1.2). The data were compiled, analysed and processed by the Tokelau National Statistics Office to produce activity data. The human population data are used as a driver for estimates in all of the Waste categories.

Emissions from all categories reported in the Waste sector for Tokelau were estimated using a Tier 1 methodological approach (IPCC, 2006d).

Figure 8.5.1 shows emission trends in the Waste sector by category for Tokelau.

Figure 8.5.1 Waste sector emissions by category for Tokelau (kt CO_2-e) from 1990 to 2020



Source-specific planned improvements

No improvements are planned for the Waste sector. Possible improvements in the Waste sector will focus on ongoing data refinement as far as resources allow.

8.5.1 Emissions from Solid waste disposal in Tokelau

According to the 2016 Tokelau Census, most household rubbish is collected by village workers. Fakaofo had the highest proportion of households where all rubbish was collected (72.9 per cent). Of all private occupied dwellings, 98.8 per cent had at least some of their household rubbish collected. Most of the collected rubbish is either burned on the reef or buried in centralised areas of the islands. Exceptions are the organic waste, which is fed daily to pigs, large beer bottles that are exported for recycling to Apia (Samoa) and metal waste that is collected and sold as scrap in Apia under an MoU with a Samoan company.[70]

Where village workers do not collect household rubbish, households use alternative methods for disposal. The most common methods are burning, burial and disposing of in the garden. Tokelau has no dedicated categorised landfills, therefore, solid waste disposal is reported for uncategorised landfills only.

The *Solid waste disposal* category contributed 44.1 per cent to the total Waste emissions in Tokelau in 2020, which amounted to 0.31 kt CO_2-e.

70 See Government of Tokelau. 2017. *Solid Waste Management: MOU Signed between Tokelau EDNRE and Pacific Recycle Co. Ltd*. Retrieved from www.tokelau.org.nz/Bulletin/December+ 2017/Solid+Waste+Management+MOU+Signed+between+Tokelau+EDNRE+and+Pacific++Recycle+Co.+Ltd.html (9 March 2018).

Methodological issues

Activity data

- The total amount of solid waste is based on the 2006 IPCC default 690 kg/person/year for Oceania (IPCC, 2006d). This is likely to be an overestimate, however, a country-specific value is not available.
- Solid waste is assumed to be half buried and the other half burned. As above, this does not account for exported solid waste or organic waste fed to pigs and will be an overestimate.
- The composition of solid waste for the landfill calculations is based on the 2006 IPCC default (67.5 per cent food, 6 per cent paper/cardboard, 2.5 per cent wood, and the remaining 24 per cent is 'inert') (IPCC, 2006d). This does not take into account the food waste that is fed to animals or used for composting and gardens, nor data on waste composition, such as disposable nappies, and will likely be an overestimate overall.

Methods and emission factors

A Tier 1 methodology has been applied to estimate emissions from this category. The Tier 1 approach is to use all default values. It is assumed that 50 per cent of waste is buried (landfilled) and the other 50 per cent is burned. Any amounts of waste shipped offshore are additional and are not counted. Table 8.5.1 sums up the information about parameters used for calculating emissions from this category.

Table 8.5.1 Summary of parameters for uncategorised landfills in Tokelau

Parameter	Values	Source	Reference
Bulk MSW DOC(kt C/kt waste)	0.14	IPCC default	IPCC, 2006d (worksheets for SWDS)
k-value	0.17	IPCC default	Table 3.2, IPCC, 2006d
Methane correction factor	0.6	IPCC default	Table 3.1, IPCC, 2006d
Oxidation factor	0 per cent	IPCC default	Table 3.2, IPCC, 2006d
Starting year	1950	IPCC default	Section 3.6, p 3.24, IPCC, 2006d
Delay time	6 months	IPCC default	Section 3.2.3, p 3.19, IPCC, 2006d
Fraction of DOC that decomposes	0.5	IPCC default	Section 3.2.3, p 3.13, IPCC, 2006d
Fraction of CH_4 in gas	0.5	IPCC default	Section 3.2.3, p 3.15, IPCC, 2006d
Amount of waste per person per year	690 kg	IPCC default for Oceania	Annex 2A.1, IPCC, 2006d
Amount of waste landfilled	50 per cent	Assumption	

Note: MSW = municipal waste disposal; DOC = degradable organic carbon; SWDS = solid waste disposable sites.

Uncertainty

The same uncertainty data for uncategorised landfills were used for Tokelau and New Zealand (see table 7.2.9, chapter 7; for methodological notes, refer to the uncertainties for the *Solid waste* category, section 7.2.3, chapter 7, and table 8.5.2).

Table 8.5.2 Uncertainty in emissions from the *Solid waste disposal* category

Emissions category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Uncategorised landfills	±140	±40

8.5.2 Emissions from Open burning and incineration in Tokelau

Because Tokelau has no major incineration facilities, all emissions associated with waste burning are reported under the *Open burning* category. Carbon dioxide, CH_4 and N_2O are reported in this category.

The composition of solid waste for CO_2 from open burning is the same as for landfills, except that the 24 per cent 'inert' is considered to be 'other inert' for open burning purposes (and not disaggregated into glass, metal, plastic and so on). Keeping the 24 per cent in 'other inert' is likely to result in an overestimate.

The emission factors for open burning of solid waste for CH_4 and N_2O are the IPCC defaults for municipal solid waste and are based on a generic waste composition. It is not clear if this will over- or under-estimate emissions.

The category *Open burning and incineration* contributed 17.6 per cent to the total Waste sector emissions in Tokelau in 2020, which amounted to 0.12 kt CO_2-e.

Methodological issues

Activity data

The calculations are based on Tokelau's population data and assume that 50 per cent of the waste is landfilled and the other 50 per cent is burned. This information is reported as 'non-biogenic' open burning, because only fossil carbon is reported, and the emission factors for CH_4 and N_2O are for municipal solid waste, which does not distinguish biogenic and non-biogenic wastes.

Methods and emission factors

A Tier 1 methodology with default 2006 IPCC parameters was used for calculating emissions from this category.

The emission factor for CO_2 was the weighted average of calculated factors from table 8.5.3 and a 58 per cent oxidation factor for open burning. For other gases, the following 2006 IPCC default emission factors were used: for CH_4, the default emission factor for municipal solid waste in section 5.4.2 (6,500 kg CH_4/gigagrams (Gg) wet waste); for N_2O, the default emission factor for open burning of municipal solid waste from table 5.6 (150 kg N_2O/Gg dry waste) (IPCC, 2006d). Converted waste volume to dry weight by using dry matter conversion was calculated for CO_2 (56.1 per cent). Table 8.5.3 shows waste type and the composition data based on default 2006 IPCC parameters used in estimating emissions from *Open burning of waste*.

Table 8.5.3 Composition data and carbon content used for estimating emissions from *Open burning of waste*

Waste type	Landfill composition (%)	CO_2 incineration composition (%)	Dry matter (%)	Total carbon (%)	Fossil carbon (%)
Paper/card	6.0		90	43	1
Textiles	0		80	50	20
Food waste	67.5		40	38	0
Wood	2.5		85	50	0
Garden and park waste	0		40	49	0
Nappies	0		40	70	10

Waste type	Landfill composition (%)	CO_2 incineration composition (%)	Dry matter (%)	Total carbon (%)	Fossil carbon (%)
Rubber and leather	24.0 ('inert')	0	84	67	20
Plastics		0	100	75	100
Metal		0	100	NA	NA
Glass		0	100	NA	NA
Other, inert		24.0	90	3	100
		Calculated weighted average	**56.13**	**30.20**	**24.06**

Percentages in table 8.5.3 are defaults from table 2.3 and table 2.4 in volume 5, 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006d).

An assumption was made that 'inert' waste for landfills is classified entirely as 'other inert' waste for incineration (the impact of this assumption is that emissions are slightly higher than distributing the 24 per cent over the various inert types due to the high fossil carbon percentage).

Uncertainty

The same uncertainty data for open burning of waste were used for Tokelau and New Zealand (see table 7.4.6, chapter 7; for methodological notes, refer to uncertainties in emissions from incineration and open burning, section 7.4.3, chapter 7).

Table 8.5.4 Uncertainty in emissions from *Open burning of waste* for Tokelau

Emissions category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Waste open burning (CO_2)	±50	±40
Waste open burning (CH_4)	±50	±100
Waste open burning (N_2O)	±50	±100

8.5.3 Emissions from Wastewater treatment and discharge in Tokelau

In the absence of industrial plants in Tokelau, all emissions associated with wastewater treatment and discharge are reported under the *Domestic wastewater* category (5.D.1). The category uses the same population values as in the *Solid waste disposal* and *Open burning of waste* categories (see above). The category includes emissions of CH_4 and N_2O.

The *Domestic wastewater* category contributed 38.2 per cent to the total of Waste sector emissions from Tokelau in 2020, which amounted to 0.27 kt CO_2-e.

Methodological issues

A Tier 1 methodology with the 2006 IPCC default emission factors (except the protein consumption value) for all gases was applied for estimating emissions from this category.

Assumptions for estimating CH_4 emissions from wastewater:

- 60 grams biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) per person per day (as for Canada, Europe, Russia and Oceania), which calculates as 21.9 kg/person/year
- for population, the same time series as in categories 5.A.3 and 5.C was used

- despite having no wastewater collection system, a correction factor for industrial BOD discharged in sewers of 1.25 is used (default for collected systems) to account for any industrial and commercial activity in Tokelau, such as fishing. No other estimates of industrial wastewater are made (effectively 5.D.2 *Industrial wastewater* is 'IE' (included elsewhere) and included in 5.D.1 *Domestic wastewater*)
- in 2016, most Tokelauans had access to a private toilet in their homes using septic tanks: 72.9 per cent of private occupied dwellings had an indoor flush toilet, and 21.6 per cent of dwellings had an outdoor flush toilet. Atafu had the highest proportion of dwellings with an indoor toilet (87.4 per cent), and Nukunonu had the highest proportion of households with an outdoor toilet (34.9 per cent) (Census 2016, similar to values for 2011 and 2006). The percentage of open water toilets gradually reduced from 65 per cent of dwellings in 1991 to nil by 2016.

Table 8.5.5 sums up default parameters used for estimating CH_4 emissions for Tokelau wastewater treatment. The default BOD correction factor for collected systems is used to account for industrial and commercial water, because there is no separate estimate.

Table 8.5.5 Parameters for estimating methane emissions for Tokelau wastewater treatment

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Methane correction factors			
Septic system	0.5	IPCC default	Table 6.3, section 6.2.2.2, IPCC, 2006d
Sea, river and lake discharge	0.1	IPCC default	Table 6.3, section 6.2.2.2, IPCC, 2006d
Weighted average methane correction factor	0.233	Calculated	
Maximum methane producing capacity (kg CH_4/kg BOD)	0.6	IPCC default	Table 6.2, section 6.2.2.2, IPCC, 2006d
Biochemical oxygen demand (kg BOD/person/year)	21.9	IPCC default for Oceania	IPCC, 2006d (worksheets for SWDS)
Correction factor for BOD	1.25	IPCC default for collected systems	Section 6.2.2.3, p 6.14, IPCC, 2006d

Note: BOD = biochemical oxygen demand; SWDS = solid waste disposable sites.

Assumptions for estimating nitrous oxide emissions from wastewater

Table 8.5.6 shows the parameters used to calculate N_2O emissions from wastewater. It is assumed that septic tanks do not discharge to the sea and that only the population using open water toilets contributes to the nitrogen in effluent calculation.

Protein consumption of 32.45 kg/person/year has been calculated based on known consumption compiled by the Tokelau National Statistics Office. The fraction of industrial and commercial co-discharged protein accounts for any commercial and industrial activities because there is no separate estimate.

Table 8.5.6 Parameter values applied for estimating nitrous oxide emissions for Tokelau wastewater treatment

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
Per capita protein consumption (kg/person/year)	32.448	Tokelau specific	Developed by Tokelau's National Statistics Office using imports data (see table 8.1.2)
Fraction of nitrogen in protein	0.16	IPCC default	Section 6.3.1.3, p 6.25, IPCC, 2006d
Fraction of non-consumed protein	1.1	IPCC default for developing countries	Table 6.11, section 6.3.3, IPCC, 2006d
Fraction of industrial and commercial	1.25	IPCC default	Table 6.11, section 6.3.3, IPCC, 2006d

Parameter	Value	Source	Reference
co-discharged protein			
Nitrogen removed with sludge (kg)	0	IPCC default	Section 6.3.1.3, p 6.25, IPCC, 2006d
Emissions factor	0.005	IPCC default	Table 6.11, section 6.3.3, IPCC, 2006d
Emissions from wastewater treatment plants	0	IPCC default	IPCC (2006d)

Uncertainty

The same uncertainty data for domestic wastewater were used for Tokelau and New Zealand (see table 7.5.16, chapter 7; for methodological notes, refer to uncertainties in emissions from domestic wastewater in section 7.5.3, chapter 7). Table 8.5.7 shows uncertainties for activity data and emission factors used by Tokelau for domestic wastewater.

Table 8.5.7 Uncertainty in emissions from domestic wastewater

Emissions category	Uncertainty in activity data (%)	Uncertainty in emission factors (%)
Domestic and industrial wastewater (CH_4)	±10	±40
Domestic and industrial wastewater (N_2O)	±10	±90

Chapter 8: References

Government of Tokelau. 2017. *Solid Waste Management: MOU Signed between Tokelau EDNRE and Pacific Recycle Co. Ltd*. Retrieved from www.tokelau.org.nz/Bulletin/December+2017/Solid+Waste+Management+MOU+Signed+between+Tokelau+EDNRE+and+Pacific++Recycle+Co.+Ltd.html (9 March 2018).

IPCC. 2006a. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 2. Energy*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2006b. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 3. Industrial Processes and Product Use*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.

IPCC. 2006c. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use.* IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.

IPCC. 2006d. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 5. Waste*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.

Jasperse JA. 2016. *Analysis of 2014 imports into Tokelau from Samoa, Part 2: Stores' invoices reconciled with cargo manifests, and quality of life implications*. Apia, Samoa: Tokelau National Statistics Office. Retrieved from www.tokelau.org.nz/Bulletin/September+2016/2014+imports +final.html (28 February 2019).

Koroisamanunu I, Papao J, Ketewai M and Sokota A. 2014. *Mission Preliminary Report (Fieldwork undertaken from 8 August – 2 September 2013). SOPAC technical note (PR193), May 2014*. Suva, Fiji: Water and Sanitation Programme and Disaster Reduction Programme. Applied Geoscience and Technology Division (SOPAC).

UNFCCC. 2014. *Report of the Conference of the Parties on its nineteenth session, held in Warsaw from 11 to 23 November 2013: Addendum – Part 2: Action taken by the Conference of the Parties at its nineteenth session*. FCCC/CP/2013/10/Add.3, Decision 24/CP.19.

United Nations. 1945. *Charter of the United Nations, 1945.* Retrieved from www.un.org/en/sections/un-charter/chapter-xi (7 February 2019).

Chapter 9: Indirect carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide emissions

New Zealand elected not to report indirect carbon dioxide emissions in its 2022 inventory submission. Indirect nitrous oxide emissions are reported in the Agriculture sector (chapter 5) and the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry sector (chapter 6).

Chapter 10: Recalculations and improvements

This chapter summarises the recalculations and improvements made to the inventory following the 2021 submission. Further details on the recalculations and improvements for each sector are provided in chapters 3 to 8 and 11.

Recalculations of estimates reported in the previous submission of the inventory are due to improvements in:

- activity data
- parameters for estimating emissions including emission factors
- methodology, including correcting errors
- activity data and emission factors that became available for certain sources that were previously reported as 'NE' (not estimated) because of insufficient data.

It is good practice to recalculate the whole time series from 1990 to the latest reporting year, to ensure consistency across the time series. This means some estimates of emissions and/or removals reported in this submission are different from estimates reported in the previous submission. There may be exceptions to recalculating the entire time series and, where this has occurred, explanations are provided.

10.1 Implications and justifications

The effect of recalculations on New Zealand's gross emissions estimates is shown in figure 10.1.1. There was a 0.1 per cent (67.8 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e)) increase in gross emissions in 1990 and a 0.9 per cent (700.8 kt CO_2-e) decrease for the 2019 year. The greatest contribution to the decrease in estimates for gross emissions across the time series came from the Agriculture sector. This decrease is mainly the result of an improved estimate of the purity of agriculture lime applied to soils.

Figure 10.1.1 **Effect of recalculations on New Zealand's gross greenhouse gas emissions estimates from 1990 to 2019**



The effect of recalculations on net emissions estimates, which includes the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector, was an increase of 6.9 per cent (2,853.0 kt CO_2-e) in net emissions in 1990 and an increase of 6.7 per cent (3,689.4 kt CO_2-e) in net emissions in 2019. This is the combined effect of a number of changes made to gross emissions and changes in the LULUCF sector emissions mainly due to updated pre-1990 planted forest estimates from revised yield tables and improvements to the forest and harvest age modelling.

The following tables show for each sector the category that had the largest recalculations across the time series. Table 10.1.1 shows the recalculations that increased emissions estimates the most for each sector.

Table 10.1.1 **Recalculations that led to the greatest increase in emissions estimates in each sector**

Sector	Category with the largest increase in estimated emissions across the entire time series	Largest single increase in emissions for the category (kt CO_2-e)	Year of the largest increase in estimated emissions
Energy	1.A.3.b.iii Diesel Oil	2,270.9	2018
IPPU	2.F.1.a HFC-143a	62.2	2009
Agriculture	3.A.2 Sheep	34.8	2017
LULUCF	4.A.1.i Pre-1990 planted forest remaining pre-1990 planted forest	4,731.7	2019
Waste	5.A.1.a Anaerobic	2.8	2018
Tokelau	6. Tokelau_5. Waste	0.003	2019

Table 10.1.2 shows the recalculations that reduced emissions estimates the most for each sector.

Table 10.1.2 Recalculations that led to the greatest decrease in emissions estimates in each sector

Sector	Category with the largest reduction in estimated emissions across the entire time series	Largest single decrease in emissions for the category (kt CO_2-e)	Year of the largest decrease in estimated emissions
Energy	1.A.3.b.ii Diesel oil	–1,483.2	2019
IPPU	2.F.1.f HFC-125	–162.3	2018
Agriculture	3.G.1 Limestone $CaCO_3$	–138.0	2002
LULUCF	4.A.1.i Pre-1990 planted forest remaining pre-1990 planted forest	–5,602.1	2011
Waste	5.A.2 Unmanaged waste disposal sites	–43.1	2006
Tokelau	6. Tokelau 2. Industrial Processes and Product Use	–0.0	2018

The following sections detail the effect of and reasons for recalculations for each sector and summarise the improvements that resulted in the recalculations.

10.1.1 Energy

Changes to activity data in the Energy sector have resulted in a 0.6 per cent (133.4 kt CO_2-e) increase in energy emissions estimates in 1990 and a 1.0 per cent (342.7 kt CO_2-e) decrease in energy emissions estimates in 2019 (figure 10.1.2). No significant methodological changes have occurred for the Energy sector. Changes in the level of emissions estimates have occurred due to small changes in the activity data, as detailed in table 10.1.3. Energy activity data for the years 1990 to 2019 have been updated according to the latest energy statistics published by the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment.

Table 10.1.3 Explanations and justifications for recalculations in the Energy sector

Explanation of recalculation	Underpinning IPCC principle	Additional justification
Emissions from the use of fireworks were estimated and included in the residential category.	Completeness	Comparability
Some coal production data have been reclassified from underground to opencast for the years 2017–2019. Emissions have been re-estimated using the appropriate emission factors for opencast mining.	Accuracy	
Liquid fuel activity data have been reclassified from road transport to residential. This has resulted in a re-estimation of non-CO_2 emissions based on the appropriate emission factors for the residential category.	Consistency	Accuracy

Figure 10.1.2 Effect of changes to activity data on emissions estimates from New Zealand's Energy sector from 1990 to 2019



10.1.2 Industrial Processes and Product Use

Improvements and recalculations made in the Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU) sector have resulted in no change for 1990 and a 5.0 per cent (254.9 kt CO_2-e) decrease in emissions estimates for 2019 (figure 10.1.3).

The decrease in 2019 is due to a recalculation of emissions from the *Refrigeration and air conditioning* category. Most of the decrease in reported emissions for 2019 (253.0 kt CO_2-e) is due to a re-estimation of the amounts added to banks of HFC-134a and R404A in the commercial refrigeration and stationary air conditioning sub-applications. Other recalculations and improvements, as detailed in table 10.1.4, have had only a minor effect.

Table 10.1.4 Explanations and justifications for recalculations in the IPPU sector

Explanation of recalculation	Underpinning IPCC principle	Additional justification
Emissions from the use of HFC-134a and R404A in industrial and commercial refrigeration and stationary air conditioning were recalculated to better account for observed changes in stocks held by importers and users and consequently the amounts added to banks.	Accuracy	Improved data available
A small amount of imported refrigerant, which is not included in import levies and may represent illegal imports, has been assessed.	Accuracy	Improved data available
A small amount of emissions from foam blowing is now reported as stocks rather than manufacturing, with no change in total emissions.	Comparability	Correction of an error
Estimated capacity of equipment using SF_6 in the electricity industry has been updated, resulting in increased manufacturing emissions and decreased emissions from stocks, with no significant net change.	Comparability	Improved data available
Emissions of N_2O were recalculated to account for a small amount exported from New Zealand in 2012 and 2019.	Accuracy	Improved data available

Figure 10.1.3 Effect of recalculations on emissions estimates from the IPPU sector from 1990 to 2019



10.1.3 Agriculture

Improvements and recalculations made to the Agriculture inventory in the 2022 submission have resulted in a 0.1 percent (47.4 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated agricultural emissions in 1990 and a 0.3 percent (99.1 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated agricultural emissions in 2019 (figure 10.1.4).

The improvements that have been implemented for the Agriculture inventory in the 2022 submission are:

- the use of a new $Frac_{LEACH}$ value for nitrogen fertiliser applied to cropland
- an updated estimate regarding the purity of agricultural lime
- updated emissions estimates from livestock (except those that have a fixed population assumption), using the latest available activity data from the sources described in chapter 5, section 5.1.4.

Disaggregation of the $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter

For the 2022 submission, the $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter has been disaggregated into two values, 0.10 for cropping systems and 0.07 for grassland. The value of 0.10 for cropping systems was derived by Welten et al. (2021), using measured and modelled values from OVERSEER® to estimate nitrogen leaching for a variety of arable and vegetable cropping systems. Variables in their analysis included cropping rotation sequences, regions, soil types and rainfall. This investigation produced a recommendation for a $Frac_{LEACH}$ value that is more representative of cropping systems in New Zealand than the value of 0.07 that has been applied across all agricultural systems in previous submissions. This change was recommended by the Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel (the Panel) at its meeting on 4 November 2021.

Welten et al. (2021) recommended adopting a $Frac_{LEACH}$ value of 0.08 for grassland, which the Panel also recommended in principle, subject to further investigation as to whether the pasture nutrition data in OVERSEER® are representative of pasture nutrition data in the Agriculture inventory. As such, the current $Frac_{LEACH}$ value of 0.07 for grazing systems has been retained for the 2022 submission until the Panel's recommendation has been addressed.

Previously, a uniform $Frac_{LEACH}$ value of 0.07 was used for all nitrogen fertiliser applied to agricultural soil in New Zealand. This value was sourced from Thomas et al. (2005), who compared nitrogen leaching estimates for different farm systems based on Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) methodology (a value of 0.30 kg N/kg of fertiliser or manure) with estimates from OVERSEER® (Wheeler et al., 2003). The IPCC-based estimates were found, on average, to be 50 per cent higher than those based on the OVERSEER® nutrient budget model (using a $Frac_{LEACH}$ value of 0.15[71]). However, the investigation by Welten et al. (2021) found that a $Frac_{LEACH}$ of 0.07 was not representative of all agricultural system types (particularly cropping), resulting in the recommended disaggregation of the $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter for the 2022 submission.

The implementation of this change caused estimated emissions from the Agriculture sector to increase by 0.004 per cent (1.3 kt CO_2-e) in 1990 and 0.005 per cent (1.8 kt CO_2-e) in 2020 (see table 10.1.5).

Table 10.1.5 Comparison of current and previous emissions estimates before and after change to the area of *Leaching and runoff* used in the inventory

		1990	2020	Change in emission outputs between 1990 and 2020 (kt CO_2-e)	Percentage change in emission outputs between 1990 and 2020 (%)
Total emissions from Agriculture sector (kt CO_2-e)	2022 (1990–2020) emissions estimate using previous $Frac_{LEACH}$ values	33,791.6	39,423.7	5,632.1	16.7
	2022 (1990–2020) emissions estimate using new $Frac_{LEACH}$ values	33,792.9	39,425.5	5,632.7	16.7
	Difference in emissions estimates compared with current inventory	1.3	1.8	0.5	NA
	Percentage difference in emissions estimates	0.004	0.005	NA	NA

Note: NA = not applicable.

Improved assumption on the purity of agricultural lime

Emissions estimates in the *Liming* category in 2022 have been enhanced by a methodological update to the assumptions previously used for the purity of agricultural lime in New Zealand.

For the 2022 submission, a new estimate has been used to establish the purity of agricultural lime applied to soils, namely its actual calcium carbonate ($CaCO_3$) content. Previously the inventory has assumed that agricultural lime was 100 per cent pure (i.e., 100 per cent $CaCO_3$) due to a lack of national data to the contrary. Recent research carried out by Thomson et al. (2021) has used a variety of literature, existing data and new data from samples collected and tested from quarries across New Zealand to determine a correction factor to apply to the

71 A New Zealand parameter for $Frac_{LEACH}$ of 0.15 was used in inventories submitted before 2003.

agricultural lime applied to account for its impurities. They also accounted for the moisture in the samples as emission calculations are based on dry weight (i.e., assume the lime contains no moisture). The results from the samples and data collected in different regions were then weighted by use (tonnage) to give a single national correction factor of 82.1 (i.e., agricultural lime in New Zealand was calculated to be 82.1 per cent moisture-free calcium carbonate).

This inventory improvement was proposed and discussed at the 2021 Agriculture Inventory Advisory Panel meeting. During this meeting, the Panel agreed that the former assumption used in the inventory was incorrect and that the use of the recommended correction factor would give a more accurate national estimate of emissions from *Liming*. However, it suggested rounding the correction factor to 82 per cent given the level of uncertainty involved.

The implementation of this improvement caused estimated emissions from the Agriculture sector to decrease by 0.2 per cent (63.6 kt CO_2-e) in 1990 and by 0.2 per cent (87.3 kt CO_2-e) in 2020 (see table 10.1.6).

Table 10.1.6 Comparison of current and previous emissions estimates before and after the updated assumption of lime purity in the Agriculture inventory

		1990	2020	Change in emission outputs between 1990 and 2020 (kt CO_2-e)	Percentage change in emission outputs between 1990 and 2020 (%)
Total emissions from Agriculture sector (kt CO_2-e)	2022 (1990–2020) emissions estimate using previous liming values	33,856.5	39,512.8	5,656.3	16.7
	2022 (1990–2020) emissions estimate using new liming values	33,792.9	39,425.5	5,632.7	16.7
	Difference in emissions estimates compared with current inventory	−63.6	−87.3	−23.7	NA
	Percentage difference in emissions estimates	−0.2	−0.2	NA	NA

Note: NA = not applicable.

Revision of agricultural statistics animal population estimates

Revision and finalisation of agricultural animal population estimates data from Stats NZ can mean emissions estimates for the latest two years vary from the previous submission for certain source categories.

The calculation of emissions for the most recent year (2020) requires population estimates for 2021 that are only provisional at the time the inventory is compiled.

As part of Stats NZ's work on the Agricultural Production survey, the Ministry for Primary Industries (MPI) provides estimates in an 'Expectations Report' of what it expects the survey to show. These estimates are based on a range of sources, including Beef + Lamb NZ and livestock slaughter statistics. The primary purpose of these data is to provide Stats NZ with a benchmark for its aggregated survey responses. These figures can change after receiving final animal numbers and data. The final figures will be taken into account in the 2023 (1990–2021) submission.

Figure 10.1.4 **Effect of recalculations on emissions estimates from New Zealand's Agriculture sector from 1990 to 2019**



10.1.4 Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry

Improvements made to the LULUCF sector have resulted in an 11.6 per cent (2,785.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated net LULUCF removals in 1990 and a 16.0 per cent (4,390.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in estimated net LULUCF removals in 2019 (figure 10.1.5).

Figure 10.1.5 Effect of recalculations on net emissions estimates from New Zealand's LULUCF sector from 1990 to 2019

Note: Net emissions are expressed as a negative value to clarify that the value is a removal and not an emission.

Significant improvements to the 2022 submission for the LULUCF sector are summarised in table 10.1.7. Further details on these changes are given in chapter 6.

Table 10.1.7 Explanations and justifications for recalculations in the LULUCF sector

Explanation of recalculation	Underpinning IPCC principle	Additional justification
The methods used to estimate the planted forest average harvest age, harvest age profile (harvest area by age) and forest age profile have been updated. These changes have been made to improve the accuracy of the ages at which we report pre-1990 or post-1989 planted forest being harvested or deforested. See chapter 6, section 6.3.2 and annex A3.2.5 for more information. This results in significant changes to net emissions through time. The improvement results in an increase in emissions of approximately 1,700 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and 100 kt CO_2-e in 2019 compared with the previous submission.	Accuracy	Key category improvement (*Forest land remaining forest land*, *Land converted to cropland*, *Land converted to grassland*, *Land converted to wetlands*)
The pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest yield tables have been revised for the 2022 submission. All planted forest yield tables have been updated to include plots measured in the 2020 national forest inventory. The three period-specific yield tables used for pre-1990 planted forest, which were first applied for the 2021 submission and reflect different planting periods, have been updated. For the 2022	Accuracy	Key category improvement (*Forest land remaining forest land*, *Land converted to forest land*, *Land converted to grassland*)

Explanation of recalculation	Underpinning IPCC principle	Additional justification
submission, only two period-specific yield tables are used to represent forest planted before 1990 and forest planted from 1990 onwards. This change was made due to concerns around whether the yield table used in the previous submission was reliable in representing stands planted from 2010 onwards. These changes have resulted in a decrease in emissions of approximately 115 kt CO_2-e in 1990 and an increase in emissions of approximately 1,460 kt CO_2-e in 2019, compared with the 2021 submission.		
In previous submissions, tall and regenerating pre-1990 natural forest areas, as defined in chapter 6, table 6.3.5, were classified using a different method from the classification method used to calculate carbon stock change per hectare for each forest type. This resulted in a mismatch in assigning carbon stock change per hectare to forest area. In the 2022 submission, the classification of area and stock change have been amended and the same classification method is now applied. Additionally, the assumption in previous submissions that tall natural forests were in steady state has been revised so that a carbon stock change per hectare is now also included for the tall forest component. Collectively, this has resulted in an increase in annual net emissions of approximately 1,300 kt CO_2-e across the time series.	Accuracy, consistency	Key category improvement (*Forest land remaining forest land*, *Land converted to forest land*, *Land converted to cropland*, *Land converted to grassland*, *Land converted to wetlands*)

10.1.5 Waste

Improvements and recalculations made to estimates in the Waste sector have resulted in a 0.5 per cent (18.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in emissions estimates in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (4.2 kt CO_2-e) decrease in emissions estimates in 2019 (figure 10.1.6).

Figure 10.1.6 Effect of recalculations on emissions estimates from New Zealand's Waste sector from 1990 to 2019



Minor changes have been made for three categories in the 2022 submission. First, there are minor updates to activity data for *Managed waste disposal sites*. Since revisions have been made to historical waste disposal levy data, these are now included in the inventory. Overall, the data have changed by less than 1 per cent, and these changes are because of amendments submitted by the landfill operators that arose due to operational circumstances. The levy data will continue to be fully updated in each future submission.

Second, the following two issues are addressed in the activity data for non-municipal landfills, which are part of the *Unmanaged waste disposal sites* category.

- Activity data for 1950 to 2015 had previously been calculated using data based on Tonkin and Taylor Ltd (unpublished); however, the link between the source data and the calculated activity data used in the inventory was not clear. With further analysis, the calculated activity data were re-created using scripts and this method is fully repeatable. There is a discrepancy of about 5 per cent between the original and the new method, which is acceptable due to the corresponding increase in transparency achieved.
- Methods to generate activity data for 2016 onward are revised to keep activity data constant at 2015 levels. Before the 2022 submission, there was a significant step-change in activity data that did not appear to be justified, and it did not reflect an accurate assumed composition of waste data. Keeping activity data constant at 2015 levels is considered to be a suitable alternative, before activity data based on actual rather than assumed waste data are made available.

Third, a correction is made to total carbon content in paper/card in the *Open burning of waste* category. The percentage of total carbon content for paper/card was previously reported as 43 per cent and this has been adjusted to 46 per cent in accordance with table 2.4 of volume 5 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006c) and is also reflected in chapter 7, table 7.4.3.

A full list of recalculations is provided in table 10.1.8.

Table 10.1.8 Explanations and justifications for recalculations in the Waste sector

Explanation of recalculation	Underpinning IPCC principle	Additional justification
Estimated emissions from *Managed waste disposal sites* (5.A.1.a) have not changed in 1990 and have increased by 2.7 kt CO_2-e (0.2%) in 2019. This is due to minor updates to activity data for managed landfills after revisions have been made to historical levy data.	Accuracy	*Solid waste disposal* is a key category in the 2021 submission
Estimated emissions from non-municipal solid waste sites (part of 5.A.2 *Unmanaged waste disposal sites*) have reduced 19.6 kt CO_2-e (2.7%) in 1990 and 6.8 kt CO_2-e (0.7%) in 2019. This is the result of minor revisions to activity data for non-municipal landfills. Two issues were addressed in the activity data. • Activity data for 1950–2015 had previously been calculated using data based on Tonkin and Taylor Ltd (unpublished); however the link between the source data and the calculated activity data used in the inventory was not clear. With further analysis, the calculated activity data were re-created using scripts and this method is repeatable. There is about 5% discrepancy between the original and the new method, which is acceptable due to the corresponding increase in transparency. • Methods to generate activity data for 2016 onward are revised to keep activity data constant at 2015 levels. Before this submission, there was a significant step-change in activity data that did not appear to be justified, and it did not reflect an accurate assumed composition of waste data. Keeping activity data constant at 2015 levels is considered to be a suitable alternative, before more real-world activity data are made available.	Accuracy, transparency	*Solid waste disposal* is a key category in the 2021 submission

Explanation of recalculation	Underpinning IPCC principle	Additional justification
Estimated emissions from *Open burning* (5.C.2) have increased by 0.2 kt CO_2-e (0.1%) in 1990 and by 0.06 kt CO_2-e (0.03%) in 2019. This is largely due to a correction of total carbon content in paper/card from open burning of rural waste. The percentage of total carbon content for paper/card was previously reported as 43% and this has been adjusted to 46% in accordance with table 2.4 in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006c) and is also reflected in chapter 7, table 7.4.3. A minor correction to activity data for 1990–1992 accounts for the remainder of the recalculation.	Accuracy	*Incineration and open burning of waste* is a key category in the 2021 submission
Estimated emissions from *Domestic wastewater* (5.D.1) have increased by 1.2 kt CO_2-e (0.6%) in 1990 and by 0.2 kt CO_2-e (0.1%) in 2019. This is the result of revising population data using the latest estimates available and applying these consistently across the time series.	Accuracy, consistency	*Wastewater treatment and discharge* is a key category in the 2021 submission
Estimated emissions from *Industrial wastewater* (5.D.2) have not changed in 1990 and decreased by 0.4 kt CO_2-e (0.3%) in 2019 due to a minor revision to meat activity data for 2016–2019.	Accuracy	*Wastewater treatment and discharge* is a key category in the 2021 submission

10.1.6 Other sector (Tokelau)

There has been one minor recalculation in the Tokelau emissions estimates since the 2021 submission. The recalculation made for the Tokelau sector has resulted in no change in emissions estimates in 1990 and a 0.1 per cent (0.002 kt CO_2-e) increase in emissions estimates in 2019 (figure 10.1.7).

Figure 10.1.7 Effect of recalculations on emissions estimates from the Tokelau sector from 1990 to 2019

Note: Because of the small size of the recalculation, the 2022 submission line is obscured by the 2021 submission line.

10.1.7 Article 3.3 and 3.4 activities under the Kyoto Protocol

New Zealand's greenhouse gas estimates for activities under Articles 3.3 and 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol have been recalculated since the 2021 submission (tables 10.1.9, 10.1.10 and 10.1.11). The recalculations incorporate improved activity data and emission factors (see chapter 11 and table 10.2.1). Table 10.1.9 lists the recalculations in order of decreasing magnitude.

Improvements in activity data (table 10.1.11) have affected emissions recalculations for all three Kyoto Protocol activities (table 10.1.10).

Table 10.1.9 Explanations and justifications for recalculations of New Zealand's previous Kyoto Protocol estimates

Explanation of recalculation	Underpinning IPCC principle	Additional justification
The methods used to estimate the planted forest average harvest age, harvest age profile (harvest area by age) and forest age profile have been updated. These changes have been made to improve the accuracy of the ages at which we report pre-1990 or post-1989 planted forest being harvested or deforested. See chapter 6, section 6.3.2 and annex A3.2.5 for more information. This results in significant changes to net emissions through time. The improvement results in an increase in emissions in 1990 and in 2019 compared with the previous submission.	Accuracy	Key category improvement (*Deforestation* and *Forest management*)
The pre-1990 and post-1989 planted forest yield tables have been revised for the 2022 submission. All planted forest yield tables have been updated to include plots measured in the 2020 national forest inventory. The three period-specific yield tables used for pre-1990 planted forest, which were first applied for the 2021 submission and reflect different planting periods, have been updated. For the 2022 submission, only two period-specific yield tables are used to represent forest planted before 1990 and forest planted from 1990 onwards. This change was made due to concerns around whether the yield table used in the previous submission was reliable in representing stands planted from 2010 onwards.	Accuracy	Key category improvement (*Afforestation/reforestation* and *Deforestation*)
In previous submissions, tall and regenerating pre-1990 natural forest areas, as defined in chapter 6, table 6.3.5, were classified using a different method from the classification method used to calculate carbon stock change per hectare for each forest type. This resulted in a mismatch in assigning carbon stock change per hectare to forest area. In the 2022 submission, the classification of area and stock change has been amended and the same classification method is now applied. Additionally, the assumption in previous submissions that tall natural forests were in steady state has been revised so that a carbon stock change per hectare is now also included for the tall forest component.	Accuracy	Key category improvement (*Afforestation/reforestation* and *Deforestation*)

Table 10.1.10 Impact of the recalculations of New Zealand's net emissions estimates under Articles 3.3 and 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol in 2019

Activities under the Kyoto Protocol	2019 net emissions (kt CO_2-e) 2021 submission	2022 submission	Change from 2021 submission (%)
Afforestation/reforestation	–15,812.1	–16,733.5	–5.8
Deforestation	2,906.6	3,131.8	7.7
Forest management	–20,665.3	–15,220.7	26.3
Total	–33,570.7	–28,822.5	14.1

Note: Net emissions are expressed as a negative value to help clarify that the value is a removal and not an emission. Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

Table 10.1.11 Recalculations to New Zealand's 2019 activity data under the Kyoto Protocol

Activities under the Kyoto Protocol	Area as at 2019 (ha) 2021 submission	2022 submission	Change from 2021 submission (%)
Afforestation/reforestation	739,454	735,240	–0.6
Deforestation	202,641	211,633	4.4
Forest management	9,201,461	9,200,443	0.0
Activities occurring in 2018			
New planting	24,067	27,070	12.5
Deforestation			
Pre-1990 natural forest	750	781	4.2
Pre-1990 planted forest	3,108	1,910	–38.5
Post-1989 forest	885	1,545	74.6
Post-1989 natural forest	59	124	109.8

Note: Percentages presented are calculated from unrounded values.

10.2 Recalculations and planned improvements in response to the review process

New Zealand has made improvements to the inventory to take into account the findings from the reviews of previous submissions. New Zealand's 2021 submission is being reviewed; however, the assessment review report (ARR) has not been completed. Where possible, improvements have been made in response to some of the preliminary findings from the review of the 2021 submission.

The most recent ARR available at the time of writing was the 2019 ARR (UNFCCC, 2020), which this submission responds to. The 2019 ARR includes findings from reviews of the 2019 and earlier submissions of New Zealand's inventory. New Zealand has endeavoured to address as many recommendations as practicable in its 2021 and 2022 submissions. Further improvements will be implemented in future submissions.

Tables 3 and 4 of the 2019 ARR contain assessment of progress in addressing recommendations provided by the expert review teams (ERTs) during the reviews of the 2017 and earlier submissions. These recommendations are detailed in table 10.2.1 along with New Zealand's latest responses to those recommendations.

Table 10.2.1 New Zealand's responses to recommendations in tables 3 and 4 of the 2019 assessment review report that remain relevant as issues raised in earlier reviews

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
Energy – Fuel combustion –reference approach – liquid fuels – CO_2	E.2, 2019	Endeavour to separate naphtha and crude oil with a view to improving the transparency of the reference approach as well as the accuracy of the reporting of NEU of fuels and feedstocks.	**Resolved.** Naphtha and crude oil have been disaggregated in the reference approach.
Energy – Fuel combustion –reference approach – liquid fuels – CO_2	E.3, 2019	Endeavour to incorporate disaggregated data for lubricants, petroleum coke and bitumen in the submission or, if this is not possible, report on progress in addressing the recommendation.	**Resolved.** These products have been disaggregated in the reference approach.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
Energy – Fuel combustion – reference approach – all fuels – CO_2	E.5, 2019	Provide in the NIR a comparison of the allocation of fuel consumption data used in the inventory (CRF table 1.A(b)) and in the energy balance.	**Resolved.** Explanatory text included in chapter 3, section 3.3.6.
IPPU – General	I.1, 2019	Include in the NIR detailed information and methodological descriptions on how plant-specific data are estimated.	**Resolved.** Plant-specific descriptions and references to New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme regulations have been included in the 2018 NIR, chapter 4.
IPPU – Mineral industry – CO_2	I.3, 2019	Review the calculation of the uncertainty for category 2.A and correct the values in NIR tables 4.2.1 and A2.1.1, if needed.	**Resolved.** This has been reviewed and corrected in chapter 4, table 4.2.1 and annex 2, table A2.1.1.
IPPU – Lime production – CO_2	I.6, 2019	Update the description in the NIR to correctly reflect the AD and EFs used and to clarify the assumptions and methods applied for 1990–2013 and 2014 onward.	**Resolved.** Consistent activity data are now used for the entire time series.
IPPU – Ammonia production – CO_2	I.9, 2019	(a) Clarify in the NIR (section 4.3.2) that urea used as fertilizer is reported under category 3.H; (b) Either (1) provide an estimate for urea use in selective catalytic reduction (under category 2.D.3) in line with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines or (2) provide a justification for its exclusion in terms of the likely level of emissions, in accordance with the requirements in paragraph 37(b) of the UNFCCC Annex I inventory reporting guidelines.	**Resolved.** In line with IPCC (2006a) the use of urea as a catalyst is now reported (CRF 2.D.3).
IPPU – Iron and steel production – CO_2	I.11, 2019	Estimate CO2 emissions from electric steel production at the Pacific Steel plant, either by using a carbon balance or by applying an appropriate EF, and report these emissions under category 2.C.1.	**Resolved.** The electric arc steel plant was closed in 2015. The currently assessed carbon balance is as accurate as feasible. Relevant historical data needed to improve it are unavailable.
IPPU – Magnesium production – SF_6	I.14, 2019	State in the NIR that for SF_6 emissions from magnesium casting, a country-specific uncertainty is used rather than the IPCC default uncertainty, and explain the reason for its use.	**Resolved.** This table has been corrected.
IPPU – Electronics industry – Product uses as substitutes for ozone-depleting substances – Other product manufacture and use – HFCs, PFCs and SF_6	I.17, 2019	Include in the NIR all the information indicated in the section "Reporting and documentation" of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for these categories.	**Addressing.** This is not relevant to 2.E (*Electronics industry*). For 2.D and 2.G, all recommended information is reported in the NIR. For 2.F, the description of these information sources in the NIR has been improved by adding information about sources, and survey details can be made available to reviewers as required. The description in the NIR will be further reviewed and improved for future submissions.
IPPU – Product uses as substitutes for ozone-depleting substances – HFCs	I.18, 2019	Explain, in section 4.7.3 of the NIR, which approach (other than a combination of uncertainties) was used to derive the uncertainty of 35 per cent, presented in NIR table A.2.1.1.	**Resolved.** This explanation was provided to the ERT, and briefly explained in chapter 4, section 4.7.3. Details are available to the ERT on request.
IPPU – Refrigeration and air conditioning – HFCs	I.19, 2019	Describe in the NIR the methodology used to derive the 2 per cent decline in refrigerant charge in vehicle air-conditioning systems, and demonstrate that this methodology is in line with the splicing techniques in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.	**Resolved.** This description is included in chapter 4, section 4.7.2.
IPPU – Refrigeration and air conditioning – HFCs	I.21, 2019	Update the average charge of HFC-134a for the years from 2010 onward by taking into consideration the cars added to the	**Resolved.** This has been reassessed and a brief explanation provided in chapter 4, section 4.7.2.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
		fleet in recent years on the basis of data available from importers and/or from fleet statistics.	
IPPU – SF_6 and PFCs from other product use – SF_6	I.22, 2019	Include in the NIR an explanation of the analysis of SF_6 emissions from SF_6 use in shoe and double-glazed window manufacture based on the information that was provided to the 2015 ERT as responses to questions and a background report.	**Resolved.** This information is included in chapter 4, section 4.8.2.
Agriculture – Other livestock – CH_4	A.2, 2019	Provide in the NIR information on the breeding of rabbits and fur-bearing animals.	**Resolved.** New Zealand has investigated this issue. Rabbits are considered an agricultural pest and only a very small number of rabbits are farmed. There is no farming of other fur-bearing animals. This explanation has been included in chapter 1, section 1.4 and chapter 5, section 5.1.4.
LULUCF – Forest land – CO_2	L.3, 2019	Consider ways to reduce uncertainties in the stock change estimates when further developing the methods for estimating CSC in pre-1990 natural forests.	**Resolved.** The original recommendation by the ERT was "the ERT encourages the Party to consider in particular the 'Practical recommendation' section in Holdaway et al. (2014) (p.638) when further designing and running plot measurements in pre-1990 natural forests". Recommendations from Holdaway et al. (2014) have been considered and those that were practicable have been applied. These methods are further described in annex 3, section 3.2.5.3. It is worth noting that even though several methods to reduce uncertainty were applied, this does not necessarily result in a lower uncertainty estimate. This is because the uncertainty is calculated as a percentage of the carbon stock change confidence interval to the estimate of carbon stock change. Consequently, as the carbon stock change estimate has decreased (is closer to zero), the overall uncertainty (as a percentage) has increased.
LULUCF – Forest land remaining forest land – CO_2	L.4, 2019	Update the below-ground biomass ratios, noting that choosing a value above the median in the range of 9–33 per cent without further documentation entails the risk of overestimation of removals from forest land remaining forest land, and in the meantime, report in the NIR on the progress of this work.	**Resolved.** Below-ground biomass ratios have been updated. A table in NIR outlining the actual values of the below-ground biomass ratios per forest subcategory and a reference to the publication from which they are derived are included in annex 3, section 3.2.5.3.
LULUCF – Wetlands – CO_2	L.6, 2019	Continue the ongoing work to improve estimates for wetlands and report the emissions for subcategories 4.D.1.1 (peat extraction remaining peat extraction) and 4.D.2.1 (land converted to peat extraction).	**Resolved.** Land converted to peat extraction is reported as 'not occurring' (NO) because the areas under peat extraction have remained static since 1990.
LULUCF – Direct N_2O emissions from N mineralization/ immobilization – N_2O	L.7, 2019	Correct the C/N ratio to 15:1 in the NIR (p.300).	**Resolved.** This has been correctly reported since the 2020 submission.
Waste – Solid waste disposal on land – CH_4	W.4, 2019	Provide substantive justification for the country-specific default values on CH4 recovery efficiency, including justification for the factors that can enhance the recovery, or revise estimates for CH_4	**Resolved.** Methane recovery efficiency was revised for the 2021 submission according to Eunomia (unpublished). See chapter 7, section 7.2.2.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
		recovery at SWDS for which metered data are not available to 20 per cent, in order to be consistent with the guidance in the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.	
Waste – Managed waste disposal sites – CH_4	W.8, 2019	Either provide a better justification for the country-specific rate constant for biodegradation in landfills for municipal solid waste, or calculate CH4 generation for municipal landfills with the default rate constant k for biodegradation from the 2006 IPCC Guidelines.	**Resolved.** The k-values were revised for the 2021 submission according to Eunomia (unpublished). See chapter 7, section 7.2.2.
KP-LULUCF activities – Afforestation and reforestation – CO_2	KL.2, 2019	Include in the NIR synthesized information on the correspondence between forest land (i.e. the area of planted forest versus natural forest as presented in CRF table 4.A) and AR areas reported in CRF table 4(KP-1)A.1.	**Resolved.** Table 11.3.2 has been added to chapter 11, providing this information.
KP-LULUCF activities – Afforestation and reforestation – CO_2	KL.3, 2019	Include in the NIR the information provided to the 2017 ERT during the review (UNFCCC. 2018, table 5, ID# KL.6) on how surrogate data sets on AR used for the periods 1990– 2007 and 2008–2012 are applied in order to demonstrate that: (a) The AR areas meet the forest definition; (b) AR is directly human-induced and differentiated from natural expansion and/or restocking; (c) The geographical location of the boundaries of the areas that encompass lands subject to AR activities are identifiable.	**Resolved.** Further information has been provided in the NIR on: (a) how *Afforestation/reforestation* areas meet the forest definition – see chapter 11, sections 11.5.1 and 11.5.3 (b) how all transitions to forest land are human induced – see chapter 6, section 6.3.2 and chapter 11, section 11.5 (c) the geographical location of the boundaries of the areas that encompass lands subject to *Afforestation/reforestation* activities – see annexes A3.2.2 and A3.2.3.
KP-LULUCF activities – Forest management – CO_2	KL.5, 2019	Include relevant information in the NIR in support of the mandatory requirement to demonstrate that the mineral soil pool under FM is not a source, following the guidance in section 2.3.1 of the Kyoto Protocol Supplement (IPCC. 2014).	**Not resolved**. To undertake a robust study to collect this information would likely cost between \$400,000 and \$600,000 NZD per year. At a minimum, this is more than five times the annual research budget for the LULUCF sector. Following decision tree 2.4 in volume 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), there are insufficient resources to implement such research in the near future without a significant increase in funding.
KP-LULUCF activities – Forest management – CO_2	KL.6, 2019	Include information in the NIR on which areas and categories of forest land (as in CRF table 4.A) are related to the areas of FM in CRF table 4(KP- I)B.1.	**Resolved.** Table 11.3.3 has been added to chapter 11, providing this information.

Note: AD = activity data; AR = *Afforestation/deforestation*; CRF = common reporting format; CSC = carbon stock change; EF = emission factor; ERT = expert review team; FM = *Forest management*; HFC = hydrofluorocarbon; IPCC = Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; IPPU = Industrial Processes and Product Use; KP = Kyoto Protocol; LULUCF = Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry; NIR = National Inventory Report; PFC = perfluorocarbon; SWDS = solid waste disposal site; UNFCCC = United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Table 5 of the 2019 ARR contains new recommendations related to the review of the 2019 submission. These recommendations, along with New Zealand's latest responses to date, are detailed in table 10.2.2.

Table 10.2.2 New Zealand's responses to recommendations in table 5 of the 2019 assessment review report from the review of New Zealand's 2019 inventory submission

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
General – Article 3, paragraph 14 of the Kyoto Protocol	G.3, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report in the NIR information on changes in its reporting on the minimization of adverse impacts in accordance with Article 3, paragraph 14, of the Kyoto Protocol.	**Resolved.** Statements have been added in chapter 15 specifying the changes in New Zealand's reporting on the minimisation of adverse impacts compared with information reported in the previous NIR.
General – Uncertainty analysis	G.7, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand include in the NIR an uncertainty analysis for 1990 (the base year under the Convention).	**Resolved.** The uncertainty analysis for 1990 was included as first reported in the 2020 submission.
Energy – 1.A.1.a Public electricity and heat production – liquid fuels – CO_2	E.23, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand include information on trends in liquid fuel consumption, especially by explaining the values for 2001 (reported as "NO") and 1992 and 2008 (where consumption and emissions were significantly higher than in other years since 1990).	**Resolved.** A description of the drivers behind fluctuations in electricity generation by fuel type is given in chapter 3, section 3.3.6. After further investigation with the MBIE electricity data system manager, the reported liquid fuel activity data were found to be aligned with the data reported by companies at the time. No further information is available.
Energy – 1.A.2 Manufacturing industries and construction – liquid fuels – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	E.24, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand include more detail on the method used for disaggregation of liquid fuels to the subcategories under manufacturing industries and construction (such as energy intensities in PJ per unit of GDP).	**Resolved.** A table of energy intensities by fuel type has been included in the NIR (chapter 3, table 3.3.5).
Energy – 1.A.2.c Chemicals – gaseous fuels – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	E.26, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain the trend in fuel consumption and emissions from chemicals in the NIR.	**Resolved.** Explanatory text has been added to chapter 3, section 3.2.3. Also refer to chapter 3, figure 3.2.1, which shows natural gas consumption by end use type. Most of New Zealand's chemical production uses natural gas and most non-energy use of natural gas is in chemical production. Therefore, the trend in fuel consumption (and so emissions) can be inferred from figure 3.2.1.
Energy – 1.A.2.f Non-metallic minerals 1.A.2.g.i Manufacturing of machinery – gaseous fuels – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	E.28, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand review the allocation of emissions for subcategories 1.A.2.f (non-metallic minerals) and 1.A.2.g.i (manufacturing of machinery) from gaseous fuel consumption for 2009–2015 and explain any recalculation in the NIR.	**Resolved.** The allocation of emissions for subcategories 1.A.2.f (non-metallic minerals) and 1.A.2.g.i (manufacturing of machinery) from gaseous fuel consumption for 2009–2015 has been reviewed by the sector compiler in conjunction with the MBIE oil and gas data system manager. In light of the available information given the historical period, the conclusion was reached that there is currently insufficient justification to make a reallocation to energy sales data and that, to maintain consistency, the emissions estimates should continue to be based directly off the energy sales data. So no recalculation to emissions for these categories is required.
Energy – 1.A.3.b Road transportation – liquid and gaseous fuels – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	E.29, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report as "NO", instead of "IE", the AD and emissions for biomass for light- and heavy- duty trucks and buses, and diesel, liquefied petroleum gas and biomass for motorcycles for before 2000.	**Resolved.** This has been implemented in CRF table 1.A.3.b.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
Energy – 1.A.3.b Road transportation – liquid and gaseous fuels – CO_2	E.30, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand continue to estimate the CO_2 emissions on the basis of fuel sold, but report the CO_2 emissions for before 2000 disaggregated by vehicle mode (cars, light-duty trucks, heavy-duty trucks and buses, and motorcycles) using the data collected for the estimation of CH4 and N2O emissions as a good practice to verify the CO_2 estimates obtained with a tier 1 approach.	**Addressing.** A project to accomplish this disaggregation is under way, and key milestones of the system code reconfiguration have been reached. Delivery is expected for the 2023 submission.
Energy – 1.A.3.b Road transportation – gaseous fuels – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	E.31, 2019	The ERT recommends that the Party include in the NIR the description of the trend of gaseous fuels for cars and heavy-duty trucks and buses.	**Resolved.** The information requested here has been added to chapter 3, section 3.3.8.
Energy – 1.A.3.b Road transportation – biomass – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	E.32, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain the trend of biomass (biodiesel) used in road transportation, including the information that the biodiesel grant scheme ceased in June 2012.	**Resolved.** Explanatory text has been included in chapter 3, section 3.3.8.
Energy – 1.B.1.a Coal mining and handling – solid fuels – CO_2	E.33, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report CO2 emissions for the subcategory abandoned underground mines as "NO" instead of "NE" in CRF table 1.B.1 if no recovery or flaring of CH_4 from abandoned underground mines occurred.	**Resolved.** Change has been implemented in CRF tables.
Energy – 1.B.2.a Oil – liquid fuels – CO_2	E.34, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand change the allocation of emissions from refinery flaring from subcategory 1.B.2.a.6 (oil (other) to subcategory 1.B.2.c (flaring).	**Resolved.** Change has been implemented in CRF table 1.B.2.a.
Energy – 1.B.2.c Venting and flaring – gaseous fuels – CO_2	E.35, 2019	The ERT recommends that the Party report the AD for CO_2 venting from the Kapuni gas treatment plant for subcategory 1.B.2.c.ii (venting–gas) as confidential, "IE" or "NE", as appropriate, in CRF table 1.B.2, and review the information on AD reported in the documentation box of the same table.	**Resolved.** Change has been implemented in CRF table 1.B.2.c.
Energy – 1.B.2.c.ii Venting and flaring – venting – gaseous fuels – CH_4	E.36, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report the AD and emissions as confidential, "IE" or "NE", as appropriate.	**Resolved**. Change has been implemented in CRF tables.
IPPU – 2. General	I.23, 2019	The ERT understands that this is a QA/QC issue and recommends that New Zealand correct several inconsistencies in the reporting of key categories and uncertainties within the NIR, including in the annexes to the NIR.	**Resolved.** The inconsistent reporting has been corrected in chapter 4, table 4.1.2, section 4.2.1, section 4.3.1, section 4.3.4 and table 4.7.3.
IPPU – 2.A.2 Lime production – CO_2	I.25, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain in the NIR that burned lime was considered as high-calcium lime with an EF of 0.75 t CO_2/t lime and that the factor of 0.97 was the correction factor for hydrated lime for 1990– 2013. The ERT also recommends that the Party revert the changes in AD since 2014 to the original quantities of pure lime (CaO + MgO), noting that the IEF cannot be lower than 0.7848 according to the equation provided by the ETS regulation and presented in the NIR (p.123). In	**Resolved.** The CaO plus MgO amounts are now reported for the entire time series as recommended. See chapter 4, sections 4.2.3 and 4.2.5.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
		order to maintain time-series consistency of the AD, the ERT recommends that New Zealand continue reporting the same emissions but revise the AD as pure lime by dividing such emissions by a single IEF (that of 2014) for 1990–2013.	
IPPU – 2.B Chemical industry 2.C Metal industry	I.26, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain how the AD for the chemical and metal industries provided in the NIR are obtained.	**Resolved.** This explanation has been provided. See chapter 4, sections 4.2.2 and 4.3.2.
IPPU – 2.B.1 Ammonia production – CO_2	I.27, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand subtract the total quantities of oil and gas used (fuel plus feedstock) in ammonia production from the quantity reported under energy use in the energy sector, include the emissions accordingly in the IPPU sector and explain this reallocation in the NIR.	**Addressing.** It has not been feasible to make this change for the 2022 submission due to concerns about the confidentiality of data for the single company affected. This will be considered for future submissions subject to a resolution of the confidentiality issue.
IPPU – 2.D.1 Lubricant use – CO_2	I.28, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand improve the information on the CO_2 EF for lubricant use, including the source of the EF.	**Resolved.** The emission factor is the IPCC default. This is now explained in chapter 4, section 4.5.2.
IPPU – 2.F Product uses as substitutes for ozone-depleting substances – HFCs	I.29, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain the model used to estimate emissions in this category in more detail, including the assumptions made, in the NIR. The ERT also recommends that New Zealand improve its QA/QC for this category by comparing the results of the bottom-up model with the results of a top-down approach, as the import data are based on comprehensive annual surveys, to allow a clear comparison of the two results, as recommended by the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (vol. 3, section 7.1.4.1).	**Resolved.** Explanations in the NIR have been expanded and will be further improved in future submissions. See chapter 4, section 4.7.2.
IPPU – 2.F.1 Refrigeration and air conditioning – HFCs	I.30, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand update the equation in box 4.1 of the NIR to clarify that all calculations of the total charge of new equipment include the charge for equipment that is later exported.	**Resolved.** This clarification has been added in chapter 4, section 4.7.2.
IPPU – 2.F.1.e Mobile air conditioning – HFCs	I.31, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain the trend of HFC-134a filled into new manufactured products, especially the decrease between 2003 and 2004, in its NIR.	**Resolved.** This clarification has been added in chapter 4, section 4.7.2.
Agriculture – 3. General (agriculture) – CH_4 and N_2O	A.4, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand improve the description in the NIR to demonstrate clearly that the procedures for the agricultural production census and survey are aligned and no significant deviations have occurred in the time series since 1990.	**Resolved.** A more detailed explanation on the Agricultural Production census and survey is included in chapter 5, section 5.1.4.
Agriculture – 3. General (agriculture) – CH_4 and N_2O	A.5, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand correct the uncertainty values reported for enteric fermentation and agricultural soils in section 1.6 of the NIR so that they are consistent with the values reported in sections 5.2.3 and 5.5.3 of the NIR.	**Resolved.** The text on uncertainty in chapter 1, section 1.6 for enteric fermentation and agricultural soils has been corrected.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
Agriculture – 3. General (agriculture) – CH_4 and N_2O	A.9, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand (1) revise the text that refers to the year for which provisional population data are used in the NIR (p.158), (2) update the animal populations for 2018 and revise the estimates reported for 2017 in the CRF tables and (3) explain this recalculation in the NIR.	**Resolved.** Updated text on the use of provisional Agricultural Production survey data has been included in section 10.1.3. Emissions estimates have been updated with revised population figures.
Agriculture – 3. General (agriculture) – CH_4 and N_2O	A.10, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand provide additional information on the assumption that all growing beef animals are slaughtered at two years of age and refer to the MPI (2018) report on animal live weights in the NIR.	**Resolved.** More information on the slaughter age of beef cattle assumption is provided in chapter 5, section 5.1.4 (under Animal productivity data – Beef cattle).
Agriculture – 3.A.1 Cattle – CH_4	A.11, 2019	To improve the transparency of the comparison between the country-specific CH_4 EF and the IPCC default values, the ERT recommends that New Zealand report, in that comparison, the EF calculated for milking cows only.	**Resolved.** Information on the IEF for mature milking dairy cattle only has been provided in chapter 5, table 5.3.2.
Agriculture – 3.A.2 Sheep – CH_4	A.12, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand correct the reference to the population of sheep older than one year in the equation describing the method used to estimate emissions from enteric fermentation for sheep of less than one year of age reported in the NIR (p.172).	**Resolved.** These equations (in chapter 5, section 5.2.2) have been corrected.
Agriculture – 3.2.4 Other livestock – CH_4	A.13, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand implement the planned methodological changes regarding revising the assumptions about the population of dairy goats and the total goat population, recalculate the emissions and explain them in the NIR.	**Resolved.** Revised estimates of the dairy goat population are used in the 2020 inventory, but further changes were not implemented following advice from the Agricultural Inventory Advisory Panel. For more information, see the minutes from the 2019 Panel meeting (www.mpi.govt.nz/science/open-data-and-forecasting/greenhouse-gas-reporting/agricultural-inventory-advisory-panel/2019-agricultural-inventory-advisory-panel-meeting).
Agriculture – 3.B Manure management – CH_4 and N_2O	A.14, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand correct the references to CRF tables on pages 180 and 182 of the NIR to read “Methane from manure management systems (CRF table 3.B(a))” and “Nitrous oxide from manure management systems (CRF table 3.B(b))”.	**Resolved.** The section titles have been changed – see chapter 5, section 5.3.2.
Agriculture – 3.B Manure management – N_2O	A.15, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand review the N intake for dairy cattle, non-dairy cattle, sheep and deer to check if it is still applicable to the most recent years of the time series and, if necessary, revise its estimates.	**Resolved.** Updated pasture quality values were included in the 2021 inventory. For more information, see section 10.1.3.
Agriculture – 3.B.4 Other livestock (deer) – CH_4	A.16, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand revise the calculation procedures for the CH4 EF for deer and explain the revisions in the NIR. If the Party continues to use three studies from 2003 as the basis for its calculation, the ERT recommends that the Party (1) consider using a more appropriate average value than a simple arithmetic average, such as a weighted average, to estimate the CH4 EF for deer; and (2) justify that the obtained value is more appropriate that the IPCC default value.	**Addressing.** Additional information on the calculation of CH_4 emissions from deer manure has been added in chapter 5, section 5.3.2 (under Methane emissions from the major livestock categories – Deer). It is intended to review the calculation procedures for the calculation of the emission factor for manure CH_4 for deer, and updates will be provided in future inventory reports.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
Agriculture – 3.D.a.1 Inorganic N fertilisers – N_2O	A.17, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain in more detail in the NIR how the country-specific N_2O EF for urea was obtained by including a reference to the report that forms the basis for country-specific values (0.0059 and 0.01 kg N_2O-N/kg N for urea and other synthetic fertilizer, respectively) and summarizing how the Agricultural Inventory Advisory Panel endorsed its application to the inventory.	**Resolved.** More detailed information on the emission factor for urea fertiliser, including a comparison with overseas studies, is included in chapter 5, section 5.5.2 (under Direct nitrous oxide emissions from managed soils – Synthetic nitrogen fertiliser).
Agriculture – 3.D.a.2 Organic N fertilisers – N_2O	A.18, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain in more detail how the country-specific N_2O EFs for organic fertilizers (urine and dung) were obtained, summarize to what extent the studies conducted can be deemed comprehensive and describe how the Agricultural Inventory Advisory Panel endorsed their application to the inventory.	**Resolved.** More detailed information on the emission factor for dairy cattle manure (organic fertiliser) is included in chapter 5, section 5.5.2 (under Direct nitrous oxide emissions from managed soils – Organic nitrogen fertilisers).
Agriculture – 3.D.b Indirect N_2O emissions from managed soils – N_2O	A.19, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand revise the description in the NIR of the country-specific values for $Frac_{LEACH}$ and for the fraction of applied organic N fertilizer materials and of urine and dung N deposited by grazing animals that volatilizes as ammonia and nitrogen oxides in kg N volatilized.	**Resolved.** Information on the country-specific $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter used in the inventory is included in chapter 5, section 5.5.2 (under Indirect nitrous oxide emissions from managed soils – Leaching and runoff (CRF 3.D.2.2)). Recent research has also led to an update to the country-specific $Frac_{LEACH}$ parameter – for more detail, see chapter 5, section 5.5.5.
LULUCF – 4. General (LULUCF) – CO_2 and N_2O	L.10, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand either provide evidence that the estimated SOC changes do not result in systematic over- or underestimations, given that land-use changes occur randomly across the entire SOC variability of a land-use category or subcategory, or replace the current method with one consistent with good practice as defined by the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (vol. 4, section 2.3.3.1).	**Not resolved.** To undertake a robust study to collect this information would likely cost between $400,000 and $600,000 NZD per year. At a minimum, this is more than five times the annual research budget for the LULUCF sector. Following decision tree 2.4 in volume 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), there are insufficient resources to implement such research in the near future without a significant increase in funding.
LULUCF – 4. General (LULUCF) – CO_2	L.11, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand provide a comparison across the available time series of data of roundwood statistics reported by MPI and the quantities estimated by the LUCAS model based on the harvested area as allocated to age classes and provide justification for any discrepancies.	**Resolved.** An additional section has been added to annex 3, section 3.2.5.4, describing *Forest land* model validations. This section describes differences between LUCAS model harvest losses and MPI roundwood statistics, and gives an explanation for the differences that occur.
LULUCF – 4. General (LULUCF) – CO_2	L.12, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand replace 'IE' with estimates of biomass carbon stock losses only in the year in which an area conversion occurs, and with 'NO' in any year in which conversion of additional areas does not occur, in CRF tables 4.A and 4.B.	**Resolved.** Where this conversion has not occurred during the time series, for example for conversions from post-1989 forest to *Cropland*, the notation key 'NO' has been applied. Where the land-use conversion has previously occurred but there are years when conversions of additional areas do not occur, the notation key 'NA' has been applied.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
LULUCF – 4. General (LULUCF) – CO_2	L.13, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report updated information regarding the country-specific wood carbon content value used in the HWP model in the NIR.	**Resolved.** The default wood carbon content value of 50%, from table 12.4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), is used in the harvested wood products model. This value is consistent with the planted forest model that uses a country-specific value of 50%.
LULUCF – Land representation – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	L.14, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand either report information that demonstrates that the biomass carbon pool of radiata pine plantations achieves its steady state at 28 years or, if not at 28 years but at over 20 years, provide information that demonstrates that this longer period is needed to achieve equilibrium of carbon stocks. Otherwise, the ERT recommends that the Party apply the IPCC default conversion period of 20 years and explain the recalculations in the NIR.	**Resolved.** The default transition period of 20 years has been applied since the 2021 submission. The impact of this change in emissions between the *Forest land remaining forest land* category and *Land converted to forest land* category was described in the 2021 submission.
LULUCF – Land representation – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	L.15, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand compile CRF table 4.1 using annual area change data.	**Resolved.** CRF table 4.1 has been compiled using annual area change data.
LULUCF – Land representation – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	L.16, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand plan to undertake an accuracy assessment of its national land-use maps, with a focus on determining the accuracy of mapping changes between mapping dates. The ERT also recommends that the Party then investigate how to use the results of the accuracy assessment, once available, to adjust the reported AD for the land representation.	**Addressing.** A confusion matrix for the 2012 map, demonstrating that mapping errors and biases were very limited for all land categories except *Grassland* and grassland with woody biomass has been undertaken (see annex A3.2.2). A new land use map using imagery acquired over 2020–2021 is being procured. Once the map has been produced, an accuracy assessment of the map series with a focus on the accuracy of land-use change mapping is planned. See chapter 6, section 6.2.5 for more information.
LULUCF – 4.A Forest land – CO_2	L.17, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand provide information on the actual age of harvest of forest plantations, as derived from information collected through NEFD. Such information would be most appropriately reported in the annexes to the NIR.	**Resolved.** Additional text has been added to annex 3.2.5.2.
LULUCF – 4.A.1 Forest land remaining forest land – CO_2	L.18, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report estimates of above-ground biomass CSCs, noting that those estimates should include all gains and losses in tall natural forest remaining tall natural forest; however, carbon stock losses as a result of stand-replacing disturbances (such as storms or destructive wildfires) that lead to a subsequent regeneration of the natural forest, and carbon stock gains up to the average carbon stock of tall forests, should be reported within the regenerating natural forest category, including the entire transition of regenerating natural forest to tall natural forest.	**Resolved.** Losses from tall natural forest have been reported in this submission and the assumption that this forest class is in steady state is no longer applied (see chapter 6, section 6.3.5 for more information).
LULUCF – 4.A.1 Forest land remaining forest land – CO_2	L.19, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand provide evidence that its national circumstances make the collection of data on SOC in mineral soils and on its variation across time in forest land	**Not resolved.** To undertake a robust study to collect this information would likely cost between \$400,000 and \$600,000 NZD per year. At a minimum, this is more than five times the annual

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
		remaining forest land impracticable or, if this is not possible, that the Party plan activities to be implemented in the next few years to collect the data needed to apply a tier 2 estimate to SOC changes in mineral soils of tall natural forest remaining tall natural forest.	research budget for the LULUCF sector. Following decision tree 2.4 in volume 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), there are insufficient resources to implement such research in the near future without a significant increase in funding.
LULUCF – 4.A.2 Land converted to forest land – CO_2 and N_2O	L.20, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report disaggregated information for the two subcategories of post-1989 natural forest and post-1989 plantations.	**Resolved.** The post-1989 forest category was disaggregated into post-1989 planted forest and post-1989 natural forest for the 2021 submission. Note that this disaggregation is also reported in CRF table 4.A.
LULUCF – 4.B.1 Cropland remaining cropland – CO_2	L.21, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand identify the main subdivisions for its perennial cropland on the basis of the harvesting cycle and the biomass carbon stock at the end of the harvesting cycle, and build an age-class distribution for each subdivision, estimate and report annual biomass carbon stock gains and losses accordingly and report the estimation and all additional information in the NIR.	**Not resolved.** Emissions in *Cropland remaining cropland* are low relative to other categories such as *Forest land* and *Harvested wood products*. Therefore, research in this category is given a lower priority and funding is unable to be directed to this at the present time.
LULUCF – 4.B.1 Cropland remaining cropland – CO_2	L.22, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand plan the activities needed to collect data and prepare estimates of SOC changes in cropland associated with changes in management practices.	**Addressing.** A longitudinal agricultural land soils study is under way. The study is expected to show the impact of management practices on soil carbon. The study is being carried out over 12 years, so data are not expected to be available for a number of years.
LULUCF – 4.C.2 Land converted to grassland – CO_2	L.23, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand use 'NE' for biomass carbon stock losses in wetlands converted to grassland, providing relevant references to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for justification, or revise its methodology by assigning a biomass carbon stock value to wetlands before conversion, in particular for the subcategory vegetated wetlands.	**Resolved.** New Zealand applies Tier 1 methods for estimating CO_2 emissions in *Wetlands remaining wetlands* (following the guidance in section 7.1 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b)). There is no default value provided in the guidance for above-ground biomass or dead organic matter for this category (see chapter 6, section 6.7.2). New Zealand has insufficient data to apply a country-specific value for these carbon pools. Therefore, New Zealand has not prepared estimates for change in living biomass or dead organic matter for this category and reports the notation key NE in CRF table 4.C.2.3.i for this category.
LULUCF – 4.D Wetlands – CO_2	L.24, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand revise the biomass carbon stock of vegetated wetlands using data available in literature (e.g. Morrisey et al., 2010).	**Resolved.** According to the UNFCCC Reporting Guidelines for Annex I Parties (Decision 24/CP.19, paragraph 4), Annex I Parties are encouraged to apply the *Wetlands* supplement, but this is not mandatory. Application of the *Wetlands* supplement is optional. New Zealand has elected not to apply the *Wetlands* supplement and has not used the emission factors and parameters from the *Wetlands* supplement. New Zealand follows the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b) for this category, which do not provide the default values for above-ground biomass for vegetated wetlands.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
LULUCF – 4.F Other land – all GHGs	L.27, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand reclassify all other land with significant SOC content under the most appropriate land-use category and recalculate its land representation and SOC changes for the revised area of conversion to and from other land.	**Resolved.** In line with the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), land use classifications are applied using a hierarchical approach, with *Other land* at the bottom of the hierarchy. In *Other land*, the definition includes “any other remaining land that does not fall into any of the other land use categories”. It is therefore possible that *Other land* may have SOC that is greater than zero.
LULUCF – 4.F.2 Land converted to other land – CO_2	L.28, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand verify the occurrence of the conversion of land with organic soils to other land and encourages it to report a complete loss of SOC in any land with organic soils converted to other land. If the Party does not report SOC losses in organic soils converted to other land, the ERT recommends that it use ‘NA’.	**Addressing.** The 1990 classification of *Other land* is a scheduled improved activity. See chapter 6, section 6.8.6 for further information.
LULUCF – 4(II) Emissions and removals from drainage and rewetting and other management of organic/mineral soils – N_2O	L.29, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report N_2O emissions from drainage of non-agricultural organic soils in CRF table 4(II) for each land category for which it reports a SOC loss in organic soils in CRF tables 4.A, 4.D and 4.E.	**Addressing.** Emissions from drained organic soils in *Forest land* have been calculated for this submission (see chapter 6, section 6.10.2). Note that during the review of the 2021 submission, the ERT acknowledged that there is no default method in the IPCC 2006 Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b) that can be applied to *Wetlands* or *Settlements*. However, the ERT suggested that because New Zealand considers that most of its *Settlements* area can be assimilated to *Grassland* when it comes to soil carbon, the emission factors for *Grassland* should be applied to *Settlements*. For this submission, these emissions have been calculated but not included in the CRF or emissions totals. For more information, see chapter 6, section 6.7.6.
LULUCF – 4(III) Direct N_2O emissions from N mineralisation or immobilisation – N_2O	L.30, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand revise the information reported in CRF table 4(III), ensuring that the area of each category reported corresponds to the area of the category where a SOC loss, resulting from a change of land use or management, actually occurred.	**Resolved.** The area of each category reported in CRF table 4(III) has been revised.
LULUCF – 4(IV) Indirect N_2O emissions from managed soils – N_2O	L.31, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report indirect N_2O emissions from leaching and run-off of N mineralization associated with SOC losses in mineral soils in CRF table 4(IV).	**Resolved.** Indirect N_2O emissions from leaching and runoff for all land use categories other than *Cropland remaining cropland* are now reported under the LULUCF sector. See CRF table 4(IV) and chapter 6, section 6.10.4.
Waste – 5. General (waste) – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	W.17, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand include more information on current waste management, such as an overview of municipal solid waste generation and its treatment method (recycling, composting, incineration or disposal) in section 7.1.1 of the NIR, and its impact on the composition of waste disposed of at landfills. The ERT also recommends that the Party consider whether the potential changes in the composition of landfilled waste are appropriately reflected in the estimated emissions for category 5.A and if not, that the Party recalculate the emissions and explain those recalculations in the NIR.	**Resolved.** Figure 7.1.1 was added to chapter 7 to show key waste generation sources and disposal pathways. Further, average waste composition for municipal landfills has been collected for 2018 that accounts for changing waste disposal practices for compostable materials (see chapter 7, table 7.2.5).

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
Waste – 5.A Solid waste disposal on land – CO_2	W.18, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand correct the value for carbon storage for managed landfills without landfill gas capture.	**Resolved.** This was corrected in CRF table 5.F.1 in the 2020 submission and was subsequently revised for the 2021 submission.
Waste – 5.A Solid waste disposal on land – CH_4	W.19, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand explain how many landfills are currently reporting under the ETS and how data on CH_4 recovery are estimated and reported for both active and closed landfills with gas recovery.	**Resolved.** Table 7.2.2 in chapter 7 reports the amount of managed landfills for each type.
Waste – 5.A Solid waste disposal on land – CH_4	W.20, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand include in the NIR further explanation of its specific approach to calculating the gas recovery rate, including the source of the waste composition data, EF and recovery rates, as well as a description of the ETS, providing relevant reference sources.	**Resolved.** Chapter 7, section 7.2.2 (under Gas recovery) explains the revised gas recovery methodology. Waste composition data are provided in table 7.2.5 and a summary of parameters is in table 7.2.8.
Waste – 5.C.1 Waste incineration – CO_2	W.21, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand further investigate historical data on waste incineration in schools and revise its estimates, if appropriate. The ERT also recommends that the Party include a relevant description on waste incineration in schools in the NIR or revise the NIR text, as appropriate.	**Addressing.** Incineration of waste in some rural schools is not estimated. See annex 6, section A6.2 for detail.
Waste – 5.D Wastewater treatment and discharge – N_2O	W.22, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand revise the reporting of N_2O emissions from industrial wastewater and sewage sludge applied to soils in the agriculture and waste chapters of the NIR and in CRF table 3.D, and explain any recalculation in the NIR.	**Not resolved.** This will be addressed in a future submission. It has not been prioritised due to the insignificant emissions associated with sludge.
Waste – 5.D Wastewater treatment and discharge – CH_4 and N_2O	W.23, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand clarify and report consistent information on the final treatment or disposal for sludge, including incineration and disposal in municipal landfills, review the estimates and explain any recalculation in the NIR.	**Addressing.** Volumes of sludge disposed to managed landfills have been updated according to Eunomia (unpublished). Further work will be addressed in a future submission.
Waste – 5.D.2 Industrial wastewater – CH_4	W.24, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand estimate and report the amount of CH_4 flared and for energy recovery, respectively, in CRF table 5.D, noting that the amount of CH_4 for energy recovery, if occurring, should probably be reported as 'IE' in that table and theestimates reported under the energy sector.	**Resolved.** The amount of CH_4 recovered for flaring is reported as 'NE' because no information is available. The amount of CH_4 recovered for energy generation is now reported as 'IE' in CRF table 5.D.2, and emissions are reported in the Energy sector under 1.A.2.e – Biomass.
KP-LULUCF – General (KP-LULUCF activities) – all GHGs	KL.8, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand ensure that the area reported under each KP-LULUCF activity at the end of an inventory year in CRF table NIR-2 is the same as that used for the calculation of the area of that KP-LULUCF activity at the beginning of the following year.	**Resolved.** The area reported under each KP-LULUCF activity at the end of an inventory year in CRF table NIR-2 is the same as that used for the calculation of the area of that KP-LULUCF activity at the beginning of the following year.
KP-LULUCF – General (KP-LULUCF activities) – all GHGs	KL.9, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand update the information reported on factoring out in accounting for KP-LULUCF activities.	**Resolved.** Further information on factoring out has been provided in chapter 11, section 11.6.2.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
KP-LULUCF – General (KP-LULUCF activities) – all GHGs	KL.10, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand provide evidence that a minimum level of historical emissions from forest fires allows the separation of non-anthropogenic events and circumstances that cause significant emissions and are beyond the control of the Party from all those events and circumstances that are anthropogenic, not limiting such consideration to the causes of fires. Alternatively, the ERT recommends that New Zealand revise its approach and recalculate its background level and associated margin accordingly, for instance by applying the method described in the Kyoto Protocol Supplement (IPCC. 2014).	**Resolved.** The background level and margin have been recalculated according to the method described in the Kyoto Protocol Supplement (IPCC, 2014) – see annex 5, section A5.2.
KP-LULUCF – General (KP-LULUCF activities) – CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O	KL.11, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand recalculate the background level and the associated margin for AR and FM, including all GHG emissions, that is, CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O, rather than only non-CO_2 emissions, and revise the FMRL with a technical correction.	**Not resolved.** CO_2 emissions from natural disturbance are implicitly included in the yield tables and therefore are included in the FMRL.
KP-LULUCF – Deforestation – CO_2	KL.12, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand revise the information reported in the information items of CRF table 4(KP-I)A.2 regarding deforested areas under the pre-1990 natural and planted forest subcategories.	**Resolved.** Pre-1990 *Forest land* that has been converted to another land use and subsequently converted back to *Forest land* will be classified as pre-1990 forest land reported under *Deforestation*. This is because once a deforestation event has occurred, the land use remains in this accounting category. Where pre-1990 forest has undergone a deforestation event, changed to a different land use, such as *Grasslands*, and then subsequently undergone another land-use change back to *Forest land*, this will still be classified as pre-1990 forest (due to its land use at 1990). This is in line with the category definitions outlined in chapter 6, table 6.2.3. Therefore, such areas are classified as pre-1990 forest and yet also are reported under *Deforestation*.
KP-LULUCF – Forest management – CO_2	KL.13, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand use the actual age-class distribution of its planted forests as at 1 January 2010, on the basis of the data available, including data collected after 1 January 2010 that are deemed sufficiently accurately associated with that historical period, for projecting its FMRL technical corrections. The ERT further recommends that the Party use any other forest parameter (such as management practices, including harvest rotation, pruning and thinning age and densities, age/density associated current increment) representative of the pre-2010 historical period for projecting its FMRL technical corrections, even if data may have been collected after 1 January 2010, if deemed sufficiently accurately associated with that historical period.	**Resolved.** A technical correction has been applied to the FMRL, with justification provided in annex 5.1.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
KP-LULUCF – Forest management – CO_2	KL.14, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand exclude from its FMRL the technical correction projections of any change in management practices occurring after 31 December 1989, since the aim of the FMRL is to account for the change in emissions and removals occurring as a consequence of those changes.	**Resolved.** The technical correction to the FMRL has been recalculated to remove the projection of overplanting. See annex 5.1 for further information.
KP-LULUCF – Forest management – all GHGs	KL.15, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report in the NIR quantitative information on the drivers that have determined the deviation of the actual estimates of GHG emissions and removals reported under FM from the projected GHG emissions and removals included in the FMRL correction value, including (1) the time series (from 1990 to the most recently reported year) of annual harvesting rates, biomass annual increment and GHG emissions from natural disturbances used for preparing the estimates for FM during the commitment period; and (2) the historical time series (1990–2009) of annual harvesting rates, biomass annual increment and GHG emissions from natural disturbances used for projecting the FMRL correction value.	**Resolved.** Chapter 11, section 11.3.4 explains that the emissions from *Forest management* were lower because the realised rate of harvest is lower than the rate of harvest projected in the $FMRL_{corr}$. Note that the explanation has been expanded since the 2021 submission to provide quantitative information and further time-series data comparing the $FMRL_{corr}$ with *Forest management* harvest data and emissions.
KP-LULUCF – Forest management – CO_2	KL.16, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand recalculate the technical correction to the FMRL removing the projection of CEF.	**Resolved.** The technical correction to the FMRL has been recalculated to remove the projection of CEF. See annex 5.1 for further information.
KP-LULUCF – Forest management – CO_2	KL.18, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report information on CEF-ne and CEF-hc in CRF table 4(KP-I)B.1.	**Resolved.** CRF table 4(KP-I)B.1 has been updated to report information on CEF-ne and CEF-hc.
KP-LULUCF – Forest management – CO_2	KL.19, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand (1) recalculate the FM estimates of the biomass CSCs, noting that those estimates should include all gains and losses in tall natural forest remaining tall natural forest; however, carbon stock losses as a result of stand-replacing disturbances (such as storms or destructive wildfires) that lead to a subsequent regeneration of the natural forest, and carbon stock gains up to the average carbon stock of tall forests, should be reported within the regenerating natural forest category, including the entire transition of regenerating natural forest to tall natural forest; (2) and apply a technical correction to its FMRL.	**Resolved.** Losses from tall natural forest have been reported in this submission and the assumption that this forest class is in steady state has been removed (see chapter 6, section 6.3.5 for more information). This has been applied both to *Forest management* and as a technical correction to the FMRL.
KP-LULUCF – Forest management – CO_2	KL.20, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand either demonstrate that its national circumstances differ from those of other developed countries so that the Party is prevented from collecting information on SOC in forest land across time, or recalculate the FM estimates of SOC changes in mineral soils and then apply a technical correction to its FMRL when estimates of SOC changes in mineral soils become available.	**Not resolved.** To undertake a robust study to collect this information would likely cost between \$400,000 and \$600,000 NZD per year. At a minimum, this is more than five times the annual research budget for the LULUCF sector. Following decision tree 2.4 in volume 4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006b), there are insufficient resources to implement such research in the near future without a significant increase in funding. A proposal has been made for funding to undertake this work but the outcome is not yet known.

Sector	ID number	Expert review team recommendation	New Zealand response
KP-LULUCF – Forest management – CO_2	KL.21, 2109	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report the correct values, in kt CO_2 eq, for the FMRL (11,150.00 kt CO_2 eq) and the technical correction to the FMRL in the CRF accounting table.	**Resolved.** This error has been addressed and the correct FMRL value is now reported in the CRF accounting table.
KP-LULUCF – CH_4 and N_2O emissions from drained and rewetted organic soils – N_2O	KL.22, 2019	The ERT recommends that New Zealand report N_2O emissions from drainage of non-agricultural organic soils in CRF table 4(KP-II)2 for each non-agricultural land category for which it reports a SOC loss in organic soils in CRF tables 4(KP-I)A.1, 4(KP-I)A.2 and 4(KP-I)B.1.	**Resolved.** N_2O emissions from drainage of non-agricultural organic soils have been reported. See chapter 11, section 11.3.7 for further information.

Note: AD = activity data; AR = *Afforestation/reforestation;* CEF = carbon equivalent forest; CRF = common reporting format; CSC = carbon stock change; EF = emission factor; ERT = expert review team; ETS = Emissions Trading Scheme; FM = *Forest management*; FMRL = forest management reference level; $FMRL_{corr}$ = technically corrected forest management reference level; GDP = gross domestic product; GHG = greenhouse gas; HFC = hydrofluorocarbon; HWP = *Harvested wood products*; IE = included elsewhere; IEF = implied emission factor; IPCC = Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; IPPU = Industrial Processes and Product Use; KP = Kyoto Protocol; LUCAS = Land Use and Carbon Analysis System; LULUCF = Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry; MBIE = Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment; MPI = Ministry for Primary Industries; NE = not estimated; NEFD = National Exotic Forest Description; NIR = National Inventory Report; NO = not occurring; PJ = petajoules; QA = quality assurance; QC = quality control; SOC = soil organic carbon; UNFCCC = United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Chapter 10: References

Eunomia. Unpublished. Improvements to Estimates of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Landfills. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment in 2020.

Holdaway RJ, McNeill SJ, Mason NWH, Carswell, FE. 2014. Propagating Uncertainty in Plot-based Estimates of Forest Carbon Stock and Carbon Stock Change. *Ecosystems* 17, 627–640 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-014-9749-5.

IPCC. 2006a. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 3. Industrial Processes and Product Use*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2006b. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme*. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2006c. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 5. Waste*. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Japan: Institute for Global Environmental Strategies for IPCC.

IPCC. 2014. Hiraishi T, Krug T, Tanabe K, Srivastava N, Baasansuren J, Fukuda M, and Troxler TG (eds). *2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol*. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.

MPI. 2018. Animal live weight calculations in the NZ Agricultural GHG Inventory Model. MPI technical paper 2018/73. Available at https://www.mpi.govt.nz/dmsdocument/32863- animal-live-weight-calculations-in-the-nz-agricultural-ghg-inventory-model.

Morrisey DJ, Swales A, Dittmann S, Morrison MA, Lovelock CE and Beard CM. 2010. The ecology and management of temperate mangroves. Pp. 43-160 in *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An annual review*, 2010, vol.48 (edited by Gibson RN, Atkinson RJA and Gordon JDM).

Thomas SM, Ledgard SF, Francis GS. 2005. Improving estimates of nitrate leaching for quantifying New Zealand's indirect nitrous oxide emissions. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 73: 213–226.

Thomson BC, Ward KR, Muir PD. 2021. Purity of Agricultural Lime and Dolomite Used in New Zealand. Final report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries.

Tonkin and Taylor Ltd. Unpublished. GHG Estimates from Non-municipal Landfills New Zealand. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment in 2014.

UNFCCC. 2018. FCCC/ARR/2017/NZL. *Report on the Individual Review of the Annual Submission of New Zealand Submitted in 2017. Centralised Review.*

UNFCCC. 2020. FCCC/ARR/2019/NZL. *Report on the individual review of the annual submission of New Zealand submitted in 2019. In-country Review.*

Welten B, Mercer G, Smith C, Sprosen M, Ledgard S. 2021. *Refining Estimates of Nitrogen Leaching for the New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Inventory*. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for Primary Industries.

Wheeler DM, Ledgard SF, de Klein CAM, Monaghan PL, Carey PL, McDowell RW, Johns KL. 2003. OVERSEER® Nutrient budgets – moving towards on-farm resource accounting. In: *Proceedings of the New Zealand Grassland Association 2003*. Palmerston North: New Zealand Grassland Association Inc.

Chapter 11: KP-LULUCF

11.1 General information

Emissions summary

2020

In 2020, net emissions from land subject to Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 activities under the Kyoto Protocol were –29,476.1 kilotonnes carbon dioxide equivalent (kt CO_2-e) (table 11.1.1).

In 2020, net emissions from *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation* activities were –13,444.2 kt CO_2-e. This value is the total of all emissions and removals from activities under Decision 2/CMP.7, Article 3.3 of the Kyoto Protocol. It includes:

- removals from forest growth
- emissions from harvesting
- emissions and removals from harvested wood products in post-1989 forests
- emissions from the conversion of land to post-1989 forest
- emissions from deforestation of all forest land
- emissions from biomass burning
- emissions from the mineralisation of soil nitrogen and the emissions from the drainage of managed soils associated with afforestation, reforestation or deforestation activities since 1990.

In 2020, net emissions from *Forest management* were –16,031.9 kt CO_2-e (table 11.1.1). This includes:

- removals from the growth of pre-1990 natural forest and pre-1990 planted forest
- emissions from harvesting of these forests
- emissions and removals from harvested wood products from these forests
- emissions from biomass burning
- emissions from the drainage of managed soils on land classified under *Forest management*.

New Zealand has elected to report on its 2013 to 2020 target at the end of the accounting period. The accounting quantity for KP-LULUCF for the 2013 to 2020 period is –123,281.1 kt CO_2-e (see table 11.1.2). The accounting quantity comprises all net emissions from Article 3.3 activities (*Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation*) and net emissions from *Forest management* (Article 3.4) relative to a forest management reference level (FMRL). The FMRL was set using a business-as-usual projection of emissions for *Forest management* over the period to 2020. It represents the estimated annual average emissions between 2013 and 2020.

Credits resulting from *Forest management* cannot exceed 2,335.2 kt CO_2-e per year, a predetermined cap based on 3.5 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions in the base year, per year. Therefore, although net emissions from *Forest management* resulted in 31,982.2 kt CO_2-e of removals relative to the FMRL, only the value of the cap over the eight year period, 18,681.6 kt CO_2-e of removals, can be included in the accounting quantity.

Table 11.1.1 New Zealand's emissions under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol during the second reporting period

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017[P]	2018[P]	2019[P]	2020[P]
Afforestation and reforestation								
Net cumulative area since 1990 (ha)	687,861	690,819	694,233	696,925	702,355	709,838	735,240	775,385
Area in calendar year (ha)	7,285	5,937	5,937	5,784	8,434	8,779	27,070	40,887
Net emissions in calendar year (kt CO_2-e)	–17,520.3	–17,913.5	–18,054.1	–18,004.8	–18,486.5	–17,741.1	–16,733.5	–14,764.7
Deforestation								
Net cumulative area since 1990 (ha)	172,456	182,963	190,942	198,104	203,048	206,874	211,633	214,077
Area in calendar year (ha)	13,897	10,507	7,980	7,162	4,944	3,826	4,759	2,443
Net emissions in calendar year (kt CO_2-e)	8,936.6	6,657.5	4,965.3	4,442.4	2,845.7	2,319.2	3,131.8	1,320.5
Forest management								
Area included (ha)	9,220,386	9,212,857	9,207,401	9,203,943	9,203,689	9,202,953	9,200,443	9,198,965
Net emissions in calendar year (kt CO_2-e)	–24,180.7	–21,978.2	–20,019.5	–18,515.0	–15,503.6	–15,247.1	–15,220.7	–16,031.9
Total area included (ha)	**10,080,703**	**10,086,639**	**10,092,576**	**10,098,972**	**10,109,092**	**10,119,666**	**10,147,316**	**10,188,427**
Net emissions in calendar year (kt CO_2-e)	**–32,764.3**	**–33,234.2**	**–33,108.3**	**–32,077.4**	**–31,144.4**	**–30,669.0**	**–28,822.5**	**–29,476.1**

Note: Where net emissions result in removals, they are expressed as a negative value as per section 2.2.3 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a). Columns may not total due to rounding. P = provisional figure (all figures for 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020 are provisional). Afforestation and deforestation differs from that in chapter 6 due to carbon equivalent forests being reported separately.

Table 11.1.2 New Zealand's accounting quantity under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol during the second reporting period

Activity	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Emissions in period to 2020 (kt CO_2-e)
Afforestation/reforestation	–17,520.32	–17,913.5	–18,054.1	–18,004.8	–18,486.5	–17,741.1	–16,733.5	–14,764.7	–139,218.6
Deforestation	8,936.6	6,657.5	4,965.3	4,442.4	2,845.7	2,319.2	3,131.8	1,320.5	34,619.1
Forest management	–24,180.7	–21,978.2	–20,019.5	–18,515.0	–15,503.6	–15,247.1	–15,220.7	–16,031.9	–146,696.7
Net emissions	**–32,764.3**	**–33,234.2**	**–33,108.3**	**–32,077.4**	**–31,144.4**	**–30,669.0**	**–28,822.5**	**–29,476.1**	**–251,296.2**
Excluded emissions from natural disturbances									
Technically corrected forest management reference level ($FMRL_{corr}$)									–14,339.3
Forest management cap for period to 2020									–18,681.6
Accounting quantity excluding Forest management									**–104,599.5**
Accounting quantity including Forest management									**–123,281.1**
Annual Forest management emissions against FMRL	–9,841.4	–7,638.9	–5,680.2	–4,175.7	–1,164.3	–907.7	–881.4	–1,692.6	
Cumulative Forest management emissions against FMRL	–9,841.4	–17,480.3	–23,160.5	–27,336.1	–28,500.4	–29,408.2	–30,289.6	–31,982.2	–18,681.6

Note: FMRL = forest management reference level; $FMRL_{corr}$ = technically corrected forest management reference level.

1990–2020

Between 1990 and 2020, it is estimated that 814,354 hectares of new forest (post-1989 forest) were established as a result of *Afforestation and reforestation* activities (table 11.3.1). The net area of post-1989 forest (calculated from the total area of new forest planted since 31 December 1989 minus the deforestation of post-1989 forest since 1 January 1990) as at the end of 2020 was 775,385 hectares. This figure includes 38,969 hectares of deforestation activity that has occurred in these forests since 1990. The average annual increase of *Afforestation and reforestation* activity is 26,269 hectares. During 2020, an estimated 40,887 hectares of new forest was established, which is greater than the area established in 2019 (27,070 hectares) (table 11.1.1).

The carbon equivalent forest provision creates a misalignment for the afforestation and deforestation area reported under the Kyoto Protocol and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) sections of the Inventory. The carbon equivalent forest provision is not recognised under the UNFCCC and is reported as *Land converted to forest land* (afforestation) and *Forest land converted to other land uses* (deforestation).

Deforestation of all subcategories of *Forest land* (post-1989 natural, post-1989 planted, pre-1990 planted and pre-1990 natural forest) during 2020 was estimated at 2,443 hectares. Since 1990, the area of deforestation of all subcategories of *Forest land* is estimated as 214,077 hectares.

Between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2020, the total area of *Forest management* land deforested was 175,108 hectares. However, due to the application of the carbon equivalent forest provision (Kyoto Protocol Supplement, IPCC, 2014a, section 2.7.2), the net area under *Forest management* only decreased by 170,210 hectares or 1.8 per cent. This is the result of:

- 170,210 hectares of land being transferred from Article 3.4 – *Forest management* reporting to Article 3.3 – *Deforestation* reporting due to deforestation
- 3,961 hectares of land converted to a non-forest land use (*Carbon equivalent forest – harvested and converted (CEF_{HC})*) included in Article 3.4 – *Forest managemen*t reporting as a result of applying the carbon equivalent forest provision (Kyoto Protocol Supplement, IPCC, 2014a, section 2.7.2)
- 4,897 hectares of newly established forest (*Carbon equivalent forest – newly established (CEF_{NE})*) added to Article 3.4 – *Forest management* under the Kyoto Protocol as a result of applying the carbon equivalent forest provision (Kyoto Protocol Supplement, IPCC, 2014a, section 2.7.2).

New Zealand's Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 emissions by source for the second commitment period

Table 11.1.3 provides a breakdown of New Zealand's emissions under the Kyoto Protocol by greenhouse gas source category for the second commitment period.

Table 11.1.3 New Zealand's emissions for the second commitment period of the Kyoto Protocol by greenhouse gas source category

Greenhouse gas source category	Net emissions for 2013–20 (kt)		
	Source form	Source emission	CO_2-equivalent
Emissions from afforestation and reforestation	CO_2	–140,236.4	–140,236.4
Emissions from deforestation	CO_2	34,150.2	34,150.2
Emissions from forest management activities	CO_2	–147,376.8	–147,376.8
Emissions from soil nitrogen associated with land-use change	N_2O	5.6	1,679.1

Greenhouse gas source category	Net emissions for 2013–20 (kt) Source form	Source emission	CO_2-equivalent
Biomass burning	CH_4	13.4	335.5
Biomass burning	N_2O	0.5	152.3
Net emissions			**–251,296.2**

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

Key categories

Afforestation and reforestation, *Deforestation* and *Forest management* are all included in key categories for New Zealand (*Forest land remaining forest land*, *Land converted to forest land* or *Land converted to grassland*). See table 1.5.1, chapter 1 for more information.

11.1.1 Definitions of forest and any other criteria

New Zealand is using the same *Forest land* definition for the period to 2020 as that used for the first commitment period and as defined in *New Zealand's Initial Report under the Kyoto Protocol* (Ministry for the Environment, 2006). This definition is consistent with use in the Land Use, Land-Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sector under the UNFCCC reporting (chapter 6). Table 11.1.4 provides the defining parameters for *Forest land*.

Table 11.1.4 Parameters defining *Forest land* in New Zealand

Forest parameter	Kyoto Protocol range	New Zealand selected value
Minimum land area (ha)	0.05–1	1
Minimum crown cover (%)	10–30	30
Minimum height (m)	2–5	5

Note: The range values represent the minimum forest definition values, as defined under the Kyoto Protocol, Decision 16/CMP.1 (UNFCCC, 2006).

New Zealand also uses a minimum forest width of 30 metres, which excludes linear shelterbelts from the *Forest land* category. Linear shelterbelts can vary in width and height, because they are trimmed and topped from time to time. Further, they form part of non-forestland uses, namely *Cropland* and *Grassland*, as shelter for crops and/or animals.

For reporting under the Kyoto Protocol, New Zealand has categorised its forests into four types: pre-1990 natural forest, pre-1990 planted forest, post-1989 planted forest and post-1989 natural forest. These subcategories are also used for inventory reporting on the LULUCF sector under the UNFCCC (see chapter 6).

For all post-1989 forest, emissions and removals from carbon losses and gains due to *Afforestation and reforestation*[72] and *Deforestation* activities are reported under Article 3.3, along with emissions from *Deforestation* activities in pre-1990 natural and pre-1990 planted forest. For all *Forest land* that existed on 31 December 1989, which has been categorised as either pre-1990 natural forest or pre-1990 planted forest, all emissions and removals not associated with *Afforestation and reforestation* or *Deforestation* activities are reported under Article 3.4 – *Forest management*. Emissions and removals from the harvest and conversion of forest plantations and establishment of new forests that satisfy the requirements of Decision 2/CMP.7, Annex para 37 (UNFCCC, 2012), are reported under Article 3.4 – *Forest management* as carbon equivalent forests.

[72] Including emissions from harvesting of post-1989 forest.

The definition of forest used for reporting to the Food and Agriculture Organization is currently different from that used for reporting under the Convention and the Kyoto Protocol. For reporting to the FAO, New Zealand subdivided forests into two estates based on their biological characteristics, the management regimes applied to the forests and their respective roles and national objectives (Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, 2002). The two estates are indigenous and planted production forest. The former estate is included within the pre-1990 natural forest as reported in this submission. The planted production forest area largely equates to the productive area in pre-1990 planted forest and post-1989 planted forest.

11.1.2 Elected activities under Article 3.4

New Zealand has not elected to report on any of the voluntary activities under Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol for the second commitment period. This is consistent with New Zealand's reporting for the first commitment period.

11.1.3 Election of the natural disturbance provision

In the event of a significant natural disturbance, New Zealand intends to apply the provision to exclude emissions due to natural disturbances from accounting for *Afforestation and reforestation* under Article 3.3, and *Forest management* under Article 3.4, of the Kyoto Protocol, in accordance with Decision 2/CMP.7 (Annex, paras 33 and 34, UNFCCC, 2012).

Information on how New Zealand has calculated the background level for natural disturbance is included in annex 5, section A5.2.

11.1.4 Implementation of Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 reporting

New Zealand reports *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management* under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 respectively. In 2020, this covered 10,188,427 hectares, or 37.8 per cent, of New Zealand's total land area.

The hierarchy used by New Zealand in the reporting of these activities is as set out in section 1.2 of the Kyoto Protocol Supplement (IPCC, 2014a). This hierarchy means that once a forest area has been identified as deforested, it remains in this category. Therefore, all subsequent stock changes, emissions and removals on this land are reported under *Deforestation*.

Tracking of these deforested areas during the calculation and land use mapping processes (explained in chapter 6, section 6.2, and annex 3, section A3.2.2) ensures that land areas, once deforested, cannot be reported under *Afforestation and reforestation* or *Forest management*, and that the emissions and removals associated with the new land use or any subsequent land uses are reported under *Deforestation*. The process for identification of deforested land is outlined in section 11.5.

Areas subject to the carbon equivalent forest provision are tracked separately and reported under *Forest management* (refer to sections 11.2.2 and 11.3.4 for more detail).

11.2 Land-related information

11.2.1 Spatial assessment unit

New Zealand is using a minimum mapping unit of 1 hectare.

11.2.2 Methodology for land transition matrix

The land transition matrix is based on data derived from the following sources:

- the 1990, 2008, 2012 and 2016 land use maps (see annex 3, section A3.2.2)
- an estimate of total afforestation for planted forest for the period 2017 to 2020 is based on the National Exotic Forest Description (NEFD) (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020b)
- the annual area of afforestation of post-1989 natural forest for 2017 to 2019 is estimated from the Ministry for Primary Industries afforestation scheme data
- the area of post-1989 natural afforestation for 2020 is estimated from the Afforestation and Deforestation Intentions Survey for 2020 by taking the total area of 'natural reversion' and 'indigenous tall planted' (Manley, 2021)
- for post-1989 natural forest dominated by wilding exotic conifers, a linear extrapolation of the mapped area of land use change between 2012 and 2016 (for this forest type) was used to estimate afforestation for 2017 to 2020
- deforestation mapping for 2008 to 2016 (Indufor Asia Pacific, 2013, 2016, 2018)
- the area of deforestation during 2017 has been estimated based on provisional deforestation mapping for that year
- estimates for 2018 and 2019 have been based on the Deforestation Intentions Survey for 2018 (Manley, 2019)
- the estimate for 2020 has been based on the Afforestation and Deforestation Intentions Survey 2020 (Manley, 2021). Further information on the methods used to estimate deforestation are described in annex 3, section A3.2.2.

Due to the land use category definitions used by New Zealand, which split forests established before 1990 from those established after 1989, the land transition matrix is derived from the sequence of land-use changes occurring through the reporting period. Using the 1990 land use map as the baseline, areas of deforestation can be tracked through time to ensure that, regardless of subsequent land-use change, the net emissions that occur on the deforested land are reported under *Deforestation*. Where a pre-1990 planted forest is harvested and converted to another land use under the carbon equivalent forest provision, the land is tracked spatially and its net emissions are reported under *Forest management*, as are the areas and net emissions due to the new forest that was established to compensate for the harvested and converted forest.

The relationship between mapped land-use changes and activities reported under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 is shown in table 11.2.1.

Table 11.2.1 Relationship between mapped land-use changes and activities reported under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4

Initial \ Final	Pre-1990 natural forest	Pre-1990 planted forest	Post-1989 forest	Grassland	Cropland	Wetland	Settlements	Other land
Pre-1990 natural forest	FM	FM	–	D	D	D	D	D
Pre-1990 planted forest	FM	FM	–	D/FM	D/FM	D/FM	D/FM	D/FM
Post-1989 forest	–	–	A	D	D	D	D	D
Grassland	*D	*D	A/FM					
Cropland	*D	*D	A/FM					

Initial \ Final	Pre-1990 natural forest	Pre-1990 planted forest	Post-1989 forest	Grassland	Cropland	Wetland	Settlements	Other land
Wetland	*D	*D	A/FM					
Settlements	*D	*D	A/FM					
Other land	*D	*D	A/FM					

Note: A = *Afforestation and reforestation*; D = *Deforestation*; FM = *Forest management*; A/FM indicates that a forest establishment activity could be accounted for under *Forest management* if the land is subject to the carbon equivalent forest provision; D/FM indicates that a forest harvest and conversion activity could be accounted for under *Forest management* if the land is subject to the carbon equivalent forest provision; '–' denotes land-use changes that are not possible given the land use definitions; '*D' denotes land-use changes that are valid only if the land was forested at 1990, in which case the land use transition is accounted for under deforestation (e.g., pre-1990 planted forest converted to grassland since 1990 that is later converted back to pre-1990 planted forest would be reported under *Deforestation*).

Mapping of land-use change is described in chapter 6, section 6.2, and annex 3, section A3.2.2. Further information on the estimation of the total area of afforested and reforested land occurring between 2008 and 2020 can be found in annex 3, section A3.2.2.

Accurate classification of pre-1990 forest is essential to correctly determine the area reported as afforested and reforested in the land transition matrix. Satellite imagery at various dates near to 1990 and mapping from the New Zealand Emissions Trading Scheme (NZ ETS) have been used to ensure these forests are classified correctly. This process is shown in annex 3, section A3.2, figure A3.2.2.

Transitions to deforestation are based on deforestation mapping, as described in annex 3, section A3.2.2.

11.2.3 Identifying geographical locations

New Zealand has used Reporting Methods 1 and 2 for preparing estimates of emissions and removals for *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Deforestation*, and Approaches 2 and 3 to map land-use change. Wall-to-wall mapping is completed every four to five years, with national statistics and ancillary mapping data used in the intervening years to estimate afforested, reforested or deforested areas.

Included in New Zealand's geographical extent are the following uninhabited offshore islands: Kermadec Islands, Three Kings Islands and Subantarctic Islands (Auckland Islands, Campbell Island, Antipodes Islands, Bounty Islands and Snares Islands). These islands are protected conservation sites with a total area of 74,052 hectares. They are not subject to land-use change and are therefore reported in a steady state of land use.

11.3 Activity-specific information

11.3.1 Estimating carbon stock change

Emissions and removals from *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management* are determined using plot-network-based estimates for each type of forest (pre-1990 natural forest, pre-1990 planted forest, post-1989 planted forest and post-1989 natural forest), survey and scheme data (Manley, 2021; Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020b) to determine the forest age, harvest age, and harvest age profile associated with planted forests. Carbon analyses are performed to estimate the carbon stored per hectare per pool and are described in chapter 6, section 6.3.2.

11.3.2 Afforestation and reforestation (CRF 4(KP.A.1))

Between 1990 and 2020, it is estimated that 814,354 hectares of new forest (post-1989 forest) were established as a result of *Afforestation and reforestation* activities (table 11.3.1). The net area of post-1989 forest (calculated from the total area of new forest planted since 31 December 1989 minus the deforestation of post-1989 forest since 1 January 1990) as at the end of 2020 was 775,385 hectares. Emissions from this land in 2020 were –14,764.7 kt CO_2-e, compared with –16,733.5 kt CO_2-e in 2019. Of the total area afforested or reforested between 1990 and 2020, an estimated 38,969 hectares were deforested between 1990 and 2020 (table 11.3.1). The emissions for this area are reported under *Deforestation*.

New Zealand's post-1989 forest is described in further detail in chapter 6, section 6.3.

Table 11.3.1 New Zealand's estimated annual area under *Afforestation and reforestation* from 1990 to 2020

Year	Annual area of *Afforestation and reforestation* (ha)			
	Afforestation/reforestation+	Harvesting	Deforestation	Net cumulative area
1990	14,512	0	0	14,512
1991	14,333	0	0	28,845
1992	44,297	0	0	73,142
1993	53,895	0	0	127,037
1994	85,074	0	0	212,111
1995	64,101	0	0	276,212
1996	72,408	0	0	348,619
1997	57,166	0	0	405,786
1998	46,789	0	0	452,575
1999	37,526	0	0	490,101
2000	32,863	0	0	522,964
2001	30,684	0	0	553,649
2002	22,852	0	598	575,902
2003	21,459	0	1,886	595,474
2004	14,967	0	1,733	608,709
2005	12,010	200	1,972	618,747
2006	10,080	500	1,690	627,137
2007	9,418	500	4,057	632,499
2008	5,302	713	1,155	636,646
2009	8,352	844	2,059	642,939
2010	10,513	1,366	1,723	651,729
2011	18,138	1,649	2,206	667,660
2012	17,502	1,375	1,673	683,489
2013	7,285	3,175	2,913	687,861
2014	5,937	2,491	2,978	690,819
2015	5,937	3,609	2,523	694,233
2016	5,784	5,557	3,092	696,925
2017P	8,434	4,537	3,004	702,355
2018P	8,779	9,487	1,295	709,838
2019P	27,070	11,214	1,669	735,240
2020P	40,887	14,525	741	775,385
Total	**814,354**	**61,743**	**38,969**	**775,385**

Note: P = provisional figure; + = gross area. Columns may not total due to rounding. Afforestation differs from that in chapter 6 due to carbon equivalent forests being reported separately.

Table 11.3.2 provides synthesised information on the correspondence between forest land categories (i.e., the area of planted forest versus natural forest as presented in common reporting format (CRF) table 4.A) and the area of *Afforestation and reforestation* reported in CRF table 4(KP-1)A.1. Furthermore, table 11.3.3 details why the area reported under *Forest management* does not reconcile with the area reported for *Forest land remaining forest land* under the Convention. This is due to forests reported under land in transition and carbon equivalent forests (CEFs). These tables have been added to address expert review team recommendations KL.5 and KL.8 (FCCC/ARR/2017/NZL, UNFCCC, 2018).

Table 11.3.2 New Zealand's estimated annual area under *Afforestation and reforestation* from 2013 to 2020

	Cumulative area of *Afforestation and reforestation* of different forest types (ha)		
Year	Planted forest	Natural forest	Net cumulative area
2013	616,127	71,734	**687,861**
2014	617,091	73,729	**690,819**
2015	618,502	75,731	**694,233**
2016	619,211	77,714	**696,925**
2017	621,150	81,205	**702,355**
2018	625,795	84,044	**709,838**
2019	648,191	87,048	**735,240**
2020	684,880	90,506	**775,385**

Table 11.3.3 New Zealand's *Afforestation and reforestation* reconciliation between Kyoto Protocol and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Convention reporting from 2008 to 2020

	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Forest remaining forest (kha)	9,233.0	9,254.8	9,260.7	9,267.7	9,301.0	9,340.9	9,415.0	9,469.3	9,526.8	9,573.3	9,614.2	9,645.9	9,675.1
Forest management (kha)	9,262.8	9,254.8	9,246.4	9,240.1	9,231.4	9,220.4	9,212.9	9,207.4	9,203.9	9,203.7	9,203.0	9,200.4	9,199.0
Difference (kha)	**–30**	**0**	**14**	**28**	**70**	**121**	**202**	**262**	**323**	**370**	**411**	**445**	**476**
Land in transition (under a 20 year transition period)													
New forest planted before 1990 – included in FM (kha)	29.8	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
New forest planted after 1990 – included in A&R (kha)	–	–	–14.3	–27.7	–69.7	–120.6	–202.2	–262.5	–325.5	–375.2	–419.1	–454.0	–485.0
Carbon equivalent forests													
CEF – Newly established	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.6	2.3	4.1	4.7	4.9
CEF – Harvested and converted	–	–	–	–	–	–	0.1	0.6	2.1	3.3	3.8	3.9	4.0
Total in Forest management but not Forest remaining forest	**30**	**–**	**–14**	**–28**	**–70**	**–121**	**–202**	**–262**	**–323**	**–370**	**–411**	**–445**	**–476**

Note: A&R = Afforestation and reforestation; CEF = carbon equivalent forest; FM = Forest management; kha = kilohectare. Columns may not total due to rounding.

Government initiatives and legislation

Since 1993, the New Zealand Government has introduced legislation and government initiatives to encourage forest establishment and discourage deforestation. These measures are summarised below.

- Climate Change Response Act 2002 (amended 8 December 2009 and 22 June 2020)

  The NZ ETS was introduced under the Climate Change Response Act 2002. *Forest land* was introduced into the scheme on 1 January 2008. Under the scheme, owners of post-1989 forest land have been able to voluntarily participate in the NZ ETS and receive emission units (New Zealand Units (NZUs)) for increases in carbon stocks. Recent participants in the NZ ETS may claim units for increases in carbon stocks from the start of the previous emissions reporting period for the NZ ETS, the most recent of which is 2018. Participants can also claim units annually through a voluntary emissions return.

- Erosion Control Funding Programme

  The Erosion Control Funding Programme, formerly the East Coast Forestry Project, is a grant scheme that was established in 1992. It aimed to address soil erosion on the worst eroding land in the Gisborne District through planting trees or encouraging natural reversion to native bush (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2014). To date, 40,342 hectares of forest have been established under the scheme. This programme was discontinued in 2018 and superseded by the One Billion Trees Programme (see below).

- Permanent Forest Sink Initiative

  The Permanent Forest Sink Initiative enables land owners to earn carbon credits through the establishment of permanent forests on land that was not forested before 1990 (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015b). In total, 15,584 hectares have been registered under this scheme. In 2018 it was announced that the scheme would be discontinued and replaced by a permanent post-1989 forest category in the NZ ETS in 2023.

- Hill Country Erosion Programme

  The Hill Country Erosion Programme, like the Erosion Control Funding Programme, is focused on the retiring and afforestation of erosion-prone, hill-country land. This programme focuses on giving councils additional resourcing to build their technical capability, give advice to land owners of erosion-prone land, and supports the planting of trees and establishment of forests. It underwent a review in 2011 and continues with an expanded target area throughout erosion-prone land in the North Island (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015c). To date, 16,289 hectares of plantings have been established under this scheme, of which 5,882 hectares meet the definition of forest land.

- Afforestation Grant Scheme

  The Afforestation Grant Scheme was first established in 2008 to promote carbon sequestration, reduce soil erosion and improve water quality. The first round of the scheme established 9,343 hectares of new forest between 2008 and 2013. A second afforestation grant scheme was established in 2015, and 7,846 hectares of new forest were established under this scheme (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015a). The scheme was replaced by the One Billion Trees Programme in 2018.

- One Billion Trees Programme

  The One Billion Trees Programme was established in 2018 to support individuals and groups across New Zealand to plant trees and manage land sustainably. Te Uru Rākau works in partnership with land owners and organisations to achieve the goal of planting 1 billion trees by 2028 (Te Uru Rākau, 2018). Since the programme was announced, to the end of 2020, 258,686,000 trees have been planted.

In addition to government policies and legislation, afforestation and deforestation rates in New Zealand have also been driven by market conditions. For example, new planting rates were high from 1992 to 1998, averaging 60,533 hectares per year. This is attributed to the taxation regime, an unprecedented price spike for forest products with subsequent favourable publicity, a government focus on forestry as an instrument for regional development and the conclusion of the state forest assets sale. The removal of agricultural subsidies and the poor performance of the New Zealand and international share markets also encouraged investors to seek alternatives (Rhodes and Novis, 2002).

The rate of new planting declined from 1996 until 2008. This is likely due to the relative profitability of other forms of land use and high rural land prices. Between 2008 and 2012, planting rates began to increase again, largely attributable to the NZ ETS (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015d), Afforestation Grant Scheme (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015a) and Permanent Forest Sink Initiative (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015b).

The afforestation rate reduced after 2012. This is likely due in part to a significant drop in the price of carbon in the NZ ETS and the increase in profitability of other non-forest land uses. In 2019 and 2020, *Afforestation and reforestation* activities significantly increased again, with 27,070 hectares of new planting occurring in 2019 and 40,887 hectares in 2020. This is likely due to seedlings funded by the One Billion Trees programme being planted and higher carbon prices in the NZ ETS resulting from the announcements leading up to the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Bill and its passing in June 2020.

The Land Use Carbon Analysis System land use map is used to determine the new planting area activity data from 1990 to 2016. The activity data used to estimate new planting in planted forests between 2017 and 2020 are obtained from a national survey of forest owners (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020b), the NZ ETS scheme for areas subject to the carbon equivalent forest provision and the Ministry for Primary Industries afforestation scheme data. The survey respondents report areas as net stocked area. However, gross stocked area is reported in the Inventory. To account for the difference between the two sources of data (mapping and survey), an unstocked area component is added to the new planting statistic between 2017 and 2020. For estimating emissions associated with new planting, the net planted forest area is modelled separately from the unstocked area component. This ensures the net new planting and NZ ETS data used in the Inventory are consistent with the gross mapped forest area.

New Zealand reports on harvested wood products originating from *Afforestation and reforestation* activities. This is described further in section 11.3.6.

New Zealand may choose to apply the provision for the treatment of natural disturbance emissions to its afforestation and reforestation accounting (Ministry for the Environment, 2015). The method used to set New Zealand's natural disturbance background level is outlined in annex 5, section A5.2.

While some wildfire has occurred within *Afforestation and reforestation* activities since 2013, this was not at a high enough level for New Zealand to trigger the natural disturbance provision.

11.3.3 Deforestation (CRF 4(KP.A.2))

In 2020, *Deforestation* emissions were 1,320.5 kt CO_2-e, compared with 3,131.8 kt CO_2-e in 2019. These emissions result from the loss of carbon, which was stored in the biomass before deforestation, occurring in the year that deforestation occurs; soil carbon stock changes including lagged emissions from previous deforestation events; mineralisation of soil nitrogen associated with the land-use change; emissions from burning biomass on deforested land; and removals from biomass growth of the new land use, which accumulates at the rates given in chapter 6, under each land use category.

The estimated area reported under *Deforestation* for 2020 was 2,443 hectares. This is 48.7 per cent lower than the recalculated 2019 value, resulting in lower emissions reported under *Deforestation* in 2020.

Table 11.3.4 shows the areas of *Forest land* subject to *Deforestation* activities since 2008 by forest category.

Table 11.3.4 Area of New Zealand subject to deforestation

Year	Annual area of deforestation (ha)				
	Pre-1990 natural forest	Pre-1990 planted forest	Post-1989 planted forest	Post-1989 natural forest	Total
2008	762	3,773	1,142	14	**5,691**
2009	2,454	5,561	2,003	55	**10,073**
2010	1,943	6,402	1,695	29	**10,069**
2011	1,100	5,270	2,117	89	**8,577**
2012	1,138	7,561	1,613	60	**10,372**
2013	1,148	9,837	2,801	112	**13,897**
2014	601	6,927	2,855	123	**10,507**
2015	972	4,484	2,407	116	**7,980**
2016	769	3,301	2,957	134	**7,162**
2017[P]	781	1,159	2,880	124	**4,944**
2018[P]	781	1,750	1,171	124	**3,826**
2019[P]	781	2,309	1,545	124	**4,759**
2020[P]	781	922	617	124	**2,443**

Note: P = provisional figure. Areas as at 31 December 2020. Deforestation differs from that in chapter 6 due to carbon equivalent forests being reported separately.

Figure 11.3.1 shows the annual areas deforested since 1990, by forest category. This illustrates the increase in pre-1990 planted forest deforestation that occurred in the four years leading up to 2008.

While the conversion of land from one land use to another is not uncommon in New Zealand, plantation forest deforestation on the scale seen between 2004 and 2008 was a new phenomenon. Most of the area of planted forest that was deforested from the mid-2000s onwards has subsequently been converted to grassland. This conversion is due in part to the relative profitability of some forms of pastoral farming (particularly dairy farming), compared with forestry, as well as the anticipated introduction of the NZ ETS.

Figure 11.3.1 New Zealand's annual areas of deforestation from 1990 to 2020



No emissions are recorded from deforestation of pre-1990 planted forest or post-1989 forest estimated before 2000. This activity was not significant, and insufficient data exist to reliably report the small areas of deforestation that may have occurred.

Since the introduction of the NZ ETS in 2008, owners of pre-1990 planted forest have been able to deforest a maximum of 2 hectares in any five-year period without having to surrender emission units. Above this level of deforestation, they are required to surrender units equal to the reported emissions, with some exemptions for smaller forest owners and tree weeds within protected areas (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015d). Since 2007, a significant reduction has occurred in the rate of deforestation of pre-1990 planted forest. Post-1989 forest owners, who are registered in the scheme, also have legal obligations to surrender units if the carbon stocks in their registered forest area fall below a previously reported level (for example, due to deforestation, harvesting or fire).

It was expected that the level of deforestation during the first Kyoto Protocol commitment period (2008–12) would be less than that seen before the introduction of the NZ ETS in 2008 (Manley, 2009). However, following the introduction of the NZ ETS, the carbon price went into a steady decline. The low carbon price reduced the liability on pre-1990 planted forest owners for deforestation. Consequently, more deforestation has occurred since 2008 than previously expected. Carbon prices have since increased, following the exclusion of international units from the scheme on 31 May 2015, an NZ ETS review and the passing of the Climate Change Response (Emissions Trading Reform) Amendment Act in 2020. This legislated major changes to the NZ ETS, including incentives for afforestation and the introduction of a unit cap to the scheme. These higher carbon prices are coincident with reduced deforestation activities.

The area of deforestation of pre-1990 natural forest before 2008 has been estimated by linear interpolation from the average land-use change mapped between 1 January 1990 and 1 January 2008. However, several factors suggest that the rate of pre-1990 natural forest deforestation is unlikely to have been constant over the 18-year period between 1990 and 2007, but instead mostly occurred before 2002. The area available for harvesting (and potentially deforestation) was higher before 1993 when amendments were made to the Forests Act 1949 that restricted natural forest harvesting. Further restrictions on the harvesting of natural forests were also introduced in 2002, resulting in the cessation of harvesting of publicly owned forests on the West Coast of New Zealand from that time on. Both of these developments are likely to have reduced pre-1990 natural forest deforestation since 2002.

Further detail on the methods employed for estimating deforestation is provided in annex 3, section A3.2.2.

11.3.4 Forest management CRF 4(KP.B.1))

New Zealand reports emissions and removals from *Forest management* from 2013 onwards. New Zealand has applied the broad approach to interpreting the definition of forest management so that it includes the whole area classified as pre-1990 natural forest and pre-1990 planted forest. The area in this category excludes any area deforested since 1990, because this is reported under Article 3.3 – *Deforestation*, and includes areas to which the carbon equivalent forest provision is applied.

In 2020, emissions on this land were –16,031.9 kt CO_2-e. This included emissions of –7,118.4 kt CO_2-e from harvested wood products originating from *Forest management*.

The total area remaining in *Forest management* at the end of 2020 was 9,198,965 hectares; this is a decrease of 170,210 hectares (or 1.8 per cent) since 1990.

The source of the activity data and emission factors applied to *Forest management* activities is described in more detail in chapter 6. This is because New Zealand applies the same methods to estimating emissions from *Forest management* activities as those applied to the equivalent land use category, *Forest land remaining forest land*, of the inventory.

Where the land reported under *Forest management* has remained in the same land use category for more than 20 years, mineral soil carbon stocks are assumed to have reached steady state. New Zealand models the effects of land use on mineral soil carbon based on empirical measurements collected from each land use subdivision in steady state, specifically to model land-use change and management effects. The pre-1990 forests are subdivided into natural and planted forest types, which allows the different management methods to be taken into account. Where organic soil is present on land reported under *Forest management* that is no longer natural forest, the soil organic carbon pool is reported as an ongoing source of emissions. More detail is provided in annex 3, section A3.2.4. This information has been added to address expert review team recommendation KL.4 (FCCC/ARR/2017/NZL, UNFCCC, 2018).

As agreed in Decision 2/CMP.7 (UNFCCC, 2012), accounting for *Forest management* is now mandatory and measured against the FMRL inscribed in the appendix to the annex to Decision 2/CMP.7 (UNFCCC, 2012). This means New Zealand is only required to take responsibility for emissions from land under *Forest management* where these emissions are greater than the reference level, and can claim reductions where emissions are less than the reference level (up to a cap set at 3.5 per cent of New Zealand's gross emissions in the base year, per year). New Zealand's annual cap is set at 2,335.2 kt CO_2-e or 18,681.6 kt CO_2-e across the 2013 to 2020 accounting period.

The FMRL was set using a business-as-usual projection of emissions for *Forest management* over the period to 2020 and represents the estimated annual average emissions between 2013 and 2020.

New Zealand's $FMRL_{corr}$ (technically corrected FMRL) is –14,339.3 kt CO_2-e. This value has been calculated as a result of four technical corrections to the original FMRL value of 11,150.0 kt CO_2-e per year, in accordance with Decision 2/CMP.7, Annex I, para 14 (UNFCCC, 2012). Details of the corrections made to the FMRL are provided in annex 5, section A5.1.

Average annual emissions for *Forest management* from 2013 to 2020 were –18,337.1 kt CO_2-e, 3,997.8 kt CO_2-e lower than the $FMRL_{corr}$ (technically corrected FMRL) of –14,339.3 kt CO_2-e. The lower emissions from *Forest management* are primarily driven by the pre-1990 planted forest category. A breakdown of the average annual net emissions for each *Forest management* component is provided in table 11.3.5.

Table 11.3.5 Comparison of the annual average net emissions for *Forest management* and the $FMRL_{corr}$ over the commitment period

Forest management component	Net emissions (kt CO_2-e) $FMRL_{corr}$	Forest management	Difference
Pre-1990 natural forest	–1,442.1	–1,373.5	68.6
Pre-1990 planted forest	–477.4	–6,643.3	–6,166.0
Carbon equivalent forests	0.0	437.0	437.0
Harvested wood products	–12,496.8	–10,842.2	1,654.6
Non-CO_2 emissions	77.0	85.0	8.0
Total	**–14,339.3**	**–18,337.1**	**–3,997.8**

The average annual net emissions from pre-1990 planted forests were 6.166.0 kt CO_2-e lower under *Forest management* compared with the $FMRL_{corr}$. This difference is driven mainly by a higher projected rate of harvest in the $FMRL_{corr}$, with a greater annual harvest area of 10,200 hectares on average from 2013 to 2020 (figure 11.3.2). The higher projected rate of harvest has also resulted in lower net emissions, due to more inputs into the harvested wood products pool.

A difference in net emissions in pre-1990 planted forest from $FMRL_{corr}$ and *Forest management* may be expected, because some harvesting events projected in the $FMRL_{corr}$ will have resulted in deforestation. The emissions from these events will be counted in the *Deforestation* category rather than *Forest management*. A comparison of the difference in net emissions between $FMRL_{corr}$ and *Forest management* for the planted forest estate, as well as for *Harvested wood products*, is provided in figures 11.3.3 and 11.3.4.

The final accounting quantity for *Forest management* was the *Forest management* cap of –2,335.2 kt CO_2-e per year, for a total contribution of –18,681.6 kt CO_2-e over the 2013 to 2020 commitment period.

Figure 11.3.2 Annual harvest area for the FMRL$_{corr}$ and *Forest management*, from 2009 to 2020



Figure 11.3.3 Comparison of emissions for the planted forest estate for the FMRL$_{corr}$ and *Forest management*, from 2009-to 2020

Figure 11.3.4 Comparison of emissions for *Harvested wood products* for the $FMRL_{corr}$ and *Forest management*, from 2009-to 2020

Technical corrections to the forest management reference level

New Zealand's FMRL, as inscribed in the appendix to the annex to Decision 2/CMP.7 (UNFCCC, 2012), was 11,150 kt CO_2-e per year. New Zealand has submitted three previous technical corrections to the FMRL; the 2016 submission, the 2019 submission and the 2021 submission. In this 2022 submission, New Zealand is including another technical correction. A correction of –25,489.3 kt CO_2-e is being applied for the 2022 submission resulting in a $FMRL_{corr}$ of –14,339.3 kt CO_2-e. This is a change of –3,629.8 kt CO_2-e from the $FMRL_{corr}$ submitted in the 2021 submission. A technical correction to the FMRL for the 2022 submission has been applied for the reasons listed below and is described in more detail in annex 5, section A5.1:

- the estimate of emissions from biomass in the pre-1990 planted forestry estate has been corrected due to improvements in the methodological elements, including changes to the forest age, harvest age profile and yield tables, used to construct the FMRL
- the estimate of emissions from biomass in the pre-1990 natural forest estate has been corrected due to improvements in the methodological elements used to construct the FMRL
- the model approach and assumptions for *Harvested wood products* have been updated to ensure consistency with the approach used to estimate emissions from *Forest management*
- the inclusion of emissions from overplanting, where pre-1990 natural forest is removed and replaced with planted forest, has been removed from the FMRL following discussions with the expert review team during the review of the 2021 submission
- the emissions associated with CEF have been removed from the FMRL following discussions with the expert review team during the review of the 2021 submission
- nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions from drained organic soils have been incorporated in the FMRL.

Carbon equivalent forests

The carbon equivalent forest provision allows pre-1990 planted forests that meet the conditions specified in Decision 2/CMP.7, paragraph 37 (UNFCCC, 2012), to be harvested and converted to another land use without being classified as deforested, provided a new forest that will reach carbon equivalence is established elsewhere. New Zealand's carbon equivalent forests, between the 2013 and 2020 period, are summarised in table 11.3.6.

Table 11.3.6 Carbon equivalent forests (2013–20)

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
CEF_{NE} area (ha)	NIL	–	–	612.3	1,685.7	1,795.0	579.7	224.5
Net emissions (t CO_2)	NA	–	–	1.2	28.9	11.1	4.3	–13.5
CEF_{HC} area (ha)	NIL	52.0	543.4	1,475.4	1,228.4	499.1	100.3	62.8
Net emissions (t CO_2)	NA	41.1	430.6	1,168.5	1,200.1	481.7	89.3	52.2
Total (kt CO_2)	**NA**	**41.1**	**430.6**	**1,169.7**	**1,229.0**	**492.8**	**93.6**	**38.8**

Note: CEF_{HC} refers to the existing forest land that is harvested and converted to non-forest land; CEF_{NE} refers to the non-forest land on which a forest is newly established. NA = not available. Columns may not total due to rounding. Disaggregated data on the application of the provision are provided in annex 5, section A5.3.

The carbon equivalent forest provision is administered domestically by the New Zealand Ministry for Primary Industries as part of the NZ ETS. The domestic carbon equivalent forest rules are broadly aligned with those in the Kyoto Protocol Supplement (IPCC, 2014a). Misalignments between the domestic and international rule sets include:

- domestically, the carbon equivalent forest can be established before the forest land is converted to another land use, and
- the newly established carbon equivalent forest can be established on land that was forested on 31 December 1989.

Where these misalignments are detected, these activities are instead reported as separate afforestation and deforestation events.

Emissions from the conversion of forest land under the carbon equivalent forest provision are calculated as a deforestation event. In calculating these emissions, all carbon dioxide stored in biomass is instantly emitted at the time of conversion and soil organic carbon changes due to land-use change are accounted for. The emissions from the establishment of the new forest under the provision are calculated as an afforestation event and include biomass loss and soil organic carbon changes resulting from this land-use change. Net emissions from the activities are reported under *Forest management* and monitored over time to ensure carbon equivalence.

The carbon equivalent forest provision creates a misalignment for the afforestation and deforestation area reported under Decision 2/CMP.7 and the 2013 supplementary Kyoto Protocol guidelines and reporting under the 2006 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Guidelines. The carbon equivalent forest provision is not recognised under the UNFCCC and instead is reported as *Land converted to forest land* (afforestation) and *Forest land converted to other land uses* (deforestation).

11.3.5 Voluntary activities under Article 3.4

New Zealand has not elected to report on any voluntary activities under Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol.

11.3.6 Harvested wood products (CRF 4(KP-I)C)

The *Harvested wood products* category comprises all wood material that leaves a harvest site and is subsequently processed. This wood constitutes a carbon reservoir (section 12.1, IPCC, 2006a).

New Zealand is required to report changes in the harvested wood products pool under the Kyoto Protocol from 2013. For *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Forest management*, estimates are derived from a modified IPCC Convention reporting model. The emissions from *Harvested wood products* originating from *Deforestation* activities are instantly oxidised.

Harvested wood product emissions for 2020 *Afforestation and reforestation* were –4,005.4 kt CO_2-e, and for *Forest management* they were –7,118.4 kt CO_2-e.

New Zealand has a large planted forest estate that provides most of the wood products consumed domestically. The remainder of domestic production is exported in either product or raw material form. A more detailed description of the forest estate and New Zealand wood use is provided in chapter 6, section 6.9.

New Zealand has developed a Tier 2 method to report *Harvested wood products* under the Kyoto Protocol. New Zealand uses the default Tier 2 methodology, as described in the IPCC guidance (IPCC, 2014a), and some country-specific activity data and parameters where available. IPCC default half-lives and some conversion factors are used. Country-specific conversion factors are used for domestically produced sawnwood and veneer sheets (see chapter 6, table 6.9.1).

Activity data on roundwood production volume and roundwood export volume are sourced from the Ministry of Primary Industries (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2021). Data on wood product production and export are sourced from the Food and Agriculture Organization statistical database (FAOSTAT) that is provided to the Food and Agriculture Organization by the Ministry for Primary Industries.

The basic data are the same as those used for IPCC Convention reporting, except the time series begins in 1990 for *Afforestation and reforestation* and 2013 for *Forest management*. Note that New Zealand did not account for the *Harvested wood products* pool in the first commitment period. Also, the *Solid wood* category used for IPCC Convention reporting is disaggregated into *Sawnwood* and *Wood-based panels* for Kyoto Protocol reporting.

Furthermore, in accordance with volume 4, chapter 12, page 12.6 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines, New Zealand treats CO_2 released from wood burnt for energy as an instant emission. Carbon dioxide emissions from *Harvested wood products* in solid waste disposal sites are also treated as an instant emission. These emissions are accounted for under the *Harvested wood products* category and not in the waste sector and this is described in more detail in Wakelin et al., 2020.

In 2020, a large proportion (nearly 61 per cent) of New Zealand's harvest was exported as raw materials in the form of logs or wood chips (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020a). The FAOSTAT database provides data on the export quantity of raw materials but provides no information on the conversion of these materials to products and their expected half-lives. A project was completed in 2016 to provide information on harvested wood products from exported logs in New Zealand's three main export markets (China, India and South Korea). The study found that most New Zealand wood is converted into construction and packaging materials. Therefore, weighted half-lives were found to be significantly lower than the IPCC default half-lives for sawnwood and panels (35 years and 25 years respectively). These findings are included in New Zealand's *Harvested wood products* estimates and provide an improvement on the previous assumption of instant emission for exported raw materials. More information on this is provided in chapter 6, section 6.9.2, and annex 3, section A3.2.6.

Emissions from the harvest of *Afforestation and reforestation* land are reported from 1990 onwards. These lands will provide a growing contribution to *Harvested wood products* as post-1989 planted forest reaches harvest age. Harvested wood products originating from *Afforestation and reforestation* land each year are estimated by prorating the above-ground biomass carbon losses from the harvest of these lands to the total above-ground biomass carbon losses from all harvesting and deforestation.

Harvesting is the primary driver of emissions from *Forest management* land, specifically pre-1990 planted forest, for which emissions from harvested wood products are accounted for from 2013 onwards. Harvested wood products originating from *Forest management* land each year are estimated by prorating the above-ground biomass carbon losses from the harvest of these lands to the total above-ground biomass carbon losses from all harvesting and deforestation. Accounting of harvested wood products on these lands is against New Zealand's projected FMRL and, therefore, emissions from the decay of harvested wood products created before 2013 are excluded.

Harvested wood products originating from *Deforestation* are instantly emitted, as required under the Kyoto Protocol; however, the production statistics do not identify products that were derived originally from the wood that was harvested as part of the deforestation activity. The share of roundwood volume originating from *Deforestation* is estimated by comparing the above-ground biomass carbon losses from *Deforestation* with the above-ground biomass carbon losses from harvesting. This provides a proportion to apply to the production statistics to separate harvested wood products originating from *Deforestation*.

Non-forest harvest is treated as an instant emission. Harvest from these lands is assumed to be used for fuel wood. Therefore, the harvested wood products contribution from non-forest lands is assumed to be zero.

11.3.7 Other greenhouse gas sources

Direct nitrous oxide emissions from nitrogen fertilisation (CRF 4(KP-II)1)

New Zealand's activity data on nitrogen fertilisation are not currently disaggregated by land use; therefore, all N_2O emissions from nitrogen fertilisation are reported under the Agriculture sector in the category *Direct N_2O emissions from managed soils* (CRF 3.D). The notation key IE (included elsewhere) is reported in the CRF tables for the KP-LULUCF sector (section 2.4.4.2, IPCC, 2014a).

Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from drained and rewetted organic soils (CRF 4(KP-II)2)

New Zealand reports on N_2O emissions, as a result of oxidation of organic matter, from the drainage of organic soils for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management.* Emissions are estimated following the methodology outlined in the IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a) and described in chapter 6, section 6.10.2. Total emissions for these three activities are 0.5 kt N_2O. The emissions occurring under each activity are reported in table 11.3.7.

Table 11.3.7 Nitrous oxide emissions from the drainage of organic soils in 2020 by activity

Activity	Emissions (kt N_2O)	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)
Afforestation and reforestation	0.2	60.9
Deforestation	0.1	27.2
Forest management	0.2	62.9
Total	**0.5**	**150.9**

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

Nitrous oxide emissions from nitrogen mineralisation and immobilisation associated with land use conversions and management in mineral soils (CRF 4(KP-II)3)

Nitrous oxide emissions, resulting from nitrogen mineralisation and immobilisation associated with land conversion, are reported for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management*. These are calculated following the IPCC Guidelines (IPCC, 2006a). Total emissions for these three activities are 0.2 kt N_2O. The emissions occurring under each activity are reported in table 11.3.8.

Table 11.3.8 Nitrous oxide emissions from nitrogen mineralisation and immobilisation soils in 2020 by activity

Activity	Emissions (kt N_2O)	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)
Afforestation and reforestation	0.1	44.2
Deforestation	0.0	1.7
Forest management	0.0	0.0
Total	**0.2**	**45.9**

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

Emissions associated with *Indirect N_2O emissions from managed soils* are also reported under the Agriculture sector. New Zealand reports IE in the relevant CRF tables.

Biomass burning (CRF 4(KP-II)4)

Afforestation and reforestation

Non-carbon dioxide emissions resulting from wildfire are attributed to *Afforestation and reforestation* by the proportion of the total planted forest area that these forests make up. An age-based carbon yield table is then used to estimate non-CO_2 emissions for *Afforestation and reforestation* land. This approach assumes that the carbon stock affected by wildfire is equivalent to the carbon stock at the average stand age each year throughout the time series (Wakelin, unpublished(a)). Carbon dioxide emissions resulting from wildfire events are reported as IE in the CRF tables because these are assumed to be captured in the harvest emissions of salvage logged stands.

A survey of controlled burning activities in planted forests was carried out in 2011. The survey indicated that, on average, 5 per cent of conversions to planted forest between 1990 and 2011 involved burning to clear vegetation. This area is allocated to *Forest management* (land converted from natural forest) and *Afforestation* (land converted from grassland with woody biomass) on a pro rata basis (Wakelin, unpublished(b)).

It is currently assumed that controlled burning of post-harvest residues before replanting on *Afforestation* land does not occur due to the nature of harvest in short-rotation forest grown for pulp (where most biomass is removed from the site).

Deforestation

An estimate is provided for controlled burning of post-harvest slash associated with *Deforestation*. No information is available on the extent of burning associated with *Deforestation* in New Zealand. Therefore, it is assumed that 30 per cent of conversions involve burning. This percentage is chosen as a conservative proportion of one of the four main methods for disposing of residues in New Zealand. The other methods for residue disposal are chipping and removal, mulching into the soil and leaving to decay (Goulding, unpublished). To estimate emissions from the burning of harvest residue, the IPCC default combustion proportion for non-eucalypt temperate forest (0.62) is applied to an emission factor derived from the national plot network (table 2.6, IPCC, 2006a). The emission factor excludes the proportion of logs taken offsite (70 per cent of above-ground biomass) and is taken from the relevant yield tables at the average age of harvest in New Zealand.

Estimates are provided for wildfire on deforested land (*Forest land converted to grassland*) in the Inventory. The activity data do not identify deforested land; therefore, non-CO_2 emissions resulting from wildfire are attributed to deforested land by the proportion of area that deforested land makes up of the total *Grassland* area. The methodology follows that described in chapter 6, section 6.10.8. Around 1 per cent of wildfire emissions in *Grassland* are estimated to have occurred on deforested land between 2008 and 2020.

Forest management

Non-CO_2 emissions from wildfires in pre-1990 forest land are reported under *Forest management*. A plot-network-derived biomass density is used to estimate non-CO_2 emissions from wildfire on *Forest management* land. Aggregated wildfire activity data are attributed to each forest management category by proportion of forest type estimated to be burned over the time series. The split attributes 87.5 per cent to planted forest and the remaining to natural forest (Wakelin, unpublished(a)). The planted forest activity data are further split into pre-1990 and post-1989 forest (see 'Afforestation and reforestation' above). In planted forest, it is assumed that the carbon stock affected by wildfire is equivalent to the carbon stock at the average stand age in each category (Wakelin, unpublished(a)).

A survey of controlled burning in planted forest was carried out in 2011 (Wakelin, unpublished(b)). Estimates were provided for burning associated with the clearing of vegetation (i.e., natural forest and grassland with woody biomass) before the establishment of exotic planted forest (see 'Afforestation and reforestation' above).

The survey also provided data on the burning of post-harvest slash before restocking. This activity was found to occur mainly as a training exercise for wildfire control or for the clearing of slash heaps on skid sites. The data indicated that 0.8 per cent of the restocked area was burned annually in recent years (Wakelin, unpublished(b)). This estimate was combined with two earlier estimates of controlled burning in planted forest (Forest Industry Training and Education Council, 2005; Robertson, 1998) to provide activity data throughout the time series. It is assumed that 1.6 per cent of restocked area was burned from 1990 to 1997 (Wakelin, unpublished(b)). From 1997, the area burned declines linearly to 0.8 per cent, which is used from 2005 onwards (Wakelin, unpublished(b)).

A more detailed description of *Biomass burning* on *Forest land* is provided in chapter 6, section 6.10.8.

11.4 Other methodological issues

11.4.1 Uncertainty and time-series consistency

The uncertainty in net emissions from *Afforestation and reforestation* is ±38.3 per cent at the 95 per cent confidence interval. This is based on the uncertainty in emissions from post-1989 planted forest, post-1989 natural forest and *Harvested wood products* (tables 11.4.1 and 11.4.2).

The uncertainty in emissions from *Deforestation* is determined by the type of *Forest land* (table 11.4.1). The combined uncertainty introduced into emissions from *Deforestation* at the 95 per cent confidence intervals is ±31.4 per cent (table 11.4.2).

The combined uncertainty in emissions from *Forest management* is ±80.5 per cent at a 95 per cent confidence interval. This is the combined uncertainty of pre-1990 natural forest and pre-1990 planted forest and includes uncertainty associated with *Harvested wood products*.

Further detail on the uncertainty in emissions for pre-1990 natural forest, pre-1990 planted forest, post-1989 planted forest, post-89 natural forest and *Harvested wood products* is provided in chapter 6, sections 6.3.3 and 6.9.3.

Total uncertainty in New Zealand's estimates of emissions for Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol is ±45.7 per cent at a 95 per cent confidence interval.

Table 11.4.1 Uncertainty in New Zealand's estimates for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management* in 2020

	Uncertainty (%) at a 95% confidence interval							
	Afforestation and reforestation		Deforestation				Forest management	
	Post-1989 planted forest	Post-1989 natural forest	Pre-1990 natural forest	Pre-1990 planted forest	Post-1989 planted forest	Post-1989 natural forest	Pre-1990 natural forest	Pre-1990 planted forest
Activity data								
Uncertainty in land area	±8.0	±8.0	±5.0	±5.0	±5.0	±5.0	±5.0	±5.0
Emission factors								
Uncertainty in biomass carbon stocks (losses)	±20.5	–	±27.3	±21.0	±20.5	±27.0	–	±21.0
Uncertainty in biomass carbon change (gains)	±8.9	±44.8	–	–	–	–	±119.6	±11.4
Uncertainty in soil carbon stocks	±10.4	±10.4	±7.9	±12.3	±10.4	±10.4	±7.9	±12.3
Uncertainty in harvested wood products	±68.2		–	–	–		–	±68.2
Uncertainty introduced into emissions for Kyoto Protocol	**±35.8**	**±0.8**	**±0.4**	**±0.6**	**±0.3**	**±0.0**	**±3.3**	**±30.7**

Note: All land that has been afforested or reforested since 1 January 1990 is defined as post-1989 forest. Land deforested since 1 January 1990 may be pre-1990 natural forest, pre-1990 planted forest, post-1989 planted forest or post-1989 natural forest.

Table 11.4.2 Total uncertainty in New Zealand's estimates for *Afforestation and reforestation*, *Deforestation* and *Forest management* in 2020

Variable	Emissions (kt CO_2-e)	Uncertainty in emissions (%) at a 95% confidence interval	Uncertainty introduced to Kyoto Protocol emissions (%)
Afforestation and reforestation uncertainty introduced into emissions for Kyoto Protocol	–14,764.7	38.3	19.2
Deforestation uncertainty introduced into emissions for Kyoto Protocol	1,320.5	31.4	1.4
Forest management uncertainty introduced into emissions for Kyoto Protocol	–16,031.9	80.5	43.8
Total uncertainty for Kyoto Protocol	**–29,476.1**	**45.7**	

11.4.2 Quality control and quality assurance

Quality-control and quality-assurance procedures have been adopted for all data collection and data analyses, to be consistent with the IPCC General Guidance and Reporting (IPCC, 2006b) and New Zealand's inventory quality-control and quality-assurance plan. Quality-control and quality-assurance plans were established for each type of data used to determine carbon stock and stock changes, as well as the areal extent and spatial location of land-use changes. All data were subject to an independent and documented quality-assurance process. Data validation rules and reports were established to ensure all data are fit for purpose and are of consistent and known quality, and that data quality continues to be improved over time. The data used to derive the country-specific yield tables and average carbon values have also undergone quality assurance, as described in chapter 6, section 6.3.4.

11.4.3 Recalculations

New Zealand's greenhouse gas estimates for activities under Article 3.3 of the Kyoto Protocol have been recalculated since the previous submission to incorporate improved activity data and emission factors.

Activity data

Table 11.4.3 shows a decrease in the estimated total area of new planting for 2019 since the last submission. This is due to updated new planting data being provided by the NEFD survey (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020b).

The total area of deforestation as at 2019 has increased, compared with the estimate made for the 2021 submission. This is due to updates to the mapped deforestation area estimates from 2013 to 2017, as well as changes to the estimation methodology used for 2018 to 2019.

Net emissions and removals from *Afforestation and reforestation* and *Forest management* are largely driven by harvesting. In this submission, several updates were made to the methods to calculate forest harvest statistics, which have resulted in updates to:

1. the total harvest area from 2013 to 2020
2. the proportion of harvest area allocated to post-1989 (*Afforestation and reforestation*) and pre-1990 planted forest (*Forest management*)

3. the average harvest and average deforestation age for post-1989 (*Afforestation and reforestation*) and pre-1990 planted forest (*Forest management*)
4. the pre-1990 planted forest age profile (*Forest management*).

The updated methods are the same as have been applied and described for UNFCCC reporting. Further information can be found in chapter 6, section 6.3, and annex 3, section A3.2.5.

Table 11.4.3 Recalculations of New Zealand's 2019 activity data under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol

Activities under Article 3.3 of the Kyoto Protocol	Area as at 2019 (ha) 2021 submission	2022 submission	Change from 2021 submission (%)
Afforestation and reforestation	739,454	735,240	–0.6
Deforestation	202,641	211,633	4.4
Forest management	9,201,461	9,200,443	–0.0
Activities occurring in 2019	**Area change in 2019 (ha) 2021 submission**	**2022 submission**	**Change from 2021 submission (%)**
New planting	24,067	27,070	12.5
Deforestation			
Pre-1990 natural forest	750	781	4.2
Pre-1990 planted forest	3,108	1,910	–38.5
Post-1989 planted forest	885	1,545	74.6
Post-1989 natural forest	59	124	109.8

Emission factors

Planted forest – updates to yield tables

Yield tables for both pre-1990 planted forest and post-1989 planted forest have been updated for the 2022 submission to include plots measured in the 2020 forest inventory. The impact on emissions as a result of these updates is summarised in table 11.4.4.

The period-specific yield tables used for the pre-1990 planted forest have been updated to improve accuracy. In the previous submission, three yield tables were applied to the pre-1990 planted forest estate; representing stands planted before 1990, between 1990 and 2009, and from 2010 onwards.

However, the yield table for stands planted from 2010 onwards was found to have high uncertainty and tended to overestimate carbon stock per hectare relative to the field measurements. During the review of the 2021 submission, it was suggested only two yield tables be used until further data are available for the yield table representing stands from 2010 onwards. Therefore, in this submission, two period-specific yield tables have been applied; one for all years before 1990 and one for 1990 onwards.

The post-1989 planted forest yield table has been revised for the 2022 submission to include plots measured in the 2020 forest inventory. The revised yield table is based on two full measurement inventories of permanent sample plots in post-1989 planted forest carried out in 2008 and 2009 and 2011 and 2012, and a partial re-measure annually between 2016 and 2020 (due to the transition to the continuous five-year inventory cycle). The analysis of the data collected has provided a plot-based estimate of carbon stock and mean carbon density within this forest type and is further described in annex 3, section A3.2.5.3.

Natural forest

For this submission, the approach to classify tall and regenerating forest subcategories, and to calculate the emission factors applied to each, has been updated for pre-1990 natural forest. This includes two main updates:

1. using mapped land cover (based on the Land Cover Database v5) to classify tall and regenerating forest plots (to calculate emission factors for each) and forest area (activity data)
2. reporting carbon stock change for tall forest. Previously, this was assumed to be in a steady state and an emission factor of zero was applied.

These updates result in an increase in net emissions to the pre-1990 natural forest component of *Forest management* by around 1,300 kt CO_2-e each year, relative to the previous submission. The update has also resulted in a slight change to the emission factors used to estimate deforestation of pre-1990 natural forest. The updated methods are the same as have been applied and described for UNFCCC reporting. Further information can be found in chapter 6, section 6.3, and annex 3, section A3.2.5.

Table 11.4.4 Recalculations of New Zealand's total net emissions estimates from 2013 under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol

Activities	Total net emissions 2013–19 (Gg CO_2-e) 2021 submission	2022 submission	Change from 2021 submission (%)
Afforestation and reforestation	–123,547.4	–124,453.8	–0.7
Deforestation	26,202.9	33,298.5	–27.1
Forest management	–133,446.1	–130,664.8	2.1
Total	**–230,790.6**	**–221,820.1**	**3.9**

Note: Columns may not total due to rounding.

11.4.4 Planned improvements

As this is the final submission for reporting on net emissions towards the 2013 to 2020 target under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol, no further improvements are planned. Improvements for the LULUCF sector are described under each specific land use category in chapter 6.

11.5 Demonstration that activities apply

11.5.1 Year of the onset of an activity

Paragraph 18 of the annex to Decision 16/CMP.1 (UNFCCC, 2006) requires Parties to account for land use, land-use change and forestry emissions and removals from Article 3.3 activities beginning with the onset of the activity or the beginning of the commitment period, whichever is later. In practical terms, paragraph 18 means there is a need to differentiate activities that occurred between 1 January 1990 and 31 December 2007 from those after this period.

The *Afforestation* occurring in each year is estimated from the Land Use Carbon Analysis System land use map, as described in section 11.3.2, and the NEFD survey, which captures information from the Ministry for Primary Industries schemes and programmes described in section 11.3.2 (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2020b). This information ensures that the activity is attributed to the correct year of onset.

The annual area of *Deforestation* reported from 2008 to 2016 is based on wall-to-wall detection and mapping of deforestation activity supported by data from the NZ ETS. Deforestation is first detected using annual satellite imagery and confirmed using oblique and vertical aerial photography. The year of onset (destocking year) is therefore determined from the first year of detection of forest loss in the annual satellite imagery time series. Because deforestation mapping has not yet been completed for activity occurring in the 2017 to 2020 period, the total deforestation area for these years has been estimated as described in annex 3, section A.3.2.2.

It can take up to four years following the loss of forest cover to determine that replanting or revegetation has occurred. This is because sometimes the land owner does not replant trees immediately but leaves the land fallow for a time. The process for monitoring this unclassified deforestation is described in section 11.5.4. When deforestation is finally confirmed, the deforestation is attributed to the year when forest cover was removed, regardless of whether that forest loss occurred in a previous commitment period.

11.5.2 Distinction between harvesting and deforestation

Paragraph 5 of the annex to Decision 16/CMP.1 (UNFCCC, 2006) requires that countries provide information on how harvesting or forest disturbance that is followed by the re-establishment of forest is distinguished from *Deforestation*.

New Zealand has used the definition of *Deforestation* from Decision 16/CMP.1: "the direct human-induced conversion of forested land to non-forested land" (Annex A, UNFCCC, 2006). Deforestation is different from harvesting, in that harvesting is part of usual forest management practice and involves the removal of biomass from a site followed by reforestation (replanting or natural regeneration, i.e., no change in land use).

In New Zealand, temporarily unstocked or cleared areas of forest (e.g., harvested areas and areas subject to disturbances) remain designated as *Forest land* unless a change in land use is confirmed or if, after four years, no reforestation (replanting or regeneration) has occurred. This follows the process for determining whether land is subject to direct human-induced deforestation as set out in section 2.6.2.1 of the Kyoto Protocol Supplement (IPCC, 2014a). New Zealand has defined the expected period between the removal of tree cover and successful natural regeneration or planting as four years. In New Zealand, the tree grower and land owner are often different people. Forest land can be temporarily unstocked for several years while land owners decide what to do with land after harvesting.

A number of activities are carried out to determine if land-use change has occurred, including the analysis of satellite imagery and aerial photography. The use of aerial photography is described in chapter 6, section 6.2.

Evidence from the NZ ETS is also used to confirm *Deforestation*. Under the NZ ETS, owners of pre-1990 planted forest or post-1989 forest (if they are participants in the scheme) are required to notify the Government of any deforestation activity (Ministry for Primary Industries, 2015d). A data-sharing agreement is in place that allows for the Ministry for Primary Industries, the agency that administers forestry aspects of the NZ ETS, to provide the Ministry for the Environment with regular updates of the area of confirmed *Deforestation*.

A summary of the decision-making process for determining whether *Deforestation* has occurred, including all sources of information, is shown in figure 11.5.1. Once a land-use change is mapped and confirmed, the *Deforestation* emissions will be reported in the year of forest clearance.

Figure 11.5.1 Verification of deforestation in New Zealand



11.5.3 Distinction between afforestation and grassland with woody biomass

For a shrubland area to be classed as post-1989 forest (and hence Afforestation), as opposed to grassland with woody biomass, it must meet a range of criteria including the forest definition criteria of having at least 30 per cent cover and being at least 1 hectare in size and 30 metres in width. It must also have the potential to reach 5 metres in height within a 30 to 40 year timeframe under current land management, and there must be evidence of intention for it do so.

This potential to reach 5 metres is determined using a range of ancillary data including:

- location with respect to the treeline – shrub species located below but within 225 vertical metres of the treeline are not considered to have the potential to reach 5 metres in height within the required timeframe (Newsome et al., 2011)
- environmental conditions – a range of environmental conditions limit growth of shrub species in New Zealand. These include soil type, climatic conditions, geothermal activity and salt spray (Newsome et al., 2011). When a shrubland area falls within one of these zones of limitation, it is classed as grassland with woody biomass
- geographical context – shrubland areas in a grazing context are unlikely to grow to 5 metres in height unless there is evidence of livestock exclusion, such as a fence line or a change to steep terrain (gully or hill), which provides a natural barrier to livestock.

The evidence that the afforestation is human induced includes data from the following.

- NZ ETS forest mapping – if an area has been accepted into the NZ ETS this is considered to be strong evidence of afforestation. The area will have been checked to verify establishment date and the potential of the area to grow to 5 metres in height. The fact the land owner has entered the area in the NZ ETS, (with associated application costs) is considered strong evidence of their intention to grow a forest.

- Aerial imagery – showing fence lines, spot spraying or regular planting patterns consistent with the establishment of indigenous forest cover.

The decision tree relating this classification of shrubland areas is described in the grassland with woody biomass section of the Satellite Imagery Interpretation Guide for Land-Use Classes (Ministry for the Environment, 2012).

11.5.4 Unclassified destocked land

The reporting guidelines under the Convention require that countries provide information on the size and geographical location of forest areas that have lost forest cover but that are not yet classified as deforested.

To identify these areas, destocked land is mapped into three main classes: harvested, deforested and awaiting. The awaiting areas are those where there is no clear evidence to support harvesting (replanting activity, forestry context) or *Deforestation* (confirmed land-use change, such as pasture establishment, fences and stock). The areas are therefore awaiting a land use determination.

Wall-to-wall mapping of harvested, deforested and awaiting areas was completed for 2008 to 2016. Each year, areas of awaiting land that have been destocked for more than four years are reviewed to determine whether deforestation or replanting has occurred. Where a recent imagery evidence source is available, these awaiting areas are reclassified as either harvested (where there is evidence of replanting) or deforested (where there is evidence of land-use change).

Areas classed as awaiting land are still considered to be forested land until either evidence of land-use change is identified or four years have passed since destocking and the land is confirmed to be in a new land use (whichever comes first). This is consistent with section 2.6.2.1 of the Kyoto Protocol Supplement (IPCC, 2014a), which states that (p 82):

> In the absence of land-use change (such as conversion to *Cropland* or construction of *Settlements*) areas without tree cover are considered "forest" provided that the time since forest cover loss is shorter than the number of years within which tree establishment is expected.

All areas of awaiting land that was destocked before 2017 have now been reclassified as either harvested or deforested based on field verification.

Provisional mapping of 2017 deforestation has been completed, however, a further 27,046 hectares of land destocked in 2017 is yet to be classified. This land will include harvested and deforested areas as well as areas that will be classified as awaiting land because it is not currently possible to determine the future land use.

The total area of unclassified land for 2017 is shown in table 11.5.1.

Table 11.5.1 Area of land destocked in New Zealand in 2017 that has not yet been classified

Year of destocking	Pre-1990 natural forest (ha)	Pre-1990 planted forest (ha)	Post-1989 forest (ha)	Total (ha)
2017	838	16,927	9,282	27,046

Note: Rows may not total due to rounding. Completion of 2017 deforestation mapping will take place over the summer of 2021.

No estimates of awaiting land for 2018, 2019 and 2020 have been made because land-use mapping has not been completed for these years. The *Deforestation* areas reported for 2018 to 2020 are provisional and based on survey estimates as described in chapter 6, section 6.2.3.

11.6 Other information

11.6.1 Justification when omitting any carbon pool or greenhouse gas emissions from activities under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4

New Zealand has accounted for all carbon pools for mandatory reporting activities under Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 of the Kyoto Protocol. New Zealand has not elected any of the voluntary activities under Article 3.4.

Direct N_2O emissions from nitrogen fertilisation to land subject to *Afforestation and reforestation*, and *Indirect N_2O emissions from managed soils* are reported as IE, because these emissions are reported under the Agriculture sector (see chapter 5).

11.6.2 Factoring out information

New Zealand does not factor out from reporting either emissions or removals from:

- elevated CO_2 concentrations above pre-industrial levels
- indirect nitrogen deposition
- the dynamic effects of age structure resulting from activities before 1 January 1990.

New Zealand applies a net–net approach thereby removing the need to factor out the above-mentioned processes. Net change in greenhouse gas emissions and removals are accounted for by comparing greenhouse gas emissions and removals during the commitment period with a benchmark business as usual scenario, the FMRL.

11.6.3 Key category analysis for Article 3.3 and Article 3.4 activities (CRF NIR-3)

Afforestation and reforestation, Deforestation and *Forest management* are all included in key categories for New Zealand (*Forest land remaining forest land*, *Land converted to forest land* or *Land converted to grassland*).

11.7 Information relating to Article 6

New Zealand is not involved in any LULUCF activities under Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol.

Chapter 11: References

Forest Industry Training and Education Council. 2005. *Best Practice Guidelines for Land Preparation*. Revised edn. Rotorua: Forest Industry Training and Education Council.

Goulding CJ. Unpublished. A Review of Current Deforestation Activities, Covering Age of Trees Harvested, Methods Used, and the Amount of Material Remaining. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Limited (trading as Scion).

Indufor Asia Pacific. 2013. *Deforestation Mapping 2012 – Final Report*. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Indufor Asia Pacific. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Indufor Asia Pacific. 2016. *Deforestation Mapping 2013 & 2014 – Final Report*. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Indufor Asia Pacific. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Indufor Asia Pacific. 2018. *Deforestation Mapping 2015 & 2016 – Final Report*. Prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by Indufor Asia Pacific. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

IPCC. 2006a. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme*. Japan: Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.

IPCC. 2006b. Eggleston HS, Buendia L, Miwa K, Ngara T, Tanabe K (eds). *2006 IPCC General Guidance and Reporting, Volume 1. IPCC National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme*. Japan: Published for the IPCC by the Institute for Global Environmental Strategies.

IPCC. 2014a. Hiraishi T, Krug T, Tanabe K, Srivastava N, Baasansuren J, Fukuda M, Troxler TG (eds). *2013 Revised Supplementary Methods and Good Practice Guidance Arising from the Kyoto Protocol*. Switzerland: IPCC.

Manley B. 2009. *2008 Deforestation Intentions Survey*. Contract report prepared for the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry by New Zealand School of Forestry, University of Canterbury. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Manley B. 2019. *Deforestation Intentions Survey 2018*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Manley B. 2021. *Afforestation and Deforestation Intentions Survey 2020*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2014. *Erosion Control Funding Programme (East Coast) (ECFP)*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/funding-and-programmes/forestry/erosion-control-funding-programme (18 January 2017).

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2015a. *Afforestation Grant Scheme*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/funding-and-programmes/forestry/afforestation-grant-scheme (23 January 2018).

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2015b. *Guide to the Permanent Forest Sink Initiative*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/funding-and-programmes/forestry/permanent-forest-sink-initiative (23 January 2018).

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2015c. *Sustainable Land Management Hill Country Erosion Programme*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/funding-and-programmes/ environment-and-natural-resources/hill-country-erosion-programme (23 January 2018).

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2015d. *An Overview of Forestry in the Emissions Trading Scheme*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/growing-and-harvesting/forestry/forestry-in-the-emissions-trading-scheme (8 January 2018).

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2020a. *Forestry and wood processing data*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/forestry/new-zealand-forests-forest-industry/forestry/wood-processing/ (4 February 2021).

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2020b. *National Exotic Forest Description as at 1 April 2019*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries.

Ministry for Primary Industries. 2021. *Quarterly Production Roundwood Removals from New Zealand Forests as at 30 June 2021*. Wellington: Ministry for Primary Industries. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/forestry/new-zealand-forests-forest-industry/forestry/wood-processing (27 September 2021).

Ministry for the Environment. 2006. *New Zealand's Initial Report under the Kyoto Protocol*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment. Retrieved from www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/climate-change/new-zealand%E2%80%99s-initial-report-under-kyoto-protocol (23 January 2018).

Ministry for the Environment. 2012. *Land Use and Carbon Analysis System: Satellite imagery interpretation guide for land-use classes*. 2nd edn. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry for the Environment. 2015. *New Zealand's Greenhouse Gas Inventory 1990–2013*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. 2002. *New Zealand Country Report.* Montreal Process Criteria and Indicators for the Conservation and Sustainable Management of Temperate and Boreal Forests 2003. Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry Technical Paper No: 2002/21, December. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Newsome P, Shepherd J, Meurk C, Samle M, Sutherland A, Heke H, Willoughby J. 2011. *2012 Kyoto Land Use Mapping Pilot – Regional image mosaics, environmentally-limiting factors, tree-line assessment and trial land use mapping*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.

Rhodes D, Novis J. 2002. *The Impact of Incentives on the Development of Plantation Forest Resources in New Zealand*. MAF information paper no 45. MAF policy division. Wellington: Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

Robertson KA. 1998. Loss of organic matter and carbon during slash burns in New Zealand exotic forests. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science* 28(2): 221–241.

Te Uru Rākau. 2018. *One Billion Trees Fund.* Wellington: Te Uru Rākau. Retrieved from www.mpi.govt.nz/funding-and-programmes/forestry/planting-one-billion-trees/one-billion-tree-fund (5 February 2019).

UNFCCC. 2006*. Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its first session, held at Montreal from 28 November to 10 December 2005: Addendum – Part 2: Action taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its first session*. FCCC/KP/CMP/2005/8/Add.3.

UNFCCC. 2012*. Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on its seventh session, held in Durban from 28 November to 11 December 2011: Addendum – Part 2: Action taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its seventh session*. FCCC/KP/CMP/2011/10/Add.1.

UNFCCC. 2018. FCCC/ARR/2017/NZL. Report of the individual review of the annual submission of New Zealand submitted in 2017. Centralised Review.

Wakelin SJ. Unpublished(a). Apportioning Wildfire Emissions to Forest Sub-categories in the National Greenhouse Gas Inventory. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Limited (trading as Scion) in 2011.

Wakelin SJ. Unpublished(b). Controlled Biomass Burning Emissions for the 2011 Greenhouse Gas Inventory. Contract report prepared for the Ministry for the Environment by New Zealand Forest Research Institute Limited (trading as Scion) in 2012.

Wakelin SJ, Searles N, Lawrence D, Paul TSH. 2020. Estimating New Zealand's harvested wood products carbon stocks and stock changes. *Carbon Balance and Management* 15(10).

Chapter 12: Information on accounting of the Kyoto Protocol units

12.1 Background information

Assigned amount and commitment period reserve

In January 2008, New Zealand's national registry was issued with New Zealand's assigned amount of 309,564,733 metric tonnes of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2-e) for the first commitment period (CP1).

The commitment period reserve for the CP1 of 278,608,260 metric tonnes of CO_2-e is 90 per cent of the assigned amount. The value of the commitment reserve for CP1 was fixed after the initial review in 2007. The number of units held in the national registry during CP1 could not fall below this amount.

Holdings and transactions of Kyoto Protocol units

Tables detailing holdings and transactions of commitment period units have been submitted tothe United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Secretariat electronically, and are also provided in the MS Excel worksheets available for download with this report from the Ministry for the Environment's website (environment.govt.nz/facts-and-science/climate-change/measuring-greenhouse-gas-emissions/about-new-zealands-greenhouse-gas-inventory). Information on New Zealand's submission of the standard electronic format is included in table 12.2.1.

General note

Abbreviations used in this chapter include:

AAUs	Assigned amount units
ERUs	Emission reduction units
RMUs	Removal units
CERs	Certified emission reduction units
tCERS	Temporary certified emission reduction units
lCERs	Long-term certified emission reduction units
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism

12.2 Summary of the standard electronic format tables for reporting Kyoto Protocol units

At the beginning of the calendar year 2021, New Zealand's national registry held 308,343,858 CP1 AAUs, 110,744,560 CP1 ERUs, 21,685,909 CP1 CERs and 100,845,399 CP1 RMUs. The number and mix of units held at the end of 2021 were the same as at the beginning of 2021, because no international transactions occurred during this period and this value includes the units retired to meet CP1 obligations. No second commitment period (CP2) units were held by New Zealand in 2021.

At the end of 2021, the units held in New Zealand's national registry remained at 308,343,858 AAUs, 110,744,560 ERUs, 21,685,909 CERs and 100,845,399 RMUs.

New Zealand's national registry did not hold any tCERS or lCERs during 2021.

The transactions made to New Zealand's national registry during 2021 are summarised below.

- No external transfers of Kyoto units occurred. A total of 111,183 AAUs were subtracted internally through voluntary cancellation.
- There were no conversions to ERUs. No CP2 Kyoto units were held by New Zealand during the 2021 year.

Table 12.2.1 New Zealand's submission of the standard electronic format

Annual submission item	New Zealand's national registry response
15/CMP.1 annex I.E paragraph 11: Standard electronic format (SEF)	The standard electronic format reports for 2021 first and second commitment period units have been submitted to the UNFCCC Secretariat electronically.

12.3 Discrepancies and notifications

New Zealand has not received any notification of discrepancies, failures or invalid units (see table 12.3.1).

Table 12.3.1 Discrepancies and notifications from New Zealand's national registry

Annual submission item	New Zealand's national registry response
15/CMP.1 annex I.E, paragraph 12: List of discrepant transactions	No discrepant transactions occurred in 2021.
15/CMP.1 annex I.E, paragraph 13 & 14: List of CDM notifications	No CDM notifications occurred in 2021.
15/CMP.1 annex I.E, paragraph 1 15: List of non-replacements	No non-replacements occurred in 2021.
15/CMP.1 annex I.E, paragraph 1 15: List of invalid units	No invalid units exist as at 31 December 2021.
15/CMP.1 annex I.E, paragraph 1 17: Actions and changes to address discrepancies	No actions were taken or changes made to address discrepancies for the period under review.

12.4 Publicly accessible information

New Zealand's national registry list of publicly accessible information is available at www.emissionsregister.govt.nz, through the 'Public information and reports' link. A list of publicly accessible information is provided in table 12.4.1.

Table 12.4.1 List of the publicly accessible information in New Zealand's national registry

Type of information to be made public pursuant to part E of the annex to 13/CMP.1, paragraphs 44 to 48	Publicly available on New Zealand's national registry website (refer www.emissionsregister.govt.nz Public information and reports) (yes/no/partial)	Timing of information to be made available under New Zealand's Climate Change Response Act 2002	Relevant reference to New Zealand's Climate Change Response Act 2002 where information is not publicly available in accordance with paragraphs 44 to 48
44. Each national registry shall make non-confidential information publicly available and provide a publicly accessible user interface through the Internet that allows interested persons to query and view it.	Details of information availability are provided below.		
45. The information referred to in paragraph 44 above shall include up-to-date information for each account number in that registry on the following:			
(a) Account name: the holder of the account.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Accounts).	Up to date (note, refreshed daily)	NA
(b) Account type: the type of account (holding, cancellation or retirement).	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Accounts).	Up to date (note, refreshed daily)	NA
(c) Commitment period: the commitment period with which a cancellation or retirement account is associated.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Accounts).	Up to date (note, refreshed daily)	NA
(d) Representative identifier: the representative of the account holder, using the Party identifier (the two-letter country code defined by ISO 3166) and a number unique to that representative within the Party's registry.	No – the representative identifiers for representatives are not publicly available and have been withheld for security reasons.	NA	Section 27(1)(a) of the Climate Change Response Act 2002 does not require this information to be made publicly available. Only the holding account number for each account in the registry is publicly available under this section.
(e) Representative name and contact information: the full name, mailing address, telephone number, facsimile number and email address of the representative of the account holder.	Partial – publication of the mailing address, email addresses, telephone numbers and facsimile number of the representatives has been withheld for security reasons. (Refer Public information and reports: Accounts.)	Up to date (note, refreshed daily)	Section 13 of the Climate Change Response Act 2002 permits the Registrar to withhold access to the email address and phone and fax numbers of account holders' representatives on the grounds of security or integrity of the registry.
46. The information referred to in paragraph 44 shall include the following Article 6 project information, for each project identifier against which the Party has issued ERUs:			
(a) Project name: a unique name for the project.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Joint implementation (JI) projects).	Up to date	NA
(b) Project location: the Party and town or region in which the project is located.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Joint implementation (JI) projects).	Up to date	NA

Type of information to be made public pursuant to part E of the annex to 13/CMP.1, paragraphs 44 to 48	Publicly available on New Zealand's national registry website (refer www.emissionsregister.govt.nz Public information and reports) (yes/no/partial)	Timing of information to be made available under New Zealand's Climate Change Response Act 2002	Relevant reference to New Zealand's Climate Change Response Act 2002 where information is not publicly available in accordance with paragraphs 44 to 48
(c) Years of ERU issuance: the years when ERUs have been issued as a result of the Article 6 project.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Ministers' directions, which lists directions relating to the transfer of emission reduction units to individual JI projects. The New Zealand Emission Trading Register Unit Holding and Transaction Summary Report shows in aggregate the total ERUs converted from AAUs by year).	Joint implementation projects annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year Ministers' directions – up to date (note, refreshed daily)	NA
(d) Reports: downloadable electronic versions of all publicly available documentation relating to the project, including proposals, monitoring, verification and issuance of ERUs, where relevant, subject to the confidentiality provisions in decision 9/CMP.1.	Partial – some of this information is published on the UNFCCC's website for JI projects at https://ji.unfccc.int/JI_Parties/DB/E48QQ342M7VSOFWEI6MTBKVVF9NFAM/viewDFP This provides a link to the project documentation on the UNFCCC site and is not replicated on the New Zealand's national registry website. Project proposals are not included as they contain financial information that is considered to be commercially sensitive and confidential.	This information becomes publicly available once New Zealand gives its approval to the JI project. The information is then updated when necessary and annual reports are added annually.	NA
47. The information referred to in paragraph 44 shall include the following holding and transaction information relevant to the national registry, by serial number, for each calendar year (defined according to Greenwich Mean Time):			
(a) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account at the beginning of the year.	Partial – aggregate unit holdings of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs for the previous calendar year are disclosed by 31 January of each year (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary). Total quantity of unit holdings in each account within the most recent calendar year is considered to be confidential information. Therefore the total quantity of unit holdings in each account provided consists of only those completed more than one year in the past. (Refer Public information and reports: Kyoto unit holdings by account. Use Search Criteria to find information about more than one year in the past.)	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year 1 January for the beginning of the previous calendar year	Section 27(2) of the Climate Change Response Act 2002 requires total holdings of AAUs, ERUs, CERs, ICERs, tCERs and RMUs to be publicly available by 31 January of each year for the previous calendar year. Section 27(3) of the Climate Change Response Act 2002 only requires holdings of Kyoto units by each holding account for the beginning of the previous calendar year to be made publicly available.

Type of information to be made public pursuant to part E of the annex to 13/CMP.1, paragraphs 44 to 48	Publicly available on New Zealand's national registry website (refer www.emissionsregister.govt.nz Public information and reports) (yes/no/partial)	Timing of information to be made available under New Zealand's Climate Change Response Act 2002	Relevant reference to New Zealand's Climate Change Response Act 2002 where information is not publicly available in accordance with paragraphs 44 to 48
(b) The total quantity of AAUs issued on the basis of the assigned amount pursuant to Article 3, paragraphs 7 and 8.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary).	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA
(c) The total quantity of ERUs issued on the basis of Article 6 projects.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary – Units converted to).	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA
(d) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs acquired from other registries and the identity of the transferring accounts and registries.	Partial – the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs acquired from other registries, and the identity of the registries is publicly available by 31 January for the previous calendar year (refer Public information and reports: Incoming transactions by year). The identity of the individual transferring accounts is not available as it is considered to be confidential information.	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA Section 27(j) of the Climate Change Response Act 2002 requires that only the following be made publicly available: • total quantity of units transferred • total quantity and type of unit transferred • the identity of the transferring overseas registries, including the total quantity of units transferred from each overseas registry and each type of unit transferred from each overseas registry.
(e) The total quantity of RMUs issued on the basis of each activity under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary).	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA
(f) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs transferred to other registries and the identity of the acquiring accounts and registries.	Partial – the total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs transferred to other registries, and the identity of the registries are publicly available by 31 January for the previous calendar year (refer Public information and reports: Outgoing transactions by year). The identity of the individual acquiring accounts is not available as it is considered to be confidential information.	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA Section 27(k) of the Climate Change Response Act 2002 requires that only the following be publicly available: • total quantity of units transferred • total quantity and type of unit transferred • the identity of the acquiring overseas registries, including the total quantity of units transferred to each overseas registry and each type of unit transferred to each overseas registry.
(g) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled on the basis of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary).	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA

Type of information to be made public pursuant to part E of the annex to 13/CMP.1, paragraphs 44 to 48	Publicly available on New Zealand's national registry website (refer www.emissionsregister.govt.nz Public information and reports) (yes/no/partial)	Timing of information to be made available under New Zealand's Climate Change Response Act 2002	Relevant reference to New Zealand's Climate Change Response Act 2002 where information is not publicly available in accordance with paragraphs 44 to 48
(h) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled following determination by the Compliance Committee that the Party is not in compliance with its commitment under Article 3, paragraph 1.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary). NOTE: Reported as '0' because this event did not occur in the specified period.	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA
(i) The total quantity of other ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs cancelled.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary).	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA
(j) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs retired.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary).	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA
(k) The total quantity of ERUs, CERs, and AAUs carried over from the previous commitment period.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Holding & transaction summary). Note: Reported as '0' because this event did not occur in the specified period.	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year	NA
(l) Current holdings of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs in each account.	Partial – aggregate unit holdings of ERUs, CERs, AAUs and RMUs from the previous calendar year are disclosed by 31 January (refer Public information and reports: Kyoto unit holdings by account). Total quantity of unit holdings in each account within the most recent calendar year is considered to be confidential information. Therefore the total quantity of unit holdings in each account provided consists of only those completed more than one year in the past. (Refer Public information and reports: Kyoto unit holdings by account.)	Annually by 31 January for the previous calendar year 1 January for the beginning of the previous calendar year	Section 27(2) of the Climate Change Response Act 2002 only requires total holdings of AAUs, ERUs, CERs, lCERs, tCERs and RMUs to be publicly available by 31 January of each year for the previous calendar year. Section 27(3) of the Climate Change Response Act 2002 only requires holdings of Kyoto units by each holding account for the beginning of the previous calendar year to be made publicly available.
48. The information referred to in paragraph 44 shall include a list of legal entities authorised by the Party to hold ERUs, CERs, AAUs and/or RMUs under its responsibility.	Yes (refer Public information and reports: Account Holders, for list of authorised entities).	Up to date (note, refreshed daily)	NA

Note: NA = not applicable.

12.5 Calculation of the commitment period reserve

New Zealand's commitment period reserve calculation is based on the assigned amount for the first commitment period and is therefore fixed. The commitment period reserve is 278,608,260 metric tonnes of CO_2-e, 90 per cent of the assigned amount of 309,564,733, fixed after the review of *New Zealand's Initial Report under the Kyoto Protocol* (Ministry for the Environment, 2006).

The commitment period reserve level as at 31 December 2021 is:

Commitment period reserve (CPR) limit:	278,608,260
Units held:	402,098,575
CPR level:	402,098,575
CPR level = (% of assigned amount):	144.32%
CPR level comprises the following units:	
AAUs	208,356,043
ERUs (converted from AAUs)	97,027,042
CERs	16,117,338
RMUs	80,598,152
Total units	402,098,575

Chapter 12: Reference

Ministry for the Environment. 2006. *New Zealand's Initial Report under the Kyoto Protocol.* Wellington: Ministry for the Environment. Retrieved from www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/climate/new-zealands-initial-report-under-the-kyoto-protocol/index.html (17 February 2016).

Chapter 13: Information on changes to the national inventory system

No changes have been made in the legal or institutional arrangements in the national inventory system since the 2021 inventory submission.

Although no major changes were made in the structure of the national inventory system, several programme and operational improvements were implemented, to increase the quality and efficiency of the inventory production. These include:

- continuing to develop automated methods for the National Inventory Report production, especially where large quantities of data reported are within several different source documents
- continuing to develop the expertise of inventory contributors through coaching and structured training courses
- securing project management and quality control staff resources within the central inventory agency (the Ministry for the Environment).

The improvements are expected to enhance the functioning of the national system and, in doing so, ensure continuous improvement of national inventory submissions into the future.

Chapter 14: Information on changes to the national registry

This chapter contains information required for the reporting of changes to New Zealand's national registry. The changes made to New Zealand's national registry since the 2021 submission are included in table 14.1.

The review of New Zealand's 2021 submission has not been finalised or published. There are no outstanding recommendations relating to the national registry from previous reviews (see table 14.2).

The contact details for the national registry are provided in table 14.3.

Table 14.1 Changes made to New Zealand's national registry

Section subheading	New Zealand's response
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(a): Change in the name or contact for the national registry	The contact details for the national registry have not been changed during the reporting period.
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(b): Change in cooperation arrangement	No change of cooperation arrangement occurred during the reported period.
1/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(c): Change to the database or the capacity of the national registry	No change to the database or the capacity of the national registry occurred during the reporting period.
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(d): Change in the conformance to technical standards	No change in the conformance to technical standards occurred during the reporting period.
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(e): Change in the discrepancy procedures	No change of discrepancies procedures occurred during the reporting period.
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(f): Change in security	No change in security occurred during the reporting period.
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(g): Change in the list of publicly available information	No changes to the list of publicly available information occurred during the reporting period.
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(h): Change to the internet address	No change to the internet address occurred during the reporting period.
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(i): Change to the data integrity measures	No change to the data integrity measures occurred during the reporting period.
15/CMP.1 Annex II.E, paragraph 32.(j): Change of the test results	No change to the test results occurred during the reporting period.

Table 14.2 Previous recommendations for New Zealand from the expert review team

	Review descriptions	New Zealand addressed the recommendation as follows
NA	There were no recommendations to be addressed	NA

Table 14.3 Contact details

Organisation designated as the administrator of New Zealand's national registry	Environmental Protection Authority Private Bag 63002, Wellington 6140, New Zealand Phone: +64 4 462 4289 Fax: +64 4 978 3661 Web: www.epa.govt.nz
Main Contact	Guy Windley ETS Manager Environmental Protection Authority Private Bag 63002, Wellington 6140, New Zealand Phone: +64 4 474 5514 Fax: +64 4 978 3661 Email: guy.windley@epa.govt.nz
Alternative Contact	Dave Stuart Team Leader, ETS Operations Environmental Protection Authority Private Bag 63002, Wellington 6140, New Zealand Phone: +64 4 474 5750 Fax: +64 4 978 3661 Email: dave.stuart@epa.govt.nz

Chapter 15: Information on minimisation of adverse impacts

This chapter provides information on New Zealand's actions to minimise adverse social, environmental and economic impacts on non-Annex I Parties of the implementation of climate change policies and measures, as required under Article 3.14 of the Kyoto Protocol.

15.1 Overview

New Zealand is undertaking several mitigation actions and policies to reduce emissions to meet its Paris Agreement (2015) commitments, as well as prioritising adaptation and resilience in the Pacific. These measures include:

- implementing New Zealand's updated Nationally Determined Contribution
- developing an economy-wide, sector-specific and cross-cutting emissions reduction plan mandated to meet New Zealand's legislated emission budgets
- improving New Zealand's emissions trading scheme, including by being one of the first countries in the world to put a price on agricultural emissions
- legislating a mandatory climate-related financial disclosures regime
- improving energy efficiency initiatives
- investing in public transport, electric and low-emission light vehicles
- supporting afforestation
- undertaking research, technology development and sharing of technical expertise, most notably in the agricultural sector
- achieving 100 per cent of electricity produced from renewable energy sources by 2035
- establishing the Carbon Neutral Government Programme
- strengthening international cooperation by joining several initiatives that commit countries to effective and ambitious climate action, including playing a leading role in the Friends of Fossil Fuel Subsidy Reform (FFSR), the Global Research Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouse Gases, the Carbon Neutrality Coalition and the Agreement on Climate Change, Trade and Sustainability
- sharing Aotearoa New Zealand's long-standing expertise in renewable energy development internationally
- increasing climate-related support four-fold, to be delivered by New Zealand's International Development Cooperation (IDC) Programme (see www.mfat.govt.nz/en/environment/climate-change/supporting-our-region/).

Further information on actions and policies is included in *New Zealand's Fourth Biennial Report*, published in December 2019 (Ministry for the Environment, 2019).

New Zealand recognises the potential for its climate policy to have consequences for its Pacific neighbours, particularly in relation to supply chains. In the development of major policy initiatives related to these mitigation measures, an analysis of impacts of the proposed policy is undertaken. As appropriate, the benefits and risks of proposed options, including those with possible international implications, are considered.

In addition, through the New Zealand Government's regular engagement with other governments, including many non-Annex I Parties, opportunities are available for concerns to be raised about the possible or actual adverse impacts of New Zealand policies and to have them resolved within the bilateral relationship. An opportunity is also available for people and/or organisations to raise concerns and highlight issues about new policies during the public consultation phase. To date, no specific concerns about any negative impacts of New Zealand's climate change response policies on non-Annex I Parties have been raised. No changes have been made compared with the information reported in the previous National Inventory Report.

New Zealand is meeting its climate finance commitments under the Paris Agreement by progressively scaling up finance for developing countries to transition to low emission economies. New Zealand delivers its climate finance commitment through the provision of the IDC. The Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade manages the IDC Programme. New Zealand works closely with the partner country to agree priorities for the particular country's international development cooperation programme. Doing so helps ensure New Zealand's IDC is aligned to the priorities and needs of the partner country, while also reflecting New Zealand values. Practice standards for IDC activities, funded by the New Zealand IDC Programme, include assessments and responses to environmental and climate-related impacts and risks (along with gender and human rights as the other significant cross-cutting issues).

Regular consultations, held between New Zealand and partner countries to discuss the delivery of IDC activities, provide another opportunity for partner countries to raise concerns about impacts, and for both partners to work collaboratively to address those concerns. This partnership approach is also taken with New Zealand's Pacific regional and multi-country climate change activities.

New Zealand is committed to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), adopted by the international community in 2015. New Zealand implements the SDGs through the IDC Programme by supporting areas such as climate change adaptation, disaster risk reduction and humanitarian response to natural disasters.

New Zealand is also supporting countries to undertake analysis to transition to low emission, climate-resilient economies, particularly in sectors such as energy and transport. As a critical element of long-term sustainable development efforts, Small Island Developing States (SIDS) continue to increase their uptake of renewable energy. The New Zealand IDC Programme supports a major push to increase this uptake in the Pacific and reduce the region's reliance on imported diesel. This includes support to develop energy roadmaps, as well as installation and upgrades to renewable energy systems, and investigation into opportunities for energy efficiency and transport energy projects.

Compared with information reported in the previous National Inventory Report, additional initiatives include opportunities for new energy efficiency and transport energy projects. Scoping and design for these activities was initiated in 2019, with implementation set to begin in Nauru (energy efficiency) in 2021 and the Marshall Islands (transport) in 2022.

15.2 Market imperfections, fiscal incentives, tax and duty exemptions and subsidies

Annex I Parties are required to report any progressive reduction or phasing out of market imperfections, fiscal incentives, tax and duty exemptions and subsidies in all greenhouse-gas-emitting sectors, taking into account the need for energy price reforms to reflect market prices and externalities.

Trade is a critical part of New Zealand's economy. Through the Inclusive Trade Action Group, New Zealand and likeminded countries are driving a more inclusive and sustainable trade agenda. New Zealand maintains a liberalised and open trading environment, consistent with the principles of free trade and investment, ensuring both developed and developing countries can maximise opportunities in New Zealand's market regardless of the response measures undertaken.

15.3 Removal of inefficient fossil fuel subsidies

Annex I Parties are required to report information concerning the removal of subsidies associated with the use of environmentally unsound and unsafe technologies.

New Zealand is an active advocate of fossil fuel subsidy reform internationally. Transparency is an important element of subsidy reform. New Zealand has undergone two voluntary peer reviews of its fossil fuel support measures using the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) (2015) and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2018) mechanisms. These found that New Zealand does not have any fossil fuel subsidies that encourage wasteful consumption. However, the OECD review found that New Zealand had nine remaining indirect support measures that could support the use of fossil fuels. Three of these measures have now been terminated. New Zealand committed to evaluate the remaining indirect support measures in 2019, and not to introduce any new fossil fuel subsidies. The evaluation found that New Zealand does not have any support measures that could directly or indirectly support the wasteful consumption of fossil fuels.

New Zealand is a founding member of the 'Friends' of FFSR. The Friends is an informal group of non-Group of Twenty (G20) economies that aims to build international political consensus on the importance of FFSR. The support of the Friends for reform is based on the essential notion that it is illogical to continue subsidising the costs of emissions from fossil fuels while, at the same time, making concerted efforts to mitigate those emissions through actions elsewhere. As a member of the Friends, New Zealand has been working to encourage and support the G20 and APEC economies to meet their commitments to reform inefficient fossil fuel subsidies through the peer review process. In 2018, New Zealand participated in the peer review panels for Italy and Indonesia.

In December 2017, New Zealand delivered a Joint Ministerial Statement to the World Trade Organization (WTO), encouraging Members to address the global harm being caused by inefficient fossil fuel subsidies. Endorsed by 11 other WTO Members, the Joint Ministerial Statement confirms the environmental, development and trade benefits of FFSR, and includes a political commitment to look at avenues to bring the issue into the WTO.

New Zealand also hosted a side event on FFSR at the United Nations High-Level Political Forum in July 2018, which focused on improving energy access and responding to the SDGs through the phase out of fossil fuel subsidies. In December 2018, New Zealand helped launch a 'Friends Network' at the 24th Session of the Conference of the Parties (COP24) of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, to broaden understanding of the need for reform and practical ways to achieve it. The Friends Network held a series of five virtual interactive roundtables in 2019, which were attended by representatives from about 20 economies from around the world.

New Zealand used its APEC 2021 host year to drive regional trade and environment outcomes to support its multilateral ambitions. New Zealand led APEC to achieve consensus on work towards operationalising a voluntary standstill on inefficient fossil fuel subsidies from the end of 2022.

In December 2021, New Zealand launched the Joint Ministerial Statement on Fossil Fuel Subsidy Reform at the WTO, co-sponsored by 44 other WTO Members, representing over a quarter of the membership.

New Zealand is also leading negotiations on the Agreement on Climate Change, Trade and Sustainability trade initiative, which was launched in 2019, in a group of six like-minded countries including Costa Rica, Fiji, Iceland, Norway and Switzerland. It seeks to develop legally binding rules to eliminate harmful fossil fuel subsidies. It is expected the Agreement will expand into a multilateral initiative, open to WTO Members that meet the established standard.

New Zealand has the third highest share of renewable electricity generation in the OECD and is working towards 100 per cent renewable electricity generation by 2030. Prices for energy products and services are set freely by the market. New Zealand is a member of the Powering Past Coal Alliance and became an associate member of the Beyond Oil and Gas Alliance in 2021.

15.4 Technological development of non-energy uses of fossil fuels

Annex I Parties are required to report on cooperation in the technological development of non-energy use of fossil fuels and support provided to non-Annex I Parties.

The New Zealand Government has not yet participated actively in activities of this nature.

15.5 Carbon capture and storage technology development

Annex I Parties are required to report on cooperation in the development, diffusion and transfer of less-greenhouse-gas-emitting advanced fossil fuel technologies, and/or technologies relating to fossil fuels that capture and store greenhouse gases, and encouragement of their wider use; and on facilitating the participation of non-Annex I Parties.

New Zealand is a member of the United States-led Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum (www.cslforum.org) and the International Energy Agency Greenhouse Gas Research and Development Programme (www.ieaghg.org).

15.6 Improvements in fossil fuel efficiencies

Annex I Parties are required to report on how they have strengthened the capacity of non-Annex I Parties identified in Article 4.8 and Article 4.9 of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. This can be achieved by improving the efficiency in upstream and downstream activities related to fossil fuels and taking into consideration the need to improve the environmental efficiency of these activities.

The New Zealand IDC Programme maintains a focus on renewable energy and energy efficiency and the transition away from fossil fuel dependency to clean, efficient, affordable, reliable and sustainable energy resources. Introducing clean and affordable energy technologies is a high priority for the Pacific region. On average, less than 10 per cent of the region’s gross domestic product is expended on imported fossil fuel, and less than 70 per cent of electricity generation depends on the combustion of diesel, although actual figures vary widely between countries, and accurate information is hard to obtain.

Following the New Zealand Government and European Union Pacific Energy Conference in 2016, New Zealand committed a further NZD$100 million between 2016 and 2021 to renewable energy investments in the Pacific, of which NZD$88 million was expended at the end of June 2019. Since July 2011 – when renewable energy became a priority for New Zealand – to the end of June 2019, New Zealand has invested NZD$275 million worldwide on energy projects, with over NZD$212 million of this (77 per cent) in Pacific SIDS. Compared with the information reported in the previous National Inventory Report, additional information is provided in this submission on New Zealand's investments in energy projects to the end of this reporting period (December 2020).

New Zealand is also a member of the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), an intergovernmental organisation that aims to promote the widespread use of all forms of renewable energy. New Zealand served on the IRENA Council in 2020 and is involved with several of IRENA's work programmes in the Pacific and further afield, using its strong credentials in the IRENA Assemblies and council meetings to support Pacific SIDS. New Zealand is also a member of other multilateral institutions that play a role in the energy sector, for example, the International Energy Agency and APEC.

15.7 Assistance to non-Annex I Parties dependent on the export and consumption of fossil fuels for diversifying their economies

Annex I Parties are required to report on assistance provided to non-Annex I Parties that are highly dependent on the export and consumption of fossil fuels in diversifying their economies.

The New Zealand IDC Programme provides support to several non-Annex I Parties for purposes of economic diversification (refer to section 15.6).

Since the 1980s, New Zealand has provided technical assistance and advice to support developing countries investigate and develop their geothermal resources. New Zealand is providing technical support to Indonesia and throughout the Caribbean and Africa where there are significant undeveloped geothermal resources.

New Zealand has a long-standing partnership with the Government of Indonesia to accelerate the development of Indonesia's geothermal energy sector. Since 2016, New Zealand has provided technical support to the Government of Indonesia to identify suitable areas for geothermal development, improve clarity and communication of the regulatory framework, and increase certainty around geothermal resource size and classification. New Zealand is also providing scholarships in geothermal project management, a programme of training, coordinated technical assistance and capacity building to strengthen Indonesia's local skill base and ability to operate, manage and maintain geothermal resources sustainably. Significant geothermal support is also being provided in Africa (NZD$10.2 million) and the Caribbean (NZD$5.1 million), which is tailored to the specific needs of each country but covers broadly the same areas as in Indonesia of capability building, technical assistance and exploratory drilling support.

New Zealand also has a Memorandum of Understanding with the Government of the Philippines to support the development of the country's geothermal resources. In the Pacific, New Zealand has helped Vanuatu and Papua New Guinea investigate their geothermal resources and to establish suitable regulatory frameworks.

New Zealand has supported the Office of the Quartet since 2018 to improve the self-sufficiency, reliability and sustainability of Gaza's energy supply, including through offsetting greenhouse gas emissions. The Office of the Quartet is mandated by the United Nations to help mediate Middle East peace negotiations and support Palestinian economic development and institution building. New Zealand's funding provides technical advisors for feasibility studies, strategic documents and garnering support for renewable energy from the relevant authorities. This includes engagement with the Palestinian Energy and Natural Resources Authority and supporting the advocacy work in both Gaza and West Bank. An interim Power Purchasing Agreement was signed in September 2020 between Israel and Palestine that enabled the energisation of two high-voltage substations.

New Zealand is committed to providing long-term assistance to non-Annex I Parties in achieving economic diversification that is independent of fossil fuels.

15.8 New Zealand's IDC climate commitment

At the United Nations General Assembly in 2018, Prime Minister Ardern announced that New Zealand would spend at least NZD$300 million on climate change development assistance from 2019 to 2022. This commitment was managed within New Zealand's IDC Programme. In July 2021, New Zealand met this commitment. Of this commitment, NZD$270 million was spent on adaptation projects as a whole, with NZD$176 million of this spent in the Pacific.

In October 2021, New Zealand announced a new climate finance commitment to developing countries of NZD$1.3 billion, from 2022 to 2025. At least 50 per cent of this funding will go to the Pacific as it adapts to the impacts of climate change.

Chapter 15: Reference

Ministry for the Environment. 2019. *New Zealand’s Fourth Biennial Report under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. Wellington: Ministry for the Environment.